

APPENDIX D: PROMISING PRACTICES COMPARING YES TO NO WITH N SIZES

The following table provides the detailed results for each promising practice. “Yes” means the courts do perform that practice and “no” means the courts do not perform that practice. Specifically, the reductions in recidivism are the percent reduction in number of re-arrests in the drug court group compared to the comparison group for courts that do perform a specific practice (yes) and for courts that do not perform a practice (no). Similarly, the increases in cost savings are the percent reduction in outcome costs for the drug court group compared to the comparison group. The N denotes the number of drug courts that did perform a practice (yes) and did not perform a practice (no).

Promising Practices Detailed Results

KC		Reductions in Re- cidivism		Increase in cost savings		Recidivism N		Outcome Cost N	
		yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no
4	The drug court offers resi- dential treatment	0.37†	0.18	0.29	0.23	55	8	55	7
4	The drug court offers health care	0.48~	0.32	0.35	0.24	9	11	9	11
4	The drug court offers dental care	0.51†	0.32	0.36	0.26	7	13	7	13
6	Participants are required to pay court fees	0.39	0.33	0.37*	0.12	19	13	18	13
6	The drug court reports that the typical length of jail sanction is longer than two weeks	0.19	0.46*	0.18	0.33~	5	15	5	15
6	A new arrest for possession would prompt termination	0.27	0.54*	0.21	0.40*	11	9	11	9
9	Team members received training in preparation for the implementation of the drug court	0.31†	0.20	0.27*	0.08	15	5	12	5

~ = trend (p<.15)

†p < .1

*p < .05