

**APPENDIX A: HEALTHY START OF OREGON 2005-2006  
STATUS REPORT DATA TABLES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening.....	4
Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service .....	6
Table 3: Time to Screen.....	8
Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families .....	10
Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity .....	12
Table 6: Demographics of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level .....	14
Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening.....	16
Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships .....	18
Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service.....	20
Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service.....	22
Table 11: Home Visit Completion.....	24
Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity .....	26
Table 13: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level.....	28
Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening of Intensive Service Families .....	30
Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships .....	32
Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service .....	34
Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics.....	36
Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity .....	38
Table 19: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors .....	40
Table 20: Retention Rates.....	42
Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity.....	44
Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2004-05 .....	46
Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion.....	48
Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups.....	50
Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room.....	52
Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children: Immunizations.....	54

Table 27: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births.....	56
Table 28: Child Growth and Development.....	58
Table 29: Child Social Emotional Development (ASQ-SE).....	60
Table 30: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families.....	62
Table 31: Family Outcomes and Life Events .....	64
Table 32: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn .....	66
Table 33: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME Outcomes, & Reading.....	68
Table 34: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors .....	70
Table 35: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness.....	72
Table 36: Parent Stress & Income Change .....	74

**Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening**

County	Birth Rate <sup>1</sup> (From Vital Statistics <sup>2</sup> )	Resident Births Screened <sup>3</sup> (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number Served/ Screened, but Exited Prenatally	Number of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation <sup>4</sup>	Total Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened <sup>5</sup>
Benton	336	196	0	0	196	58%
Clackamas	1,465	612	0	0	612	42%
Clatsop	168	53	0	1	54	32%
Columbia	174	50	23	0	73	42%
Coos	281	22	0	0	22	8%
Crook	238	88	0	0	88	37%
Curry	46	46	2	2	50	100 <sup>6</sup> %
Deschutes	787	306	0	0	306	39%
Douglas	453	278	107	0	385	85%
Gilliam	17	2	0	0	2	12%
Grant	14	2	0	1	3	21%
Harney	72	20	0	0	20	28%
Hood River	116	50	0	1	51	44%
Jackson	930	328	33	0	361	39%
Jefferson <sup>7</sup>	257	47	0	0	47	18%
Josephine	292	143	0	3	146	50%
Klamath	276	178	0	1	179	65%
Lake	36	4	--	--	4	11%
Lane	1,536	318	0	0	318	21%

<sup>1</sup> Birth rates for Healthy Start programs reflect first births; with the exception of some minimum grant counties with funds to serve all births. These counties include: Crook, Gilliam, and Harney.

<sup>2</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (<http://oregon.gov/DHS/ph/chs/data/birth/birthdata.shtml>) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded October 2006.

<sup>3</sup> Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

<sup>4</sup> This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers/Supervisors.

<sup>5</sup> Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The screening rate for this county was over 100%, likely due to the inclusion of father's first parenting experience (Vital Statistics only tracks mother's first parenting experience).

<sup>7</sup> Jefferson County served all births during the first 7 months of the fiscal year and the first births only during the remaining 5 months. The birth rate reported here is adjusted to match.

County	Birth Rate <sup>1</sup> (From Vital Statistics <sup>2</sup> )	Resident Births Screened <sup>3</sup> (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number Served/ Screened, but Exited Prenatally	Number of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation <sup>4</sup>	Total Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened <sup>5</sup>
Lincoln	181	130	23	11	164	91%
Linn	516	206	6	37	249	48%
Malheur	126	24	10	0	34	27%
Marion	1,635	755	7	3	765	47%
Morrow	32	21	1	0	22	69%
Multnomah	4,191	2,129	0	6	2,135	51%
Polk	298	157	8	2	167	56%
Sherman	4	6	0	0	6	100% <sup>5</sup>
Tillamook	111	19	0	1	20	18%
Umatilla	264	75	3	0	78	30%
Union	105	91	0	1	92	88%
Wallowa	25	13	0	2	15	60%
Wasco	105	68	0	0	68	65%
Washington	3,060	653	6	2	661	22%
Yamhill	457	103	3	11	117	26%
<b>State</b>	<b>18,604</b>	<b>7,193</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>7,510</b>	<b>40%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Birth rates for Healthy Start programs reflect first births; with the exception of some minimum grant counties with funds to serve all births. These counties include: Crook, Gilliam, and Harney.

<sup>2</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (<http://oregon.gov/DHS/ph/chs/data/birth/birthdata.shtml>) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded October 2006.

<sup>3</sup> Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

<sup>4</sup> This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers/Supervisors.

<sup>5</sup> Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The screening rate for this county was over 100%, likely due to the inclusion of father's first parenting experience (Vital Statistics only tracks mother's first parenting experience).

<sup>7</sup> Jefferson County served all births during the first 7 months of the fiscal year and the first births only during the remaining 5 months. The birth rate reported here is adjusted to match.

**Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service**

County	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families with Other Healthy Start Contacts <sup>8</sup>	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/Service <sup>9</sup>	Percent of Those Offered Service Who Accepted Screening
Benton	0 (0%)	15 (6%)	22 (9%)	0 (0%)	233	69%	94%
Clackamas	0 (0%)	71 (9%)	91 (12%)	0 (0%)	774	53%	91%
Clatsop	12 (13%)	0 (0%)	29 (31%)	0 (0%)	95	57%	87%
Columbia	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	22 (23%)	0 (0%)	96	55%	99%
Coos	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	22	8%	100%
Crook	6 (6%)	1 (1%)	10 (10%)	0 (0%)	105	44%	93%
Curry	7 (12%)	2 (3%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	60	100%	85%
Deschutes	0 (0%)	162 (32%)	37 (7%)	0 (0%)	505	64%	68%
Douglas	40 (8%)	1 (<1%)	66 (13%)	0 (0%)	492	100%	92%
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	12%	100%
Grant	4 (33%)	0 (0%)	4 (33%)	1 (8%)	12	86%	67%
Harney	20 (45%)	1 (2%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)	44	61%	52%
Hood River	13 (16%)	2 (2%)	15 (19%)	0 (0%)	81	70%	82%
Jackson	0 (0%)	52 (12%)	36 (8%)	0 (0%)	449	48%	88%
Jefferson	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	22 (32%)	0 (0%)	69	27%	100%
Josephine	43 (22%)	0 (0%)	7 (4%)	0 (0%)	196	67%	78%
Klamath	13 (7%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	197	71%	93%
Lake	--	--	--	--	4	11%	100%
Lane	6 (1%)	12 (3%)	109 (24%)	6 (1%)	451	29%	96%
Lincoln	7 (3%)	52 (23%)	0 (0%)	5 (2%)	228	100%	74%
Linn	0 (0%)	148 (36%)	16 (4%)	0 (0%)	413	80%	64%
Malheur	80 (70%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	114	90%	30%
Marion	10 (1%)	283 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1058	65%	72%
Morrow	3 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	14 (35%)	40	100%	92%

<sup>8</sup> Information on families declining Healthy Start information/screening, consent to contact, and other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers.

<sup>9</sup> Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the number screened (Table 1) divided by the number offered screening/service.

County	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened <sup>8</sup>	Number (%) of Families with Other Healthy Start Contacts <sup>8</sup>	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/Service <sup>9</sup>	Percent of Those Offered Service Who Accepted Screening
<b>Multnomah</b>	0 (0%)	522 (19%)	66 (2%)	0 (0%)	2,723	65%	81%
<b>Polk</b>	54 (24%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)	226	76%	75%
<b>Sherman</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	7	100%	100%
<b>Tillamook</b>	11 (26%)	2 (5%)	9 (21%)	0 (0%)	42	38%	69%
<b>Umatilla</b>	27 (16%)	3 (2%)	66 (38%)	0 (0%)	174	66%	83%
<b>Union</b>	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	93	89%	99%
<b>Wallowa</b>	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	18	72%	94%
<b>Wasco</b>	8 (8%)	0 (0%)	26 (25%)	0 (0%)	102	97%	92%
<b>Washington</b>	240 (26%)	1 (<1%)	35 (4%)	0 (0%)	937	31%	74%
<b>Yamhill</b>	0 (0%)	149 (54%)	7 (3%)	1 (<1%)	274	60%	46%
<b>State</b>	<b>604 (6%)</b>	<b>1,488 (14%)</b>	<b>704 (7%)</b>	<b>30 (&lt;1%)</b>	<b>10,336</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>80%</b>

<sup>8</sup> Information on families declining Healthy Start information/screening, consent to contact, and other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers.

<sup>9</sup> Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the number screened (Table 1) divided by the number offered screening/service.

**Table 3: Time to Screen<sup>10</sup>**

County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median <sup>11</sup> Days to Screen <sup>12</sup>
Benton	196	15 (8%)	175 (89%)	190 (97%)	6 (3%)	2.0
Clackamas	612	261 (43%)	276 (45%)	537 (88%)	71 (12%)	1.0
Clatsop	53	23 (43%)	12 (23%)	35 (66%)	18 (34%)	2.0
Columbia	50	17 (34%)	6 (12%)	23 (46%)	26 (52%)	15.0
Coos	22	4 (18%)	4 (18%)	8 (36%)	14 (64%)	17.0
Crook	88	1 (1%)	54 (61%)	55 (62%)	33 (38%)	11.0
Curry	46	18 (39%)	11 (24%)	29 (63%)	17 (37%)	9.0
Deschutes	306	258 (84%)	26 (9%)	284 (93%)	22 (7%)	0
Douglas	278	34 (12%)	229 (82%)	263 (94%)	14 (5%)	1.0
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	--	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	7.5
Grant	2	--	--	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	196.5
Harney	20	5 (25%)	9 (45%)	14 (70%)	4 (20%)	7.0
Hood River	50	24 (48%)	7 (14%)	31 (62%)	19 (38%)	2.5
Jackson	328	191 (58%)	94 (29%)	285 (87%)	37 (12%)	0
Jefferson	47	5 (11%)	24 (51%)	29 (62%)	18 (38%)	13.0
Josephine	143	26 (18%)	109 (76%)	135 (94%)	7 (5%)	1.0
Klamath	178	35 (20%)	127 (71%)	162 (91%)	16 (9%)	1.0
Lake	4	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	10.0
Lane	318	8 (3%)	233 (73%)	241 (76%)	77 (24%)	3.0
Lincoln	130	99 (76%)	21 (16%)	120 (92%)	8 (6%)	0
Linn	206	96 (47%)	95 (46%)	191 (93%)	14 (7%)	1.0
Malheur	24	10 (42%)	7 (29%)	17 (71%)	5 (21%)	5.0
Marion	755	84 (11%)	633 (84%)	717 (95%)	32 (4%)	1.0
Morrow	21	9 (43%)	6 (29%)	15 (72%)	6 (29%)	3.0
Multnomah	2,129	172 (8%)	1,834 (86%)	2,006 (94%)	112 (5%)	1.0

<sup>10</sup> Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby’s birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

<sup>11</sup> The “median” is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the “mean,” and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

<sup>12</sup> Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.



County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median <sup>11</sup> Days to Screen <sup>12</sup>
Polk	157	15 (10%)	131 (83%)	146 (93%)	10 (6%)	1.0
Sherman	6	6 (100%)	--	6 (100%)	--	0
Tillamook	19	3 (16%)	13 (68%)	16 (84%)	3 (16%)	3.5
Umatilla	75	1 (1%)	42 (56%)	43 (57%)	28 (37%)	13.0
Union	91	11 (12%)	77 (85%)	88 (97%)	3 (3%)	1.0
Wallowa	13	10 (77%)	--	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	0
Wasco	68	56 (83%)	8 (12%)	64 (95%)	4 (6%)	0
Washington	653	279 (43%)	140 (21%)	419 (64%)	231 (35%)	8.0
Yamhill	103	8 (8%)	80 (78%)	88 (86%)	15 (15%)	2.0
<b>State</b>	<b>7,193</b>	<b>1,786 (25%)</b>	<b>4,485 (62%)</b>	<b>6,271 (87%)</b>	<b>877 (12%)</b>	<b>1.0</b>

<sup>10</sup> Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby's birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

<sup>11</sup> The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

<sup>12</sup> Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.

**Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families**

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information <sup>13</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 6 or more RFs
Benton	196	98 (50%)	50 (26%)	27 (14%)	11 (6%)	6 (3%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)
Clackamas	604	181 (30%)	118 (20%)	123 (20%)	89 (15%)	59 (10%)	25 (4%)	9 (2%)
Clatsop	54	1 (2%)	4 (7%)	19 (35%)	18 (33%)	5 (9%)	4 (7%)	3 (6%)
Columbia	49	9 (18%)	9 (18%)	10 (20%)	15 (31%)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Coos	23	0 (0%)	4 (17%)	6 (26%)	7 (30%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
Crook	87	22 (25%)	25 (29%)	21 (24%)	13 (15%)	3 (3%)	3 (3%)	0 (0%)
Curry	47	8 (17%)	13 (28%)	8 (17%)	7 (15%)	8 (17%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Deschutes	306	136 (44%)	58 (19%)	54 (18%)	23 (8%)	19 (6%)	12 (4%)	4 (1%)
Douglas	277	85 (31%)	75 (27%)	55 (20%)	33 (12%)	18 (7%)	10 (4%)	1 (<1%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	14	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	4 (29%)	4 (29%)	3 (21%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	50	13 (26%)	10 (20%)	11 (22%)	7 (14%)	4 (8%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	322	35 (11%)	46 (14%)	88 (27%)	74 (23%)	55 (17%)	19 (6%)	5 (2%)
Jefferson	48	6 (13%)	7 (15%)	13 (27%)	14 (29%)	6 (13%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Josephine	142	34 (24%)	30 (21%)	31 (22%)	31 (22%)	11 (8%)	4 (3%)	1 (1%)
Klamath	177	45 (25%)	38 (22%)	38 (22%)	26 (15%)	18 (10%)	9 (5%)	3 (2%)
Lake	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)
Lane	317	82 (26%)	46 (15%)	75 (24%)	53 (17%)	26 (8%)	23 (7%)	12 (4%)
Lincoln	127	13 (10%)	30 (24%)	34 (27%)	25 (20%)	13 (10%)	11 (9%)	1 (1%)
Linn	204	50 (25%)	48 (24%)	48 (24%)	28 (14%)	19 (9%)	9 (4%)	2 (1%)
Malheur	21	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	6 (29%)	3 (14%)	7 (33%)	2 (10%)	1 (5%)
Marion	748	155 (21%)	152 (20%)	170 (23%)	109 (15%)	104 (14%)	41 (6%)	17 (2%)
Morrow	21	2 (10%)	3 (14%)	5 (24%)	4 (19%)	6 (29%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)
Multnomah	2,116	740 (35%)	369 (17%)	441 (21%)	293 (14%)	165 (8%)	77 (4%)	31 (2%)
Polk	156	55 (35%)	45 (29%)	31 (20%)	14 (9%)	10 (6%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	5	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>13</sup> Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information <sup>13</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 6 or more RFs
Tillamook	20	4 (20%)	3 (15%)	3 (15%)	3 (15%)	4 (20%)	3 (15%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	70	16 (23%)	21 (30%)	10 (14%)	7 (10%)	11 (16%)	2 (3%)	3 (4%)
Union	91	34 (37%)	29 (32%)	15 (17%)	6 (7%)	4 (4%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)
Wallowa	13	3 (23%)	4 (31%)	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	2 (15%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	68	20 (30%)	13 (19%)	21 (31%)	8 (12%)	3 (4%)	2 (3%)	1 (2%)
Washington	648	157 (24%)	85 (13%)	131 (20%)	135 (21%)	82 (13%)	40 (6%)	18 (3%)
Yamhill	103	20 (19%)	32 (31%)	17 (17%)	21 (20%)	8 (8%)	4 (4%)	1 (1%)
<b>State</b>	<b>7,131</b>	<b>2,026 (28%)</b>	<b>1,372 (19%)</b>	<b>1,519 (21%)</b>	<b>1,085 (15%)</b>	<b>687 (10%)</b>	<b>320 (5%)</b>	<b>122 (2%)</b>

<sup>13</sup> Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

**Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity<sup>14</sup>**

County	Number of Screened Families <sup>15</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial <sup>16</sup>	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	196	0 (0%)	19 (10%)	9 (5%)	0 (0%)	154 (79%)	10 (5%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)
Clackamas	612	6 (1%)	81 (13%)	21 (4%)	3 (1%)	443 (73%)	35 (6%)	11 (2%)	4 (1%)
Clatsop	53	0 (0%)	7 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	47 (87%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	50	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	44 (90%)	5 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Coos	22	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	22 (96%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Crook	88	0 (0%)	20 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	64 (74%)	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	46	0 (0%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	39 (83%)	4 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	306	1 (<1%)	21 (7%)	2 (1%)	1 (<1%)	262 (86%)	3 (1%)	2 (1%)	14 (5%)
Douglas	278	2 (1%)	13 (5%)	6 (2%)	5 (2%)	232 (84%)	12 (4%)	2 (1%)	5 (2%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	20	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	12 (86%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	50	1 (2%)	21 (42%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	24 (48%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	328	2 (1%)	82 (26%)	4 (1%)	1 (<1%)	210 (65%)	17 (5%)	3 (1%)	3 (1%)
Jefferson	47	0 (0%)	26 (54%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	18 (38%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	143	1 (1%)	7 (5%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	121 (85%)	10 (7%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	178	2 (1%)	11 (6%)	2 (1%)	12 (7%)	143 (81%)	4 (2%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)
Lake	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lane	318	5 (2%)	34 (11%)	7 (2%)	3 (1%)	232 (73%)	31 (10%)	2 (1%)	3 (1%)
Lincoln	130	1 (1%)	28 (22%)	0 (0%)	4 (3%)	84 (66%)	9 (7%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Linn	206	1 (1%)	29 (14%)	3 (2%)	1 (1%)	158 (78%)	10 (5%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Malheur	24	0 (0%)	10 (48%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (52%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	755	6 (1%)	274 (37%)	18 (2%)	9 (1%)	396 (53%)	36 (5%)	6 (1%)	3 (<1%)
Morrow	21	0 (0%)	17 (81%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	2,129	136 (6%)	347 (16%)	162 (8%)	14 (1%)	1,351 (64%)	80 (4%)	18 (1%)	8 (<1%)
Polk	157	2 (1%)	21 (14%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)	118 (76%)	10 (6%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>14</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver’s race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>15</sup> Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

<sup>16</sup> Families categorized as “Multiracial” identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

County	Number of Screened Families <sup>15</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial <sup>16</sup>	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Tillamook	19	0 (0%)	4 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	75	1 (1%)	24 (34%)	1 (1%)	4 (6%)	35 (50%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	3 (4%)
Union	91	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	87 (96%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	13	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	68	0 (0%)	12 (18%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	53 (78%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Washington	653	5 (1%)	311 (48%)	40 (6%)	3 (1%)	259 (40%)	17 (3%)	13 (2%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	103	1 (1%)	18 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	79 (77%)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
<b>State</b>	<b>7,193</b>	<b>173 (2%)</b>	<b>1,443 (20%)</b>	<b>281 (4%)</b>	<b>69 (1%)</b>	<b>4,743 (67%)</b>	<b>307 (4%)</b>	<b>68 (1%)</b>	<b>47 (1%)</b>

<sup>14</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>15</sup> Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

<sup>16</sup> Families categorized as "Multiracial" identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

**Table 6: Demographics<sup>17</sup> of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level<sup>18</sup>**

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Benton	166 (85%)	20 (10%)	10 (5%)	3 (2%)	51 (26%)	17 (9%)
Clackamas	502 (83%)	75 (12%)	27 (5%)	49 (8%)	268 (47%)	126 (21%)
Clatsop	44 (83%)	8 (15%)	1 (2%)	5 (9%)	42 (79%)	23 (43%)
Columbia	48 (98%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	7 (15%)	27 (56%)	10 (20%)
Coos	23 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (14%)	14 (67%)	5 (22%)
Crook	70 (81%)	16 (18%)	1 (1%)	3 (4%)	37 (46%)	22 (26%)
Curry	45 (96%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	7 (15%)	27 (60%)	12 (26%)
Deschutes	267 (95%)	14 (5%)	1 (<1%)	19 (7%)	120 (42%)	32 (12%)
Douglas	264 (95%)	6 (2%)	7 (3%)	28 (10%)	141 (53%)	59 (22%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (50%)
Grant	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Harney	14 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	11 (79%)	4 (29%)
Hood River	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	0 (0%)	7 (14%)	19 (43%)	15 (30%)
Jackson	244 (76%)	73 (23%)	4 (1%)	44 (14%)	231 (73%)	125 (39%)
Jefferson	23 (48%)	25 (52%)	0 (0%)	6 (13%)	27 (59%)	23 (49%)
Josephine	135 (95%)	6 (4%)	1 (1%)	13 (9%)	77 (56%)	43 (31%)
Klamath	167 (94%)	9 (5%)	1 (1%)	21 (12%)	91 (52%)	51 (29%)
Lake	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	2 (67%)	1 (25%)
Lane	291 (92%)	24 (8%)	2 (1%)	36 (12%)	179 (57%)	88 (28%)
Lincoln	98 (77%)	28 (22%)	1 (1%)	20 (16%)	87 (73%)	44 (35%)
Linn	177 (88%)	25 (12%)	0 (0%)	17 (8%)	106 (54%)	49 (24%)
Malheur	14 (67%)	7 (33%)	0 (0%)	7 (33%)	18 (86%)	12 (57%)
Marion	480 (64%)	247 (33%)	19 (3%)	103 (14%)	388 (53%)	248 (34%)
Morrow	8 (38%)	13 (62%)	0 (0%)	4 (19%)	16 (80%)	9 (43%)
Multnomah	1,607 (76%)	316 (15%)	188 (9%)	150 (7%)	1,010 (48%)	449 (21%)
Polk	140 (90%)	15 (10%)	1 (1%)	6 (4%)	65 (42%)	21 (14%)
Sherman	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	16 (80%)	4 (20%)	0 (0%)	3 (16%)	13 (65%)	6 (30%)

<sup>17</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>18</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Umatilla	52 (75%)	17 (25%)	0 (0%)	12 (18%)	36 (54%)	23 (33%)
Union	88 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	6 (7%)	45 (50%)	11 (12%)
Wallowa	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	6 (46%)	2 (15%)
Wasco	58 (85%)	10 (15%)	0 (0%)	6 (9%)	39 (57%)	17 (25%)
Washington	332 (52%)	274 (43%)	37 (6%)	67 (10%)	344 (53%)	234 (36%)
Yamhill	83 (81%)	20 (19%)	0 (0%)	10 (10%)	61 (62%)	30 (29%)
<b>State</b>	<b>5,510 (78%)</b>	<b>1,277 (18%)</b>	<b>301 (4%)</b>	<b>666 (9%)</b>	<b>3,601 (52%)</b>	<b>1,813 (26%)</b>

<sup>17</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>18</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

**Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening<sup>19</sup>**

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Care Visits
Benton	5 (3%)	6 (3%)	23 (12%)	1 (1%)
Clackamas	39 (6%)	52 (9%)	111 (19%)	7 (1%)
Clatsop	6 (11%)	4 (8%)	13 (24%)	1 (2%)
Columbia	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%)
Coos	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	4 (17%)	2 (9%)
Crook	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	16 (19%)	4 (5%)
Curry	2 (4%)	3 (7%)	9 (19%)	2 (4%)
Deschutes	9 (3%)	2 (1%)	24 (9%)	9 (3%)
Douglas	7 (3%)	12 (4%)	40 (14%)	3 (1%)
Gilliam	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (29%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	5 (10%)	3 (6%)	5 (10%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	97 (30%)	130 (41%)	73 (23%)	5 (2%)
Jefferson	11 (23%)	3 (6%)	11 (23%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	1 (1%)	4 (3%)	32 (23%)	1 (1%)
Klamath	0 (0%)	10 (6%)	27 (15%)	2 (1%)
Lake	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	2 (50%)
Lane	10 (3%)	4 (1%)	63 (20%)	8 (3%)
Lincoln	18 (15%)	19 (17%)	23 (20%)	4 (3%)
Linn	17 (8%)	26 (13%)	38 (19%)	7 (4%)
Malheur	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	11 (52%)	0 (0%)
Marion	46 (6%)	56 (8%)	211 (29%)	19 (3%)
Morrow	5 (24%)	2 (10%)	5 (24%)	1 (5%)
Multnomah	29 (1%)	92 (4%)	433 (21%)	45 (2%)
Polk	1 (1%)	2 (1%)	16 (10%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)
Tillamook	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	5 (26%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	4 (6%)	4 (6%)	17 (25%)	5 (7%)

<sup>19</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.



County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Care Visits
Union	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	13 (14%)	3 (3%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	2 (15%)	4 (31%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	2 (3%)
Washington	92 (14%)	61 (10%)	186 (29%)	29 (5%)
Yamhill	5 (5%)	5 (5%)	18 (18%)	1 (1%)
<b>State</b>	<b>418 (6%)</b>	<b>509 (7%)</b>	<b>1,449 (21%)</b>	<b>166 (2%)</b>

<sup>19</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

**Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships<sup>20</sup>**

County	Number (%) of Parents Not Employed (either parent)	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Benton	9 (5%)	11 (6%)	19 (11%)	2 (1%)	11 (6%)
Clackamas	51 (9%)	100 (17%)	117 (21%)	20 (3%)	88 (15%)
Clatsop	9 (17%)	13 (24%)	23 (45%)	3 (6%)	15 (28%)
Columbia	4 (8%)	6 (12%)	9 (20%)	1 (2%)	11 (22%)
Coos	7 (30%)	6 (26%)	8 (38%)	0 (0%)	10 (43%)
Crook	4 (5%)	3 (3%)	15 (17%)	3 (3%)	5 (6%)
Curry	4 (9%)	6 (13%)	5 (11%)	0 (0%)	8 (17%)
Deschutes	8 (3%)	22 (8%)	88 (33%)	42 (15%)	59 (22%)
Douglas	25 (9%)	23 (8%)	54 (20%)	8 (3%)	20 (7%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Harney	5 (36%)	7 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (29%)
Hood River	7 (14%)	3 (6%)	17 (37%)	1 (2%)	8 (16%)
Jackson	42 (13%)	71 (22%)	68 (22%)	23 (7%)	63 (20%)
Jefferson	3 (6%)	9 (19%)	13 (28%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
Josephine	11 (8%)	17 (12%)	21 (15%)	2 (1%)	7 (5%)
Klamath	23 (13%)	23 (13%)	36 (21%)	5 (3%)	24 (14%)
Lake	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)
Lane	26 (8%)	33 (10%)	58 (18%)	8 (3%)	77 (25%)
Lincoln	15 (12%)	24 (19%)	23 (19%)	1 (1%)	15 (12%)
Linn	17 (9%)	31 (16%)	44 (22%)	1 (1%)	17 (9%)
Malheur	3 (15%)	6 (29%)	6 (30%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)
Marion	77 (11%)	101 (14%)	150 (21%)	15 (2%)	88 (12%)
Morrow	5 (24%)	4 (19%)	5 (24%)	0 (0%)	3 (14%)
Multnomah	142 (7%)	282 (13%)	322 (15%)	73 (3%)	151 (7%)
Polk	10 (6%)	21 (14%)	26 (17%)	4 (3%)	6 (4%)
Sherman	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>20</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of Parents Not Employed (either parent)	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Tillamook	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	7 (37%)
Umatilla	6 (9%)	5 (7%)	15 (23%)	2 (3%)	8 (12%)
Union	7 (8%)	7 (8%)	14 (16%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)
Wallowa	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	3 (23%)
Wasco	5 (7%)	11 (16%)	19 (28%)	3 (4%)	5 (7%)
Washington	44 (7%)	139 (22%)	182 (28%)	14 (2%)	95 (15%)
Yamhill	3 (3%)	8 (8%)	18 (24%)	5 (5%)	14 (15%)
<b>State</b>	<b>577 (8%)</b>	<b>998 (14%)</b>	<b>1,381 (20%)</b>	<b>242 (3%)</b>	<b>834 (12%)</b>

<sup>20</sup> Percentages based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

**Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service<sup>21</sup>**

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) <sup>22</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined
Benton	28	24 (86%)	18 (75%)	6 (25%)
Clackamas	90	72 (80%)	60 (83%)	12 (17%)
Clatsop	26	25 (96%)	24 (96%)	1 (4%)
Columbia	21	15 (71%)	14 (93%)	1 (7%)
Coos	10	9 (90%)	8 (89%)	1 (11%)
Crook	12	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	0 (0%)
Curry	10	9 (90%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	66	62 (94%)	57 (92%)	5 (8%)
Douglas	68	66 (97%)	60 (91%)	6 (9%)
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Harney	11	10 (91%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)
Hood River	25	25 (100%)	22 (88%)	3 (12%)
Jackson	93	79 (85%)	71 (90%)	8 (10%)
Jefferson	28	27 (96%)	24 (89%)	3 (11%)
Josephine	30	30 (100%)	27 (90%)	3 (10%)
Klamath	38	33 (87%)	26 (79%)	7 (21%)
Lake	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Lane	167	90 (54%)	74 (82%)	16 (18%)
Lincoln	26	25 (96%)	22 (88%)	3 (12%)
Linn	41	31 (76%)	16 (52%)	15 (48%)
Malheur	13	13 (100%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)
Marion	203	148 (73%)	142 (96%)	6 (4%)
Morrow	11	9 (82%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	235	194 (83%)	180 (93%)	14 (7%)
Polk	37	24 (65%)	20 (83%)	4 (17%)

<sup>21</sup> Families included in this table have been screened as eligible for Healthy Start Intensive Services and offered Intensive Services by the program (that is, there was space available) at the time of screening.

<sup>22</sup> Families (during the 05-06 fiscal year) were eligible for Intensive Service if they have both a high-risk screen and a positive Kempe.

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) <sup>22</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined
Sherman	2	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Tillamook	10	8 (80%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	17	17 (100%)	14 (82%)	3 (18%)
Union	10	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	4	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	29	11 (38%)	9 (82%)	2 (18%)
Washington	209	202 (97%)	201 (100%)	1 (<1%)
Yamhill	30	8 (27%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>1,299 (81%)</b>	<b>1,175 (90%)</b>	<b>124 (10%)</b>

<sup>21</sup> Families included in this table have been screened as eligible for Healthy Start Intensive Services and offered Intensive Services by the program (that is, there was space available) at the time of screening.

<sup>22</sup> Families (during the 05-06 fiscal year) were eligible for Intensive Service if they have both a high-risk screen and a positive Kempe.

**Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service**

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served 2005-06 (Duplicated <sup>23</sup> )	Total Healthy Start Families at the End of FY 05-06 (Unduplicated <sup>24</sup> )	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families <sup>25</sup>	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2005-06) <sup>26</sup>	Number of Families Served with Creative Outreach Only for 2005-06 <sup>27</sup>
Benton	243	241	178 (74%)	63 (26%)	43 (68%)	20 (32%)	1
Clackamas	792	779	549 (70%)	230 (30%)	159 (69%)	71 (31%)	13
Clatsop	91	91	49 (54%)	42 (46%)	20 (48%)	22 (52%)	0
Columbia	69	68	36 (53%)	32 (47%)	16 (50%)	16 (50%)	0
Coos	47	47	20 (43%)	27 (57%)	17 (63%)	10 (37%)	0
Crook	121	118	77 (65%)	41 (35%)	31 (76%)	10 (24%)	1
Curry	63	63	37 (59%)	26 (41%)	15 (58%)	11 (42%)	1
Deschutes	441	439	296 (67%)	143 (33%)	89 (62%)	54 (38%)	4
Douglas	335	334	222 (66%)	112 (34%)	55 (49%)	57 (51%)	1
Gilliam	4	4	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0
Grant	10	8	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	0
Harney	33	33	9 (27%)	24 (73%)	12 (50%)	12 (50%)	0
Hood River	82	81	35 (43%)	46 (57%)	28 (61%)	18 (39%)	1
Jackson	539	539	359 (67%)	180 (33%)	94 (52%)	86 (48%)	2
Jefferson	78	77	23 (30%)	54 (70%)	28 (52%)	26 (48%)	4
Josephine	217	215	116 (54%)	99 (46%)	69 (70%)	30 (30%)	0
Klamath	219	218	157 (72%)	61 (28%)	38 (62%)	23 (38%)	1
Lake	5	5	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0
Lane	465	461	251 (54%)	210 (46%)	143 (68%)	67 (32%)	5
Lincoln	218	217	151 (70%)	66 (30%)	42 (64%)	24 (36%)	1
Linn	259	259	206 (80%)	53 (20%)	35 (66%)	18 (34%)	2

<sup>23</sup> Duplicated counts include families served in more than one county (county of birth compared to county of most recent service).

<sup>24</sup> Unduplicated counts based on most recently reported county of service.

<sup>25</sup> Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2005-06.

<sup>26</sup> Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2005-06 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a family intake form dated during 2005-06; (2) a first home visit during 2005-06, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey dated during 2005-06; a Kempe Assessment dated during 2005-06 and indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services".

<sup>27</sup> These families received Creative Outreach services only during 2005-06; because their last home visit occurred in 2004-05, they are not included in the count of total Intensive Service families for 2005-06.

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served 2005-06 (Duplicated <sup>23</sup> )	Total Healthy Start Families at the End of FY 05-06 (Unduplicated <sup>24</sup> )	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families <sup>25</sup>	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2005-06) <sup>26</sup>	Number of Families Served with Creative Outreach Only for 2005-06 <sup>27</sup>
Malheur	47	46	7 (15%)	39 (85%)	22 (56%)	17 (44%)	2
Marion	989	983	639 (65%)	344 (35%)	206 (60%)	138 (40%)	17
Morrow	43	43	20 (47%)	23 (53%)	15 (65%)	8 (35%)	0
Multnomah	2,528	2,508	1,965 (78%)	543 (22%)	343 (63%)	200 (37%)	22
Polk	217	213	138 (65%)	75 (35%)	51 (68%)	24 (32%)	1
Sherman	11	10	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	0
Tillamook	67	67	10 (15%)	57 (85%)	46 (81%)	11 (19%)	0
Umatilla	97	96	59 (61%)	37 (39%)	22 (59%)	15 (41%)	2
Union	105	104	80 (77%)	24 (23%)	13 (54%)	11 (46%)	0
Wallowa	21	21	11 (52%)	10 (48%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	1
Wasco	111	107	51 (48%)	56 (52%)	38 (68%)	18 (32%)	1
Washington	1,044	1,041	495 (48%)	546 (52%)	351 (64%)	195 (36%)	28
Yamhill	148	148	98 (66%)	50 (34%)	41 (82%)	9 (18%)	1
<b>State</b>	<b>9,759</b>	<b>9,684</b>	<b>6,352 (66%)</b>	<b>3,332 (34%)</b>	<b>2,101 (63%)</b>	<b>1,231 (37%)</b>	<b>112</b>

<sup>23</sup> Duplicated counts include families served in more than one county (county of birth compared to county of most recent service).

<sup>24</sup> Unduplicated counts based on most recently reported county of service.

<sup>25</sup> Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2005-06.

<sup>26</sup> Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2005-06 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a family intake form dated during 2005-06; (2) a first home visit during 2005-06, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey dated during 2005-06; a Kempe Assessment dated during 2005-06 and indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services." This number may be higher than the number of families "accepting" IS (Table 9) because of missing acceptance data.

<sup>27</sup> These families received Creative Outreach services only during 2005-06; because their last home visit occurred in 2004-05, they are not included in the count of total Intensive Service families for 2005-06.

**Table 11: Home Visit Completion**

County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit <sup>28</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) <sup>29</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) <sup>30</sup>	Number (%) of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits <sup>31</sup> (HFA Standard)
Benton	16 (89%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (95%)	36 (77%)
Clackamas	55 (92%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	61 (92%)	97 (90%)
Clatsop	23 (96%)	--	--	--	--	20 (95%)	25 (48%)
Columbia	14 (100%)	--	--	--	--	15 (94%)	13 (70%)
Coos	8 (100%)	--	--	--	--	8 (80%)	17 (75%)
Crook	9 (75%)	--	--	--	--	6 (100%)	24 (71%)
Curry	9 (100%)	--	--	--	--	9 (100%)	5 (100%)
Deschutes	47 (82%)	3 (38%)	3 (38%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	49 (96%)	94 (88%)
Douglas	55 (92%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	49 (91%)	63 (62%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--	2 (100%)	3 (57%)
Grant	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	0 (0%)	4 (60%)
Harney	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	10 (100%)	11 (86%)
Hood River	19 (86%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16 (100%)	61 (80%)
Jackson	59 (83%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	61 (85%)	150 (80%)
Jefferson	24 (100%)	--	--	--	--	25 (100%)	85 (70%)
Josephine	22 (81%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	25 (96%)	51 (65%)
Klamath	21 (81%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	23 (100%)	23 (98%)
Lake	1 (50%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	--
Lane	58 (78%)	4 (25%)	4 (25%)	1 (6%)	7 (44%)	62 (93%)	100 (83%)
Lincoln	22 (100%)	--	--	--	--	23 (100%)	48 (79%)
Linn	13 (81%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	15 (83%)	12 (94%)

<sup>28</sup> Some families accepted home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information submitted at the time of these analyses. Further, to be counted here, families must have had a Kempe completed and submitted. Therefore, this is **not** the total number of first home visits completed.

<sup>29</sup> Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

<sup>30</sup> These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form, regardless of whether a Kempe was completed for the family.

<sup>31</sup> Data submitted by program manager on HFA 4-1b Home Visit Tracking Form between Jan. 1, 2006, and June 30, 2006.



County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit <sup>28</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) <sup>29</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) <sup>27</sup>	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) <sup>30</sup>	Number (%) of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits <sup>31</sup> (HFA Standard)
Malheur	11 (100%)	--	--	--	--	15 (88%)	--
Marion	83 (58%)	16 (36%)	5 (11%)	1 (2%)	23 (51%)	84 (73%)	204 (69%)
Morrow	7 (78%)	--	--	--	--	3 (100%)	12 (81%)
Multnomah	136 (76%)	9 (60%)	3 (20%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)	138 (93%)	167 (89%)
Polk	20 (100%)	--	--	--	--	21 (88%)	35 (89%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	8 (42%)
Tillamook	8 (100%)	--	--	--	--	11 (100%)	44 (68%)
Umatilla	9 (64%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	12 (100%)	12 (69%)
Union	10 (100%)	--	--	--	--	11 (100%)	33 (93%)
Wallowa	4 (100%)	--	--	--	--	4 (100%)	7 (98%)
Wasco	8 (89%)	--	--	--	--	13 (87%)	98 (83%)
Washington	150 (75%)	5 (23%)	2 (9%)	2 (9%)	13 (59%)	145 (94%)	210 (70%)
Yamhill	7 (88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	8 (89%)	93 (65%)
<b>State</b>	<b>940 (80%)</b>	<b>49 (36%)</b>	<b>27 (20%)</b>	<b>12 (9%)</b>	<b>47 (35%)</b>	<b>965 (91%)</b>	<b>1,840 (76%)</b>

<sup>28</sup> Some families accepted home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information submitted at the time of these analyses. Further, to be counted here, families must have had a Kempe completed and submitted. Therefore, this is **not** the total number of first home visits completed.

<sup>29</sup> Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

<sup>30</sup> These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form, regardless of whether a Kempe was completed for the family.

<sup>31</sup> Data submitted by program manager on HFA 4-2b Home Visit Tracking Form.

**Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity**

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families <sup>32</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	63	0 (0%)	20 (34%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	32 (54%)	1 (2%)	4 (7%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	230	3 (1%)	62 (29%)	9 (4%)	3 (1%)	123 (57%)	9 (4%)	3 (1%)	2 (1%)
Clatsop	42	0 (0%)	9 (23%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	30 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	32	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	26 (84%)	5 (16%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Coos	27	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	23 (92%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Crook	41	0 (0%)	8 (21%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	28 (72%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	26	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (84%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	143	0 (0%)	21 (15%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	103 (74%)	3 (2%)	1 (1%)	9 (6%)
Douglas	112	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	92 (87%)	8 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Gilliam	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	24	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	15 (88%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	46	1 (2%)	29 (64%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	11 (24%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	180	1 (1%)	42 (29%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	92 (63%)	5 (3%)	2 (1%)	3 (2%)
Jefferson	54	0 (0%)	29 (56%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (37%)	4 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	99	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	79 (87%)	5 (5%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Klamath	61	2 (3%)	7 (12%)	1 (2%)	6 (10%)	37 (64%)	4 (7%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Lake	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lane	210	3 (2%)	40 (20%)	11 (6%)	0 (0%)	122 (62%)	17 (9%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Lincoln	66	0 (0%)	31 (51%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	23 (38%)	6 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Linn	53	0 (0%)	13 (31%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	27 (64%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	39	0 (0%)	14 (41%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	18 (53%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	344	0 (0%)	168 (56%)	7 (2%)	4 (1%)	114 (38%)	7 (2%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
Morrow	23	0 (0%)	18 (86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	543	34 (8%)	177 (40%)	56 (13%)	3 (1%)	149 (33%)	19 (4%)	6 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Polk	75	1 (1%)	28 (41%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	35 (51%)	4 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	57	0 (0%)	16 (31%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	34 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)

<sup>32</sup> Not all clients reported race/ethnicity information.

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families <sup>32</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Umatilla	37	0 (0%)	9 (32%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16 (57%)	3 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Union	24	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20 (95%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	10	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	56	0 (0%)	13 (24%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	35 (64%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Washington	546	3 (1%)	353 (73%)	12 (2%)	2 (<1%)	95 (20%)	8 (2%)	3 (1%)	5 (1%)
Yamhill	50	0 (0%)	16 (34%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	27 (57%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>State</b>	<b>3,332</b>	<b>51 (2%)</b>	<b>1,131 (38%)</b>	<b>109 (4%)</b>	<b>30 (1%)</b>	<b>1,470 (50%)</b>	<b>122 (4%)</b>	<b>26 (1%)</b>	<b>26 (1%)</b>

<sup>32</sup> Not all clients reported race/ethnicity information.

**Table 13: Demographic<sup>33</sup> Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level**

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education
Benton	33 (69%)	13 (27%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	35 (60%)	14 (30%)
Clackamas	118 (70%)	48 (28%)	3 (2%)	24 (14%)	145 (70%)	61 (37%)
Clatsop	28 (80%)	7 (20%)	0 (0%)	7 (21%)	33 (85%)	21 (60%)
Columbia	30 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (20%)	22 (76%)	9 (30%)
Coos	21 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	4 (19%)	15 (71%)	5 (23%)
Crook	28 (80%)	6 (17%)	1 (3%)	4 (12%)	23 (66%)	14 (40%)
Curry	22 (96%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	5 (22%)	19 (76%)	6 (27%)
Deschutes	88 (85%)	15 (15%)	0 (0%)	30 (28%)	106 (83%)	50 (48%)
Douglas	93 (98%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	20 (21%)	69 (72%)	41 (43%)
Gilliam	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)
Grant	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	5 (83%)	3 (60%)
Harney	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (19%)	13 (76%)	4 (25%)
Hood River	15 (48%)	16 (52%)	0 (0%)	9 (30%)	23 (64%)	18 (58%)
Jackson	96 (74%)	33 (25%)	1 (1%)	19 (15%)	112 (76%)	61 (46%)
Jefferson	23 (45%)	28 (55%)	0 (0%)	6 (12%)	30 (61%)	27 (54%)
Josephine	88 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	10 (11%)	77 (85%)	37 (41%)
Klamath	44 (85%)	6 (12%)	2 (4%)	12 (23%)	39 (70%)	26 (51%)
Lake	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Lane	117 (80%)	23 (16%)	6 (4%)	19 (13%)	131 (66%)	50 (34%)
Lincoln	32 (53%)	28 (47%)	0 (0%)	8 (14%)	46 (79%)	36 (60%)
Linn	25 (76%)	8 (24%)	0 (0%)	5 (15%)	23 (58%)	14 (44%)
Malheur	25 (76%)	8 (24%)	0 (0%)	10 (30%)	25 (74%)	13 (39%)
Marion	145 (50%)	139 (48%)	8 (3%)	51 (18%)	210 (70%)	138 (49%)
Morrow	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	0 (0%)	8 (40%)	16 (84%)	12 (60%)
Multnomah	202 (51%)	144 (36%)	50 (13%)	50 (13%)	321 (71%)	183 (46%)
Polk	37 (63%)	21 (36%)	1 (2%)	10 (17%)	45 (65%)	18 (31%)
Sherman	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)

<sup>33</sup> Demographic information is taken from the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education
Tillamook	25 (74%)	9 (26%)	0 (0%)	7 (21%)	27 (64%)	14 (41%)
Umatilla	23 (88%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	6 (24%)	18 (67%)	14 (56%)
Union	18 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (17%)	15 (83%)	5 (28%)
Wallowa	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	7 (78%)	3 (33%)
Wasco	32 (78%)	9 (22%)	0 (0%)	5 (12%)	36 (73%)	17 (41%)
Washington	131 (31%)	280 (67%)	7 (2%)	71 (17%)	340 (72%)	218 (53%)
Yamhill	27 (82%)	6 (18%)	0 (0%)	5 (15%)	34 (83%)	20 (63%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,613 (63%)</b>	<b>866 (34%)</b>	<b>81 (3%)</b>	<b>423 (17%)</b>	<b>2,064 (72%)</b>	<b>1,155 (45%)</b>

<sup>33</sup> Demographic information is taken from the NBQ.

**Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening<sup>34</sup> of Intensive Service Families**

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits
Benton	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	12 (27%)	1 (2%)
Clackamas	22 (13%)	18 (11%)	65 (38%)	3 (2%)
Clatsop	6 (17%)	2 (6%)	10 (29%)	1 (3%)
Columbia	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	6 (21%)	2 (7%)
Coos	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (32%)	0 (0%)
Crook	2 (6%)	2 (6%)	5 (14%)	0 (0%)
Curry	3 (13%)	3 (13%)	7 (30%)	2 (9%)
Deschutes	9 (8%)	2 (2%)	21 (20%)	2 (2%)
Douglas	3 (3%)	4 (4%)	20 (21%)	4 (4%)
Gilliam	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)
Harney	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (31%)	1 (7%)
Hood River	4 (13%)	7 (24%)	6 (19%)	1 (3%)
Jackson	32 (24%)	45 (36%)	42 (32%)	4 (3%)
Jefferson	8 (16%)	1 (2%)	14 (27%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	4 (4%)	2 (2%)	39 (43%)	2 (2%)
Klamath	1 (2%)	5 (10%)	8 (15%)	1 (2%)
Lake	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)
Lane	7 (5%)	2 (1%)	41 (28%)	5 (4%)
Lincoln	12 (21%)	10 (19%)	14 (25%)	2 (4%)
Linn	3 (9%)	4 (13%)	9 (29%)	1 (3%)
Malheur	3 (9%)	1 (3%)	12 (36%)	1 (3%)
Marion	25 (9%)	18 (7%)	100 (35%)	12 (4%)
Morrow	7 (35%)	2 (10%)	11 (55%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	17 (4%)	31 (8%)	137 (35%)	12 (3%)
Polk	4 (7%)	1 (2%)	22 (35%)	1 (2%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Tillamook	3 (9%)	1 (3%)	8 (24%)	1 (3%)
Umatilla	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (23%)	2 (8%)

<sup>34</sup> Health information is described on the NBQ.

<b>County</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance</b>	<b>Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance</b>	<b>Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)</b>	<b>Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits</b>
<b>Union</b>	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	2 (12%)	1 (6%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)
<b>Wasco</b>	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	7 (17%)	1 (2%)
<b>Washington</b>	105 (25%)	70 (19%)	166 (39%)	21 (5%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	3 (9%)	1 (3%)	9 (27%)	0 (0%)
<b>State</b>	<b>287 (11%)</b>	<b>236 (10%)</b>	<b>821 (32%)</b>	<b>87 (3%)</b>

<sup>34</sup> Health information is described on the NBQ.

**Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships**

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Benton	6 (14%)	5 (11%)	3 (21%)	3 (6%)	7 (15%)
Clackamas	20 (12%)	56 (33%)	28 (42%)	5 (3%)	44 (27%)
Clatsop	11 (31%)	9 (26%)	15 (68%)	1 (3%)	14 (40%)
Columbia	4 (13%)	6 (20%)	2 (15%)	1 (3%)	12 (40%)
Coos	11 (50%)	2 (10%)	3 (30%)	1 (5%)	7 (35%)
Crook	3 (9%)	4 (11%)	5 (45%)	2 (6%)	7 (21%)
Curry	4 (17%)	9 (39%)	3 (25%)	0 (0%)	8 (35%)
Deschutes	8 (8%)	27 (26%)	32 (60%)	29 (28%)	51 (52%)
Douglas	17 (18%)	27 (28%)	24 (45%)	6 (6%)	19 (20%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)
Harney	6 (38%)	6 (38%)	1 (13%)	1 (6%)	6 (38%)
Hood River	11 (35%)	5 (17%)	8 (42%)	1 (3%)	11 (35%)
Jackson	18 (14%)	30 (23%)	33 (38%)	7 (5%)	28 (21%)
Jefferson	4 (8%)	12 (24%)	12 (43%)	3 (6%)	11 (22%)
Josephine	10 (11%)	24 (26%)	11 (35%)	4 (4%)	13 (15%)
Klamath	12 (24%)	14 (27%)	18 (67%)	4 (8%)	18 (35%)
Lake	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)
Lane	14 (10%)	37 (25%)	20 (28%)	7 (5%)	60 (41%)
Lincoln	12 (20%)	16 (27%)	7 (28%)	0 (0%)	15 (26%)
Linn	7 (21%)	11 (34%)	7 (37%)	1 (3%)	9 (29%)
Malheur	3 (9%)	6 (18%)	9 (43%)	0 (0%)	4 (12%)
Marion	46 (16%)	64 (23%)	47 (34%)	10 (4%)	56 (20%)
Morrow	5 (25%)	5 (25%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	4 (20%)
Multnomah	56 (14%)	112 (28%)	51 (27%)	21 (5%)	73 (19%)
Polk	15 (26%)	19 (33%)	12 (44%)	4 (7%)	15 (26%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	3 (9%)	3 (9%)	3 (21%)	0 (0%)	14 (42%)



<b>County</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems</b>
<b>Umatilla</b>	5 (19%)	1 (4%)	3 (30%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
<b>Union</b>	2 (11%)	2 (11%)	1 (9%)	1 (6%)	2 (11%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	4 (44%)	1 (11%)	2 (29%)	1 (11%)	4 (44%)
<b>Wasco</b>	6 (15%)	10 (24%)	11 (55%)	3 (7%)	11 (28%)
<b>Washington</b>	37 (9%)	120 (29%)	106 (42%)	13 (3%)	98 (24%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	3 (9%)	12 (38%)	5 (71%)	3 (9%)	10 (30%)
<b>State</b>	<b>364 (14%)</b>	<b>656 (26%)</b>	<b>485 (38%)</b>	<b>135 (5%)</b>	<b>637 (25%)</b>

**Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors<sup>35</sup> for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service**

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Benton	13 (30%)	31 (70%)	16 (44%)	14 (39%)	0 (0%)	4 (29%)	22 (44%)	27 (54%)	21 (42%)	29 (58%)
Clackamas	29 (17%)	135 (79%)	59 (39%)	83 (54%)	8 (15%)	7 (13%)	83 (44%)	102 (54%)	52 (27%)	136 (71%)
Clatsop	9 (28%)	23 (72%)	6 (24%)	19 (76%)	2 (29%)	3 (43%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	20 (59%)	14 (41%)
Columbia	6 (26%)	17 (74%)	9 (38%)	15 (63%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	13 (65%)	6 (30%)	12 (44%)	15 (56%)
Coos	3 (21%)	11 (79%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	12 (57%)	8 (38%)	9 (47%)	10 (53%)
Crook	2 (8%)	24 (92%)	9 (39%)	13 (57%)	2 (25%)	3 (38%)	19 (70%)	8 (30%)	4 (15%)	22 (81%)
Curry	3 (15%)	17 (85%)	6 (32%)	13 (68%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	6 (29%)	15 (71%)	4 (18%)	18 (82%)
Deschutes	16 (18%)	65 (73%)	27 (22%)	92 (75%)	2 (5%)	13 (35%)	71 (63%)	37 (33%)	48 (38%)	80 (63%)
Douglas	19 (21%)	70 (79%)	26 (32%)	56 (68%)	11 (50%)	5 (23%)	51 (55%)	42 (45%)	38 (38%)	63 (62%)
Gilliam	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)
Grant	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)
Harney	0 (0%)	14 (100%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	0 (0%)	16 (100%)
Hood River	17 (57%)	11 (37%)	8 (24%)	16 (48%)	0 (0%)	4 (24%)	25 (63%)	14 (35%)	18 (43%)	19 (45%)
Jackson	25 (24%)	80 (75%)	32 (41%)	44 (56%)	6 (38%)	4 (25%)	67 (60%)	44 (39%)	55 (45%)	66 (54%)
Jefferson	1 (2%)	41 (98%)	8 (42%)	11 (58%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	19 (42%)	26 (58%)	13 (28%)	34 (72%)
Josephine	16 (22%)	58 (78%)	36 (57%)	27 (43%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	60 (74%)	21 (26%)	34 (41%)	49 (59%)
Klamath	2 (4%)	43 (91%)	10 (23%)	32 (74%)	3 (15%)	11 (55%)	21 (46%)	25 (54%)	15 (36%)	26 (62%)
Lake	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
Lane	44 (33%)	68 (51%)	30 (27%)	62 (55%)	5 (8%)	10 (17%)	100 (52%)	83 (43%)	57 (29%)	135 (69%)
Lincoln	4 (8%)	49 (92%)	15 (44%)	19 (56%)	1 (17%)	4 (67%)	34 (64%)	19 (36%)	25 (44%)	32 (56%)
Linn	12 (34%)	21 (60%)	18 (55%)	12 (36%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	23 (66%)	10 (29%)	19 (46%)	19 (46%)
Malheur	2 (12%)	15 (88%)	15 (94%)	1 (6%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	22 (81%)	5 (19%)	12 (52%)	11 (48%)
Marion	30 (13%)	204 (86%)	87 (58%)	60 (40%)	6 (29%)	9 (43%)	142 (51%)	134 (49%)	52 (18%)	231 (81%)
Morrow	6 (33%)	12 (67%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	15 (75%)	5 (25%)	12 (80%)	3 (20%)
Multnomah	115 (31%)	236 (64%)	103 (42%)	109 (45%)	11 (15%)	15 (20%)	219 (57%)	152 (39%)	167 (39%)	247 (58%)
Polk	6 (11%)	49 (89%)	24 (53%)	20 (44%)	0 (0%)	5 (38%)	24 (43%)	31 (55%)	16 (25%)	47 (75%)

<sup>35</sup> Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
<b>Sherman</b>	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	12 (29%)	28 (67%)	8 (29%)	13 (46%)	3 (14%)	5 (23%)	32 (68%)	13 (28%)	23 (49%)	23 (49%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	7 (47%)	7 (47%)	7 (64%)	3 (27%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	14 (61%)	9 (39%)	14 (64%)	8 (36%)
<b>Union</b>	3 (27%)	8 (73%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	2 (13%)	14 (88%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)
<b>Wasco</b>	9 (21%)	32 (76%)	9 (25%)	24 (67%)	3 (21%)	1 (7%)	23 (50%)	23 (50%)	25 (50%)	24 (48%)
<b>Washington</b>	66 (17%)	323 (82%)	107 (50%)	86 (40%)	6 (8%)	13 (18%)	195 (47%)	210 (51%)	88 (20%)	352 (79%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	3 (7%)	33 (80%)	14 (37%)	16 (42%)	0 (0%)	5 (28%)	22 (54%)	18 (44%)	11 (25%)	32 (73%)
<b>State</b>	<b>488 (21%)</b>	<b>1,736 (75%)</b>	<b>709 (41%)</b>	<b>893 (51%)</b>	<b>88 (16%)</b>	<b>135 (25%)</b>	<b>1,377 (54%)</b>	<b>1,131 (44%)</b>	<b>876 (32%)</b>	<b>1,788 (66%)</b>

<sup>35</sup> Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

**Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics**

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
<b>Benton</b>	1 (8%)	4 (33%)	14 (54%)	4 (15%)	8 (44%)	3 (17%)	16 (64%)	1 (4%)	31 (79%)	5 (13%)
<b>Clackamas</b>	9 (15%)	20 (34%)	78 (62%)	29 (23%)	13 (23%)	12 (21%)	50 (63%)	6 (8%)	124 (72%)	30 (17%)
<b>Clatsop</b>	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	13 (87%)	2 (13%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	25 (89%)	3 (11%)
<b>Columbia</b>	2 (29%)	4 (57%)	14 (82%)	3 (18%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (86%)	0 (0%)	15 (65%)	7 (30%)
<b>Coos</b>	3 (30%)	4 (40%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	13 (65%)	6 (30%)
<b>Crook</b>	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	19 (90%)	1 (5%)	12 (71%)	4 (24%)	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	19 (79%)	4 (17%)
<b>Curry</b>	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	10 (71%)	3 (21%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	13 (87%)	2 (13%)
<b>Deschutes</b>	6 (16%)	10 (27%)	15 (42%)	3 (8%)	18 (45%)	1 (3%)	12 (30%)	3 (8%)	61 (50%)	60 (49%)
<b>Douglas</b>	8 (27%)	18 (60%)	50 (86%)	6 (10%)	14 (78%)	2 (11%)	17 (68%)	3 (12%)	62 (82%)	11 (14%)
<b>Gilliam</b>	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Grant</b>	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	--	--	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
<b>Harney</b>	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Hood River</b>	3 (14%)	6 (29%)	13 (62%)	0 (0%)	7 (47%)	0 (0%)	4 (24%)	1 (6%)	23 (66%)	4 (11%)
<b>Jackson</b>	10 (50%)	7 (35%)	74 (90%)	4 (5%)	17 (71%)	3 (13%)	30 (79%)	3 (8%)	95 (89%)	10 (9%)
<b>Jefferson</b>	1 (8%)	10 (83%)	41 (93%)	3 (7%)	8 (62%)	4 (31%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	31 (97%)	0 (0%)
<b>Josephine</b>	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	53 (93%)	4 (7%)	10 (71%)	4 (29%)	23 (100%)	0 (0%)	57 (80%)	14 (20%)
<b>Klamath</b>	9 (41%)	11 (50%)	19 (66%)	5 (17%)	5 (38%)	2 (15%)	11 (69%)	1 (6%)	33 (89%)	4 (11%)
<b>Lake</b>	--	--	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Lane</b>	9 (16%)	14 (25%)	98 (66%)	36 (24%)	13 (24%)	4 (7%)	94 (73%)	12 (9%)	116 (73%)	34 (21%)
<b>Lincoln</b>	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	24 (89%)	2 (7%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)	8 (80%)	1 (10%)	43 (96%)	2 (4%)
<b>Linn</b>	3 (20%)	4 (27%)	31 (91%)	3 (9%)	7 (54%)	0 (0%)	7 (50%)	1 (7%)	21 (72%)	4 (14%)
<b>Malheur</b>	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)	26 (93%)	2 (7%)
<b>Marion</b>	12 (28%)	23 (53%)	231 (89%)	27 (10%)	32 (70%)	7 (15%)	89 (81%)	16 (15%)	175 (80%)	41 (19%)
<b>Morrow</b>	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	16 (94%)	1 (6%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	14 (100%)	0 (0%)	13 (81%)	3 (19%)
<b>Multnomah</b>	29 (28%)	27 (26%)	175 (72%)	30 (12%)	53 (50%)	8 (8%)	97 (64%)	6 (4%)	259 (74%)	63 (18%)
<b>Polk</b>	3 (20%)	5 (33%)	47 (87%)	3 (6%)	8 (57%)	0 (0%)	16 (70%)	1 (4%)	43 (83%)	6 (12%)
<b>Sherman</b>	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
<b>Tillamook</b>	2 (11%)	4 (22%)	13 (68%)	0 (0%)	4 (22%)	0 (0%)	15 (52%)	0 (0%)	35 (81%)	1 (2%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	19 (86%)	3 (14%)
<b>Union</b>	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	10 (71%)	2 (14%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	9 (82%)	0 (0%)	11 (73%)	3 (20%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Wasco</b>	2 (10%)	10 (50%)	21 (64%)	10 (30%)	15 (63%)	3 (13%)	11 (46%)	2 (8%)	27 (61%)	13 (30%)
<b>Washington</b>	38 (25%)	66 (44%)	315 (83%)	35 (9%)	108 (63%)	20 (12%)	53 (45%)	11 (9%)	270 (72%)	86 (23%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	2 (10%)	5 (25%)	11 (48%)	4 (17%)	4 (24%)	2 (12%)	13 (50%)	0 (0%)	32 (80%)	6 (15%)
<b>State</b>	<b>176 (24%)</b>	<b>296 (40%)</b>	<b>1,438 (78%)</b>	<b>240 (13%)</b>	<b>381 (52%)</b>	<b>86 (12%)</b>	<b>638 (65%)</b>	<b>73 (7%)</b>	<b>1,719 (76%)</b>	<b>430 (19%)</b>

**Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates<sup>36</sup> for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity**

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families <sup>37</sup> Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	4	3 (75%)	17	12 (71%)	3	3 (100%)
Clackamas	15	14 (93%)	46	37 (80%)	11	9 (82%)
Clatsop	4	4 (100%)	21	20 (95%)	--	--
Columbia	--	--	14	13 (93%)	1	1 (100%)
Coos	--	--	8	7 (88%)	1	1 (100%)
Crook	2	2 (100%)	9	9 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Curry	--	--	8	8 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Deschutes	8	8 (100%)	49	44 (90%)	5	5 (100%)
Douglas	2	2 (100%)	55	50 (91%)	9	8 (89%)
Gilliam	--	--	2	2 (100%)	--	--
Grant	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Harney	--	--	8	7 (88%)	2	2 (100%)
Hood River	14	12 (86%)	7	6 (86%)	4	4 (100%)
Jackson	26	24 (92%)	46	41 (89%)	7	6 (86%)
Jefferson	15	13 (87%)	10	9 (90%)	2	2 (100%)
Josephine	1	--	24	22 (92%)	5	5 (100%)
Klamath	3	2 (67%)	23	17 (74%)	7	7 (100%)
Lake	--	--	2	2 (100%)	--	--
Lane	10	10 (100%)	57	45 (79%)	23	19 (83%)
Lincoln	13	12 (92%)	9	7 (78%)	3	3 (100%)
Linn	3	3 (100%)	25	11 (44%)	3	2 (67%)
Malheur	6	5 (83%)	7	6 (86%)	--	--
Marion	78	75 (96%)	57	55 (96%)	13	12 (92%)
Morrow	8	8 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Multnomah	68	67 (99%)	73	62 (85%)	53	51 (96%)
Polk	10	8 (80%)	11	9 (82%)	3	3 (100%)
Sherman	--	--	2	1 (50%)	--	--

<sup>36</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the Kempe form.

<sup>37</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families <sup>37</sup> Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Tillamook	2	2 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	--	--
Umatilla	5	4 (80%)	11	10 (91%)	1	0 (0%)
Union	--	--	10	10 (100%)	--	--
Wallowa	--	--	4	4 (100%)	--	--
Wasco	2	2 (100%)	8	6 (75%)	1	1 (100%)
Washington	147	146 (99%)	47	47 (100%)	8	8 (100%)
Yamhill	1	1 (100%)	7	7 (100%)	--	--
<b>State</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>427 (96%)</b>	<b>685</b>	<b>594 (87%)</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>154 (92%)</b>

<sup>36</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the Kempe form.

<sup>37</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other racial/ethnic groups.

**Table 19: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors<sup>38</sup>**

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	14 (74%)	3 (75%)	8 (100%)	10 (63%)	17 (74%)	1 (100%)	12 (71%)	5 (83%)	12 (71%)	5 (100%)
Clackamas	45 (80%)	14 (93%)	18 (86%)	42 (82%)	52 (84%)	8 (80%)	38 (83%)	21 (84%)	52 (88%)	8 (62%)
Clatsop	19 (95%)	5 (100%)	3 (100%)	20 (95%)	18 (95%)	5 (100%)	9 (90%)	15 (100%)	17 (94%)	7 (100%)
Columbia	14 (93%)	--	4 (100%)	10 (91%)	10 (91%)	4 (100%)	10 (100%)	4 (80%)	12 (92%)	2 (100%)
Coos	8 (89%)	--	2 (100%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%)	2 (100%)	6 (86%)	2 (100%)	5 (83%)	3 (100%)
Crook	10 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	11 (100%)	9 (100%)	3 (100%)	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	10 (100%)	2 (100%)
Curry	9 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)	5 (100%)	4 (100%)	7 (100%)	2 (100%)
Deschutes	48 (91%)	7 (100%)	13 (100%)	44 (90%)	40 (91%)	14 (100%)	31 (86%)	23 (100%)	50 (91%)	4 (100%)
Douglas	60 (91%)	--	13 (100%)	43 (88%)	47 (90%)	12 (92%)	37 (93%)	23 (88%)	50 (89%)	10 (100%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	--
Grant	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--
Harney	9 (90%)	--	3 (100%)	6 (86%)	8 (89%)	1 (100%)	6 (86%)	3 (100%)	4 (80%)	5 (100%)
Hood River	9 (90%)	13 (87%)	6 (100%)	14 (82%)	16 (89%)	6 (86%)	10 (83%)	12 (92%)	16 (89%)	6 (86%)
Jackson	50 (89%)	21 (91%)	10 (91%)	61 (90%)	61 (90%)	10 (100%)	37 (90%)	34 (89%)	61 (88%)	10 (100%)
Jefferson	11 (92%)	13 (87%)	7 (78%)	15 (94%)	22 (88%)	2 (100%)	10 (91%)	13 (87%)	24 (89%)	--
Josephine	26 (93%)	1 (50%)	4 (100%)	23 (92%)	22 (92%)	5 (83%)	9 (90%)	18 (90%)	23 (92%)	4 (80%)
Klamath	24 (80%)	2 (67%)	7 (88%)	19 (76%)	17 (74%)	9 (90%)	11 (69%)	15 (88%)	18 (78%)	8 (80%)
Lake	2 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	--
Lane	68 (81%)	6 (100%)	23 (85%)	51 (81%)	65 (83%)	9 (75%)	47 (82%)	26 (81%)	69 (86%)	5 (50%)
Lincoln	10 (83%)	12 (92%)	5 (83%)	16 (89%)	17 (85%)	4 (100%)	7 (78%)	15 (94%)	18 (90%)	4 (80%)
Linn	13 (46%)	2 (100%)	6 (67%)	10 (45%)	13 (48%)	3 (75%)	8 (40%)	7 (70%)	12 (50%)	3 (50%)
Malheur	8 (80%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	9 (82%)	8 (89%)	3 (75%)	7 (100%)	4 (67%)	9 (90%)	2 (100%)
Marion	66 (94%)	73 (97%)	50 (96%)	91 (96%)	117 (97%)	25 (93%)	70 (97%)	69 (96%)	107 (96%)	30 (94%)
Morrow	4 (100%)	5 (100%)	--	8 (100%)	7 (100%)	2 (100%)	5 (100%)	4 (100%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)

<sup>38</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the Kempe form, demographics are indicated on the NBQ.



County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service
<b>Multnomah</b>	87 (86%)	65 (100%)	41 (93%)	137 (93%)	163 (93%)	16 (94%)	108 (91%)	70 (96%)	157 (92%)	21 (95%)
<b>Polk</b>	13 (87%)	7 (78%)	7 (78%)	13 (87%)	19 (83%)	1 (100%)	17 (89%)	3 (60%)	16 (80%)	4 (100%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	--	1 (50%)	--	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	6 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	2 (100%)	5 (100%)	3 (100%)	7 (100%)	1 (100%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	12 (80%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	10 (77%)	9 (90%)	4 (67%)	6 (86%)	8 (80%)	13 (81%)	1 (100%)
<b>Union</b>	10 (100%)	--	3 (100%)	7 (100%)	9 (100%)	1 (100%)	9 (100%)	1 (100%)	9 (100%)	1 (100%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	4 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
<b>Wasco</b>	7 (78%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	8 (80%)	8 (89%)	1 (50%)	5 (100%)	4 (67%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)
<b>Washington</b>	72 (100%)	128 (99%)	53 (98%)	148 (100%)	163 (99%)	38 (100%)	102 (99%)	98 (100%)	179 (99%)	21 (100%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	7 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	5 (100%)	7 (100%)	--
<b>State</b>	<b>749 (87%)</b>	<b>388 (96%)</b>	<b>298 (93%)</b>	<b>857 (90%)</b>	<b>967 (90%)</b>	<b>199 (92%)</b>	<b>641 (89%)</b>	<b>520 (92%)</b>	<b>987 (91%)</b>	<b>174 (88%)</b>

<sup>38</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the Kempe form, demographics are indicated on the NBQ.

**Table 20: Retention Rates**

County	Number of Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05 <sup>39</sup>	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	23	16 (70%)	14 (61%)	12 (52%)
Clackamas	89	58 (65%)	48 (54%)	36 (40%)
Clatsop	9	8 (89%)	7 (78%)	6 (67%)
Columbia	15	12 (80%)	10 (67%)	6 (40%)
Coos	9	9 (100%)	8 (89%)	6 (67%)
Crook	24	19 (79%)	13 (54%)	9 (38%)
Curry	14	13 (93%)	11 (79%)	7 (50%)
Deschutes	63	44 (70%)	38 (60%)	27 (43%)
Douglas	50	35 (70%)	28 (56%)	20 (40%)
Gilliam	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)
Grant	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	12	11 (92%)	10 (83%)	7 (58%)
Jackson	58	38 (66%)	19 (33%)	4 (7%)
Jefferson	26	13 (50%)	9 (35%)	7 (27%)
Josephine	45	34 (76%)	26 (58%)	23 (51%)
Klamath	14	9 (64%)	9 (64%)	7 (50%)
Lake	5	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)
Lane	126	95 (75%)	68 (54%)	40 (32%)
Lincoln	38	27 (71%)	21 (55%)	17 (45%)
Linn	20	14 (70%)	9 (45%)	7 (35%)
Malheur	25	19 (76%)	15 (60%)	11 (44%)
Marion	137	94 (69%)	77 (56%)	61 (45%)
Morrow	10	8 (80%)	6 (60%)	5 (50%)
Multnomah	205	154 (75%)	123 (60%)	91 (44%)
Polk	33	25 (76%)	22 (67%)	12 (36%)
Sherman	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	15	14 (93%)	12 (80%)	10 (67%)

<sup>39</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on an earlier enrollment year. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2004-05. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2004-05.

County	Number of Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05 <sup>39</sup>	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Umatilla	17	11 (65%)	8 (47%)	7 (41%)
Union	11	8 (73%)	4 (36%)	2 (18%)
Wallowa	4	4 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)
Wasco	16	12 (75%)	11 (69%)	9 (56%)
Washington	219	167 (76%)	143 (65%)	98 (45%)
Yamhill	21	16 (76%)	14 (67%)	8 (38%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,360</b>	<b>997 (73%)</b>	<b>790 (58%)</b>	<b>560 (41%)</b>

<sup>39</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on an earlier enrollment year. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2004-05. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2004-05.

**Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity**

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Other Race Families <sup>40</sup> Enrolled in FY in 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	11	6 (55%)	12	6 (50%)	--	--	8	5 (63%)	15	7 (47%)
Clackamas	26	13 (50%)	49	17 (35%)	14	6 (43%)	22	12 (55%)	58	22 (38%)
Clatsop	2	2 (100%)	6	4 (67%)	1	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	7	4 (57%)
Columbia	--	--	10	5 (50%)	5	1 (20%)	--	--	14	6 (43%)
Coos	1	1 (100%)	8	5 (63%)	--	--	1	1 (100%)	8	5 (63%)
Crook	6	2 (33%)	13	5 (38%)	5	2 (40%)	5	2 (40%)	18	7 (39%)
Curry	1	1 (100%)	11	6 (55%)	2	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	11	6 (55%)
Deschutes	9	4 (44%)	42	20 (48%)	12	3 (25%)	6	3 (50%)	48	21 (44%)
Douglas	2	2 (100%)	34	14 (41%)	14	4 (29%)	1	1 (100%)	41	16 (39%)
Gilliam	--	--	3	2 (67%)	--	--	--	--	2	2 (100%)
Grant	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)
Harney	--	--	--	--	2	0 (0%)	--	--	1	0 (0%)
Hood River	6	4 (67%)	6	3 (50%)	--	--	5	4 (80%)	6	3 (50%)
Jackson	6	2 (33%)	30	0 (0%)	22	2 (9%)	4	2 (50%)	35	0 (0%)
Jefferson	18	4 (22%)	5	2 (40%)	3	1 (33%)	16	4 (25%)	8	3 (38%)
Josephine	1	1 (100%)	39	21 (54%)	5	1 (20%)	--	--	42	22 (52%)
Klamath	1	0 (0%)	9	3 (33%)	4	4 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	11	5 (45%)
Lake	--	--	4	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	--	--	5	1 (20%)
Lane	26	14 (54%)	73	17 (23%)	27	9 (33%)	16	10 (63%)	89	22 (25%)
Lincoln	15	9 (60%)	17	6 (35%)	6	2 (33%)	14	8 (57%)	23	8 (35%)
Linn	7	4 (57%)	11	2 (18%)	2	1 (50%)	6	4 (67%)	12	2 (17%)
Malheur	10	3 (30%)	10	6 (60%)	5	2 (40%)	5	1 (20%)	15	9 (60%)
Marion	84	41 (49%)	42	16 (38%)	11	4 (36%)	67	30 (45%)	64	26 (41%)
Morrow	5	4 (80%)	2	0 (0%)	3	1 (33%)	4	3 (75%)	3	1 (33%)
Multnomah	68	37 (54%)	74	27 (36%)	63	27 (43%)	56	32 (57%)	114	41 (36%)

<sup>40</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Other Race Families <sup>40</sup> Enrolled in FY in 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2004-05	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
<b>Polk</b>	12	4 (33%)	17	7 (41%)	4	1 (25%)	8	4 (50%)	22	8 (36%)
<b>Sherman</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Tillamook</b>	5	3 (60%)	9	6 (67%)	1	1 (100%)	4	2 (50%)	11	8 (73%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	5	2 (40%)	8	3 (38%)	4	2 (50%)	3	--	9	5 (56%)
<b>Union</b>	--	--	9	2 (22%)	2	0 (0%)	--	--	10	2 (20%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	2	0 (0%)	--	1 (33%)	4	2 (50%)
<b>Wasco</b>	6	3 (50%)	8	5 (63%)	2	1 (50%)	7	3 (43%)	8	6 (75%)
<b>Washington</b>	131	69 (53%)	51	16 (31%)	37	13 (35%)	114	64 (56%)	79	25 (32%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	9	4 (44%)	8	3 (38%)	4	1 (25%)	7	4 (57%)	12	4 (33%)
<b>State</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>240 (51%)</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>230 (37%)</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>90 (34%)</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>203 (53%)</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>299 (37%)</b>

<sup>38</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other racial/ethnic groups.

**Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2004-05**

County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	4 (67%)	8 (47%)	8 (53%)	3 (43%)	9 (50%)	1 (33%)
Clackamas	8 (44%)	27 (40%)	23 (45%)	12 (40%)	34 (45%)	1 (17%)
Clatsop	2 (67%)	4 (67%)	2 (67%)	4 (67%)	4 (57%)	2 (100%)
Columbia	1 (25%)	5 (50%)	4 (50%)	2 (33%)	5 (50%)	1 (25%)
Coos	1 (100%)	3 (60%)	5 (100%)	1 (25%)	3 (100%)	3 (50%)
Crook	6 (55%)	3 (25%)	6 (40%)	3 (38%)	9 (41%)	0 (0%)
Curry	2 (50%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	2 (67%)	5 (50%)	2 (67%)
Deschutes	4 (44%)	21 (45%)	13 (62%)	11 (35%)	21 (43%)	2 (50%)
Douglas	5 (42%)	12 (39%)	11 (39%)	6 (40%)	14 (39%)	3 (43%)
Gilliam	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	2 (67%)	--
Grant	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--
Harney	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	--
Hood River	3 (100%)	4 (44%)	2 (67%)	5 (63%)	4 (67%)	3 (75%)
Jackson	1 (7%)	1 (4%)	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)
Jefferson	3 (25%)	4 (31%)	4 (36%)	3 (21%)	5 (25%)	2 (40%)
Josephine	3 (75%)	19 (50%)	13 (57%)	9 (47%)	19 (54%)	3 (43%)
Klamath	2 (40%)	4 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (43%)	6 (46%)	--
Lake	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)
Lane	9 (31%)	28 (33%)	21 (32%)	15 (34%)	29 (31%)	6 (43%)
Lincoln	4 (67%)	11 (37%)	7 (44%)	9 (43%)	14 (56%)	2 (20%)
Linn	4 (57%)	2 (18%)	4 (36%)	2 (33%)	5 (38%)	1 (25%)
Malheur	5 (83%)	5 (33%)	7 (78%)	3 (27%)	9 (60%)	1 (25%)
Marion	14 (42%)	43 (44%)	28 (49%)	26 (37%)	44 (41%)	12 (60%)
Morrow	2 (100%)	2 (40%)	1 (50%)	3 (60%)	3 (60%)	1 (50%)
Multnomah	27 (52%)	58 (41%)	43 (47%)	41 (42%)	69 (46%)	15 (38%)
Polk	5 (36%)	7 (41%)	7 (33%)	5 (50%)	8 (33%)	4 (67%)

County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	2 (40%)	8 (80%)	6 (75%)	4 (57%)	10 (67%)	--
Umatilla	1 (25%)	5 (56%)	3 (60%)	3 (50%)	4 (50%)	2 (67%)
Union	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (17%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (50%)	--	2 (67%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	5 (83%)	4 (40%)	6 (75%)	3 (43%)	9 (60%)	--
Washington	27 (50%)	63 (43%)	40 (46%)	50 (44%)	82 (46%)	8 (36%)
Yamhill	3 (75%)	5 (31%)	2 (22%)	5 (50%)	8 (50%)	0 (0%)
<b>State</b>	<b>156 (47%)</b>	<b>365 (40%)</b>	<b>278 (45%)</b>	<b>237 (40%)</b>	<b>441 (43%)</b>	<b>75 (39%)</b>

**Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion<sup>41</sup>**

County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2005-06	Median Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Unable to Contact/ Re-engage <sup>42</sup>	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Benton	35	15.5	4 (12%)	0 (0%)	2 (6%)	14 (41%)	13 (38%)	1 (3%)	27 (82%)
Clackamas	104	15.5	25 (24%)	20 (19%)	10 (10%)	19 (18%)	22 (21%)	8 (8%)	67 (76%)
Clatsop	17	8.0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	9 (56%)	4 (25%)	1 (6%)	3 (38%)
Columbia	18	10.4	0 (0%)	2 (11%)	1 (6%)	11 (61%)	1 (6%)	3 (17%)	10 (77%)
Coos	18	9.3	2 (11%)	1 (6%)	3 (17%)	6 (33%)	3 (17%)	3 (17%)	11 (79%)
Crook	19	13.9	1 (5%)	2 (11%)	3 (16%)	12 (63%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	8 (89%)
Curry	11	11.8	0 (0%)	5 (45%)	2 (18%)	1 (9%)	2 (18%)	1 (9%)	6 (67%)
Deschutes	68	18.4	11 (18%)	3 (5%)	10 (16%)	22 (36%)	9 (15%)	6 (10%)	39 (91%)
Douglas	54	9.3	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	5 (10%)	31 (60%)	8 (15%)	4 (8%)	24 (75%)
Gilliam	3	9.1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)
Grant	3	17.3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Harney	7	8.9	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (100%)
Hood River	21	29.9	4 (20%)	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	6 (30%)	3 (15%)	3 (15%)	14 (78%)
Jackson	85	7.6	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	3 (4%)	11 (13%)	6 (7%)	60 (72%)	31 (69%)
Jefferson	26	6.9	0 (0%)	5 (21%)	1 (4%)	12 (50%)	4 (17%)	2 (8%)	4 (33%)
Josephine	49	13.8	0 (0%)	5 (12%)	5 (12%)	15 (35%)	16 (37%)	2 (5%)	32 (78%)
Klamath	28	15.9	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	7 (26%)	14 (52%)	2 (7%)	3 (11%)	12 (75%)
Lake	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lane	115	16.1	37 (32%)	12 (10%)	11 (10%)	34 (30%)	12 (10%)	9 (8%)	37 (67%)
Lincoln	25	13.7	1 (4%)	3 (13%)	4 (17%)	10 (42%)	4 (17%)	2 (8%)	15 (75%)
Linn	25	10.5	6 (26%)	3 (13%)	1 (4%)	8 (35%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%)	17 (100%)
Malheur	15	4.9	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	2 (13%)	9 (60%)	3 (20%)	0 (0%)	2 (18%)
Marion	156	9.9	26 (18%)	33 (23%)	15 (10%)	41 (28%)	24 (17%)	6 (4%)	44 (52%)
Morrow	7	10.1	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)
Multnomah	198	12.0	15 (9%)	30 (18%)	11 (7%)	76 (45%)	29 (17%)	7 (4%)	118 (79%)
Polk	40	11.1	5 (13%)	3 (8%)	2 (5%)	4 (10%)	13 (33%)	12 (31%)	27 (90%)
Sherman	2	5.2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)

<sup>41</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

<sup>42</sup> "Unable to contact/re-engage" is indicated by the reason, "Creative Outreach ended" on the Exit Form.



County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2005-06	Median Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Unable to Contact/ Re-engage <sup>42</sup>	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Tillamook	19	17.7	5 (29%)	1 (6%)	2 (12%)	5 (29%)	2 (12%)	2 (12%)	11 (100%)
Umatilla	9	14.7	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)
Union	14	19.4	4 (29%)	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	4 (29%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)	9 (82%)
Wallowa	3	11.4	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	3 (100%)
Wasco	21	17.7	5 (25%)	4 (20%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	6 (30%)	1 (5%)	10 (71%)
Washington	209	15.2	8 (4%)	55 (27%)	13 (6%)	93 (45%)	26 (13%)	11 (5%)	98 (73%)
Yamhill	17	17.7	1 (6%)	2 (12%)	6 (35%)	4 (24%)	3 (18%)	1 (6%)	7 (78%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>165 (12%)</b>	<b>200 (15%)</b>	<b>129 (9%)</b>	<b>483 (36%)</b>	<b>229 (17%)</b>	<b>152 (11%)</b>	<b>698 (74%)</b>

<sup>39</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

<sup>40</sup> Average age is the statistical median in this analysis.

<sup>41</sup> "Unable to contact/re-engage" is indicated by the reason, "Creative Outreach ended" on the Exit Form.

**Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families<sup>43</sup>: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups**

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Benton	57	31 (54%)	51	51 (100%)	51	47 (92%)
Clackamas	209	137 (66%)	168	161 (96%)	168	156 (93%)
Clatsop	39	30 (77%)	21	17 (81%)	21	19 (90%)
Columbia	29	27 (93%)	23	23 (100%)	23	22 (96%)
Coos	20	19 (95%)	13	13 (100%)	13	12 (92%)
Crook	30	28 (93%)	25	24 (96%)	24	24 (100%)
Curry	24	23 (96%)	16	16 (100%)	16	16 (100%)
Deschutes	135	117 (87%)	107	106 (99%)	106	101 (95%)
Douglas	103	95 (92%)	71	70 (99%)	70	64 (91%)
Gilliam	4	4 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	2 (67%)
Grant	6	4 (67%)	5	5 (100%)	5	2 (40%)
Harney	21	21 (100%)	14	14 (100%)	14	12 (86%)
Hood River	42	40 (95%)	37	37 (100%)	37	35 (95%)
Jackson	133	112 (84%)	72	72 (100%)	74	70 (95%)
Jefferson	47	38 (81%)	32	32 (100%)	32	30 (94%)
Josephine	85	77 (91%)	71	71 (100%)	71	64 (90%)
Klamath	50	34 (68%)	35	35 (100%)	35	35 (100%)
Lake	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Lane	193	148 (77%)	155	152 (98%)	155	147 (95%)
Lincoln	60	47 (78%)	54	54 (100%)	54	52 (96%)
Linn	50	33 (66%)	38	37 (97%)	38	37 (97%)
Malheur	29	28 (97%)	17	17 (100%)	17	16 (94%)
Marion	283	231 (82%)	193	192 (99%)	193	179 (93%)
Morrow	17	16 (94%)	15	15 (100%)	15	11 (73%)
Multnomah	435	329 (76%)	351	341 (97%)	348	332 (95%)
Polk	66	54 (82%)	53	53 (100%)	53	49 (92%)
Sherman	5	5 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	2 (67%)

<sup>43</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Tillamook	54	54 (100%)	47	47 (100%)	47	43 (91%)
Umatilla	24	20 (83%)	18	18 (100%)	18	16 (89%)
Union	23	23 (100%)	16	16 (100%)	16	16 (100%)
Wallowa	8	7 (88%)	5	5 (100%)	5	5 (100%)
Wasco	51	43 (84%)	42	42 (100%)	42	38 (90%)
Washington	422	256 (61%)	342	328 (96%)	345	325 (94%)
Yamhill	48	43 (90%)	44	44 (100%)	44	39 (89%)
<b>State</b>	<b>2,804</b>	<b>2,175 (78%)</b>	<b>2,158</b>	<b>2,115 (98%)</b>	<b>2,157</b>	<b>2,019 (94%)</b>

<sup>42</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

**Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room**

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information <sup>44</sup> (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Benton	35	4 (11%)	27 (77%)	2 (6%)	2	2 (100%)	51	6 (12%)
Clackamas	109	13 (12%)	80 (73%)	14 (13%)	13	13 (100%)	169	8 (5%)
Clatsop	12	0 (0%)	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	1	1 (100%)	21	5 (24%)
Columbia	21	3 (14%)	17 (81%)	0 (0%)	--	--	23	0 (0%)
Coos	9	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	--	--	13	0 (0%)
Crook	19	5 (26%)	12 (63%)	1 (5%)	1	0 (0%)	25	1 (4%)
Curry	13	2 (15%)	7 (54%)	3 (23%)	3	3 (100%)	16	1 (6%)
Deschutes	73	4 (5%)	67 (92%)	2 (3%)	2	2 (100%)	107	2 (2%)
Douglas	52	7 (13%)	42 (81%)	3 (6%)	3	3 (100%)	71	4 (6%)
Gilliam	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	--	--	3	0 (0%)
Grant	3	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	5	1 (20%)
Harney	6	0 (0%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)	--	--	14	0 (0%)
Hood River	20	1 (5%)	12 (60%)	5 (25%)	5	5 (100%)	36	3 (8%)
Jackson	35	4 (11%)	19 (54%)	12 (34%)	12	12 (100%)	74	6 (8%)
Jefferson	29	8 (28%)	19 (66%)	1 (3%)	1	1 (100%)	32	7 (22%)
Josephine	66	2 (3%)	62 (94%)	2 (3%)	2	2 (100%)	71	0 (0%)
Klamath	26	5 (19%)	18 (69%)	3 (12%)	3	3 (100%)	35	1 (3%)
Lake	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	1	0 (0%)
Lane	93	13 (14%)	77 (83%)	1 (1%)	1	1 (100%)	155	12 (8%)
Lincoln	45	3 (7%)	32 (71%)	9 (20%)	9	9 (100%)	54	10 (19%)
Linn	19	2 (11%)	12 (63%)	2 (11%)	2	2 (100%)	38	2 (5%)
Malheur	14	2 (14%)	12 (86%)	0 (0%)	--	--	17	0 (0%)
Marion	146	22 (15%)	112 (77%)	8 (5%)	8	7 (88%)	191	23 (12%)
Morrow	12	0 (0%)	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	1	1 (100%)	15	1 (7%)
Multnomah	217	33 (15%)	162 (75%)	22 (10%)	22	22 (100%)	351	12 (3%)

<sup>44</sup> The insurance type 'other' represents 3% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information <sup>44</sup> (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Polk	35	7 (20%)	26 (74%)	1 (3%)	1	1 (100%)	53	6 (11%)
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	0 (0%)
Tillamook	25	4 (16%)	20 (80%)	1 (4%)	1	1 (100%)	47	1 (2%)
Umatilla	13	2 (15%)	11 (85%)	0 (0%)	--	--	18	2 (11%)
Union	10	3 (30%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	1	1 (100%)	16	1 (6%)
Wallowa	4	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	5	1 (20%)
Wasco	27	2 (7%)	25 (93%)	0 (0%)	--	--	42	1 (2%)
Washington	205	24 (12%)	128 (62%)	36 (18%)	36	33 (92%)	342	22 (6%)
Yamhill	28	1 (4%)	26 (93%)	1 (4%)	1	1 (100%)	43	3 (7%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,425</b>	<b>179 (13%)</b>	<b>1,075 (75%)</b>	<b>132 (9%)</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>126 (96%)</b>	<b>2,157</b>	<b>142 (7%)</b>

<sup>43</sup> The insurance type 'other' represents 3% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

**Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children<sup>45</sup>: Immunizations**

County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Benton	51	48 (94%)	3 (6%)	18	16 (89%)
Clackamas	168	151 (90%)	14 (8%)	59	55 (93%)
Clatsop	21	18 (86%)	1 (5%)	6	5 (83%)
Columbia	23	22 (96%)	1 (4%)	3	3 (100%)
Coos	13	12 (92%)	1 (8%)	5	5 (100%)
Crook	25	25 (100%)	0 (0%)	9	9 (100%)
Curry	16	14 (88%)	1 (6%)	5	5 (100%)
Deschutes	106	97 (92%)	9 (8%)	44	38 (86%)
Douglas	70	64 (91%)	5 (7%)	13	12 (92%)
Gilliam	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)
Grant	5	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	1	0 (0%)
Harney	14	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	3	3 (100%)
Hood River	37	34 (92%)	3 (8%)	14	14 (100%)
Jackson	74	71 (96%)	3 (4%)	23	23 (100%)
Jefferson	32	30 (94%)	2 (6%)	5	5 (100%)
Josephine	71	63 (89%)	7 (10%)	14	13 (93%)
Klamath	35	35 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	8 (100%)
Lake	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--
Lane	155	146 (94%)	7 (5%)	66	63 (95%)
Lincoln	54	52 (96%)	1 (2%)	8	8 (100%)
Linn	38	37 (97%)	1 (3%)	16	16 (100%)
Malheur	17	15 (88%)	2 (12%)	3	3 (100%)
Marion	193	174 (90%)	16 (8%)	55	53 (96%)
Morrow	15	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	5 (100%)
Multnomah	348	319 (92%)	25 (7%)	116	107 (92%)
Polk	53	48 (91%)	5 (9%)	15	14 (93%)

<sup>45</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Sherman	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	3 (100%)
Tillamook	47	47 (100%)	0 (0%)	32	31 (97%)
Umatilla	18	13 (72%)	4 (22%)	2	1 (50%)
Union	16	15 (94%)	1 (6%)	6	6 (100%)
Wallowa	5	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	3	3 (100%)
Wasco	42	40 (95%)	2 (5%)	16	16 (100%)
Washington	345	311 (90%)	32 (9%)	99	91 (92%)
Yamhill	44	40 (91%)	4 (9%)	25	22 (88%)
<b>State</b>	<b>2,158</b>	<b>1,980 (92%)</b>	<b>154 (7%)</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>658 (94%)</b>

<sup>44</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

**Table 27: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births**

County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care <sup>46</sup>
Benton	57	46 (81%)	4	2 (50%)	3 (75%)	50%
Clackamas	196	150 (77%)	13	9 (69%)	12 (92%)	33%
Clatsop	38	27 (71%)	2	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	100%
Columbia	29	25 (86%)	3	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	50%
Coos	19	17 (89%)	--	--	--	--
Crook	27	25 (93%)	2	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	100%
Curry	24	18 (75%)	--	--	--	--
Deschutes	133	101 (76%)	8	7 (88%)	8 (100%)	14%
Douglas	100	82 (82%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Gilliam	4	3 (75%)	--	--	--	--
Grant	6	3 (50%)	--	--	--	--
Harney	18	13 (72%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Hood River	40	39 (98%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Jackson	139	103 (74%)	4	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	0%
Jefferson	51	40 (78%)	3	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	-33%
Josephine	75	50 (67%)	7	5 (71%)	7 (100%)	40%
Klamath	47	40 (85%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Lake	2	1 (50%)	--	--	--	--
Lane	186	135 (73%)	12	8 (67%)	11 (92%)	38%
Lincoln	59	42 (71%)	6	3 (50%)	5 (83%)	67%
Linn	50	40 (80%)	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0%
Malheur	33	26 (79%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Marion	261	201 (77%)	12	10 (83%)	12 (100%)	20%
Morrow	14	5 (36%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Multnomah	409	328 (80%)	21	16 (76%)	19 (90%)	19%
Polk	71	49 (69%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
Sherman	4	2 (50%)	--	--	--	--

<sup>45</sup> Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.



County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care <sup>46</sup>
Tillamook	48	28 (58%)	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	100%
Umatilla	26	21 (81%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Union	24	21 (88%)	3	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	-33%
Wallowa	5	2 (40%)	--	--	--	--
Wasco	48	46 (96%)	6	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0%
Washington	391	288 (74%)	22	16 (73%)	19 (86%)	19%
Yamhill	44	34 (77%)	4	3 (75%)	3 (75%)	0%
<b>State</b>	<b>2,678</b>	<b>2,051 (77%)</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>113 (76%)</b>	<b>135 (91%)</b>	<b>19%</b>

<sup>45</sup>Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.

**Table 28: Child Growth and Development<sup>47</sup>**

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening <sup>48</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) with Normal Development <sup>49</sup> at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with an Identified Developmental Delay	Percentage of Children with an Identified Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
Benton	54	49 (91%)	47 (96%)	0 (0%)	--
Clackamas	181	146 (81%)	117 (81%)	8 (35%)	7 (100%)
Clatsop	29	19 (66%)	18 (95%)	0 (0%)	--
Columbia	22	17 (77%)	16 (94%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Coos	23	11 (48%)	10 (91%)	0 (0%)	--
Crook	38	23 (61%)	22 (96%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Curry	15	14 (93%)	11 (79%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)
Deschutes	113	100 (88%)	90 (90%)	6 (60%)	6 (100%)
Douglas	85	54 (64%)	47 (90%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Gilliam	4	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	--	--
Grant	5	5 (100%)	4 (80%)	--	--
Harney	17	11 (65%)	10 (91%)	1 (100%)	--
Hood River	33	30 (91%)	23 (77%)	3 (50%)	3 (100%)
Jackson	110	60 (55%)	54 (90%)	1 (25%)	--
Jefferson	47	27 (57%)	23 (85%)	1 (25%)	1 (100%)
Josephine	83	64 (77%)	60 (94%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	44	31 (70%)	28 (90%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)
Lake	3	1 (33%)	1 (100%)	--	--
Lane	164	140 (85%)	118 (84%)	9 (43%)	8 (89%)
Lincoln	50	49 (98%)	44 (90%)	2 (40%)	2 (100%)
Linn	41	34 (83%)	29 (85%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)
Malheur	29	14 (48%)	13 (93%)	--	--
Marion	251	164 (65%)	147 (90%)	6 (43%)	4 (80%)

<sup>46</sup> Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

<sup>48</sup> Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

<sup>49</sup> Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening <sup>48</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) with Normal Development <sup>49</sup> at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with an Identified Developmental Delay	Percentage of Children with an Identified Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
Morrow	19	12 (63%)	11 (92%)	0 (0%)	--
Multnomah	433	321 (74%)	283 (88%)	17 (49%)	12 (80%)
Polk	61	50 (82%)	49 (98%)	0 (0%)	--
Sherman	5	3 (60%)	1 (33%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)
Tillamook	50	32 (64%)	29 (91%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
Umatilla	26	14 (54%)	11 (79%)	0 (0%)	--
Union	18	13 (72%)	13 (100%)	--	--
Wallowa	8	4 (50%)	4 (100%)	--	--
Wasco	45	36 (80%)	32 (89%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)
Washington	425	295 (69%)	257 (87%)	13 (38%)	9 (69%)
Yamhill	46	42 (91%)	37 (88%)	1 (20%)	1 (100%)
<b>State</b>	<b>2,577</b>	<b>1,888 (73%)</b>	<b>1,662 (88%)</b>	<b>84 (43%)</b>	<b>67 (86%)</b>

<sup>46</sup> Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

<sup>47</sup> Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

<sup>48</sup> Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

**Table 29: Child Social Emotional Development (ASQ-SE)**

County	Number of Children with ASQ-SE Information <sup>50</sup>	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Indicating Delays on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) who Declined Additional Services	Number (%) of Parents Provided with Information to Support Child's Development
Benton	43	42 (98%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Clackamas	110	100 (94%)	6 (6%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)
Clatsop	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Columbia	4	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Coos	8	7 (88%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (%)
Crook	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Curry	12	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	32	24 (92%)	2 (8%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	42	40 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Harney	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hood River	8	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Jackson	19	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Jefferson	25	22 (88%)	2 (8%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	17	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Klamath	19	17 (89%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lake	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lane	98	88 (93%)	7 (7%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)
Lincoln	33	31 (97%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Linn	30	25 (83%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Marion	108	98 (95%)	3 (3%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Morrow	10	10 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Multnomah	227	211 (95%)	10 (4%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)

<sup>50</sup> The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

County	Number of Children with ASQ-SE Information <sup>50</sup>	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Indicating Delays on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) who Declined Additional Services	Number (%) of Parents Provided with Information to Support Child's Development
<b>Polk</b>	41	41 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Sherman</b>	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	20	18 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Umatilla</b>	5	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Union</b>	11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Wallowa</b>	5	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Wasco</b>	22	18 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
<b>Washington</b>	212	196 (93%)	14 (7%)	2 (14%)	2 (14%)	2 (14%)	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	3 (21%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	33	32 (97%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,248</b>	<b>1,146 (94%)</b>	<b>53 (4%)</b>	<b>16 (30%)</b>	<b>13 (25%)</b>	<b>6 (11%)</b>	<b>2 (4%)</b>	<b>6 (11%)</b>	<b>11 (21%)</b>

<sup>49</sup> The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

**Table 30: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families<sup>51</sup>**

County	Number Needing Dental Insurance	Number (%) Connected with Dental Insurance at 6 Months	Number Needing Education Assistance	Number (%) Connected with Education Assistance at 6 Months	Number Needing TANF Cash Assistance	Number (%) Connected to TANF at 6 Months	Number Needing Housing Assistance	Number (%) Connected with Housing Assistance at 6 Months	Number Needing Job Training	Number (%) Connected with Job Training at 6 Months
Benton	--	--	1	1 (100%)	2	1 (50%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Clackamas	3	2 (67%)	3	2 (67%)	5	5 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Clatsop	--	--	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Columbia	--	--	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Coos	--	--	--	--	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Crook	1	--	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	--	--
Curry	--	--	2	2 (100%)	--	--	3	3 (100%)	--	--
Deschutes	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	--	--	6	6 (100%)	4	4 (100%)
Douglas	1	1 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	17	14 (82%)	9	8 (89%)
Gilliam	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Harney	--	--	2	2 (100%)	--	--	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Hood River	2	1 (50%)	2	2 (100%)	1	--	3	3 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Jackson	3	1 (33%)	6	5 (83%)	4	4 (100%)	10	9 (90%)	2	1 (50%)
Jefferson	--	--	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	4	3 (75%)	1	1 (100%)
Josephine	5	5 (100%)	7	7 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	3	2 (67%)	3	3 (100%)
Klamath	--	--	--	--	2	2 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	--	--
Lake	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Lane	7	7 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	11	10 (91%)	4	4 (100%)
Lincoln	3	3 (100%)	5	4 (80%)	3	3 (100%)	9	8 (89%)	4	3 (75%)
Linn	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	2	1 (50%)	--	--
Malheur	2	2 (100%)	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--	1	1 (100%)
Marion	1	1 (100%)	8	6 (75%)	2	2 (100%)	12	8 (67%)	9	8 (89%)
Morrow	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Multnomah	5	5 (100%)	8	7 (87.5%)	8	8 (100%)	15	13 (87%)	16	14 (88%)
Polk	1	1 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	--	--	8	7 (88%)	3	3 (100%)

<sup>51</sup> Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2005-06, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

County	Number Needing Dental Insurance	Number (%) Connected with Dental Insurance at 6 Months	Number Needing Education Assistance	Number (%) Connected with Education Assistance at 6 Months	Number Needing TANF Cash Assistance	Number (%) Connected to TANF at 6 Months	Number Needing Housing Assistance	Number (%) Connected with Housing Assistance at 6 Months	Number Needing Job Training	Number (%) Connected with Job Training at 6 Months
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Umatilla	1	--	1	--	1	--	1	--	--	--
Union	4	4 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	--	--	1	--	--	--
Wallowa	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Wasco	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	--	--
Washington	2	1 (50%)	11	11 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	9	9 (100%)
Yamhill	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--	2	2 (100%)	1	--
<b>State</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>37 (84%)</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>78 (92%)</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>56 (95%)</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>123 (87%)</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>72 (91%)</b>

<sup>50</sup> Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2005-06, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

**Table 31: Family Outcomes and Life Events<sup>52</sup>**

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting a Marriage	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF
Benton	0 (0%)	10 (16%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Clackamas	2 (1%)	17 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	3 (1%)
Clatsop	1 (2%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	1 (3%)	6 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Coos	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Crook	0 (0%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Curry	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (12%)
Deschutes	0 (0%)	13 (9%)	0 (0%)	3 (2%)	2 (1%)
Douglas	8 (7%)	24 (21%)	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	5 (4%)
Gilliam	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)
Harney	0 (0%)	5 (21%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)
Hood River	1 (2%)	5 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	6 (3%)	14 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (2%)
Jefferson	0 (0%)	9 (16%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (4%)
Josephine	3 (3%)	14 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	7 (7%)
Klamath	3 (5%)	7 (11%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Lake	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lane	6 (3%)	10 (5%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (<1%)
Lincoln	3 (5%)	12 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Linn	3 (6%)	4 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
Malheur	0 (0%)	5 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Marion	11 (3%)	37 (11%)	3 (1%)	5 (1%)	4 (1%)
Morrow	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	12 (2%)	36 (7%)	1 (<1%)	2 (<1%)	4 (<1%)
Polk	1 (1%)	13 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	3 (5%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>52</sup> Reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.



County	Number (%) of Families Reporting a Marriage	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF
Umatilla	0 (0%)	4 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	2 (5%)
Union	2 (8%)	6 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	1 (2%)	7 (13%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Washington	9 (2%)	36 (7%)	1 (<1%)	7 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Yamhill	3 (6%)	5 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
<b>State</b>	<b>81 (2%)</b>	<b>316 (9%)</b>	<b>12 (&lt;1%)</b>	<b>33 (1%)</b>	<b>53 (2%)</b>

<sup>51</sup> Reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

**Table 32: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn<sup>53</sup>**

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
Benton	44	42 (95%)	32	30 (94%)	42	34 (81%)	31	27 (87%)
Clackamas	130	110 (85%)	99	82 (83%)	126	83 (66%)	95	71 (75%)
Clatsop	18	17 (94%)	12	11 (92%)	17	14 (82%)	12	10 (83%)
Columbia	20	19 (95%)	9	8 (89%)	20	16 (80%)	9	7 (78%)
Coos	6	3 (50%)	5	5 (100%)	6	1 (17%)	5	4 (80%)
Crook	20	16 (80%)	11	9 (82%)	20	11 (55%)	11	7 (64%)
Curry	10	8 (80%)	11	10 (91%)	9	7 (78%)	11	9 (82%)
Deschutes	90	81 (90%)	76	68 (89%)	90	71 (79%)	76	62 (82%)
Douglas	39	35 (90%)	29	27 (93%)	37	26 (70%)	29	22 (76%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	2	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Grant	3	2 (67%)	4	2 (50%)	3	2 (67%)	4	1 (25%)
Harney	8	4 (50%)	3	2 (67%)	8	1 (13%)	2	0 (0%)
Hood River	27	24 (89%)	23	21 (91%)	26	21 (81%)	22	17 (77%)
Jackson	64	54 (84%)	39	34 (87%)	61	47 (77%)	38	26 (68%)
Jefferson	28	21 (75%)	15	13 (87%)	28	14 (50%)	15	10 (67%)
Josephine	55	46 (84%)	41	36 (88%)	53	32 (60%)	39	26 (67%)
Klamath	23	22 (96%)	18	15 (83%)	23	21 (91%)	18	13 (72%)
Lake	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Lane	124	106 (85%)	88	76 (86%)	123	82 (67%)	84	64 (76%)
Lincoln	45	40 (89%)	27	22 (81%)	43	29 (67%)	25	18 (72%)
Linn	27	21 (78%)	25	20 (80%)	27	18 (67%)	25	18 (72%)
Malheur	11	11 (100%)	9	8 (89%)	11	7 (64%)	9	6 (67%)
Marion	129	109 (84%)	111	97 (87%)	124	85 (69%)	107	74 (69%)
Morrow	12	10 (83%)	8	7 (88%)	12	9 (75%)	8	7 (88%)
Multnomah	258	221 (86%)	194	161 (83%)	246	176 (72%)	189	135 (71%)

<sup>53</sup> The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
<b>Polk</b>	42	35 (83%)	30	28 (93%)	42	30 (71%)	30	22 (73%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	2	1 (50%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	29	21 (72%)	24	17 (71%)	29	16 (55%)	23	12 (52%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	10	6 (60%)	10	7 (70%)	10	8 (80%)	10	6 (60%)
<b>Union</b>	15	13 (87%)	9	9 (100%)	15	11 (73%)	9	9 (100%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
<b>Wasco</b>	32	28 (88%)	29	24 (83%)	31	20 (65%)	29	18 (62%)
<b>Washington</b>	212	187 (88%)	194	169 (87%)	208	152 (73%)	184	138 (75%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	39	33 (85%)	30	25 (83%)	38	28 (74%)	30	23 (77%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,576</b>	<b>1,349 (86%)</b>	<b>1,220</b>	<b>1,048 (86%)</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>1,075 (70%)</b>	<b>1,184</b>	<b>866 (73%)</b>

<sup>52</sup> The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

**Table 33: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME<sup>33</sup> Outcomes, & Reading**

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction <sup>54</sup>	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction	Number of Families with HOME <sup>55</sup> Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number of Families with HOME Score Information (at 24 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 24 Months)	Number of Families with Reading Information (at 24 Months)	Number (%) of Families That Read 3+ Times/Week (at 24 Months) <sup>56</sup>
Benton	50	94%	32	28 (88%)	16	11 (69%)	34	27 (79%)
Clackamas	147	88%	102	80 (78%)	46	39 (85%)	119	113 (95%)
Clatsop	21	88%	14	11 (79%)	3	2 (67%)	15	15 (100%)
Columbia	22	93%	9	9 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	9	9 (100%)
Coos	11	77%	5	4 (80%)	3	3 (100%)	8	7 (88%)
Crook	24	95%	7	2 (29%)	4	1 (25%)	13	13 (100%)
Curry	15	85%	11	11 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	11	11 (100%)
Deschutes	102	89%	75	63 (84%)	38	37 (97%)	81	69 (85%)
Douglas	50	91%	42	34 (81%)	6	6 (100%)	44	35 (80%)
Gilliam	3	100%	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Grant	5	85%	3	2 (67%)	1	1 (100%)	4	2 (50%)
Harney	14	86%	8	7 (88%)	3	2 (67%)	9	9 (100%)
Hood River	33	95%	18	13 (72%)	13	11 (85%)	22	20 (91%)
Jackson	71	86%	39	34 (87%)	17	16 (94%)	42	37 (88%)
Jefferson	29	85%	9	8 (89%)	4	4 (100%)	15	13 (87%)
Josephine	65	94%	44	40 (91%)	14	14 (100%)	49	46 (94%)
Klamath	28	93%	20	17 (85%)	6	5 (83%)	21	20 (95%)
Lake	1	100%	1	1 (100%)	--		1	1 (100%)
Lane	145	93%	92	70 (76%)	48	42 (88%)	100	85 (85%)
Lincoln	50	89%	31	25 (81%)	8	7 (88%)	37	33 (89%)
Linn	34	88%	26	23 (88%)	14	11 (79%)	28	27 (96%)
Malheur	13	88%	12	8 (67%)	2	2 (100%)	12	10 (83%)

<sup>54</sup> Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week”, “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

<sup>55</sup> The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

<sup>56</sup> Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction <sup>54</sup>	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction	Number of Families with HOME <sup>55</sup> Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number of Families with HOME Score Information (at 24 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 24 Months)	Number of Families with Reading Information (at 24 Months)	Number (%) of Families That Read 3+ Times/Week (at 24 Months) <sup>56</sup>
Marion	176	90%	102	84 (82%)	46	41 (89%)	127	115 (91%)
Morrow	14	84%	8	0 (0%)	5	3 (60%)	9	3 (33%)
Multnomah	307	88%	196	139 (71%)	92	62 (67%)	238	197 (83%)
Polk	54	95%	32	30 (94%)	13	13 (100%)	34	31 (91%)
Sherman	3	33%	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2	1 (50%)
Tillamook	37	87%	35	23 (66%)	22	15 (68%)	43	35 (81%)
Umatilla	16	86%	10	8 (80%)	3	3 (100%)	12	11 (92%)
Union	16	98%	12	11 (92%)	4	4 (100%)	12	12 (100%)
Wallowa	5	100%	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Wasco	42	89%	28	25 (89%)	12	11 (92%)	30	26 (87%)
Washington	302	89%	200	158 (79%)	84	61 (73%)	235	186 (79%)
Yamhill	43	94%	27	24 (89%)	19	19 (100%)	30	27 (90%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,948</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>1,254</b>	<b>996 (79%)</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>460 (82%)</b>	<b>1,451</b>	<b>1,251 (86%)</b>

<sup>53</sup> Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week”, “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

<sup>54</sup> The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

<sup>55</sup> Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

**Table 34: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors<sup>57</sup>**

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family’s Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials For Their Children That Positively Reflected Their Cultural Background	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn’t Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Benton	18 (42%)	42 (86%)	41 (84%)	39 (81%)	41 (85%)	37 (97%)	39 (100%)	35 (90%)
Clackamas	61 (48%)	128 (89%)	105 (74%)	113 (82%)	117 (85%)	104 (92%)	110 (98%)	104 (93%)
Clatsop	8 (42%)	18 (86%)	17 (81%)	18 (86%)	17 (81%)	16 (94%)	17 (94%)	17 (94%)
Columbia	7 (32%)	17 (77%)	16 (73%)	15 (68%)	20 (91%)	20 (91%)	22 (100%)	21 (95%)
Coos	3 (38%)	8 (80%)	8 (73%)	10 (91%)	8 (73%)	7 (88%)	8 (100%)	8 (100%)
Crook	7 (41%)	17 (74%)	16 (70%)	19 (86%)	18 (86%)	14 (100%)	14 (100%)	13 (93%)
Curry	6 (46%)	13 (87%)	11 (73%)	13 (87%)	11 (73%)	12 (92%)	13 (100%)	13 (100%)
Deschutes	49 (53%)	85 (84%)	85 (84%)	89 (88%)	92 (91%)	78 (92%)	84 (99%)	84 (99%)
Douglas	13 (30%)	41 (85%)	33 (69%)	40 (91%)	40 (91%)	38 (97%)	39 (100%)	39 (100%)
Gilliam	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Grant	3 (60%)	3 (60%)	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)
Harney	6 (55%)	13 (93%)	11 (79%)	10 (71%)	12 (86%)	11 (100%)	11 (100%)	10 (91%)
Hood River	24 (86%)	31 (100%)	30 (97%)	27 (93%)	29 (100%)	22 (100%)	22 (100%)	22 (100%)
Jackson	17 (35%)	66 (93%)	65 (92%)	45 (71%)	54 (87%)	25 (86%)	29 (100%)	28 (97%)
Jefferson	12 (40%)	27 (87%)	21 (68%)	26 (84%)	25 (81%)	22 (79%)	27 (93%)	26 (90%)
Josephine	22 (42%)	51 (81%)	50 (77%)	47 (75%)	53 (83%)	48 (92%)	51 (100%)	48 (92%)
Klamath	8 (36%)	26 (93%)	21 (75%)	21 (78%)	23 (85%)	16 (94%)	17 (100%)	17 (100%)
Lake	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Lane	68 (54%)	133 (92%)	121 (83%)	124 (88%)	129 (91%)	105 (94%)	111 (98%)	106 (95%)
Lincoln	21 (51%)	43 (88%)	42 (86%)	40 (82%)	46 (94%)	39 (98%)	39 (98%)	36 (92%)
Linn	11 (41%)	28 (82%)	24 (71%)	29 (85%)	28 (82%)	22 (85%)	24 (92%)	25 (96%)
Malheur	4 (40%)	10 (83%)	7 (58%)	11 (92%)	11 (92%)	10 (91%)	11 (100%)	10 (91%)

<sup>57</sup> The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) not sure, (4) disagree, (5) strongly disagree. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, “agree” or “strongly agree.”

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials For Their Children That Positively Reflected Their Cultural Background	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Marion	92 (62%)	156 (93%)	146 (86%)	140 (86%)	146 (90%)	130 (95%)	131 (97%)	133 (97%)
Morrow	7 (54%)	13 (93%)	11 (85%)	10 (71%)	13 (93%)	11 (85%)	11 (85%)	11 (85%)
Multnomah	153 (63%)	283 (94%)	257 (85%)	250 (87%)	262 (91%)	193 (92%)	202 (96%)	198 (95%)
Polk	31 (69%)	49 (94%)	44 (85%)	44 (85%)	47 (90%)	42 (100%)	42 (100%)	42 (100%)
Sherman	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)
Tillamook	18 (56%)	34 (92%)	29 (78%)	30 (94%)	30 (94%)	24 (96%)	24 (96%)	23 (92%)
Umatilla	4 (36%)	14 (82%)	13 (81%)	10 (71%)	11 (79%)	9 (82%)	9 (90%)	10 (100%)
Union	9 (69%)	15 (94%)	13 (81%)	15 (94%)	16 (100%)	11 (100%)	11 (100%)	11 (100%)
Wallowa	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	3 (60%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)
Wasco	19 (48%)	36 (84%)	31 (72%)	35 (81%)	40 (93%)	35 (90%)	40 (100%)	37 (93%)
Washington	151 (59%)	279 (93%)	250 (84%)	243 (84%)	261 (91%)	210 (93%)	225 (99%)	223 (98%)
Yamhill	25 (69%)	40 (95%)	32 (76%)	38 (90%)	38 (90%)	33 (97%)	34 (100%)	31 (91%)
<b>State</b>	<b>885 (54%)</b>	<b>1,730 (90%)</b>	<b>1,563 (82%)</b>	<b>1,566 (85%)</b>	<b>1,655 (89%)</b>	<b>1,361 (93%)</b>	<b>1,434 (98%)</b>	<b>1,397 (95%)</b>

<sup>56</sup> The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) not sure, (4) disagree, (5) strongly disagree. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, “agree” or “strongly agree.”

**Table 35: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness<sup>58</sup>**

County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
Benton	30	26 (87%)	42	38 (90%)	49	49 (100%)	33	30 (91%)	27	17 (63%)
Clackamas	99	87 (88%)	108	96 (89%)	142	142 (100%)	97	87 (90%)	70	44 (63%)
Clatsop	15	15 (100%)	13	12 (92%)	20	20 (100%)	10	9 (90%)	13	13 (100%)
Columbia	13	13 (100%)	7	7 (100%)	22	22 (100%)	7	6 (86%)	11	11 (100%)
Coos	7	7 (100%)	8	7 (88%)	11	11 (100%)	8	8 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Crook	12	10 (83%)	12	11 (92%)	23	23 (100%)	11	10 (91%)	10	7 (70%)
Curry	11	9 (82%)	13	11 (85%)	13	13 (100%)	12	11 (92%)	7	4 (57%)
Deschutes	74	70 (95%)	84	80 (95%)	102	102 (100%)	72	67 (93%)	54	50 (93%)
Douglas	33	31 (94%)	32	32 (100%)	47	47 (100%)	36	35 (97%)	27	27 (100%)
Gilliam	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Grant	2	0 (0%)	4	4 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	2	1 (50%)
Harney	10	9 (90%)	7	7 (100%)	12	12 (100%)	10	9 (90%)	7	7 (100%)
Hood River	28	27 (96%)	27	22 (81%)	31	31 (100%)	24	22 (92%)	25	22 (88%)
Jackson	44	40 (91%)	30	27 (90%)	69	69 (100%)	28	23 (82%)	27	16 (59%)
Jefferson	19	13 (68%)	22	21 (95%)	29	29 (100%)	21	18 (86%)	15	9 (60%)
Josephine	54	54 (100%)	39	35 (90%)	65	63 (97%)	35	31 (89%)	30	23 (77%)
Klamath	13	10 (77%)	20	17 (85%)	27	27 (100%)	25	21 (84%)	13	6 (46%)
Lake	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)		--
Lane	98	84 (86%)	102	99 (97%)	139	138 (99%)	95	90 (95%)	66	53 (80%)
Lincoln	38	31 (82%)	30	29 (97%)	46	46 (100%)	29	24 (83%)	21	12 (57%)
Linn	18	16 (89%)	22	19 (86%)	34	34 (100%)	24	22 (92%)	12	9 (75%)
Malheur	4	4 (100%)	6	5 (83%)	13	13 (100%)	8	7 (88%)	2	2 (100%)
Marion	124	115 (93%)	119	116 (97%)	167	167 (100%)	100	98 (98%)	106	91 (86%)
Morrow	8	6 (75%)	12	10 (83%)	14	14 (100%)	7	6 (86%)	9	7 (78%)

<sup>58</sup> Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "How much, if at all, has Healthy Start helped you" items are rated as 1= helped a lot, 2 = helped a little, 3 = hasn't helped. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "helped a little" or "helped a lot."



County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
<b>Multnomah</b>	218	199 (91%)	191	175 (92%)	298	298 (100%)	154	135 (88%)	178	148 (83%)
<b>Polk</b>	41	38 (93%)	41	40 (98%)	51	51 (100%)	41	39 (95%)	36	29 (81%)
<b>Sherman</b>	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2	1 (50%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	31	30 (97%)	27	26 (96%)	36	36 (100%)	27	26 (96%)	13	11 (85%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	7	6 (86%)	13	12 (92%)	17	17 (100%)	13	9 (69%)	9	6 (67%)
<b>Union</b>	6	6 (100%)	8	8 (100%)	16	16 (100%)	8	8 (100%)	6	6 (100%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	3	3 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	3	2 (67%)
<b>Wasco</b>	30	29 (97%)	30	30 (100%)	41	41 (100%)	27	26 (96%)	19	17 (89%)
<b>Washington</b>	239	217 (91%)	203	189 (93%)	294	292 (99%)	159	139 (87%)	178	126 (71%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	35	30 (86%)	26	24 (92%)	41	41 (100%)	27	26 (96%)	24	20 (83%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,368</b>	<b>1,238 (90%)</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>1,219 (93%)</b>	<b>1,886</b>	<b>1881 (100%)</b>	<b>1,163</b>	<b>1,057 (91%)</b>	<b>1,025</b>	<b>800 (78%)</b>

<sup>57</sup> Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "How much, if at all, has Healthy Start helped you" items are rated as 1= helped a lot, 2 = helped a little, 3 = hasn't helped. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "helped a little" or "helped a lot."

**Table 36: Parent Stress & Income Change**

County	Number with Parenting Stress Information <sup>59</sup>	Number (%) Reduction in Parents' Stress After 6 Months	Number of Parents with Income Information <sup>60</sup>	Number (%) of Parents Reporting their Income Change has "Improved" After 6 Months
Benton	41	18 (44%)	51	22 (43%)
Clackamas	121	52 (43%)	168	29 (29%)
Clatsop	18	9 (50%)	21	3 (14%)
Columbia	20	10 (50%)	23	8 (35%)
Coos	3	2 (67%)	13	4 (31%)
Crook	18	7 (40%)	25	8 (32%)
Curry	12	3 (25%)	16	9 (56%)
Deschutes	85	34 (40%)	107	47 (44%)
Douglas	33	14 (42%)	70	24 (34%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	3	2 (67%)
Grant	2	0 (0%)	5	2 (40%)
Harney	3	0 (0%)	14	6 (43%)
Hood River	27	6 (22%)	37	9 (24%)
Jackson	59	21 (36%)	73	27 (37%)
Jefferson	26	12 (46%)	32	14 (44%)
Josephine	52	17 (33%)	71	19 (27%)
Klamath	24	9 (38%)	35	7 (20%)
Lake	1	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Lane	114	47 (41%)	154	42 (27%)
Lincoln	43	13 (30%)	54	26 (48%)
Linn	25	15 (60%)	38	15 (40%)
Malheur	9	3 (33%)	17	5 (29%)
Marion	124	45 (36%)	191	86 (45%)
Morrow	9	3 (33%)	15	11 (73%)
Multnomah	235	86 (37%)	351	114 (33%)
Polk	37	18 (49%)	53	23 (43%)

<sup>59</sup> Stress information is taken from a “parenting stress index” of items 7e, 7i, 7k, and 7p from the Parent Survey A.

<sup>60</sup> Income change information is obtained from item 10 on the Family Update.

County	Number with Parenting Stress Information <sup>59</sup>	Number (%) Reduction in Parents' Stress After 6 Months	Number of Parents with Income Information <sup>60</sup>	Number (%) of Parents Reporting their Income Change has "Improved" After 6 Months
Sherman	--	--	3	2 (67%)
Tillamook	23	6 (26%)	46	21 (46%)
Umatilla	9	3 (33%)	18	8 (44%)
Union	14	5 (36%)	16	6 (38%)
Wallowa	1	0 (0%)	5	0 (0%)
Wasco	31	10 (32%)	42	13 (31%)
Washington	186	67 (36%)	345	109 (32%)
Yamhill	34	15 (44%)	44	17 (39%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>550 (38%)</b>	<b>2,157</b>	<b>759 (35%)</b>

<sup>58</sup> Stress information is taken from a "parenting stress index" of items 7e, 7i, 7k, and 7p from the Parent Survey A.

<sup>59</sup> Income change information is obtained from item 10 on the Family Update.



**APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF ANALYSES, TERMS,  
AND DATA SOURCES**



## Glossary of Analyses, Terms, and Data Sources

### **Tables 1 & 2:**

Birth rate: The actual number of resident births recorded by Vital Statistics for the July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006, fiscal year available for download as of October 2006. This number is a proxy for the number of screens a program may be able to conduct.

Birth rates reported here represent first births for all counties, except for the following: Crook, Gilliam and Harney. These counties were serving all births during 2005-6, so the birth rate is based on all births during that period. Jefferson County served all births for the first 7 months of the fiscal year, so their birth rate includes all births from July – January, and first births from February – June.

Vital statistics information is recorded for the mother only. Therefore it is possible that programs serving a high proportion of first time fathers may have a higher screening rate compared to their resident (mothers') births.

Screening Rate: For a family to be included in the screening rate, programs must (1) submit the NBQ to the evaluation team in time to be included in the analyses (by October 15, 2006 for screens dated July 2005 through June 2006), or (2) have a screening date entered into the OCCF Family Manager data system by October 18, 2006, or (3) have submitted end of the year additional contacts indicating screens performed on families not wishing to share their information with the evaluation.

Rate of Declines: Information on families declining Healthy Start information is collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers.

### **Table 3:**

Time to Screen: The data for calculating “Time to Screen” comes from counting the number of days between the date of birth and the date of screen. These variables are taken from the NBQ. In the event the NBQ has not yet been submitted to the evaluation, the dates are taken from Family Manager. Prenatal screens are counted as zero days.

### **Table 4:**

During the 2005-06 Fiscal Year, a high-risk screen was defined as 1 or more risk factors on the NBQ. NBQs are typically completed either by the parent or by Healthy Start staff. A Spanish language version of the NBQ is available for those families preferring to read in that language.

These risk factors include:

- Being a teen mom (age 17 or younger) (Item 6b)
- Being single (Item 12)
- Having prenatal care more than 12 weeks into pregnancy or not at all (Item 13a)
- Having less than 5 health care visits while pregnant (Item 13b)
- Having less than a high school diploma or GED (Item 14)

- Both mother and spouse/partner (if present) being unemployed (Items 15 and 16)
- Reporting difficulty paying for living expenses some/most of the time (Item 17)
- Having a recent period (2+ weeks) feeling sad, hopeless or depressed (Item 18)
- Having some or serious problems with family relationships (Item 19)
- Feeling the need to cut down on drug/alcohol use (Item 20).

**Table 5:**

Information about mother's race/ethnicity is taken from Item 7 on the NBQ. Choices listed on the NBQ reflect any race/ethnicity in which there was at least 1% representation in any of Oregon's counties at the time the instrument was developed.

**Table 6:**

Language: Information on language spoken in the household is taken from Item 10 of the NBQ.

Teen Mothers: A teen mother is defined by Healthy Start as any mother who is age 17 or younger at the time of delivery. Mother's age is taken either from Item 6b on the NBQ (your current age) or is calculated by comparing Item 6a (mother's date of birth) to the date of the screen, to calculate mother's age at the time of screening.

Single Mothers: Marital status is determined by the answer to Item 12 on the NBQ.

Education Level: Determined by mother's response to Item 14 on the NBQ.

**Table 7:**

Health Insurance: Information about health insurance at the time of screening is taken from Items 11a (for mothers) and 11b (for baby) on the NBQ.

Prenatal Care: Information on prenatal care received is taken from Items 13a and 13b on the NBQ.

**Table 8:**

Risk factors reported are taken from responses to the following items on the NBQ:

- Parents' employment is calculated by looking at both responses to Item 15 and 16 on the NBQ. Both parents need to be unemployed in order for this Item to be counted as a risk factor.
- Trouble paying for basic living expenses is taken from responses to Item 17 on the NBQ.
- Symptoms of depression are taken from responses to Item 18 on the NBQ.
- Information on alcohol and drug use is taken from Item 20 on the NBQ.
- Information about relationship issues is taken from Item 19 on the NBQ.



**Table 9:**

Those families who have both a positive NBQ (at least 1 risk factor) and a “moderate” or higher score (25 or more out of 100) on the Kempe Inventory are counted as eligible for Intensive Service<sup>1</sup>.

Families offered and accepted service are identified during FY 2005-06 by program responses to Items A & B on the Kempe Inventory. Occasionally, a family originally declining service may decide later that they wish to receive service. Programs can serve these families based on program capacity, however individuals that fall into this scenario are not counted in the acceptance rate.

**Table 10:**

The duplicated count of Intensive Service families provides information about families who received service from multiple programs. This most often occurs when a family begins receiving service in one Healthy Start program, moves to another community in Oregon, and continues services with their new local Healthy Start program.

The duplicate count was determined by counting the mother in both the county of mom’s residence at the time of the NBQ, and the county indicated on the most recent evaluation form (i.e., Family Update) submitted to the evaluation team.

Newly Enrolled Intensive Service families includes all families who had a screening date during FY 2005-06, and for whom a Kempe form indicating the family accepted Intensive Service, and/or those families in which a Family Intake or other evaluation form was submitted

Families served with Creative Outreach only is a count of families who only received creative outreach during 2005-06. For these families, their last home visit occurred in 2004-05, however programs continued attempts (phone calls, letters, scheduling home visits) to engage those families in service in the current fiscal year.

The reports of families served with Creative Outreach only during the fiscal year of study is determined by comparing the Creative Outreach start and end dates (on the Exit Form) with the date of the last home visit (on the Exit Form).

**Table 11:**

Families accepting Intensive Service and receiving a first home visit is calculated by comparing the number of families who have Kempe forms indicating “Accepted Intensive Service” compared with the number of Family Intakes submitted. In the event a Family Intake was not submitted, but the family has other evaluation data indicating visits took place, the assumption was made that the family had a first home visit and is included in the analyses.

---

<sup>1</sup> Starting fiscal year 2006-07, eligibility will be determined based on a NBQ score of 2 or more risk factors. Kempe assessments will no longer be used to determine eligibility.

Information on families not receiving a first home visit is taken from reasons on the Exit Form. However, families coded with one of these reasons, but who had other evaluation data submitted suggesting they had received a first home visit, were counted as having received a first home visit.

New Intensive Service families receiving their first home visit prenatally or within 3 months of the birth of the baby is calculated by subtracting the date of the first home visit (from Item 2 on the Family Intake) from the baby's date of birth (taken from the NBQ or Family Manager, when appropriate).

Healthy Start program managers submit home visit information by Home Visitor to the evaluation team quarterly. The evaluation team compiles the rate of expected home visits using Healthy Families America standards that state that families should receive 75% or more of the expected visits, given their level of services. The percentage of families who meet these criteria is reported.

**Tables 12 - 15:**

Demographic information on Intensive Service families is taken from items reported on the NBQ (see Tables 5-8).

**Tables 16 & 17:**

Kempe risk factors are taken from Items 1-10 of the Kempe Inventory Form. Items on the Kempe form are rated by the worker as: 0 (not applicable) 5 (mild) or 10 (severe). Data reported here is the highest rating on a given item for either parent.

**Table 18:**

Families offered service are those whose Kempe form indicated a positive assessment (Item A) and were offered service (Item B, excluding (1) not offered, caseload full and (2) not offered, other). Families for whom Kempe data are missing but who received Intensive Services are not included.

Counts of accepted service are indicated on the Kempe form, Item B.

**Table 19:**

Demographics of families accepting Intensive Service (Item B on the Kempe form) are taken from the NBQ, for families who accept Intensive Service only (see Table 18)

**Table 20:**

Retention was calculated using the HFA recommended analysis strategy, which involves taking a sample of families enrolled during a given period in the past, and reporting on their retention in the program 3, 6, and 12 months later. For these analyses, families enrolled in FY 2004-05 were selected, as this provided adequate time to be able to look at a 12-month retention period. All families who were enrolled in Intensive Services (specifically, who received a first home visit or had a Family Intake form submitted during FY 2004-05 were included in the retention analysis. **However, families with an exit form indicating "moved" as an exit reason were not included in these analyses.**

**Tables 21 & 22:**

Demographic descriptions of “retained” families are based on responses to the NBQ from families enrolled during the 2004-05 fiscal year who remained in the program for 12 months or longer.

**Table 23:**

Average age at exit is determined by calculating the age of the child at the time of exit, using the birth date (from the NBQ) and the date of the last home visit (Exit Form).

Reasons for participants exiting the program are based on Healthy Start workers’ reports, as indicated on the Exit Form.

Other reasons include: parent in jail, child removed from custody, child deceased, concerns about FSW safety, and family transferred to another program. Programs were also given the option to describe reasons not listed on the exit form under “other.”

**Tables 24 - 26:**

Health care information comes from the most recently received Family Update.

- Parent’s primary health care provider information: Item 29
- Child’s primary health care provider information: Item 28
- Well-child check up information: Item 21
- Child’s health insurance information: Item 22
- Emergency room use: Item 23
- Immunization information: Item 20a

Analyses describing children lacking health insurance on the NBQ but having it at the most recent follow-up are determined by comparing Item 11b on the NBQ to Item 22 on the Family Update, for that group of children who had both pieces of information present.

Analyses describing the percentage of children immunized by age two examine the response to Item 20a on the Family Update only for those children who are 2 years of age (by comparing the child’s birth date to the date of the most recent Family Update form).

**Table 27:**

Comprehensive prenatal care for the initial and subsequent pregnancies is based on the mother receiving BOTH early (1<sup>st</sup> trimester) and comprehensive (5 or more check ups) prenatal care (Items 13a and 13b on the NBQ, and Item 31b on the Family Update).

Only mothers indicating they are currently pregnant with a subsequent pregnancy (on Item 31 of the Family Update), and who have information on subsequent prenatal care, are included in the analyses.

**Table 28 & 29:**

ASQ and ASQ-SE developmental assessments are administered by home visitors as early as 4 months of age, however the earliest the information is reported to the evaluation is on the 6 month Family Update. In order to be included in the “eligible for screening” data, children

had to be at least 6 months old, and therefore to be eligible to have a Family Update form completed.

If Family Updates indicated the child's development was being tracked by early intervention or that the child was receiving early intervention services, the family was given credit for having a screening even if there was no screening information on the Family Update. This is because home visitors are not required to do the developmental assessment if the child is receiving developmental services through Early Intervention.

The Home Visitor reports information on child's developmental status (normal, delays indicated, other) on the Family Update form (Items 36b and 37b).

Children with confirmed developmental delays are reported on the Family Update (Item 33).

Receipt of Early Intervention services (related to ASQ) is reported on the Family Update (Item 35).

Referrals/connections to other services (related to ASQ-SE) is reported on the Family Update (Item 37c)

**Table 30:**

Connecting the family to needed resources is taken from information reported by the home visitor on the 6-month Family Update (Item 11). Specifically, workers who indicated "Yes" on the question "Did anyone in the family lack the needed service since the Family Intake" have those families included in analyses. Families were included in the analysis if the worker indicated that they lacked the given service and if there was a response to the follow-up question, "Did you connect any family members to the needed service." Only families with responses to both parts of the question are included in the analyses.

**Table 31:**

Family outcomes and life events are taken from the most recent Family Update responses to Item 19.

**Table 32:**

Parenting skills and ability to help child learn are taken from Items 5 and 6 on the Parent Survey A. Data in this report is examined at 6 months and 12 months.

**Table 33:**

Measures of Parent-Child Interaction are obtained from parent self-report on the Parent Survey II, Part A. The activities described in Item 4 are examined for their frequency of "a few times a week", "about once a day" or "more than once a day".

HOME information is taken from workers' in-home observation of the home environment. Percentages for "good" or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

The home visitor reports information on families reading to their child 3 or more times a week on Item 42 of the HOME inventory.

**Table 34:**

Ratings of staff cultural competency are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B, to the following items:

- Item 7b: Program staff encourage me to learn about my culture
- Item 7e: Program staff respect my family's cultural and/or religious beliefs
- Item 7g: Program staff have materials for my child that positively reflect our cultural background

Ratings of staff strengths orientation are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B, to the following items, which ask about the extent to which Healthy Start has provided help.

- Item 7a: Help me to see strengths in myself I didn't know I had
- Item 7c: Help me to use my own skills and resources to solve problems
- Item 7d: Work together with me to meet my needs
- Item 7f: Help me to see that I am a good parent
- Item 7h: Encourage me to think about my own personal goals or dreams

**Table 35:**

Ratings of home visitor helpfulness are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II, Part B, on the following items:

- Item 6b: Need for basic household resources
- Item 6d: Develop positive relationships with family or friends
- Item 6c: Need for information on parenting and child growth/development
- Item 6f: Emotional issues: feeling depressed, overloaded with stress
- Item 6j: Need for education, job training or help with employment

Items are rated as 1= helped a lot, 2 = helped a little, 3 = hasn't helped. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "helped a little" or "helped a lot."

**Table 36:**

Information on parent stress is taken from the Parenting Stress Index, on the Parent Survey, Part A. The following items are averaged, and the percentage of families whose scores increase vs. decrease from the first to the second Parent Survey are calculated.

- Item 7e: I find myself giving more of my life to meet my child's needs than I ever expected
- 7i: I feel trapped by my responsibilities as a parent
- 7k: Since having a baby, I am almost never able to do the things that I like to do
- 7p: Having a baby has caused more problems than I expected in my relationships

Income change information is obtained from the home visitor's most recent response to Item 10 on the Family Update for a family.