

**APPENDIX A: HEALTHY START OF OREGON 2007-2008
STATUS REPORT DATA TABLES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening of First Time Parents.....	44
Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service	46
Table 3: Time to Screen.....	48
Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families	50
Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity	52
Table 6: Demographics of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level.....	54
Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening.....	56
Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships.....	58
Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service.....	60
Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service.....	62
Table 11: Home Visit Completion.....	64
Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity	66
Table 13: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level.....	68
Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening of Intensive Service Families	70
Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships.....	72
Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service	74
Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics	76
Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity	78
Table 19-A: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors	80
Table 19-B: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors	82
Table 20-A: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2005-06	84
Table 20-B: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2006-07.....	86
Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2006-07.....	88
Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2006-07	90
Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion.....	92
Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups.....	94

Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room	96
Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children: Immunizations.....	98
Table 27-A: Comparison of Prenatal Care and prenatal smoking for families served pre & post natally	100
Table 27-B: Comparison of Health Outcomes for families served pre & post natally.....	102
Table 28: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births.....	104
Table 29: Developmental Screening.....	106
Table 30: Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions	108
Table 31: Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions.....	110
Table 32: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families.....	112
Table 33: Family Outcomes and Life Events	114
Table 34: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn	116
Table 35: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME Outcomes, & Reading.....	118
Table 36: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors	120
Table 37: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness.....	122
Table 38: Healthy Start Service Delivery Indicators 2007-08.....	124
Table 39: Healthy Start Outcome Indicators 2007-08	126

Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening of First Time Parents

County	First Birth Rate (From Vital Statistics ¹)	Resident First Births Screened ² (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number (%) of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation ³	Total First Birth Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened ⁴
Benton	325	182	12	194	60%
Clackamas	1,660	765	16	781	47%
Clatsop	179	25	4	29	16%
Columbia	194	65	0	65	34%
Coos	285	78	10	88	31%
Crook	106	58	0	58	55%
Curry	61	9	0	9	15%
Deschutes	901	347	34	381	42%
Douglas	490	230	0	230	47%
Gilliam ^a	5	3	0	3	60%
Grant	26	15	0	15	58%
Harney	35	3	0	3	9%
Hood River ^b	115	67	0	67	58%
Jackson	1,098	501	26	527	48%
Jefferson	107	41	0	41	38%
Josephine	349	181	6	187	54%
Klamath	362	254	0	254	70%
Lane	1,653	749	0	749	45%

¹ Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (www.dhs.state.or.us/dhs/ph/chs/data) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded October 2008 for the period July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008.

² Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire submitted to NPC or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

³ This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers.

⁴ Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers and to mothers who did not parent their first child. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers and mothers who did not previously parent is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100%.

^a These counties are part of a single program, Gilliam/Wheeler Healthy Start.

^b These counties are part of a single program, Healthy Start of the Columbia Gorge.

County	First Birth Rate (From Vital Statistics ¹)	Resident First Births Screened ² (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number (%) of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation ³	Total First Birth Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened ⁴
Lincoln	195	175	0	175	90%
Linn	602	335	14	349	58%
Malheur	145	59	0	59	41%
Marion	1,840	1,154	1	1,155	63%
Morrow	40	34	3	37	93%
Multnomah	4,707	3,118	0	3,118	66%
Polk	363	246	7	253	70%
Sherman ^b	8	7	0	7	88%
Tillamook	105	23	0	23	22%
Umatilla	321	108	3	111	35%
Union	118	75	0	75	64%
Wallowa	27	18	0	18	67%
Wasco ^b	119	87	2	89	75%
Washington	3,136	617	0	617	20%
Wheeler ^a	2	2	0	2	100%
Yamhill	543	119	9	128	24%
State	20,324	9,750	147	9,897	49%

¹ Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (www.dhs.state.or.us/dhs/ph/chs/data) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded October 2008 for the period July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008.

² Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire submitted to NPC or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

³ This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers.

⁴ Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers and to mothers who did not parent their first child. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers and mothers who did not previously parent is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100%.

^a These counties are part of a single program, Gilliam/Wheeler Healthy Start.

^b These counties are part of a single program, Healthy Start of the Columbia Gorge.

Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service

County	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/ Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/ Service ⁵	Percent of Families who Declined Information or Screening	Number (%) of Offered Families Screened	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information ⁶	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening ⁶	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened ⁶
Benton	225	69%	31 (14%)	194 (86%)	0 (0%)	31 (14%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	1,087	65%	164 (15%)	781 (72%)	47 (4%)	117 (11%)	142 (13%)
Clatsop	77	43%	12 (16%)	29 (38%)	0 (0%)	12 (16%)	36 (47%)
Columbia	106	55%	11 (10%)	65 (61%)	11 (10%)	0 (0%)	30 (28%)
Coos	248	87%	160 (65%)	88 (35%)	0 (0%)	160 (65%)	0 (0%)
Crook	80	75%	1 (1%)	58 (73%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	21 (26%)
Curry	9	15%	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	672	75%	278 (41%)	381 (57%)	29 (4%)	249 (37%)	13 (2%)
Douglas	252	51%	20 (8%)	230 (91%)	2 (1%)	18 (7%)	2 (1%)
Gilliam	6	100%	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)
Grant	19	73%	2 (11%)	15 (79%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (11%)
Harney	3	9%	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	77	67%	6 (8%)	67 (87%)	2 (3%)	4 (5%)	4 (5%)
Jackson	661	60%	121 (18%)	527 (80%)	59 (9%)	62 (9%)	13 (2%)
Jefferson	54	50%	4 (7%)	41 (76%)	0 (0%)	4 (7%)	9 (17%)
Josephine	286	82%	99 (35%)	187 (65%)	79 (28%)	20 (7%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	272	75%	7 (3%)	254 (93%)	5 (2%)	2 (1%)	11 (4%)
Lane	908	55%	15 (2%)	749 (82%)	0 (0%)	15 (2%)	144 (16%)

⁵ Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the total families screened (Table 1) divided by the total families offered screening/service.

⁶ Information on families declining Healthy Start information, screening, or other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers. Percent of families declining information or screening does not include families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened.

County	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/Service ⁵	Percent of Families who Declined Information or Screening	Number (%) of Offered Families Screened	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information ⁶	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening ⁶	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened ⁶
Lincoln	224	100%	49 (21%)	175 (78%)	1 (<1%)	48 (21%)	0 (0%)
Linn	410	68%	56 (14%)	349 (85%)	0 (0%)	56 (14%)	5 (1%)
Malheur	59	41%	0 (0%)	59 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	1,488	81%	119 (8%)	1,155 (78%)	107(7%)	12 (1%)	214 (14%)
Morrow	42	100%	5 (12%)	37 (88%)	5 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	3,446	73%	328 (10%)	3,118 (90%)	288 (8%)	40 (1%)	0 (0%)
Polk	263	72%	10 (4%)	253 (96%)	10 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	7	88%	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	71	68%	17 (24%)	23 (32%)	1 (1%)	16 (23%)	31 (44%)
Umatilla	191	60%	0 (0%)	111 (58%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	80 (42%)
Union	75	64%	0 (0%)	75 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	19	70%	0 (0%)	18 (95%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)
Wasco	98	82%	1 (1%)	89 (91%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	8 (8%)
Washington	794	25%	159 (20%)	617 (78%)	158 (20%)	1 (<1%)	18 (2%)
Wheeler	4	100%	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)
Yamhill	173	32%	43 (25%)	128 (74%)	1 (1%)	42 (24%)	2 (1%)
State	12,406	61%	1,718 (14%)	9,897 (80%)	807 (7%)	911 (7%)	791 (6%)

⁵ Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the total families screened (Table 1) divided by the total families offered screening/service.

⁶ Information on families declining Healthy Start information, screening, or other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers. Percent of families declining information or screening does not include families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened.

Table 3: Time to Screen⁷

County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median ⁸ Days to Screen ⁹
Benton	182	7 (4%)	163 (90%)	170 (93%)	12 (7%)	2
Clackamas	759	383 (50%)	316 (42%)	699 (92%)	60 (8%)	0
Clatsop	24	14 (58%)	3 (13%)	17 (71%)	7 (29%)	0
Columbia	65	25 (38%)	24 (37%)	49 (75%)	16 (25%)	6
Coos	78	61 (78%)	5 (6%)	66 (85%)	12 (15%)	0
Crook	55	5 (9%)	38 (69%)	43 (78%)	12 (22%)	11
Curry	9	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	57
Deschutes	345	303 (88%)	17 (5%)	320 (93%)	25 (7%)	0
Douglas	227	54 (24%)	153 (67%)	207 (91%)	20 (9%)	1
Gilliam	3	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	35
Grant	15	9 (60%)	4 (27%)	13 (87%)	2 (13%)	0
Harney	3	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0
Hood River	67	48 (72%)	6 (9%)	54 (81%)	13 (19%)	0
Jackson	495	353 (71%)	116 (23%)	469 (95%)	26 (5%)	0
Jefferson	41	22 (54%)	6 (15%)	28 (68%)	13 (32%)	0
Josephine	181	37 (20%)	136 (75%)	173 (96%)	8 (4%)	1
Klamath	253	56 (22%)	179 (71%)	235 (93%)	18 (7%)	1
Lane	746	45 (6%)	470 (63%)	515 (69%)	231 (31%)	3

⁷ Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby's birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

⁸ The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

⁹ Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.

County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median ⁸ Days to Screen ⁹
Lincoln	175	131 (75%)	28 (16%)	159 (91%)	16 (9%)	0
Linn	332	110 (33%)	141 (42%)	251 (76%)	81 (24%)	2
Malheur	58	39 (67%)	11 (19%)	50 (86%)	8 (14%)	0
Marion	1151	186 (16%)	937 (81%)	1,123 (98%)	28 (2%)	1
Morrow	33	23 (70%)	3 (9%)	26 (79%)	7 (21%)	0
Multnomah	3106	303 (10%)	2,566 (83%)	2,869 (92%)	237 (8%)	1
Polk	244	46 (19%)	188 (77%)	234 (96%)	10 (4%)	1
Sherman	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0
Tillamook	23	5 (22%)	8 (35%)	13 (57%)	10 (43%)	10
Umatilla	108	33 (31%)	39 (36%)	72 (67%)	36 (33%)	9
Union	75	7 (9%)	63 (84%)	70 (93%)	5 (7%)	1
Wallowa	16	4 (25%)	6 (38%)	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	2.5
Wasco	83	76 (92%)	4 (5%)	80 (96%)	3 (4%)	0
Washington	612	419 (68%)	122 (20%)	541 (88%)	71 (12%)	0
Wheeler	2	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	47
Yamhill	119	28 (24%)	69 (58%)	97 (82%)	22 (18%)	2
State	9,692	2,842 (29%)	5,823 (60%)	8,665 (89%)	1,027 (11%)	1

⁷ Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby's birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

⁸ The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

⁹ Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.

Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information ¹⁰	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF (except depression or substance use)	Number (%) of Families Screened as "Higher Risk"	Number (%) of Families Screened with either Depression or Substance Use RF Only ¹¹	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 or more RFs
Benton	166	81 (49%)	33 (20%)	52 (31%)	7 (4%)	22 (13%)	14 (8%)	7 (4%)	2 (1%)
Clackamas	697	203 (29%)	126 (18%)	368 (53%)	9 (1%)	122 (18%)	102 (15%)	74 (11%)	61 (9%)
Clatsop	18	2 (11%)	1 (6%)	15 (83%)	0 (0%)	3 (17%)	3 (17%)	4 (22%)	5 (28%)
Columbia	61	9 (15%)	2 (3%)	50 (82%)	0 (0%)	11 (18%)	16 (26%)	7 (11%)	16 (26%)
Coos	63	5 (8%)	7 (11%)	51 (81%)	3 (5%)	14 (22%)	15 (24%)	9 (14%)	10 (16%)
Crook	58	20 (34%)	11 (19%)	27 (47%)	1 (2%)	16 (28%)	6 (10%)	3 (5%)	1 (2%)
Curry	8	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	7 (88%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	3 (38%)	2 (25%)	1 (13%)
Deschutes	327	76 (23%)	70 (21%)	181 (55%)	12 (4%)	64 (20%)	47 (14%)	23 (7%)	35 (11%)
Douglas	218	43 (20%)	38 (17%)	137 (63%)	6 (3%)	54 (25%)	38 (17%)	23 (11%)	16 (7%)
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	15	1 (7%)	6 (40%)	8 (53%)	0 (0%)	4 (27%)	2 (13%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Hood River	66	19 (29%)	11 (17%)	36 (55%)	1 (2%)	13 (20%)	12 (18%)	4 (6%)	6 (9%)
Jackson	341	25 (7%)	44 (13%)	272 (80%)	0 (0%)	71 (21%)	74 (22%)	65 (19%)	62 (18%)
Jefferson	33	6 (18%)	8 (24%)	19 (58%)	0 (0%)	3 (9%)	5 (15%)	2 (6%)	9 (27%)
Josephine	176	29 (16%)	33 (19%)	114 (65%)	2 (1%)	45 (26%)	26 (15%)	23 (13%)	18 (10%)
Klamath	253	64 (25%)	47 (19%)	142 (56%)	2 (1%)	43 (17%)	39 (15%)	25 (10%)	33 (13%)
Lane	746	235 (32%)	108 (14%)	403 (54%)	14 (2%)	98 (13%)	99 (13%)	78 (10%)	114 (15%)

¹⁰ Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

¹¹ The risk indicators for depression and substance use are the only single indicators allowing a family to be eligible for Intensive Service.

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information ¹⁰	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF (except depression or substance use)	Number (%) of Families Screened as "Higher Risk"	Number (%) of Families Screened with either Depression or Substance Use RF Only ¹¹	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 or more RFs
Lincoln	128	11 (9%)	23 (18%)	94 (73%)	0 (0%)	30 (23%)	30 (23%)	17 (13%)	17 (13%)
Linn	273	75 (27%)	50 (18%)	148 (54%)	5 (2%)	52 (19%)	47 (17%)	27 (10%)	17 (6%)
Malheur	57	3 (5%)	12 (21%)	42 (74%)	0 (0%)	12 (21%)	4 (7%)	14 (25%)	12 (21%)
Marion	1,085	241 (22%)	211 (19%)	633 (58%)	30 (3%)	225 (21%)	157 (14%)	109 (10%)	112 (10%)
Morrow	20	3 (15%)	2 (10%)	15 (75%)	0 (0%)	3 (15%)	7 (35%)	1 (5%)	4 (20%)
Multnomah	3,094	1,125 (36%)	450 (15%)	1519 (49%)	80 (3%)	453 (15%)	389 (13%)	290 (9%)	307 (10%)
Polk	237	77 (32%)	36 (15%)	124 (52%)	10 (4%)	27 (11%)	33 (14%)	29 (12%)	25 (11%)
Sherman	7	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)
Tillamook	21	1 (5%)	3 (14%)	17 (81%)	0 (0%)	5 (24%)	6 (29%)	2 (10%)	4 (19%)
Umatilla	99	12 (12%)	11 (11%)	76 (77%)	2 (2%)	22 (22%)	18 (18%)	15 (15%)	19 (19%)
Union	75	20 (27%)	19 (25%)	36 (48%)	1 (1%)	16 (21%)	7 (9%)	10 (13%)	2 (3%)
Wallowa	17	7 (41%)	1 (6%)	9 (53%)	0 (0%)	4 (24%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)
Wasco	81	9 (11%)	14 (17%)	58 (72%)	1 (1%)	26 (32%)	10 (12%)	10 (12%)	11 (14%)
Washington	545	46 (8%)	35 (6%)	464 (85%)	8 (1%)	56 (10%)	124 (23%)	126 (23%)	150 (28%)
Wheeler	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	115	16 (14%)	15 (13%)	84 (73%)	1 (1%)	25 (22%)	21 (18%)	21 (18%)	16 (14%)
State	9,106	2,469 (27%)	1429 (16%)	5,208 (57%)	195 (2%)	1,540 (17%)	1,360 (15%)	1,022 (11%)	1,091 (12%)

¹⁰ Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

¹¹ The risk indicators for depression and substance use are the only single indicators allowing a family to be eligible for Intensive Service.

Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity¹²

County	Number of Screened Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ¹³	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial ¹⁴	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	166	1 (1%)	13 (8%)	14 (8%)	2 (1%)	123 (74%)	9 (5%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)
Clackamas	697	7 (1%)	101 (14%)	28 (4%)	4 (1%)	515 (74%)	31 (4%)	9 (1%)	2 (<1%)
Clatsop	18	0 (0%)	4 (22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12 (67%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	61	1 (2%)	5 (8%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	50 (82%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Coos	63	1 (2%)	5 (8%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	48 (76%)	7 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Crook	58	0 (0%)	6 (10%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	48 (83%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	327	1 (<1%)	20 (6%)	7 (2%)	2 (1%)	287 (88%)	8 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
Douglas	218	1 (<1%)	17 (8%)	3 (1%)	3 (1%)	188 (86%)	5 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (<1%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	15	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (93%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	66	1 (2%)	23 (35%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	37 (56%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	341	3 (1%)	61 (18%)	4 (1%)	5 (1%)	257 (75%)	6 (2%)	4 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Jefferson	33	0 (0%)	15 (45%)	0 (0%)	3 (9%)	14 (42%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	176	0 (0%)	5 (3%)	4 (2%)	3 (2%)	149 (85%)	11 (6%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Klamath	253	0 (0%)	26 (10%)	0 (0%)	17 (7%)	184 (73%)	24 (9%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
Lane	746	8 (1%)	72 (10%)	28 (4%)	4 (1%)	567 (76%)	54 (7%)	6 (1%)	7 (1%)

¹² Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver’s race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

¹³ Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

¹⁴ Families categorized as “Multiracial” identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

County	Number of Screened Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ¹³	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial ¹⁴	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Lincoln	128	0 (0%)	15 (12%)	0 (0%)	7 (5%)	96 (75%)	9 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Linn	273	0 (0%)	31 (11%)	4 (1%)	3 (1%)	220 (81%)	13 (5%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	57	0 (0%)	27 (47%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	24 (42%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (9%)
Marion	1,085	10 (1%)	336 (31%)	39 (4%)	18 (2%)	609 (56%)	54 (5%)	16 (1%)	3 (<1%)
Morrow	20	0 (0%)	11 (55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	3,094	228 (7%)	435 (14%)	237 (8%)	25 (1%)	2,024 (65%)	121 (4%)	18 (1%)	6 (<1%)
Polk	237	2 (1%)	44 (19%)	2 (1%)	4 (2%)	162 (68%)	16 (7%)	4 (2%)	3 (1%)
Sherman	7	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	21	0 (0%)	5 (24%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16 (76%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	99	0 (0%)	33 (33%)	1 (1%)	4 (4%)	55 (56%)	6 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Union	75	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)	1 (1%)	66 (88%)	3 (4%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	17	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	16 (94%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	81	0 (0%)	16 (20%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	60 (74%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Washington	545	9 (2%)	280 (51%)	21 (4%)	3 (1%)	208 (38%)	13 (2%)	9 (2%)	2 (<1%)
Wheeler	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	115	0 (0%)	17 (15%)	4 (3%)	1 (1%)	83 (72%)	4 (3%)	4 (3%)	2 (2%)
State	9,106	273 (3%)	1,625 (18%)	403 (4%)	113 (1%)	6,156 (68%)	414 (5%)	79 (1%)	43 (<1%)

¹² Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

¹³ Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

¹⁴ Families categorized as "Multiracial" identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 6: Demographics¹⁵ of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level¹⁶

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Benton	139 (84%)	13 (8%)	14 (8%)	2 (1%)	44 (27%)	13 (8%)
Clackamas	580 (83%)	88 (13%)	29 (4%)	46 (7%)	342 (50%)	115 (17%)
Clatsop	14 (78%)	4 (22%)	0 (0%)	2 (11%)	15 (83%)	6 (33%)
Columbia	58 (95%)	2 (3%)	1 (2%)	9 (15%)	45 (74%)	18 (30%)
Coos	61 (97%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	6 (10%)	44 (70%)	21 (33%)
Crook	52 (90%)	6 (10%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	25 (43%)	8 (14%)
Curry	7 (88%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	7 (88%)	2 (25%)
Deschutes	312 (96%)	12 (4%)	0 (0%)	13 (4%)	147 (45%)	31 (10%)
Douglas	214 (98%)	3 (1%)	1 (<1%)	19 (9%)	127 (59%)	47 (22%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Grant	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	9 (60%)	2 (13%)
Harney	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	44 (67%)	22 (33%)	0 (0%)	4 (6%)	30 (45%)	14 (21%)
Jackson	294 (86%)	46 (13%)	1 (<1%)	38 (11%)	231 (68%)	116 (34%)
Jefferson	20 (61%)	12 (36%)	1 (3%)	3 (9%)	16 (48%)	7 (21%)
Josephine	166 (95%)	4 (2%)	5 (3%)	20 (11%)	111 (63%)	44 (26%)
Klamath	235 (93%)	18 (7%)	0 (0%)	30 (12%)	140 (55%)	56 (22%)
Lane	681 (92%)	47 (6%)	14 (2%)	74 (10%)	414 (56%)	136 (19%)

¹⁵ Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver’s responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

¹⁶ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Lincoln	116 (91%)	12 (9%)	0 (0%)	17 (14%)	90 (73%)	38 (31%)
Linn	246 (90%)	25 (9%)	2 (1%)	20 (7%)	143 (53%)	47 (17%)
Malheur	45 (79%)	12 (21%)	0 (0%)	10 (18%)	41 (76%)	15 (42%)
Marion	770 (71%)	270 (25%)	45 (4%)	120 (11%)	610 (56%)	279 (26%)
Morrow	10 (50%)	10 (50%)	0 (0%)	5 (25%)	11 (58%)	10 (50%)
Multnomah	2,438 (79%)	387 (13%)	268 (9%)	210 (7%)	1,398 (45%)	596 (19%)
Polk	195 (83%)	33 (14%)	8 (3%)	25 (11%)	113 (48%)	52 (22%)
Sherman	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)
Tillamook	17 (81%)	4 (19%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	13 (62%)	6 (29%)
Umatilla	68 (69%)	30 (30%)	1 (1%)	24 (24%)	69 (70%)	29 (31%)
Union	73 (97%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	3 (4%)	42 (56%)	7 (9%)
Wallowa	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (47%)	2 (12%)
Wasco	67 (83%)	13 (16%)	1 (1%)	10 (12%)	57 (70%)	31 (38%)
Washington	319 (59%)	219 (40%)	7 (1%)	98 (18%)	366 (67%)	244 (45%)
Wheeler	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	93 (81%)	20 (17%)	2 (2%)	19 (17%)	73 (65%)	44 (39%)
State	7,378 (81%)	1,316 (14%)	402 (4%)	834 (9%)	4,788 (53%)	2,040 (23%)

¹⁵ Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

¹⁶ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening¹⁷

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Fewer Than Five Prenatal Care Visits
Benton	5 (3%)	8 (5%)	17 (10%)	4 (2%)
Clackamas	35 (5%)	83 (12%)	126 (18%)	6 (1%)
Clatsop	3 (17%)	2 (11%)	5 (28%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	5 (8%)	11 (18%)	11 (18%)	0 (0%)
Coos	6 (10%)	6 (11%)	14 (23%)	0 (0%)
Crook	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	6 (10%)	1 (2%)
Curry	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	13 (4%)	12 (4%)	84 (26%)	1 (2%)
Douglas	6 (3%)	6 (3%)	36 (17%)	3 (1%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1 (7%)	2 (15%)	5 (33%)	0 (0%)
Harney	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)
Hood River	10 (15%)	9 (14%)	6 (9%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	110 (33%)	110 (34%)	89 (26%)	4 (3%)
Jefferson	2 (6%)	1 (3%)	10 (30%)	1 (5%)
Josephine	4 (2%)	8 (5%)	32 (19%)	5 (3%)
Klamath	7 (3%)	8 (3%)	43 (17%)	2 (1%)
Lane	23 (3%)	10 (1%)	97 (13%)	25 (3%)

¹⁷ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Fewer Than Five Prenatal Care Visits
Lincoln	10 (8%)	12 (10%)	28 (24%)	1 (2%)
Linn	12 (4%)	12 (4%)	44 (16%)	2 (1%)
Malheur	8 (19%)	2 (10%)	32 (59%)	1 (6%)
Marion	37 (3%)	61 (6%)	255 (24%)	21 (2%)
Morrow	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	8 (40%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	37 (1%)	61 (2%)	593 (19%)	52 (2%)
Polk	0 (0%)	3 (1%)	47 (20%)	1 (0%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	7 (7%)	6 (6%)	16 (17%)	9 (12%)
Union	3 (4%)	2 (3%)	13 (18%)	1 (1%)
Wallowa	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	4 (24%)	1 (7%)
Wasco	9 (11%)	5 (6%)	8 (10%)	1 (7%)
Washington	148 (27%)	14 (7%)	213 (41%)	0 (0%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	2 (2%)	8 (8%)	23 (21%)	2 (2%)
State	511 (6%)	466 (5%)	1,878 (21%)	145 (2%)

¹⁷ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships¹⁸

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners--Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Benton	11 (7%)	49 (30%)	19 (12%)	1 (1%)	5 (3%)
Clackamas	101 (15%)	352 (51%)	133 (19%)	15 (2%)	70 (10%)
Clatsop	3 (17%)	13 (76%)	8 (44%)	0 (0%)	5 (28%)
Columbia	21 (34%)	41 (67%)	22 (37%)	7 (11%)	17 (28%)
Coos	16 (25%)	42 (68%)	20 (32%)	2 (3%)	9 (14%)
Crook	7 (12%)	24 (41%)	3 (5%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
Curry	3 (38%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	27 (8%)	179 (56%)	78 (24%)	28 (9%)	53 (17%)
Douglas	30 (14%)	101 (47%)	58 (27%)	6 (3%)	16 (7%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	2 (13%)	6 (40%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)
Harney	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)
Hood River	11 (17%)	35 (53%)	15 (23%)	0 (0%)	5 (8%)
Jackson	88 (26%)	240 (71%)	104 (31%)	19 (6%)	77 (23%)
Jefferson	10 (30%)	23 (70%)	8 (25%)	1 (3%)	5 (16%)
Josephine	37 (22%)	89 (53%)	34 (20%)	3 (2%)	17 (10%)
Klamath	65 (26%)	119 (47%)	52 (21%)	4 (2%)	30 (12%)
Lane	108 (15%)	332 (45%)	174 (24%)	30 (4%)	203 (28%)

¹⁸ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners--Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Lincoln	25 (20%)	82 (66%)	21 (17%)	2 (2%)	22 (17%)
Linn	32 (12%)	127 (47%)	53 (20%)	5 (2%)	28 (10%)
Malheur	11 (29%)	31 (82%)	20 (57%)	6 (16%)	11 (31%)
Marion	181 (17%)	420 (39%)	212 (20%)	9 (1%)	93 (9%)
Morrow	3 (15%)	13 (65%)	4 (20%)	1 (5%)	3 (16%)
Multnomah	457 (15%)	1,341 (44%)	460 (15%)	99 (3%)	250 (8%)
Polk	47 (20%)	86 (37%)	62 (26%)	3 (1%)	25 (11%)
Sherman	1 (17%)	2 (29%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)
Tillamook	7 (33%)	20 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	6 (29%)
Umatilla	20 (21%)	57 (59%)	35 (36%)	6 (6%)	14 (15%)
Union	9 (12%)	28 (38%)	12 (16%)	2 (3%)	8 (11%)
Wallowa	4 (24%)	7 (41%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)
Wasco	17 (21%)	40 (49%)	22 (27%)	4 (5%)	10 (13%)
Washington	159 (29%)	430 (79%)	228 (42%)	19 (4%)	114 (21%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	26 (23%)	66 (57%)	31 (27%)	2 (2%)	14 (13%)
State	1,539 (17%)	4,404 (49%)	1,898 (21%)	275 (3%)	1,118 (12%)

¹⁸ Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) ¹⁹	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS ²⁰	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined	Number (%) of Families Not Offered IS Because:			Number (%) of Families Declining IS Because:		
					Caseload Full	Unable to Contact Family	Other	Parent Too Busy	Parent Feels Services are not Needed	Other
Benton	52	32 (62%)	12 (38%)	20 (63%)	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	13 (65%)	3 (15%)	4 (20%)	13 (65%)
Clackamas	362	239 (66%)	108 (45%)	131 (55%)	4 (3%)	101 (82%)	18 (15%)	15 (11%)	83 (63%)	33 (25%)
Clatsop	14	12 (86%)	10 (83%)	2 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	48	35 (73%)	17 (49%)	18 (51%)	0 (0%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	8 (44%)	6 (33%)	4 (22%)
Coos	50	41 (82%)	24 (59%)	17 (41%)	1 (11%)	6 (67%)	2 (22%)	4 (24%)	5 (29%)	8 (47%)
Crook	26	25 (96%)	15 (60%)	10 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	3 (30%)
Curry	7	7 (100%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	--	--	--	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	171	91 (53%)	72 (79%)	19 (21%)	41 (51%)	30 (38%)	9 (11%)	7 (37%)	10 (53%)	2 (11%)
Douglas	136	91 (67%)	31 (34%)	60 (66%)	12 (27%)	21 (47%)	12 (27%)	8 (13%)	42 (70%)	10 (17%)
Gilliam	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	8	7 (88%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hood River	36	35 (97%)	25 (71%)	10 (29%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	3 (30%)
Jackson	269	59 (22%)	45 (76%)	14 (24%)	192 (91%)	12 (6%)	6 (3%)	2 (14%)	6 (43%)	6 (43%)
Jefferson	19	18 (95%)	13 (72%)	5 (28%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)
Josephine	111	70 (63%)	42 (60%)	28 (40%)	14 (34%)	14 (34%)	13 (32%)	8 (29%)	16 (57%)	4 (14%)
Klamath	142	74 (52%)	35 (47%)	39 (53%)	1 (1%)	61 (90%)	6 (9%)	10 (26%)	26 (67%)	3 (8%)
Lane	399	280 (70%)	150 (54%)	130 (46%)	75 (63%)	4 (3%)	40 (34%)	25 (19%)	87 (67%)	18 (14%)

¹⁹ Families were eligible for Intensive Service if they had a high-risk screen (any two positive risk factors, or depression or substance use risk).

²⁰ To be included in this table, families must have had their Intensive Service status completed on the NBQ.

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) ¹⁹	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS ²⁰	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined	Number (%) of Families Not Offered IS Because:			Number (%) of Families Declining IS Because:		
					Caseload Full	Unable to Contact Family	Other	Parent Too Busy	Parent Feels Services are not Needed	Other
Lincoln	90	78 (87%)	22 (28%)	56 (72%)	2 (17%)	3 (25%)	7 (58%)	28 (50%)	13 (23%)	15 (27%)
Linn	145	97 (67%)	30 (31%)	67 (69%)	2 (4%)	13 (27%)	33 (69%)	23 (34%)	34 (51%)	10 (15%)
Malheur	42	42 (100%)	28 (67%)	14 (33%)	--	--	--	4 (29%)	8 (57%)	2 (14%)
Marion	630	258 (41%)	107 (41%)	151 (59%)	213 (57%)	152 (41%)	7 (2%)	14 (9%)	115 (76%)	22 (15%)
Morrow	15	11 (73%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)
Multnomah	1,515	891 (59%)	442 (50%)	449 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (<1%)	622 (100%)	10 (2%)	439 (98%)	0 (0%)
Polk	122	64 (52%)	41 (64%)	23 (36%)	33 (57%)	17 (29%)	8 (14%)	6 (26%)	12 (52%)	5 (22%)
Sherman	4	3 (75%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	17	17 (100%)	16 (94%)	1 (6%)	--	--	--	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	74	53 (72%)	36 (68%)	17 (32%)	0 (0%)	9 (43%)	12 (57%)	4 (24%)	9 (53%)	4 (24%)
Union	35	15 (43%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	8 (40%)	6 (30%)	6 (30%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)
Wallowa	8	8 (100%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	--	--	--	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	58	31 (53%)	26 (84%)	5 (16%)	8 (30%)	2 (7%)	17 (63%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)
Washington	463	398 (86%)	133 (33%)	265 (67%)	0 (0%)	46 (71%)	19 (29%)	39 (15%)	152 (57%)	74 (28%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	84	52 (62%)	35 (67%)	17 (33%)	19 (59%)	11 (34%)	2 (6%)	4 (24%)	9 (53%)	4 (24%)
State	5,155	3,137 (61%)	1,544 (49%)	1,593 (51%)	627 (31%)	524 (26%)	867 (43%)	234 (15%)	1,109 (70%)	250 (16%)

¹⁹ Families were eligible for Intensive Service if they had a high-risk screen (any two positive risk factors, or depression or substance use risk).

²⁰ To be included in this table, families must have had their Intensive Service status completed on the NBQ.

Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served at the End of FY 07-08	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families ²¹	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2007-08) ²²
Benton	213	156 (73%)	57 (27%)	30 (53%)	27 (47%)
Clackamas	882	653 (74%)	229 (26%)	114 (50%)	115 (50%)
Clatsop	45	13 (29%)	32 (71%)	21 (66%)	11 (34%)
Columbia	91	48 (53%)	43 (47%)	26 (60%)	17 (40%)
Coos	103	50 (49%)	53 (51%)	25 (47%)	28 (53%)
Crook	80	38 (48%)	42 (53%)	21 (50%)	21 (50%)
Curry	29	3 (10%)	26 (90%)	20 (77%)	6 (23%)
Deschutes	425	265 (62%)	160 (38%)	80 (50%)	80 (50%)
Douglas	295	198 (67%)	97 (33%)	66 (68%)	31 (32%)
Gilliam	5	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Grant	26	8 (31%)	18 (69%)	10 (56%)	8 (44%)
Harney	8	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)
Hood River	102	47 (46%)	55 (54%)	34 (62%)	21 (38%)
Jackson	628	455 (72%)	173 (28%)	126 (73%)	47 (27%)
Jefferson	73	24 (33%)	49 (67%)	33 (67%)	16 (33%)
Josephine	235	135 (57%)	100 (43%)	53 (53%)	47 (47%)
Klamath	286	224 (78%)	62 (22%)	32 (52%)	30 (48%)
Lane	890	628 (71%)	262 (29%)	141 (54%)	121 (46%)

²¹ Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2007-08.

²² Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2007-08 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a Family Intake form dated during 2007-08; (2) a first home visit during 2007-08, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey I dated during 2007-08; a New Baby Questionnaire with a screening date during 2007-08 indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services".

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served at the End of FY 07-08	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families ²¹	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2007-08) ²²
Lincoln	225	149 (66%)	76 (34%)	50 (66%)	26 (34%)
Linn	368	305 (83%)	63 (17%)	33 (52%)	30 (48%)
Malheur	80	27 (34%)	53 (66%)	21 (40%)	32 (60%)
Marion	1,311	1,044 (80%)	267 (20%)	158 (59%)	109 (41%)
Morrow	48	28 (58%)	20 (42%)	14 (70%)	6 (30%)
Multnomah	3,329	2,830 (85%)	499 (15%)	214 (43%)	285 (57%)
Polk	283	201 (71%)	82 (29%)	37 (45%)	45 (55%)
Sherman	8	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
Tillamook	41	3 (7%)	38 (93%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)
Umatilla	132	69 (52%)	63 (48%)	25 (40%)	38 (60%)
Union	87	71 (82%)	16 (18%)	12 (75%)	4 (25%)
Wallowa	21	14 (67%)	7 (33%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)
Wasco	120	71 (59%)	49 (41%)	33 (67%)	16 (33%)
Washington	930	478 (51%)	452 (49%)	311 (69%)	141 (31%)
Wheeler	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	160	84 (53%)	76 (48%)	41 (54%)	35 (46%)
State	11,562	8,327 (72%)	3,235 (28%)	1,812 (56%)	1,423 (44%)

²¹ Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2007-08.

²² Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2007-08 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a Family Intake form dated during 2007-08; (2) a first home visit during 2007-08, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey I dated during 2007-08; a New Baby Questionnaire with a screening date during 2007-08 indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services".

Table 11: Home Visit Completion

County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit ²³	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) ²⁴	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) ²⁵	Percent of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits ²⁶ (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Kempes Completed on Families Screened FY 2007-08
Benton	13 (100%)	--	--	--	--	10 (77%)	58 (79%)	11 (85%)
Clackamas	109 (99%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	102 (97%)	220 (79%)	95 (87%)
Clatsop	9 (100%)	--	--	--	--	9 (100%)	40 (68%)	7 (78%)
Columbia	16 (94%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16 (100%)	44 (73%)	14 (88%)
Coos	23 (96%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (83%)	57 (82%)	20 (87%)
Crook	13 (81%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12 (100%)	51 (98%)	12 (92%)
Curry	5 (100%)	--	--	--	--	2 (100%)	14 (57%)	1 (20%)
Deschutes	69 (99%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	53 (91%)	149 (79%)	31 (45%)
Douglas	30 (100%)	--	--	--	--	26 (93%)	103 (78%)	24 (80%)
Gilliam	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	9 (78%)	1 (100%)
Grant	5 (100%)	--	--	--	--	4 (100%)	17 (88%)	1 (20%)
Harney	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--	2 (100%)	7 (71%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	20 (80%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	11 (92%)	51 (82%)	15 (75%)
Jackson	44 (96%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	31 (89%)	168 (66%)	33 (75%)
Jefferson	11 (92%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	55 (67%)	7 (64%)
Josephine	43 (100%)	--	--	--	--	42 (98%)	91 (76%)	40 (93%)
Klamath	30 (86%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	26 (93%)	55 (82%)	26 (87%)
Lane	107 (71%)	17 (40%)	14 (33%)	3 (7%)	9 (21%)	90 (93%)	239 (75%)	86 (80%)

²³ Some families accepting home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information at the time of these analyses.

²⁴ Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

²⁵ These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form.

²⁶ Data submitted by program manager on Home Visit Completion forms for the period July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008.

County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit ²³	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) ²⁴	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) ²⁴	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) ²⁵	Percent of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits ²⁶ (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Kempes Completed on Families Screened FY 2007-08
Lincoln	22 (100%)	--	--	--	--	22 (100%)	70 (91%)	21 (95%)
Linn	30 (100%)	--	--	--	--	28 (100%)	64 (73%)	23 (77%)
Malheur	27 (96%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	15 (94%)	41 (83%)	16 (59%)
Marion	104 (98%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	95 (99%)	255 (73%)	94 (90%)
Morrow	5 (100%)	--	--	--	--	4 (100%)	32 (69%)	4 (80%)
Multnomah	253 (58%)	89 (48%)	58 (31%)	4 (2%)	36 (19%)	216 (96%)	439 (64%)	165 (65%)
Polk	40 (98%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	36 (95%)	84 (92%)	38 (95%)
Sherman	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	16 (100%)	--	--	--	--	15 (94%)	48 (73%)	12 (75%)
Umatilla	33 (94%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	24 (77%)	58 (79%)	26 (79%)
Union	4 (67%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	12 (58%)	4 (100%)
Wallowa	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	7 (71%)	3 (100%)
Wasco	16 (62%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	41 (85%)	3 (19%)
Washington	132 (98%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	112 (95%)	446 (57%)	116 (88%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	34 (97%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	27 (90%)	90 (58%)	24 (71%)
State	1,271 (82%)	118 (43%)	94 (34%)	8 (3%)	53 (19%)	1,072 (94%)	3,118 (72%)	973 (77%)

²³ Some families accepting home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information at the time of these analyses.

²⁴ Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

²⁵ These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form.

²⁶ Data submitted by program manager on Home Visit Completion forms for the period July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008.

Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ²⁷	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	50	0 (0%)	16 (32%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	28 (56%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	226	2 (1%)	71 (31%)	5 (2%)	1 (<1%)	128 (57%)	13 (6%)	4 (2%)	2 (1%)
Clatsop	32	0 (0%)	10 (31%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	20 (63%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	43	0 (0%)	3 (7%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	38 (88%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Coos	50	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	38 (76%)	6 (12%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Crook	42	0 (0%)	6 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	34 (81%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	26	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (81%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	160	1 (1%)	19 (12%)	1 (1%)	2 (1%)	131 (82%)	5 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Douglas	97	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	83 (86%)	7 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Gilliam	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	18	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	15 (83%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	7	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	55	1 (2%)	36 (65%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	13 (24%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	166	1 (1%)	67 (40%)	2 (1%)	3 (2%)	82 (49%)	7 (4%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)
Jefferson	47	0 (0%)	32 (68%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	13 (28%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	98	0 (0%)	5 (5%)	2 (2%)	3 (3%)	79 (81%)	8 (8%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	61	2 (3%)	8 (13%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	37 (61%)	10 (16%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)
Lane	262	6 (2%)	55 (21%)	9 (3%)	1 (<1%)	168 (64%)	21 (8%)	1 (<1%)	1 (<1%)

²⁷ Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ²⁷	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Lincoln	76	1 (1%)	38 (50%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	29 (38%)	6 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Linn	63	0 (0%)	29 (46%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	30 (48%)	4 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	52	0 (0%)	23 (44%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	27 (52%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	263	6 (2%)	149 (57%)	5 (2%)	1 (<1%)	90 (34%)	8 (3%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)
Morrow	17	0 (0%)	15 (88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	488	44 (9%)	163 (33%)	65 (13%)	1 (<1%)	183 (38%)	24 (5%)	6 (1%)	2 (<1%)
Polk	81	1 (1%)	32 (40%)	1 (1%)	3 (4%)	37 (46%)	5 (6%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Sherman	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	37	0 (0%)	10 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	26 (70%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	61	0 (0%)	20 (33%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	34 (56%)	4 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Union	16	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (69%)	3 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	49	0 (0%)	10 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	34 (69%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Washington	448	3 (1%)	299 (67%)	9 (2%)	2 (<1%)	111 (25%)	12 (3%)	10 (2%)	2 (<1%)
Wheeler	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	76	0 (0%)	25 (33%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	41 (54%)	4 (5%)	4 (5%)	0 (0%)
State	3,181	70 (2%)	1,152 (36%)	112 (4%)	30 (1%)	1,601 (50%)	162 (5%)	36 (1%)	18 (1%)

²⁷ Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

Table 13: Demographic²⁸ Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education	Number (%) of Families At or Below Poverty Level*
Benton	31 (62%)	15 (30%)	4 (8%)	6 (12%)	29 (58%)	13 (27%)	24 (71%)
Clackamas	155 (69%)	68 (30%)	3 (1%)	38 (17%)	175 (78%)	73 (33%)	95 (83%)
Clatsop	22 (69%)	10 (31%)	0 (0%)	5 (16%)	29 (91%)	15 (47%)	22 (96%)
Columbia	40 (93%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)	8 (19%)	33 (77%)	16 (37%)	32 (89%)
Coos	49 (98%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	7 (14%)	36 (73%)	15 (30%)	32 (74%)
Crook	37 (88%)	5 (12%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	29 (69%)	7 (17%)	25 (69%)
Curry	23 (88%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	6 (23%)	21 (84%)	10 (40%)	14 (78%)
Deschutes	143 (90%)	16 (10%)	0 (0%)	21 (13%)	124 (78%)	46 (29%)	58 (73%)
Douglas	96 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	23 (24%)	79 (82%)	48 (49%)	80 (94%)
Gilliam	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (50%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Grant	17 (94%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	6 (33%)	15 (83%)	9 (50%)	9 (82%)
Harney	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	3 (43%)	1 (14%)	5 (100%)
Hood River	20 (36%)	35 (64%)	0 (0%)	11 (20%)	37 (69%)	29 (53%)	32 (84%)
Jackson	100 (60%)	66 (40%)	0 (0%)	36 (22%)	130 (78%)	95 (57%)	105 (87%)
Jefferson	20 (43%)	26 (57%)	0 (0%)	10 (21%)	31 (67%)	21 (46%)	30 (68%)
Josephine	94 (96%)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	15 (15%)	72 (74%)	35 (36%)	71 (83%)
Klamath	56 (92%)	4 (7%)	1 (2%)	15 (25%)	43 (72%)	26 (43%)	43 (93%)
Lane	206 (79%)	46 (18%)	8 (3%)	32 (12%)	185 (71%)	79 (30%)	176 (83%)

²⁸ Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

*Number of families at or below poverty level is determined by comparing family income and family size (from the Family Intake), compared to the federal poverty rate calculations (<http://www.ocpp.org/cgi-bin/display.cgi?page=poverty>).

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education	Number (%) of Families At or Below Poverty Level*
Lincoln	41 (54%)	35 (46%)	0 (0%)	12 (16%)	60 (80%)	42 (56%)	58 (83%)
Linn	35 (56%)	28 (44%)	0 (0%)	11 (17%)	42 (70%)	26 (43%)	25 (78%)
Malheur	40 (77%)	12 (23%)	0 (0%)	7 (13%)	37 (71%)	18 (37%)	18 (72%)
Marion	129 (49%)	127 (48%)	7 (3%)	65 (25%)	203 (77%)	148 (57%)	121 (87%)
Morrow	6 (35%)	11 (65%)	0 (0%)	7 (41%)	9 (53%)	12 (71%)	12 (71%)
Multnomah	272 (56%)	148 (30%)	68 (14%)	64 (13%)	348 (71%)	214 (44%)	200 (74%)
Polk	50 (62%)	30 (37%)	1 (1%)	19 (23%)	66 (83%)	40 (49%)	35 (81%)
Sherman	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	--
Tillamook	28 (76%)	9 (24%)	0 (0%)	3 (8%)	27 (73%)	15 (41%)	24 (77%)
Umatilla	42 (70%)	18 (30%)	0 (0%)	24 (40%)	49 (86%)	35 (59%)	40 (83%)
Union	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	14 (88%)	3 (19%)	12 (80%)
Wallowa	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (67%)	3 (50%)	5 (100%)
Wasco	38 (78%)	10 (20%)	1 (2%)	6 (12%)	39 (80%)	22 (45%)	23 (79%)
Washington	170 (38%)	269 (60%)	9 (2%)	86 (19%)	329 (74%)	237 (53%)	249 (75%)
Wheeler	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Yamhill	48 (63%)	27 (36%)	1 (1%)	14 (18%)	58 (77%)	45 (60%)	40 (83%)
State	2,045 (64%)	1,025 (32%)	106 (3%)	562 (18%)	2,359 (75%)	1,402 (44%)	1,720 (80%)

²⁸ Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

* Number of families at or below poverty level is determined by comparing family income and family size (from the Family Intake), compared to the federal poverty rate calculations (<http://www.ocpp.org/cgi-bin/display.cgi?page=poverty>).

Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening²⁹ of Intensive Service Families

County	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Mothers on OHP	Number (%) of Babies on OHP
Benton	12 (24%)	1 (2%)	5 (10%)	2 (4%)	32 (67%)	37 (77%)
Clackamas	68 (30%)	13 (8%)	27 (12%)	49 (23%)	123 (55%)	118 (55%)
Clatsop	11 (34%)	0 (0%)	9 (28%)	4 (14%)	15 (47%)	20 (69%)
Columbia	11 (26%)	2 (7%)	5 (12%)	6 (14%)	29 (67%)	30 (70%)
Coos	8 (16%)	1 (3%)	2 (4%)	5 (11%)	38 (76%)	35 (74%)
Crook	5 (12%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	32 (76%)	31 (74%)
Curry	12 (46%)	2 (11%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	20 (77%)	21 (84%)
Deschutes	56 (35%)	1 (2%)	12 (8%)	9 (6%)	121 (76%)	127 (80%)
Douglas	23 (24%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)	3 (3%)	86 (89%)	88 (92%)
Gilliam	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)
Grant	7 (39%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	3 (18%)	13 (72%)	12 (71%)
Harney	2 (29%)	2 (40%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	7 (100%)
Hood River	13 (24%)	1 (3%)	6 (11%)	9 (17%)	45 (82%)	41 (76%)
Jackson	43 (27%)	5 (8%)	52 (32%)	57 (35%)	94 (57%)	93 (58%)
Jefferson	17 (36%)	2 (5%)	4 (9%)	3 (6%)	35 (74%)	37 (79%)
Josephine	33 (34%)	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	83 (85%)	85 (87%)
Klamath	16 (26%)	3 (7%)	1 (2%)	6 (10%)	51 (84%)	48 (79%)
Lane	61 (24%)	7 (3%)	22 (8%)	5 (2%)	196 (75%)	226 (87%)

²⁹ Health information is reported on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Mothers on OHP	Number (%) of Babies on OHP
Lincoln	20 (28%)	5 (16%)	22 (30%)	20 (31%)	35 (47%)	39 (60%)
Linn	16 (27%)	1 (3%)	7 (11%)	4 (7%)	36 (57%)	48 (81%)
Malheur	27 (53%)	1 (4%)	5 (10%)	2 (6%)	41 (79%)	29 (81%)
Marion	84 (33%)	13 (6%)	25 (10%)	30 (13%)	154 (59%)	169 (72%)
Morrow	8 (47%)	2 (13%)	2 (12%)	1 (6%)	13 (76%)	13 (81%)
Multnomah	170 (35%)	20 (4%)	22 (5%)	36 (8%)	294 (60%)	335 (70%)
Polk	27 (34%)	5 (7%)	3 (4%)	3 (4%)	55 (68%)	61 (80%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)
Tillamook	11 (31%)	1 (3%)	6 (16%)	2 (6%)	24 (65%)	27 (79%)
Umatilla	16 (28%)	4 (8%)	6 (11%)	2 (4%)	41 (72%)	48 (87%)
Union	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	2 (13%)	13 (81%)	13 (81%)
Wallowa	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%)
Wasco	10 (21%)	4 (12%)	9 (18%)	3 (6%)	36 (73%)	41 (85%)
Washington	173 (40%)	11 (7%)	129 (29%)	82 (25%)	194 (43%)	187 (56%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)
Yamhill	20 (27%)	4 (6%)	8 (11%)	10 (14%)	49 (66%)	51 (72%)
State	983 (32%)	114 (5%)	401 (13%)	363 (12%)	2,015 (64%)	2,127 (72%)

²⁹ Health information is reported on the NBQ.

Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Benton	15 (32%)	27 (60%)	15 (31%)	4 (9%)	7 (14%)
Clackamas	72 (32%)	189 (84%)	83 (37%)	10 (4%)	56 (25%)
Clatsop	8 (26%)	24 (77%)	17 (53%)	1 (3%)	10 (31%)
Columbia	20 (47%)	31 (72%)	19 (45%)	2 (5%)	15 (35%)
Coos	8 (16%)	37 (76%)	24 (48%)	5 (10%)	14 (29%)
Crook	8 (20%)	25 (60%)	7 (17%)	1 (2%)	5 (12%)
Curry	8 (31%)	19 (73%)	8 (31%)	0 (0%)	6 (23%)
Deschutes	37 (24%)	124 (79%)	82 (52%)	34 (22%)	64 (43%)
Douglas	30 (31%)	72 (74%)	50 (52%)	7 (7%)	27 (28%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	7 (39%)	5 (29%)	4 (22%)	1 (6%)	5 (28%)
Harney	1 (14%)	7 (100%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)
Hood River	22 (40%)	45 (82%)	20 (36%)	0 (0%)	10 (19%)
Jackson	55 (33%)	127 (77%)	64 (39%)	15 (9%)	44 (27%)
Jefferson	12 (26%)	36 (80%)	11 (24%)	3 (6%)	11 (24%)
Josephine	24 (24%)	68 (70%)	26 (28%)	1 (1%)	15 (16%)
Klamath	26 (43%)	39 (64%)	30 (49%)	2 (3%)	24 (39%)
Lane	54 (21%)	216 (82%)	134 (51%)	16 (6%)	107 (42%)

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Lincoln	20 (27%)	57 (78%)	26 (35%)	1 (1%)	23 (32%)
Linn	18 (30%)	51 (84%)	20 (34%)	1 (2%)	14 (25%)
Malheur	10 (20%)	39 (75%)	31 (60%)	4 (8%)	10 (19%)
Marion	92 (36%)	178 (68%)	112 (43%)	12 (5%)	70 (27%)
Morrow	5 (29%)	10 (59%)	7 (41%)	0 (0%)	2 (12%)
Multnomah	145 (30%)	393 (81%)	177 (36%)	34 (7%)	86 (18%)
Polk	29 (37%)	58 (73%)	33 (41%)	3 (4%)	19 (23%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Tillamook	7 (19%)	35 (95%)	4 (11%)	0 (0%)	12 (32%)
Umatilla	25 (44%)	33 (56%)	28 (48%)	5 (8%)	15 (25%)
Union	5 (31%)	11 (69%)	7 (44%)	0 (0%)	7 (44%)
Wallowa	4 (67%)	6 (100%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	3 (50%)
Wasco	18 (37%)	33 (67%)	24 (49%)	6 (12%)	8 (17%)
Washington	111 (25%)	371 (83%)	225 (50%)	14 (3%)	107 (24%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	23 (31%)	57 (76%)	33 (45%)	3 (4%)	21 (28%)
State	919 (29%)	2,430 (77%)	1,328 (42%)	186 (6%)	821 (26%)

Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors³⁰ for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect)		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Benton	9 (19%)	23 (49%)	17 (37%)	10 (22%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	26 (54%)	14 (29%)	26 (55%)	14 (30%)
Clackamas	29 (14%)	134 (64%)	64 (31%)	91 (44%)	13 (6%)	11 (5%)	87 (42%)	92 (44%)	78 (37%)	104 (50%)
Clatsop	7 (25%)	16 (57%)	7 (25%)	14 (50%)	2 (7%)	5 (19%)	14 (50%)	12 (43%)	15 (54%)	12 (43%)
Columbia	11 (28%)	23 (58%)	16 (40%)	18 (45%)	2 (5%)	5 (13%)	27 (68%)	11 (28%)	22 (55%)	17 (43%)
Coos	9 (21%)	26 (60%)	18 (43%)	17 (40%)	3 (7%)	8 (19%)	21 (49%)	8 (19%)	23 (53%)	14 (33%)
Crook	4 (11%)	30 (79%)	15 (39%)	18 (47%)	2 (5%)	2 (5%)	29 (76%)	8 (21%)	15 (39%)	22 (58%)
Curry	1 (6%)	15 (83%)	6 (33%)	10 (56%)	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	6 (33%)	10 (56%)	6 (33%)	12 (67%)
Deschutes	23 (22%)	59 (56%)	27 (26%)	49 (47%)	5 (5%)	5 (5%)	42 (40%)	23 (22%)	51 (48%)	48 (45%)
Douglas	13 (16%)	67 (81%)	26 (31%)	52 (61%)	9 (11%)	4 (5%)	38 (45%)	37 (44%)	25 (29%)	52 (61%)
Gilliam	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
Grant	1 (7%)	13 (93%)	4 (29%)	7 (50%)	1 (7%)	4 (29%)	2 (14%)	8 (57%)	1 (7%)	9 (64%)
Harney	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	3 (60%)
Hood River	12 (26%)	23 (50%)	9 (20%)	10 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	23 (50%)	16 (35%)	17 (37%)	23 (50%)
Jackson	24 (18%)	80 (59%)	40 (29%)	53 (38%)	5 (4%)	16 (12%)	66 (48%)	44 (32%)	42 (31%)	67 (49%)
Jefferson	3 (7%)	35 (83%)	9 (21%)	17 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20 (48%)	17 (40%)	11 (26%)	29 (69%)
Josephine	13 (14%)	67 (73%)	39 (42%)	37 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	36 (39%)	32 (35%)	41 (45%)	37 (40%)
Klamath	4 (7%)	44 (79%)	18 (32%)	20 (36%)	5 (9%)	4 (7%)	27 (48%)	22 (39%)	23 (41%)	20 (36%)
Lane	37 (16%)	144 (61%)	59 (25%)	96 (41%)	20 (9%)	12 (5%)	92 (39%)	108 (45%)	96 (41%)	119 (50%)

³⁰ Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect)		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Lincoln	10 (14%)	54 (74%)	27 (37%)	32 (44%)	1 (1%)	7 (10%)	38 (52%)	18 (25%)	27 (37%)	34 (47%)
Linn	19 (36%)	24 (45%)	21 (40%)	16 (30%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	26 (49%)	20 (38%)	29 (55%)	20 (38%)
Malheur	6 (16%)	18 (47%)	17 (45%)	5 (13%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	21 (55%)	6 (16%)	19 (50%)	8 (21%)
Marion	39 (16%)	161 (64%)	81 (32%)	76 (30%)	8 (3%)	10 (4%)	113 (45%)	98 (39%)	82 (33%)	151 (60%)
Morrow	2 (13%)	7 (44%)	2 (13%)	4 (25%)	1 (6%)	4 (25%)	6 (38%)	7 (44%)	6 (38%)	4 (25%)
Multnomah	71 (19%)	202 (54%)	109 (29%)	109 (29%)	12 (3%)	21 (6%)	171 (45%)	125 (33%)	151 (40%)	170 (45%)
Polk	6 (8%)	56 (73%)	15 (19%)	33 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	26 (34%)	41 (53%)	27 (35%)	46 (60%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	5 (17%)	11 (37%)	6 (19%)	8 (26%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17 (55%)	12 (39%)	19 (61%)	10 (32%)
Umatilla	9 (20%)	20 (43%)	8 (17%)	14 (30%)	1 (2%)	4 (8%)	23 (49%)	9 (19%)	17 (35%)	18 (38%)
Union	4 (33%)	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	4 (36%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	6 (50%)	3 (25%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	2 (29%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)
Wasco	4 (12%)	23 (70%)	8 (24%)	21 (64%)	2 (6%)	5 (16%)	11 (32%)	18 (53%)	12 (35%)	21 (62%)
Washington	62 (15%)	283 (69%)	155 (38%)	117 (28%)	11 (3%)	14 (3%)	181 (44%)	164 (40%)	133 (32%)	223 (54%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	3 (5%)	40 (63%)	9 (14%)	33 (52%)	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	23 (37%)	30 (48%)	9 (15%)	46 (74%)
State	441 (16%)	1,716 (63%)	839 (31%)	1,000 (37%)	114 (4%)	152 (6%)	1,224 (45%)	1,022 (37%)	1,034 (38%)	1,368 (50%)

³⁰ Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Benton	2 (4%)	3 (7%)	18 (38%)	2 (4%)	6 (13%)	2 (4%)	12 (26%)	0 (0%)	26 (54%)	6 (13%)
Clackamas	16 (8%)	36 (17%)	72 (35%)	24 (12%)	19 (9%)	11 (5%)	41 (20%)	4 (2%)	128 (62%)	35 (17%)
Clatsop	3 (13%)	3 (13%)	11 (41%)	3 (11%)	5 (18%)	0 (0%)	8 (30%)	1 (4%)	17 (61%)	5 (18%)
Columbia	7 (18%)	5 (13%)	20 (50%)	4 (10%)	8 (20%)	0 (0%)	7 (18%)	1 (3%)	23 (58%)	12 (30%)
Coos	5 (12%)	8 (19%)	8 (19%)	3 (7%)	7 (17%)	1 (2%)	12 (29%)	0 (0%)	22 (51%)	5 (12%)
Crook	4 (11%)	7 (18%)	24 (63%)	1 (3%)	19 (50%)	3 (8%)	3 (8%)	0 (0%)	26 (68%)	4 (11%)
Curry	1 (6%)	2 (11%)	6 (33%)	1 (6%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	5 (28%)	0 (0%)	11 (69%)	2 (13%)
Deschutes	8 (8%)	18 (17%)	20 (19%)	3 (3%)	12 (12%)	3 (3%)	18 (17%)	0 (0%)	58 (55%)	19 (18%)
Douglas	13 (15%)	19 (22%)	31 (36%)	2 (2%)	16 (19%)	2 (2%)	11 (13%)	0 (0%)	61 (73%)	8 (10%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Grant	3 (21%)	2 (14%)	3 (21%)	2 (14%)	1 (7%)	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	1 (7%)	7 (50%)	3 (21%)
Harney	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	2 (4%)	4 (9%)	22 (49%)	6 (13%)	12 (26%)	1 (2%)	12 (26%)	1 (2%)	28 (62%)	5 (11%)
Jackson	10 (7%)	25 (19%)	53 (39%)	8 (6%)	12 (9%)	5 (4%)	27 (20%)	3 (2%)	90 (66%)	15 (11%)
Jefferson	3 (7%)	20 (48%)	33 (79%)	3 (7%)	8 (19%)	1 (2%)	6 (14%)	0 (0%)	25 (61%)	4 (10%)
Josephine	15 (17%)	11 (12%)	42 (47%)	2 (2%)	14 (15%)	7 (8%)	36 (40%)	0 (0%)	50 (55%)	11 (12%)
Klamath	9 (16%)	15 (27%)	25 (45%)	1 (2%)	5 (9%)	3 (5%)	9 (16%)	1 (2%)	37 (66%)	2 (4%)
Lane	16 (7%)	32 (14%)	82 (35%)	25 (11%)	30 (13%)	12 (5%)	69 (29%)	10 (4%)	124 (52%)	47 (20%)

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Lincoln	4 (5%)	13 (18%)	30 (42%)	1 (1%)	8 (11%)	0 (0%)	6 (8%)	0 (0%)	51 (70%)	4 (5%)
Linn	6 (12%)	8 (15%)	34 (64%)	2 (4%)	20 (38%)	1 (2%)	13 (25%)	2 (4%)	31 (58%)	1 (2%)
Malheur	3 (8%)	3 (8%)	7 (18%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	9 (24%)	0 (0%)	26 (70%)	0 (0%)
Marion	17 (7%)	49 (20%)	139 (56%)	26 (10%)	24 (10%)	15 (6%)	65 (26%)	9 (4%)	161 (64%)	35 (14%)
Morrow	0 (0%)	3 (19%)	9 (60%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)	0 (0%)	4 (29%)	1 (7%)	6 (38%)	4 (25%)
Multnomah	34 (9%)	51 (14%)	133 (35%)	29 (8%)	45 (12%)	20 (5%)	74 (20%)	7 (2%)	205 (54%)	39 (10%)
Polk	5 (6%)	6 (8%)	56 (73%)	3 (4%)	11 (15%)	2 (3%)	19 (25%)	0 (0%)	61 (79%)	4 (5%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	2 (7%)	1 (3%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (26%)	0 (0%)	22 (71%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	5 (10%)	4 (8%)	17 (35%)	9 (19%)	3 (6%)	5 (10%)	9 (19%)	1 (2%)	31 (65%)	3 (6%)
Union	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	6 (50%)	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	5 (42%)	0 (0%)	8 (67%)	2 (17%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	2 (29%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)
Wasco	3 (9%)	8 (25%)	13 (38%)	3 (9%)	9 (29%)	1 (3%)	9 (30%)	0 (0%)	20 (61%)	9 (27%)
Washington	33 (8%)	75 (18%)	233 (57%)	25 (6%)	63 (15%)	22 (5%)	79 (19%)	9 (2%)	265 (65%)	53 (13%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	6 (10%)	12 (19%)	16 (26%)	4 (6%)	3 (5%)	3 (5%)	11 (18%)	1 (2%)	45 (74%)	6 (10%)
State	236 (9%)	450 (17%)	1,170 (43%)	193 (7%)	369 (14%)	124 (5%)	593 (22%)	53 (2%)	1,673 (61%)	346 (13%)

Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates³¹ for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families ³² Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	6	3 (50%)	20	9 (45%)	7	1 (14%)
Clackamas	53	32 (60%)	156	62 (40%)	32	16 (50%)
Clatsop	3	3 (100%)	7	5 (71%)	1	1 (100%)
Columbia	4	3 (75%)	30	14 (47%)	1	0 (0%)
Coos	2	1 (50%)	33	18 (55%)	6	5 (83%)
Crook	2	1 (50%)	24	15 (63%)	0	--
Curry	0	--	5	5 (100%)	2	0 (0%)
Deschutes	6	6 (100%)	76	58 (76%)	7	6 (86%)
Douglas	9	2 (22%)	77	26 (34%)	4	2 (50%)
Gilliam	0	--	1	1 (100%)	0	--
Grant	0	--	8	5 (63%)	0	--
Harney	0	--	2	2 (100%)	0	--
Hood River	20	16 (80%)	11	7 (64%)	4	2 (50%)
Jackson	17	16 (94%)	39	26 (67%)	4	4 (100%)
Jefferson	10	9 (90%)	4	3 (75%)	3	0 (0%)
Josephine	2	1 (50%)	59	37 (63%)	10	5 (50%)
Klamath	10	6 (60%)	46	19 (41%)	18	10 (56%)
Lane	39	26 (67%)	203	103 (51%)	38	21 (55%)

³¹ Acceptance rates are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

³² Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families ³² Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Lincoln	11	8 (73%)	55	12 (22%)	12	2 (17%)
Linn	18	13 (72%)	74	15 (20%)	5	2 (40%)
Malheur	23	17 (74%)	16	10 (63%)	3	1 (33%)
Marion	109	58 (53%)	111	34 (31%)	37	14 (38%)
Morrow	5	4 (80%)	5	1 (20%)	1	0 (0%)
Multnomah	164	111 (68%)	479	191 (40%)	246	138 (56%)
Polk	20	17 (85%)	34	17 (50%)	10	7 (70%)
Sherman	1	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	0	--
Tillamook	5	4 (80%)	12	12 (100%)	0	--
Umatilla	18	14 (78%)	29	18 (62%)	5	3 (60%)
Union	1	1 (100%)	13	4 (31%)	1	1 (100%)
Wallowa	0	--	8	4 (50%)	0	--
Wasco	6	4 (67%)	23	21 (91%)	2	1 (50%)
Washington	229	71 (31%)	132	49 (37%)	39	15 (38%)
Wheeler	0	--	0	--	0	--
Yamhill	9	7 (78%)	36	21 (58%)	7	7 (100%)
State	802	454 (57%)	1,830	826 (45%)	505	264 (52%)

³¹ Acceptance rates are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

³² Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 19-A: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors³³

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	12 (41%)	1 (33%)	3 (27%)	10 (48%)	9 (31%)	4 (100%)
Clackamas	78 (42%)	29 (59%)	23 (47%)	86 (45%)	91 (44%)	19 (54%)
Clatsop	6 (75%)	3 (100%)	--	9 (82%)	8 (80%)	1 (100%)
Columbia	15 (45%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	14 (44%)	12 (44%)	5 (63%)
Coos	23 (58%)	1 (100%)	7 (78%)	17 (53%)	20 (56%)	4 (80%)
Crook	15 (63%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	14 (64%)	15 (63%)	1 (50%)
Curry	4 (67%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (67%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	63 (77%)	6 (100%)	14 (82%)	56 (78%)	65 (81%)	5 (56%)
Douglas	30 (34%)	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	23 (33%)	21 (28%)	9 (56%)
Gilliam	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--
Grant	5 (63%)	--	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	4 (57%)	1 (100%)
Harney	2 (100%)	--	--	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	--
Hood River	11 (69%)	14 (74%)	4 (44%)	21 (81%)	22 (71%)	3 (75%)
Jackson	33 (72%)	13 (93%)	8 (100%)	38 (73%)	35 (76%)	11 (79%)
Jefferson	5 (56%)	7 (88%)	3 (100%)	9 (64%)	11 (79%)	1 (33%)
Josephine	42 (60%)	--	14 (88%)	29 (53%)	36 (62%)	7 (54%)
Klamath	32 (46%)	3 (60%)	9 (69%)	26 (43%)	22 (42%)	13 (62%)
Lane	119 (48%)	24 (89%)	34 (65%)	114 (51%)	127 (56%)	21 (41%)

³³ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service
Lincoln	16 (23%)	6 (67%)	4 (33%)	18 (28%)	18 (29%)	4 (31%)
Linn	16 (20%)	14 (82%)	6 (27%)	24 (32%)	24 (28%)	6 (50%)
Malheur	20 (63%)	8 (80%)	7 (100%)	21 (62%)	23 (72%)	5 (50%)
Marion	57 (35%)	46 (54%)	18 (35%)	88 (43%)	75 (40%)	31 (45%)
Morrow	2 (33%)	3 (60%)	2 (100%)	3 (33%)	1 (17%)	4 (80%)
Multnomah	299 (45%)	98 (67%)	90 (43%)	349 (51%)	350 (46%)	90 (74%)
Polk	24 (53%)	16 (89%)	5 (56%)	36 (65%)	30 (63%)	11 (69%)
Sherman	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	1 (50%)
Tillamook	13 (100%)	3 (75%)	4 (100%)	12 (92%)	14 (93%)	1 (100%)
Umatilla	22 (61%)	13 (81%)	5 (56%)	30 (70%)	21 (60%)	14 (82%)
Union	6 (40%)	--	0 (0%)	6 (50%)	6 (43%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	4 (50%)	--	1 (100%)	3 (43%)	4 (50%)	--
Wasco	23 (85%)	3 (75%)	2 (100%)	24 (83%)	21 (91%)	5 (63%)
Washington	84 (39%)	49 (27%)	27 (31%)	108 (35%)	98 (32%)	37 (42%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	23 (59%)	12 (92%)	6 (67%)	28 (67%)	25 (66%)	10 (71%)
State	1,107 (47%)	376 (58%)	309 (48%)	1,230 (50%)	1,217 (47%)	324 (57%)

³³ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 19-B: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors³⁴

County	Number (%) Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Prenatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Postnatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	7 (30%)	6 (67%)	8 (33%)	4 (50%)	2 (100%)	7 (26%)
Clackamas	73 (44%)	35 (47%)	72 (43%)	35 (51%)	44 (37%)	53 (56%)
Clatsop	5 (71%)	4 (100%)	8 (80%)	1 (100%)	7 (88%)	--
Columbia	8 (44%)	9 (56%)	7 (35%)	10 (67%)	8 (42%)	3 (38%)
Coos	14 (56%)	10 (63%)	19 (66%)	5 (42%)	15 (50%)	3 (75%)
Crook	10 (56%)	6 (75%)	10 (56%)	5 (71%)	2 (100%)	12 (60%)
Curry	4 (80%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	2 (67%)	--	0 (0%)
Deschutes	53 (78%)	17 (81%)	53 (76%)	16 (89%)	48 (77%)	10 (77%)
Douglas	15 (26%)	15 (45%)	22 (31%)	8 (42%)	10 (45%)	15 (27%)
Gilliam	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)
Grant	4 (67%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	1 (33%)
Harney	2 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
Hood River	14 (67%)	11 (79%)	17 (71%)	8 (73%)	17 (74%)	2 (50%)
Jackson	18 (72%)	28 (80%)	25 (81%)	21 (75%)	21 (70%)	13 (81%)
Jefferson	9 (82%)	3 (50%)	5 (56%)	7 (88%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	25 (63%)	18 (58%)	32 (65%)	11 (52%)	11 (61%)	26 (55%)
Klamath	18 (38%)	17 (65%)	19 (45%)	16 (50%)	10 (50%)	21 (46%)
Lane	98 (53%)	51 (55%)	114 (56%)	33 (45%)	8 (50%)	98 (53%)

³⁴ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

County	Number (%) Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Prenatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Postnatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service
Lincoln	8 (20%)	13 (38%)	16 (26%)	6 (38%)	15 (26%)	1 (11%)
Linn	19 (28%)	11 (39%)	21 (29%)	8 (38%)	16 (57%)	11 (31%)
Malheur	13 (81%)	12 (86%)	19 (83%)	8 (73%)	19 (68%)	3 (43%)
Marion	40 (32%)	65 (50%)	62 (38%)	44 (47%)	33 (59%)	66 (35%)
Morrow	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	4 (44%)	1 (50%)	3 (43%)	1 (100%)
Multnomah	240 (41%)	199 (66%)	288 (45%)	150 (62%)	67 (73%)	323 (45%)
Polk	19 (59%)	22 (71%)	25 (63%)	15 (65%)	12 (75%)	25 (57%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	--	2 (67%)	--
Tillamook	10 (91%)	6 (100%)	9 (90%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	4 (80%)
Umatilla	15 (56%)	19 (83%)	19 (54%)	13 (93%)	10 (67%)	10 (59%)
Union	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	4 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	5 (38%)
Wallowa	2 (33%)	2 (100%)	2 (40%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	13 (87%)	13 (81%)	16 (84%)	10 (83%)	24 (83%)	1 (100%)
Washington	63 (34%)	72 (34%)	86 (33%)	49 (35%)	84 (29%)	20 (32%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	10 (45%)	25 (83%)	21 (66%)	13 (72%)	8 (67%)	18 (69%)
State	836 (45%)	698 (57%)	1,013 (47%)	512 (55%)	515 (50%)	753 (46%)

³⁴ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 20-A: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2005-06

County	Number of <u>New IS</u> Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06³⁵	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later	Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program
Benton	13	12 (92%)	12 (92%)	10 (77%)	6 (46%)	5 (38%)	13
Clackamas	61	48 (79%)	41 (67%)	32 (52%)	25 (41%)	19 (31%)	11
Clatsop	17	11 (65%)	8 (47%)	6 (35%)	3 (18%)	3 (18%)	6
Columbia	10	7 (70%)	6 (60%)	3 (30%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	8
Coos	9	6 (67%)	5 (56%)	2 (22%)	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	10
Crook	11	8 (73%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	3 (27%)	4
Curry	5	4 (80%)	3 (60%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	9
Deschutes	48	37 (77%)	33 (69%)	20 (42%)	17 (35%)	15 (31%)	8
Douglas	47	34 (72%)	28 (60%)	18 (38%)	12 (26%)	9 (19%)	8
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Grant	5	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	4 (80%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	18
Harney	5	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	6
Hood River	12	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	10 (83%)	9 (75%)	5 (42%)	18
Jackson	73	49 (67%)	38 (52%)	25 (34%)	22 (30%)	17 (23%)	4
Jefferson	21	16 (76%)	11 (52%)	9 (43%)	7 (33%)	7 (33%)	4
Josephine	23	19 (83%)	14 (61%)	10 (43%)	5 (22%)	4 (17%)	6
Klamath	19	12 (63%)	9 (47%)	8 (42%)	6 (32%)	6 (32%)	3
Lane	51	40 (78%)	35 (69%)	30 (59%)	27 (53%)	22 (43%)	9

³⁵ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2005-06. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2005-06.

County	Number of New IS Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06 ³⁵	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later	Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program
Lincoln	21	19 (90%)	16 (76%)	11 (52%)	10 (48%)	7 (33%)	11
Linn	17	10 (59%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	5 (29%)	3 (18%)	3
Malheur	16	9 (56%)	5 (31%)	5 (31%)	4 (25%)	2 (13%)	3
Marion	116	84 (72%)	68 (59%)	42 (36%)	34 (29%)	28 (24%)	6
Morrow	5	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Multnomah	135	118 (87%)	94 (70%)	49 (36%)	38 (28%)	26 (19%)	8
Polk	11	10 (91%)	10 (91%)	8 (73%)	7 (64%)	6 (55%)	11
Sherman	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16
Tillamook	9	7 (78%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	3 (33%)	3 (33%)	5
Umatilla	12	8 (67%)	8 (67%)	5 (42%)	4 (33%)	3 (25%)	5
Union	9	7 (78%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	3 (33%)	2 (22%)	9
Wallowa	4	4 (100%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Wasco	15	14 (93%)	12 (80%)	11 (73%)	7 (47%)	6 (40%)	17
Washington	107	92 (86%)	77 (72%)	46 (43%)	35 (33%)	29 (27%)	10
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	9	6 (67%)	5 (56%)	5 (56%)	5 (56%)	5 (56%)	4
State	920	716 (78%)	589 (64%)	394 (43%)	304 (33%)	240 (26%)	7

³⁵ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2005-06. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2005-06.

Table 20-B: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2006-07

County	Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07 ³⁶	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program
Benton	20	12 (60%)	11 (55%)	10 (50%)	1
Clackamas	65	48 (74%)	41 (63%)	34 (52%)	5
Clatsop	11	7 (64%)	6 (55%)	6 (55%)	17
Columbia	17	17 (100%)	16 (94%)	12 (71%)	8
Coos	19	10 (53%)	9 (47%)	8 (42%)	1
Crook	13	10 (77%)	8 (62%)	6 (46%)	5
Curry	12	10 (83%)	8 (67%)	6 (50%)	12
Deschutes	51	40 (78%)	34 (67%)	25 (49%)	5
Douglas	37	32 (86%)	25 (68%)	23 (62%)	4
Gilliam	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	13
Grant	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	4
Harney	7	7 (100%)	6 (86%)	4 (57%)	9
Hood River	19	17 (89%)	17 (89%)	13 (68%)	9
Jackson	81	57 (70%)	50 (62%)	36 (44%)	5
Jefferson	22	15 (68%)	12 (55%)	10 (45%)	4
Josephine	49	32 (65%)	25 (51%)	16 (33%)	4
Klamath	22	13 (59%)	11 (50%)	10 (45%)	1
Lane	99	79 (80%)	69 (70%)	61 (62%)	4

³⁶ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2006-07. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2006-07.

County	Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07 ³⁶	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program
Lincoln	24	21 (88%)	17 (71%)	15 (63%)	5
Linn	15	8 (53%)	8 (53%)	8 (53%)	1
Malheur	17	12 (71%)	10 (59%)	8 (47%)	3
Marion	81	63 (78%)	56 (69%)	40 (49%)	7
Morrow	6	6 (100%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%)	11
Multnomah	136	109 (80%)	92 (68%)	68 (50%)	5
Polk	22	15 (68%)	12 (55%)	8 (36%)	4
Sherman	2	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Tillamook	8	6 (75%)	6 (75%)	5 (63%)	3
Umatilla	18	14 (78%)	12 (67%)	7 (39%)	4
Union	9	5 (56%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	1
Wallowa	3	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	10
Wasco	15	12 (80%)	11 (73%)	9 (60%)	6
Washington	158	119 (75%)	105 (66%)	87 (55%)	4
Wheeler	2	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4
Yamhill	27	22 (81%)	22 (81%)	20 (74%)	8
State	1,091	826 (76%)	714 (65%)	567 (52%)	4

³⁶ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2006-07. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2006-07.

Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2006-07

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07		Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07		Number of Other Race Families ³⁷ Enrolled in FY in 2006-07		Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2006-07		Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2006-07	
	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	8	5 (63%)	9	4 (44%)	3	1 (33%)	8	5 (63%)	8	4 (50%)
Clackamas	20	10 (50%)	36	21 (58%)	9	3 (33%)	20	11 (55%)	44	23 (52%)
Clatsop	2	2 (100%)	8	4 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	9	4 (44%)
Columbia	0	--	15	12 (80%)	2	0 (0%)	0	--	17	12 (71%)
Coos	0	--	16	7 (44%)	3	1 (33%)	0	--	17	8 (47%)
Crook	4	2 (50%)	8	4 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	3	1 (33%)	9	5 (56%)
Curry	1	1 (100%)	9	3 (33%)	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	11	5 (45%)
Deschutes	4	2 (50%)	41	21 (51%)	6	2 (33%)	3	1 (33%)	46	24 (52%)
Douglas	0	--	34	20 (59%)	3	3 (100%)	0	--	37	23 (62%)
Gilliam	0	--	1	1 (100%)	0	--	0	--	1	1 (100%)
Grant	0	--	3	0 (0%)	0	--	0	--	3	0 (0%)
Harney	2	2 (100%)	2	1 (50%)	3	1 (33%)	0	--	5	3 (60%)
Hood River	15	11 (73%)	2	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	16	12 (75%)	1	0 (0%)
Jackson	32	16 (50%)	41	17 (41%)	8	3 (38%)	30	15 (50%)	47	20 (43%)
Jefferson	15	6 (40%)	4	3 (75%)	3	1 (33%)	12	5 (42%)	9	4 (44%)
Josephine	5	1 (20%)	38	12 (32%)	6	3 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	46	15 (33%)
Klamath	2	0 (0%)	13	7 (54%)	7	3 (43%)	2	0 (0%)	19	10 (53%)
Lane	17	11 (65%)	68	41 (60%)	14	9 (64%)	11	9 (82%)	88	52 (59%)

³⁷ Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2006-07	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Other Race Families ³⁷ Enrolled in FY in 2006-07	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2006-07	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2006-07	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Lincoln	10	9 (90%)	10	5 (50%)	4	1 (25%)	11	9 (82%)	13	6 (46%)
Linn	5	5 (100%)	9	3 (33%)	1	0 (0%)	5	4 (80%)	10	4 (40%)
Malheur	10	2 (20%)	6	5 (83%)	1	1 (100%)	8	1 (13%)	9	7 (78%)
Marion	46	23 (50%)	27	14 (52%)	8	3 (38%)	37	20 (54%)	39	17 (44%)
Morrow	3	3 (100%)	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	2	1 (50%)
Multnomah	35	20 (57%)	55	19 (35%)	46	29 (63%)	34	19 (56%)	78	33 (42%)
Polk	9	2 (22%)	10	6 (60%)	3	0 (0%)	8	2 (25%)	14	6 (43%)
Sherman	0	--	2	0 (0%)	0	--	0	--	1	0 (0%)
Tillamook	4	2 (50%)	3	3 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	4	2 (50%)	4	3 (75%)
Umatilla	2	1 (50%)	12	6 (50%)	4	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	16	6 (38%)
Union	0	--	7	4 (57%)	2	0 (0%)	0	--	8	4 (50%)
Wallowa	0	--	2	1 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	0	--	3	1 (33%)
Wasco	4	3 (75%)	8	4 (50%)	3	2 (67%)	4	3 (75%)	10	5 (50%)
Washington	102	52 (51%)	40	28 (70%)	16	7 (44%)	98	51 (52%)	57	34 (60%)
Wheeler	0	--	2	1 (50%)	0	--	0	--	2	1 (50%)
Yamhill	10	9 (90%)	14	9 (64%)	3	2 (67%)	7	7 (100%)	19	12 (63%)
State	367	200 (54%)	557	287 (52%)	167	80 (48%)	329	184 (56%)	702	353 (50%)

³⁷ Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2006-07

County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Families Screened Prenatally Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Families Screened After Birth Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	3 (50%)	7 (54%)	8 (53%)	2 (50%)	7 (64%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	10 (56%)	2 (100%)	7 (44%)
Clackamas	5 (50%)	29 (54%)	25 (64%)	9 (36%)	24 (59%)	9 (43%)	6 (33%)	28 (61%)	20 (59%)	10 (48%)
Clatsop	--	6 (60%)	2 (50%)	4 (67%)	5 (63%)	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	4 (57%)	2 (33%)	1 (100%)
Columbia	1 (50%)	11 (73%)	9 (82%)	3 (50%)	8 (89%)	4 (50%)	--	12 (71%)	5 (56%)	2 (100%)
Coos	2 (67%)	5 (36%)	5 (63%)	3 (30%)	8 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (53%)	6 (67%)	1 (17%)
Crook	1 (33%)	5 (56%)	6 (55%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	1 (25%)	--	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)
Curry	--	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	4 (57%)	5 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (80%)	2 (29%)	3 (50%)	3 (100%)
Deschutes	8 (57%)	17 (49%)	20 (54%)	5 (42%)	21 (55%)	3 (30%)	4 (57%)	21 (49%)	22 (58%)	3 (60%)
Douglas	2 (33%)	21 (70%)	9 (50%)	14 (74%)	17 (63%)	5 (56%)	3 (43%)	19 (66%)	5 (42%)	15 (68%)
Gilliam	--	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	--	--
Grant	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	5 (71%)	7 (64%)	5 (83%)	7 (64%)	4 (57%)	8 (80%)	3 (60%)	9 (75%)	7 (70%)	3 (100%)
Jackson	13 (72%)	23 (38%)	19 (50%)	16 (41%)	28 (47%)	7 (39%)	6 (46%)	29 (45%)	29 (50%)	5 (42%)
Jefferson	3 (60%)	7 (41%)	5 (50%)	5 (42%)	10 (56%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	7 (47%)	6 (50%)	1 (20%)
Josephine	4 (40%)	10 (29%)	10 (30%)	5 (36%)	11 (33%)	4 (29%)	2 (22%)	13 (34%)	3 (25%)	13 (39%)
Klamath	4 (100%)	6 (35%)	7 (64%)	3 (30%)	6 (50%)	3 (38%)	0 (0%)	10 (53%)	3 (50%)	5 (50%)
Lane	17 (74%)	44 (58%)	46 (66%)	15 (56%)	48 (61%)	13 (68%)	7 (50%)	54 (64%)	6 (75%)	38 (62%)

County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Families Screened Prenatally Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Families Screened After Birth Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Lincoln	2 (100%)	12 (57%)	8 (50%)	7 (88%)	11 (61%)	3 (60%)	2 (67%)	13 (62%)	15 (65%)	--
Linn	2 (67%)	4 (40%)	3 (43%)	5 (63%)	6 (50%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	6 (50%)	5 (63%)	2 (33%)
Malheur	1 (50%)	7 (50%)	6 (46%)	2 (50%)	7 (47%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	6 (46%)	3 (38%)	0 (0%)
Marion	7 (70%)	30 (45%)	17 (59%)	20 (43%)	21 (50%)	15 (47%)	10 (37%)	27 (55%)	14 (50%)	20 (47%)
Morrow	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	1 (50%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)
Multnomah	24 (52%)	41 (48%)	43 (52%)	22 (46%)	52 (51%)	13 (43%)	3 (50%)	62 (50%)	8 (57%)	45 (45%)
Polk	0 (0%)	8 (38%)	3 (38%)	5 (36%)	5 (45%)	3 (30%)	2 (40%)	6 (35%)	3 (60%)	4 (29%)
Sherman	--	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)	--	--
Tillamook	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	1 (50%)	4 (67%)	5 (63%)	--	2 (100%)	3 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (60%)
Umatilla	3 (75%)	4 (31%)	3 (50%)	4 (36%)	5 (50%)	2 (29%)	3 (60%)	4 (31%)	1 (50%)	3 (38%)
Union	1 (33%)	3 (60%)	3 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)
Wallowa	--	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (33%)	1 (50%)	--
Wasco	1 (50%)	8 (62%)	3 (43%)	6 (75%)	4 (57%)	5 (63%)	2 (67%)	7 (58%)	4 (50%)	2 (67%)
Washington	20 (53%)	65 (55%)	42 (56%)	44 (54%)	72 (55%)	14 (52%)	15 (60%)	71 (54%)	51 (51%)	14 (70%)
Wheeler	1 (50%)	--	1 (50%)	--	1 (50%)	--	--	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	--
Yamhill	5 (100%)	15 (71%)	10 (91%)	10 (63%)	12 (80%)	8 (67%)	4 (50%)	16 (84%)	2 (67%)	12 (67%)
State	139 (59%)	409 (50%)	324 (55%)	231 (49%)	416 (55%)	133 (47%)	90 (47%)	464 (53%)	235 (54%)	221 (51%)

Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion³⁸

County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2007-08	Median ³⁹ Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Benton	19	9	3 (16%)	3 (16%)	2 (11%)	8 (42%)	3 (16%)	12 (71%)
Clackamas	91	14	16 (18%)	8 (9%)	45 (49%)	14 (15%)	8 (9%)	55 (62%)
Clatsop	10	25	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	7 (70%)
Columbia	12	11	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)	7 (58%)	1 (8%)	11 (100%)
Coos	18	4	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	11 (61%)	6 (33%)	0 (0%)	7 (41%)
Crook	12	14	3 (25%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	7 (58%)	1 (8%)	8 (67%)
Curry	17	15	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	6 (35%)	4 (24%)	5 (29%)	10 (91%)
Deschutes	48	11	7 (15%)	8 (17%)	16 (33%)	12 (25%)	5 (10%)	30 (64%)
Douglas	37	14	7 (19%)	6 (16%)	10 (27%)	11 (30%)	3 (8%)	21 (58%)
Gilliam	1	15	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Grant	8	6	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	3 (38%)	3 (38%)	1 (13%)	5 (63%)
Harney	7	10	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	5 (71%)
Hood River	12	14	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (67%)	3 (25%)	1 (8%)	9 (82%)
Jackson	64	9	0 (0%)	10 (16%)	39 (61%)	7 (11%)	8 (13%)	31 (51%)
Jefferson	22	12	4 (18%)	2 (9%)	11 (50%)	2 (9%)	3 (14%)	15 (68%)
Josephine	37	12	4 (11%)	9 (24%)	13 (35%)	4 (11%)	7 (19%)	27 (79%)
Klamath	21	10	2 (10%)	5 (24%)	2 (10%)	6 (29%)	6 (29%)	16 (76%)
Lane	84	10	15 (18%)	5 (6%)	34 (40%)	16 (19%)	14 (17%)	50 (60%)

³⁸ Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family’s Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

³⁹ The “median” is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the “mean,” and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analyses.

County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2007-08	Median ³⁹ Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Lincoln	26	28	10 (38%)	1 (4%)	12 (46%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	18 (82%)
Linn	26	7	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	15 (58%)	6 (23%)	3 (12%)	18 (72%)
Malheur	20	5	0 (0%)	4 (20%)	5 (25%)	9 (45%)	2 (10%)	12 (63%)
Marion	122	16	28 (23%)	10 (8%)	41 (34%)	24 (20%)	19 (16%)	86 (72%)
Morrow	8	28	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (38%)	1 (13%)	6 (86%)
Multnomah	203	9	21 (10%)	22 (11%)	91 (45%)	22 (11%)	47 (23%)	125 (66%)
Polk	32	11	5 (16%)	0 (0%)	6 (19%)	15 (47%)	6 (19%)	20 (77%)
Sherman	1	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	10	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	7 (88%)
Umatilla	17	10	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	7 (41%)	3 (18%)	5 (29%)	8 (47%)
Union	3	30	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Wallowa	3	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)
Wasco	11	12	0 (0%)	2 (18%)	2 (18%)	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)
Washington	143	12	21 (15%)	19 (13%)	45 (31%)	29 (20%)	29 (20%)	92 (67%)
Wheeler	1	5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Yamhill	31	13	8 (26%)	4 (13%)	9 (29%)	7 (23%)	3 (10%)	19 (61%)
State	1,177	11	166 (14%)	123 (11%)	456 (39%)	246 (21%)	186 (16%)	741 (66%)

³⁸ Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

³⁹The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analyses.

Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families⁴⁰: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Benton	47	27 (57%)	31	31 (100%)	31	26 (84%)
Clackamas	224	137 (61%)	147	142 (97%)	147	140 (95%)
Clatsop	31	19 (61%)	23	22 (96%)	22	20 (91%)
Columbia	40	39 (98%)	31	31 (100%)	31	29 (94%)
Coos	49	45 (92%)	27	27 (100%)	27	25 (93%)
Crook	41	40 (98%)	27	27 (100%)	27	26 (96%)
Curry	22	18 (82%)	14	13 (93%)	14	14 (100%)
Deschutes	137	101 (74%)	95	94 (99%)	95	93 (98%)
Douglas	95	71 (75%)	71	71 (100%)	71	62 (87%)
Gilliam	3	2 (67%)	3	3 (100%)	3	2 (67%)
Grant	14	12 (86%)	11	10 (91%)	11	11 (100%)
Harney	7	5 (71%)	6	6 (100%)	6	5 (83%)
Hood River	47	37 (79%)	40	39 (98%)	39	38 (97%)
Jackson	145	118 (81%)	111	111 (100%)	110	104 (95%)
Jefferson	45	39 (87%)	30	26 (87%)	28	26 (93%)
Josephine	96	83 (86%)	63	63 (100%)	62	59 (95%)
Klamath	58	55 (95%)	42	42 (100%)	43	42 (98%)
Lane	245	179 (73%)	173	172 (99%)	171	161 (94%)

⁴⁰ Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Lincoln	76	68 (89%)	59	57 (97%)	59	54 (92%)
Linn	62	48 (77%)	35	34 (97%)	35	33 (94%)
Malheur	38	38 (100%)	25	25 (100%)	25	19 (76%)
Marion	254	185 (73%)	208	205 (99%)	205	195 (95%)
Morrow	20	19 (95%)	15	15 (100%)	15	12 (80%)
Multnomah	442	279 (63%)	258	248 (96%)	257	246 (96%)
Polk	76	56 (74%)	54	54 (100%)	51	47 (92%)
Sherman	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	38	38 (100%)	30	30 (100%)	30	27 (90%)
Umatilla	56	52 (93%)	35	34 (97%)	35	30 (86%)
Union	16	16 (100%)	12	12 (100%)	12	12 (100%)
Wallowa	7	7 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Wasco	38	27 (71%)	33	32 (97%)	33	29 (88%)
Washington	429	219 (51%)	324	305 (94%)	318	292 (92%)
Wheeler	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Yamhill	68	65 (96%)	52	52 (100%)	48	44 (92%)
State	2,969	2,146 (72%)	2,090	2,038 (98%)	2,066	1,928 (93%)

⁴⁰ Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information ⁴¹ (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Benton	31	1 (3%)	27 (87%)	3 (10%)	1 (4%)	1 (100%)	31	3 (10%)
Clackamas	147	35 (24%)	109 (74%)	3 (2%)	27 (19%)	26 (96%)	147	11 (7%)
Clatsop	23	3 (13%)	18 (78%)	2 (9%)	3 (15%)	3 (100%)	23	2 (9%)
Columbia	31	5 (16%)	24 (77%)	2 (6%)	4 (13%)	4 (100%)	31	0 (0%)
Coos	26	7 (27%)	18 (69%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	2 (100%)	27	4 (15%)
Crook	27	5 (19%)	22 (81%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	27	1 (4%)
Curry	14	1 (7%)	11 (79%)	2 (14%)	1 (7%)	1 (100%)	14	0 (0%)
Deschutes	94	17 (18%)	71 (76%)	6 (6%)	6 (6%)	6 (100%)	94	3 (3%)
Douglas	71	9 (13%)	55 (77%)	7 (10%)	2 (3%)	1 (50%)	71	3 (4%)
Gilliam	3	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	3	0 (0%)
Grant	11	3 (27%)	7 (64%)	1 (9%)	2 (20%)	1 (50%)	11	0 (0%)
Harney	6	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	6	0 (0%)
Hood River	40	1 (3%)	36 (90%)	3 (8%)	3 (8%)	2 (67%)	40	1 (3%)
Jackson	111	9 (8%)	96 (86%)	6 (5%)	40 (37%)	37 (93%)	110	9 (8%)
Jefferson	29	6 (21%)	20 (69%)	3 (10%)	2 (7%)	2 (100%)	30	3 (10%)
Josephine	62	7 (11%)	51 (82%)	4 (6%)	2 (3%)	1 (50%)	63	8 (13%)
Klamath	43	7 (16%)	36 (84%)	0 (0%)	5 (12%)	5 (100%)	42	0 (0%)
Lane	172	21 (12%)	146 (85%)	5 (3%)	3 (2%)	0 (0%)	173	10 (6%)

⁴¹ The insurance type 'other' represents less than 2% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information ⁴¹ (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Lincoln	59	8 (14%)	50 (85%)	1 (2%)	17 (33%)	16 (94%)	59	6 (10%)
Linn	35	5 (14%)	29 (83%)	1 (3%)	3 (10%)	3 (100%)	35	3 (9%)
Malheur	25	5 (20%)	20 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	25	1 (4%)
Marion	208	23 (11%)	178 (86%)	7 (3%)	23 (13%)	22 (96%)	208	15 (7%)
Morrow	14	1 (7%)	12 (86%)	1 (7%)	1 (8%)	1 (100%)	15	1 (7%)
Multnomah	255	49 (19%)	197 (77%)	9 (4%)	18 (7%)	18 (100%)	259	13 (5%)
Polk	54	9 (17%)	44 (81%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	2 (100%)	54	8 (15%)
Sherman	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	30	9 (30%)	19 (63%)	2 (7%)	2 (7%)	2 (100%)	30	0 (0%)
Umatilla	35	6 (17%)	28 (80%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	--	35	5 (14%)
Union	12	2 (17%)	9 (75%)	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	1 (100%)	12	3 (25%)
Wallowa	3	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	3	0 (0%)
Wasco	33	2 (6%)	29 (88%)	2 (6%)	2 (6%)	2 (100%)	33	1 (3%)
Washington	323	54 (17%)	249 (77%)	20 (6%)	70 (25%)	67 (96%)	324	13 (4%)
Wheeler	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	1	0 (0%)
Yamhill	51	7 (14%)	43 (84%)	1 (2%)	7 (15%)	6 (86%)	52	5 (10%)
State	2,080	319 (15%)	1,666 (80%)	95 (5%)	249 (13%)	232 (93%)	2,089	133 (6%)

⁴¹ The insurance type 'other' represents less than 2% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children⁴²: Immunizations

County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Benton	31	28 (90%)	2 (6%)	12	11 (92%)
Clackamas	147	126 (86%)	18 (12%)	46	43 (93%)
Clatsop	23	23 (100%)	0 (0%)	11	9 (82%)
Columbia	31	30 (97%)	1 (3%)	8	8 (100%)
Coos	27	25 (93%)	1 (4%)	5	4 (80%)
Crook	27	25 (93%)	1 (4%)	7	7 (100%)
Curry	14	14 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	5 (100%)
Deschutes	95	89 (94%)	6 (6%)	29	27 (93%)
Douglas	71	60 (85%)	7 (10%)	24	22 (92%)
Gilliam	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1	1 (100%)
Grant	11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	5 (100%)
Harney	6	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Hood River	39	38 (97%)	1 (3%)	14	14 (100%)
Jackson	111	100 (90%)	7 (6%)	27	25 (93%)
Jefferson	31	28 (90%)	3 (10%)	14	14 (100%)
Josephine	62	51 (82%)	9 (15%)	12	11 (92%)
Klamath	43	42 (98%)	1 (2%)	14	14 (100%)
Lane	173	157 (91%)	13 (8%)	50	44 (88%)

⁴² Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Lincoln	59	54 (92%)	5 (8%)	24	24 (100%)
Linn	35	33 (94%)	2 (6%)	13	12 (92%)
Malheur	25	21 (84%)	4 (16%)	8	6 (75%)
Marion	208	183 (88%)	20 (10%)	76	69 (91%)
Morrow	15	13 (87%)	1 (7%)	5	5 (100%)
Multnomah	258	242 (94%)	15 (6%)	61	58 (95%)
Polk	54	49 (91%)	5 (9%)	12	12 (100%)
Sherman	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	30	30 (100%)	0 (0%)	10	10 (100%)
Umatilla	34	29 (85%)	4 (12%)	4	4 (100%)
Union	12	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	6	5 (83%)
Wallowa	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Wasco	33	30 (91%)	2 (6%)	23	21 (91%)
Washington	323	283 (88%)	36 (11%)	112	101 (90%)
Wheeler	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	--
Yamhill	49	44 (90%)	4 (8%)	21	20 (95%)
State	2,085	1,882 (90%)	170 (8%)	662	614 (93%)

⁴² Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 27-A: Comparison of Prenatal Care and prenatal smoking for families served pre & post natally

County	Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on NBQ		Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on Intake		Number (%) of Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy	
	Prenatal Service ⁴³	Postnatal Service ⁴⁴	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service
Benton	1 (50%)	33 (75%)	1 (100%)	44 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (15%)
Clackamas	13 (76%)	143 (70%)	17 (100%)	189 (100%)	3 (20%)	24 (14%)
Clatsop	6 (67%)	15 (65%)	9 (100%)	19 (100%)	4 (44%)	6 (38%)
Columbia	14 (74%)	18 (75%)	16 (100%)	21 (100%)	2 (13%)	6 (33%)
Coos	6 (75%)	34 (85%)	10 (100%)	39 (100%)	3 (30%)	12 (32%)
Crook	4 (100%)	31 (86%)	4 (100%)	33 (100%)	0 (0%)	9 (29%)
Curry	2 (100%)	10 (50%)	2 (100%)	19 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (6%)
Deschutes	7 (50%)	88 (67%)	13 (100%)	124 (100%)	7 (54%)	26 (22%)
Douglas	8 (73%)	62 (77%)	10 (100%)	77 (100%)	3 (30%)	36 (47%)
Gilliam	--	2 (67%)	--	3 (100%)	--	1 (33%)
Grant	8 (80%)	2 (29%)	7 (100%)	5 (100%)	3 (43%)	3 (50%)
Harney	3 (100%)	2 (50%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	14 (82%)	21 (75%)	17 (100%)	27 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (7%)
Jackson	2 (40%)	104 (74%)	5 (100%)	128 (98%)	2 (67%)	23 (19%)
Jefferson	5 (50%)	22 (69%)	10 (100%)	33 (100%)	3 (30%)	4 (12%)
Josephine	1 (50%)	64 (69%)	2 (100%)	93 (100%)	0 (0%)	33 (35%)
Klamath	10 (77%)	33 (72%)	12 (100%)	44 (98%)	6 (50%)	9 (21%)
Lane	1 (100%)	190 (77%)	1 (100%)	231 (99%)	0 (0%)	49 (22%)

⁴³ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

⁴⁴ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

County	Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on NBQ		Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on Intake		Number (%) of Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy	
	Prenatal Service ⁴³	Postnatal Service ⁴⁴	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service
Lincoln	--	52 (72%)	--	72 (97%)	--	12 (16%)
Linn	12 (86%)	30 (68%)	15 (100%)	47 (100%)	1 (8%)	1 (2%)
Malheur	6 (46%)	14 (50%)	12 (100%)	24 (100%)	1 (8%)	8 (33%)
Marion	24 (65%)	142 (67%)	38 (100%)	201 (98%)	5 (15%)	19 (10%)
Morrow	0 (0%)	8 (53%)	1 (100%)	17 (94%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)
Multnomah	20 (71%)	258 (62%)	25 (100%)	377 (98%)	2 (8%)	28 (8%)
Polk	11 (85%)	40 (63%)	10 (100%)	63 (100%)	2 (20%)	8 (13%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)
Tillamook	0 (0%)	22 (76%)	5 (100%)	31 (100%)	1 (20%)	3 (11%)
Umatilla	4 (67%)	35 (71%)	6 (100%)	46 (100%)	0 (0%)	10 (21%)
Union	--	15 (94%)	--	15 (100%)	--	3 (20%)
Wallowa	3 (75%)	1 (100%)	5 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (20%)	1 (100%)
Wasco	8 (89%)	20 (69%)	9 (100%)	26 (96%)	0 (0%)	9 (39%)
Washington	63 (66%)	186 (59%)	91 (100%)	306 (100%)	10 (11%)	26 (9%)
Wheeler	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	5 (63%)	45 (73%)	7 (100%)	57 (95%)	1 (17%)	9 (16%)
State	263 (69%)	1,744 (68%)	364 (100%)	2,416 (99%)	63 (18%)	389 (17%)

⁴³ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

⁴⁴ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 27-B: Comparison of Health Outcomes for families served pre & post natally

County	Number (%) of Babies with Primary Health Care Providers		Number (%) of Mothers Breastfeeding		Number (%) of Babies Born Premature	
	Prenatal Service ⁴⁵	Postnatal Service ⁴⁶	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service
Benton	1 (50%)	44 (100%)	1 (100%)	29 (66%)	0 (0%)	6 (14%)
Clackamas	15 (100%)	189 (95%)	12 (86%)	139 (68%)	2 (12%)	20 (10%)
Clatsop	9 (100%)	20 (95%)	4 (50%)	12 (57%)	0 (0%)	2 (11%)
Columbia	17 (100%)	22 (100%)	8 (47%)	8 (40%)	0 (0%)	4 (19%)
Coos	9 (100%)	39 (100%)	5 (50%)	22 (58%)	0 (0%)	5 (13%)
Crook	4 (100%)	34 (97%)	3 (75%)	23 (66%)	0 (0%)	8 (26%)
Curry	2 (100%)	15 (83%)	2 (100%)	11 (58%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	12 (92%)	122 (98%)	9 (69%)	81 (65%)	1 (8%)	11 (9%)
Douglas	10 (100%)	76 (97%)	6 (60%)	38 (49%)	1 (11%)	10 (13%)
Gilliam	--	2 (67%)	--	0 (0%)	--	0 (0%)
Grant	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	5 (83%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	17 (100%)	27 (100%)	15 (88%)	24 (89%)	1 (6%)	1 (4%)
Jackson	5 (100%)	130 (99%)	4 (80%)	97 (74%)	0 (0%)	11 (9%)
Jefferson	9 (100%)	34 (100%)	8 (89%)	26 (76%)	1 (11%)	3 (9%)
Josephine	2 (100%)	94 (100%)	2 (100%)	66 (71%)	0 (0%)	8 (9%)
Klamath	11 (92%)	46 (100%)	11 (92%)	30 (65%)	1 (8%)	4 (9%)
Lane	1 (100%)	235 (98%)	0 (0%)	179 (74%)	0 (0%)	28 (12%)

⁴⁵ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

⁴⁶ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

County	Number (%) of Babies with Primary Health Care Providers		Number (%) of Mothers Breastfeeding		Number (%) of Babies Born Premature	
	Prenatal Service ⁴⁵	Postnatal Service ⁴⁶	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service	Prenatal Service	Postnatal Service
Lincoln	--	71 (96%)	--	54 (72%)	--	7 (9%)
Linn	12 (92%)	46 (98%)	12 (86%)	33 (70%)	0 (0%)	2 (4%)
Malheur	11 (92%)	25 (100%)	11 (92%)	12 (46%)	1 (8%)	8 (33%)
Marion	37 (95%)	205 (98%)	32 (91%)	126 (61%)	2 (5%)	16 (8%)
Morrow	1 (100%)	18 (100%)	1 (100%)	9 (53%)	0 (0%)	3 (17%)
Multnomah	25 (96%)	374 (94%)	21 (78%)	270 (68%)	3 (12%)	38 (10%)
Polk	9 (90%)	63 (98%)	8 (80%)	45 (71%)	1 (10%)	6 (10%)
Sherman	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	0 (0%)
Tillamook	5 (100%)	30 (97%)	4 (80%)	18 (58%)	1 (20%)	3 (10%)
Umatilla	6 (100%)	46 (96%)	4 (67%)	19 (41%)	1 (20%)	6 (13%)
Union	--	16 (100%)	--	11 (69%)	--	3 (19%)
Wallowa	5 (100%)	1 (100%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	9 (100%)	26 (93%)	7 (78%)	18 (67%)	1 (11%)	2 (8%)
Washington	85 (97%)	299 (95%)	79 (86%)	239 (76%)	7 (8%)	35 (11%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	7 (100%)	58 (97%)	5 (71%)	26 (43%)	2 (40%)	12 (21%)
State	346 (96%)	2,417 (97%)	287 (80%)	1,669 (67%)	27 (8%)	262 (11%)

⁴⁵ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

⁴⁶ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 28: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births

County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care
Benton	45	38 (84%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Clackamas	218	154 (71%)	7	6 (86%)	6 (86%)	0%
Clatsop	30	23 (77%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Columbia	37	30 (81%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Coos	49	41 (84%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Crook	37	35 (95%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
Curry	21	12 (57%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Deschutes	138	101 (73%)	6	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	0%
Douglas	87	70 (80%)	4	2 (50%)	3 (75%)	50%
Gilliam	3	3 (100%)	0	-- ⁴⁷	--	--
Grant	13	8 (62%)	0	--	--	--
Harney	5	2 (40%)	0	--	--	--
Hood River	44	41 (93%)	3	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	50%
Jackson	136	109 (80%)	6	5 (83%)	5 (83%)	0%
Jefferson	43	28 (65%)	4	3 (75%)	4 (100%)	33%
Josephine	95	71 (75%)	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	-50%
Klamath	58	44 (76%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Lane	242	186 (77%)	9	8 (89%)	7 (78%)	-13%

⁴⁷ Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.

County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care
Lincoln	74	56 (76%)	4	3 (75%)	2 (50%)	-33%
Linn	62	50 (81%)	3	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	-33%
Malheur	36	28 (78%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	100%
Marion	245	176 (72%)	15	13 (87%)	14 (93%)	8%
Morrow	19	12 (63%)	2	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	100%
Multnomah	430	337 (78%)	14	14 (100%)	13 (93%)	-7%
Polk	73	47 (64%)	3	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	50%
Sherman	1	1 (100%)	0	--	--	--
Tillamook	36	32 (89%)	0	--	--	--
Umatilla	52	40 (77%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Union	15	14 (93%)	4	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	0%
Wallowa	6	5 (83%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Wasco	36	33 (92%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
Washington	408	295 (72%)	19	16 (84%)	14 (74%)	-13%
Wheeler	2	2 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Yamhill	67	53 (79%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
State	2,863	2,177 (76%)	128	111 (87%)	111 (87%)	0%

⁴⁷ Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.

Table 29: Developmental Screening⁴⁸

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening⁴⁹	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay*	Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
Benton	36	30 (83%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)
Clackamas	137	133 (97%)	8 (6%)	7 (88%)
Clatsop	22	21 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	32	30 (94%)	2 (7%)	2 (100%)
Coos	22	20 (91%)	2 (10%)	2 (100%)
Crook	29	26 (90%)	1 (4%)	1 (100%)
Curry	20	13 (65%)	1 (8%)	1 (100%)
Deschutes	98	86 (88%)	3 (4%)	2 (67%)
Douglas	72	68 (94%)	2 (3%)	2 (100%)
Gilliam	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--
Grant	9	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	--
Harney	5	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	--
Hood River	38	37 (97%)	4 (11%)	3 (75%)
Jackson	118	96 (81%)	0 (0%)	--
Jefferson	32	27 (84%)	3 (12%)	3 (100%)
Josephine	60	54 (90%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	34	34 (100%)	2 (6%)	2 (100%)
Lane	169	161 (95%)	4 (3%)	4 (100%)

⁴⁸ Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

⁴⁹ Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

*Note that these diagnoses are not provided by Healthy Start staff.

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening ⁴⁹	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay*	Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
Lincoln	57	55 (96%)	2 (4%)	2 (100%)
Linn	31	29 (94%)	0 (0%)	--
Malheur	23	21 (91%)	3 (14%)	3 (100%)
Marion	183	180 (98%)	5 (3%)	4 (80%)
Morrow	16	15 (94%)	0 (0%)	--
Multnomah	253	223 (88%)	11 (5%)	6 (55%)
Polk	47	44 (94%)	1 (2%)	1 (100%)
Sherman	0	--	--	--
Tillamook	25	20 (80%)	0 (0%)	--
Umatilla	33	29 (88%)	4 (14%)	4 (100%)
Union	11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	--
Wallowa	3	2 (67%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)
Wasco	34	32 (94%)	3 (9%)	3 (100%)
Washington	312	306 (98%)	18 (6%)	12 (67%)
Wheeler	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--
Yamhill	45	45 (100%)	3 (7%)	3 (100%)
State	2,010	1,865 (93%)	86 (5%)	69 (80%)

⁴⁸ Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

⁴⁹ Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

⁵⁰ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

Table 30: Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions

Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):

County	Number (%) w/Normal Development⁵⁰ at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) of Children with Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) of Connected to Early Intervention Services	Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development	Number (%) Receiving "Other" Action	Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action	Number (%) of Families Declining Early Intervention Services
Benton	28 (93%)	2 (7%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)
Clackamas	119 (90%)	12 (9%)	3 (25%)	4 (33%)	9 (75%)	1 (8%)	12 (100%)	2 (17%)
Clatsop	18 (86%)	2 (10%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	26 (87%)	4 (13%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Coos	19 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Crook	25 (96%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Curry	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	82 (95%)	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	64 (94%)	4 (6%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
Gilliam	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Harney	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hood River	33 (89%)	3 (8%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	90 (94%)	6 (3%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)
Jefferson	23 (85%)	4 (15%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	52 (96%)	2 (4%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
Klamath	30 (88%)	4 (12%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)
Lane	150 (93%)	10 (6%)	4 (40%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	10 (100%)	1 (10%)

⁵⁰ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):

County	Number (%) w/Normal Development ⁵⁰ at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) of Children with Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) of Connected to Early Intervention Services	Number (%) Given Information/Support for Child's Development	Number (%) Receiving "Other" Action	Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action	Number (%) of Families Declining Early Intervention Services
Lincoln	47 (85%)	6 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)
Linn	23 (79%)	4 (14%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)
Malheur	19 (90%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Marion	162 (90%)	14 (8%)	7 (50%)	4 (29%)	5 (36%)	3 (21%)	14 (100%)	1 (7%)
Morrow	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Multnomah	201 (90%)	18 (8%)	8 (44%)	6 (33%)	6 (33%)	2 (11%)	18 (100%)	1 (6%)
Polk	41 (93%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Umatilla	22 (79%)	5 (18%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	5 (100%)	1 (20%)
Union	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wallowa	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	28 (88%)	4 (13%)	3 (75%)	3 (75%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Washington	269 (88%)	32 (11%)	3 (9%)	2 (6%)	20 (63%)	6 (19%)	30 (94%)	2 (6%)
Wheeler	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	41 (91%)	4 (9%)	2 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
State	1,685 (91%)	153 (8%)	45 (29%)	49 (32%)	77 (50%)	24 (16%)	147 (96%)	15 (10%)

⁵⁰ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

Table 31: Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions

County	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) w/Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ-SE	<i>Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):</i>						
			Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development	Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action	Number (%) Declined Additional Services
Benton	30 (97%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	126 (95%)	5 (4%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
Clatsop	18 (90%)	1 (5%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	28 (97%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Coos	23 (96%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Crook	22 (96%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Curry	9 (90%)	1 (10%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	78 (96%)	3 (4%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	67 (97%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Gilliam	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	9 (90%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Harney	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	31 (91%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	88 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Jefferson	27 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Josephine	50 (98%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Klamath	31 (97%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Lane	155 (97%)	3 (2%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)

Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):

County	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) w/Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development	Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action	Number (%) Declined Additional Services
Lincoln	46 (94%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Linn	24 (77%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)
Malheur	21 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	172 (92%)	7 (4%)	5 (71%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)
Morrow	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Multnomah	206 (96%)	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)
Polk	45 (96%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	22 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Umatilla	22 (96%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Union	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wallowa	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	30 (97%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Washington	289 (96%)	10 (3%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	5 (50%)	8 (80%)	0 (0%)
Wheeler	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	42 (95%)	2 (5%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
State	1,746 (95%)	54 (3%)	20 (37%)	18 (33%)	7 (13%)	5 (9%)	21 (39%)	48 (89%)	2 (4%)

⁵¹ The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

Table 32: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families⁵²

County	Number Needing and Connected to Dental Insurance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Education Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to TANF at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Housing Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Job Training at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Domestic Violence Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Mental Health Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Medicaid/OHP Services at 6 months (% Connected)
Benton	--	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	8 (100%)	3 (100%)
Clackamas	0 (0%)	15 (88%)	11 (92%)	10 (71%)	9 (90%)	3 (100%)	8 (100%)	21 (96%)
Clatsop	--	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	2 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
Columbia	--	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	5 (100%)
Coos	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	2 (67%)	--	--	4 (100%)
Crook	--	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	6 (100%)	7 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)
Curry	--	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	3 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	2 (100%)
Deschutes	--	14 (100%)	1 (100%)	8 (89%)	9 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)
Douglas	5 (100%)	8 (100%)	6 (100%)	27 (96%)	13 (93%)	4 (100%)	10 (100%)	17 (100%)
Gilliam	--	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	--	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)
Harney	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	--
Hood River	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	4 (100%)	5 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	5 (100%)	8 (100%)
Jackson	1 (25%)	16 (80%)	5 (100%)	17 (74%)	9 (82%)	1 (100%)	11 (92%)	6 (100%)
Jefferson	--	5 (100%)	4 (100%)	1 (50%)	3 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)
Josephine	4 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	6 (67%)	4 (100%)	--	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Klamath	--	4 (100%)	3 (100%)	7 (100%)	3 (100%)	5 (100%)	3 (100%)	6 (100%)
Lane	14 (100%)	16 (100%)	10 (100%)	31 (89%)	16 (94%)	7 (100%)	21 (96%)	22 (96%)

⁵² Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2007-08, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

County	Number Needing and Connected to Dental Insurance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Education Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to TANF at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Housing Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Job Training at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Domestic Violence Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Mental Health Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Medicaid/OHP Services at 6 months (% Connected)
Lincoln	1 (33%)	3 (60%)	4 (100%)	13 (68%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	5 (100%)	30 (100%)
Linn	1 (100%)	8 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Malheur	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
Marion	--	25 (96%)	19 (100%)	33 (80%)	14 (88%)	3 (100%)	11 (85%)	30 (100%)
Morrow	--	5 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
Multnomah	3 (100%)	35 (83%)	20 (100%)	28 (90%)	31 (84%)	5 (100%)	6 (75%)	33 (97%)
Polk	--	7 (78%)	6 (86%)	10 (77%)	10 (100%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	6 (100%)
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	--	--	--	2 (100%)	--	--	--	2 (100%)
Umatilla	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Union	1 (100%)	--	--	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--
Wallowa	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Wasco	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (75%)	5 (83%)	4 (80%)	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	6 (100%)
Washington	3 (50%)	15 (94%)	13 (93%)	23 (96%)	12 (100%)	3 (75%)	8 (80%)	25 (89%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	--	5 (100%)	--	5 (83%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	2 (100%)
State	37 (77%)	209 (91%)	128 (97%)	264 (85%)	162 (91%)	47 (96%)	125 (93%)	244 (98%)

⁵² Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2007-08, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

Table 33: Family Outcomes and Life Events⁵³

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF	Number (%) of Child Welfare Reports Made by FSW
Benton	13 (23%)	24 (42%)	7 (12%)	1 (2%)	3 (5%)
Clackamas	41 (18%)	70 (31%)	16 (7%)	6 (3%)	7 (3%)
Clatsop	7 (22%)	16 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (9%)
Columbia	19 (44%)	13 (30%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)	2 (5%)
Coos	9 (17%)	13 (25%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	3 (6%)
Crook	6 (14%)	17 (40%)	2 (5%)	3 (7%)	2 (5%)
Curry	7 (27%)	9 (35%)	3 (12%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)
Deschutes	25 (16%)	55 (34%)	15 (9%)	6 (4%)	3 (2%)
Douglas	24 (25%)	28 (29%)	5 (5%)	4 (4%)	1 (1%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	2 (11%)	5 (28%)	3 (17%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2 (25%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)
Hood River	7 (13%)	13 (24%)	6 (11%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	37 (21%)	54 (31%)	9 (5%)	2 (1%)	5 (3%)
Jefferson	10 (20%)	18 (37%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	20 (20%)	34 (34%)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)
Klamath	16 (26%)	23 (37%)	3 (5%)	3 (5%)	5 (8%)
Lane	55 (21%)	81 (31%)	10 (4%)	4 (2%)	15 (6%)

⁵³ Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF	Number (%) of Child Welfare Reports Made by FSW
Lincoln	31 (41%)	38 (50%)	3 (4%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Linn	9 (14%)	13 (21%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	3 (5%)
Malheur	9 (17%)	15 (28%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	62 (23%)	101 (38%)	16 (6%)	3 (1%)	12 (4%)
Morrow	4 (20%)	9 (45%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)
Multnomah	75 (15%)	108 (22%)	10 (2%)	3 (1%)	4 (1%)
Polk	28 (34%)	30 (37%)	3 (4%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	15 (39%)	15 (39%)	4 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	9 (14%)	16 (25%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Union	5 (31%)	8 (50%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	10 (20%)	25 (51%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Washington	80 (18%)	148 (33%)	16 (4%)	4 (1%)	10 (2%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	14 (18%)	23 (30%)	3 (4%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)
State	651 (20%)	1,029 (32%)	150 (5%)	57 (2%)	88 (3%)

⁵³ Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

Table 34: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn⁵⁴

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
Benton	28	22 (79%)	19	16 (84%)	28	19 (68%)	17	14 (82%)
Clackamas	127	99 (78%)	82	70 (85%)	123	83 (67%)	81	56 (69%)
Clatsop	20	16 (80%)	16	13 (81%)	19	15 (79%)	15	11 (73%)
Columbia	27	20 (74%)	18	14 (78%)	26	19 (73%)	17	14 (82%)
Coos	17	14 (82%)	11	11 (100%)	17	11 (65%)	11	7 (64%)
Crook	23	16 (70%)	14	11 (79%)	23	15 (65%)	13	11 (85%)
Curry	11	10 (91%)	9	7 (78%)	10	8 (80%)	9	8 (89%)
Deschutes	77	64 (83%)	59	44 (75%)	72	53 (74%)	59	44 (75%)
Douglas	57	43 (75%)	44	38 (86%)	57	35 (61%)	41	33 (80%)
Gilliam	3	1 (33%)	2	1 (50%)	3	1 (33%)	2	1 (50%)
Grant	8	4 (50%)	4	3 (75%)	8	5 (63%)	3	2 (67%)
Harney	1	1 (100%)	3	2 (67%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)
Hood River	27	23 (85%)	22	17 (77%)	25	22 (88%)	17	14 (82%)
Jackson	79	61 (77%)	61	38 (62%)	77	58 (75%)	60	42 (70%)
Jefferson	22	19 (86%)	22	22 (100%)	22	15 (68%)	22	18 (82%)
Josephine	54	42 (78%)	39	32 (82%)	52	33 (63%)	38	27 (71%)
Klamath	28	23 (82%)	22	20 (91%)	28	23 (82%)	22	17 (77%)
Lane	141	109 (77%)	106	88 (83%)	134	100 (75%)	103	83 (81%)

⁵⁴ The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
Lincoln	53	45 (85%)	34	29 (85%)	51	38 (75%)	32	26 (81%)
Linn	27	19 (70%)	18	12 (67%)	27	18 (67%)	18	12 (67%)
Malheur	16	14 (88%)	15	14 (93%)	16	11 (69%)	15	12 (80%)
Marion	166	127 (77%)	118	94 (80%)	161	110 (68%)	114	83 (73%)
Morrow	12	9 (75%)	11	6 (55%)	12	8 (67%)	11	7 (64%)
Multnomah	180	135 (75%)	129	101 (78%)	167	108 (65%)	120	91 (76%)
Polk	45	34 (76%)	29	25 (86%)	44	33 (75%)	29	25 (86%)
Sherman	0	--	0	--	0	--		--
Tillamook	11	10 (91%)	9	9 (100%)	11	9 (82%)	9	6 (67%)
Umatilla	23	20 (87%)	16	12 (75%)	23	16 (70%)	16	10 (63%)
Union	10	10 (100%)	7	7 (100%)	10	9 (90%)	7	7 (100%)
Wallowa	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)
Wasco	27	22 (81%)	30	25 (83%)	25	18 (72%)	28	20 (71%)
Washington	246	202 (82%)	202	165 (82%)	231	168 (73%)	194	137 (71%)
Wheeler	1	1 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	1	0 (0%)
Yamhill	43	34 (79%)	33	28 (85%)	42	35 (83%)	32	28 (88%)
State	1,612	1,270 (79%)	1,206	975 (81%)	1,548	1,099 (71%)	1,160	870 (75%)

⁵⁴ The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

Table 35: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME Outcomes, & Reading

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction ⁵⁵ (at 6 months)	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction (at 6 months)	Number of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress Information (at 6 months)	Percent of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress Information (at 6 months)	Number of Families with HOME ⁵⁶ Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week ⁵⁷ (Staff Report) (at 12 months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week (Parent Report) (at 12 months)
Benton	30	29 (97%)	28	16 (57%)	18	14 (78%)	18 (95%)	30 (100%)
Clackamas	130	112 (86%)	127	74 (58%)	79	67 (85%)	72 (88%)	123 (92%)
Clatsop	20	18 (90%)	19	12 (63%)	15	14 (93%)	15 (94%)	20 (91%)
Columbia	29	24 (83%)	26	12 (46%)	17	17 (100%)	18 (100%)	28 (100%)
Coos	16	15 (94%)	16	12 (75%)	10	9 (90%)	11 (100%)	17 (90%)
Crook	25	20 (80%)	22	9 (41%)	14	3 (21%)	14 (100%)	24 (96%)
Curry	13	10 (77%)	12	7 (58%)	9	9 (100%)	9 (100%)	11 (92%)
Deschutes	77	68 (88%)	75	50 (67%)	52	51 (98%)	52 (95%)	77 (93%)
Douglas	58	51 (88%)	54	35 (65%)	41	34 (83%)	40 (87%)	60 (91%)
Gilliam	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)
Grant	8	7 (88%)	8	7 (88%)	4	3 (75%)	3 (75%)	8 (80%)
Harney	1	1 (100%)	0	--	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (75%)
Hood River	30	25 (83%)	26	23 (88%)	24	12 (50%)	26 (100%)	27 (93%)
Jackson	82	71 (87%)	77	49 (64%)	57	48 (84%)	61 (98%)	87 (95%)
Jefferson	29	22 (76%)	22	9 (41%)	11	9 (82%)	19 (90%)	25 (93%)
Josephine	58	47 (81%)	52	30 (58%)	37	35 (95%)	36 (92%)	52 (88%)
Klamath	33	25 (76%)	29	16 (55%)	24	20 (83%)	22 (88%)	26 (90%)
Lane	147	126 (86%)	138	81 (59%)	92	75 (82%)	89 (88%)	146 (94%)

⁵⁵ Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week,” “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

⁵⁶ The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

⁵⁷ Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction ⁵⁵ (at 6 months)	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction (at 6 months)	Number of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress Information (at 6 months)	Percent of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress Information (at 6 months)	Number of Families with HOME ⁵⁶ Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week ⁵⁷ (Staff Report) (at 12 months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week (Parent Report) (at 12 months)
Lincoln	54	50 (93%)	52	31 (60%)	32	26 (81%)	33 (87%)	55 (98%)
Linn	29	23 (79%)	27	16 (59%)	16	13 (81%)	12 (71%)	24 (80%)
Malheur	16	14 (88%)	13	8 (62%)	15	12 (80%)	15 (94%)	20 (95%)
Marion	174	150 (86%)	164	96 (59%)	115	98 (85%)	102 (84%)	167 (91%)
Morrow	13	12 (92%)	10	5 (50%)	8	4 (50%)	7 (58%)	15 (100%)
Multnomah	207	166 (80%)	168	109 (65%)	127	101 (80%)	125 (90%)	188 (92%)
Polk	45	41 (91%)	41	24 (59%)	28	27 (96%)	29 (97%)	44 (92%)
Sherman	0	--	0	--	0	--	--	1 (100%)
Tillamook	17	11 (65%)	10	5 (50%)	13	10 (77%)	10 (77%)	16 (89%)
Umatilla	24	21 (88%)	21	13 (62%)	16	12 (75%)	15 (83%)	25 (89%)
Union	10	9 (90%)	10	7 (70%)	8	6 (75%)	8 (89%)	10 (100%)
Wallowa	2	2 (100%)	2	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)
Wasco	28	26 (93%)	28	14 (50%)	27	26 (96%)	26 (93%)	30 (94%)
Washington	259	216 (83%)	243	155 (64%)	185	154 (83%)	160 (78%)	267 (88%)
Wheeler	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Yamhill	44	35 (80%)	40	24 (60%)	33	32 (97%)	32 (89%)	42 (96%)
State	1,712	1,451 (85%)	1,564	953 (61%)	1,134	948 (84%)	1,086 (88%)	1,675 (92%)

⁵⁵ Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week,” “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

⁵⁶ The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

⁵⁷ Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

Table 36: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors⁵⁸

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials in Their Primary Language	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Benton	16 (53%)	26 (87%)	24 (89%)	25 (83%)	27 (90%)	23 (77%)	28 (93%)	28 (93%)
Clackamas	70 (56%)	113 (89%)	91 (93%)	104 (82%)	112 (89%)	114 (90%)	123 (97%)	117 (92%)
Clatsop	14 (67%)	20 (95%)	19 (95%)	17 (81%)	18 (86%)	21 (100%)	19 (90%)	20 (95%)
Columbia	8 (30%)	21 (81%)	22 (96%)	22 (81%)	22 (81%)	25 (93%)	27 (100%)	25 (93%)
Coos	13 (68%)	18 (90%)	17 (94%)	16 (80%)	15 (75%)	16 (84%)	19 (100%)	18 (95%)
Crook	14 (56%)	20 (80%)	20 (95%)	21 (84%)	22 (88%)	24 (96%)	25 (100%)	23 (92%)
Curry	7 (58%)	10 (83%)	--	9 (75%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
Deschutes	40 (48%)	74 (88%)	55 (82%)	68 (81%)	70 (84%)	75 (90%)	81 (96%)	81 (96%)
Douglas	44 (67%)	59 (91%)	47 (87%)	55 (83%)	60 (91%)	58 (88%)	64 (98%)	65 (98%)
Gilliam	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Grant	6 (60%)	9 (90%)	3 (60%)	7 (70%)	8 (80%)	9 (90%)	10 (100%)	8 (80%)
Harney	3 (75%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)
Hood River	25 (89%)	28 (100%)	19 (95%)	28 (100%)	27 (96%)	28 (100%)	28 (100%)	28 (100%)
Jackson	59 (66%)	88 (98%)	75 (97%)	81 (90%)	82 (91%)	87 (98%)	89 (100%)	90 (100%)
Jefferson	15 (58%)	23 (88%)	17 (81%)	20 (77%)	23 (88%)	24 (92%)	26 (100%)	25 (96%)
Josephine	27 (50%)	53 (95%)	41 (93%)	47 (84%)	51 (91%)	52 (93%)	55 (98%)	54 (96%)
Klamath	12 (41%)	25 (86%)	17 (85%)	27 (93%)	27 (93%)	28 (97%)	28 (100%)	29 (100%)
Lane	79 (54%)	133 (90%)	114 (90%)	122 (84%)	137 (93%)	138 (95%)	144 (99%)	138 (95%)

⁵⁸ The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as, "Yes," "No," or "Not Sure." These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "Yes."

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials in Their Primary Language	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Lincoln	33 (60%)	52 (95%)	37 (90%)	46 (84%)	45 (83%)	50 (93%)	55 (100%)	54 (98%)
Linn	14 (56%)	22 (81%)	17 (89%)	20 (74%)	19 (70%)	23 (88%)	23 (92%)	24 (96%)
Malheur	9 (53%)	14 (78%)	9 (100%)	16 (89%)	15 (83%)	16 (94%)	17 (100%)	17 (100%)
Marion	129 (74%)	167 (95%)	136 (93%)	158 (90%)	162 (92%)	163 (93%)	174 (99%)	173 (98%)
Morrow	8 (53%)	15 (100%)	14 (93%)	13 (87%)	15 (100%)	15 (100%)	15 (100%)	15 (100%)
Multnomah	139 (76%)	175 (95%)	119 (88%)	156 (83%)	161 (87%)	170 (92%)	180 (97%)	179 (97%)
Polk	30 (64%)	42 (89%)	38 (88%)	43 (93%)	43 (91%)	43 (91%)	46 (98%)	46 (98%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Tillamook	12 (67%)	18 (100%)	10 (91%)	17 (94%)	15 (83%)	18 (100%)	18 (100%)	18 (100%)
Umatilla	11 (46%)	19 (79%)	13 (87%)	21 (88%)	20 (83%)	23 (96%)	23 (96%)	24 (100%)
Union	5 (50%)	8 (80%)	9 (100%)	10 (100%)	9 (90%)	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	10 (100%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)
Wasco	23 (72%)	31 (97%)	22 (96%)	30 (94%)	29 (91%)	31 (97%)	31 (97%)	31 (97%)
Washington	189 (66%)	270 (93%)	229 (94%)	252 (87%)	253 (88%)	275 (95%)	282 (97%)	284 (98%)
Wheeler	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Yamhill	25 (60%)	38 (90%)	27 (84%)	34 (83%)	36 (86%)	40 (95%)	42 (100%)	39 (93%)
State	1,083 (62%)	1,601 (92%)	1,268 (91%)	1,495 (86%)	1,546 (89%)	1,622 (93%)	1,706 (98%)	1,686 (97%)

⁵⁸ The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as, "Yes," "No," or "Not Sure." These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "Yes."

Table 37: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness⁵⁹

County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
Benton	19	10 (53%)	26	18 (69%)	30	28 (93%)	20	13 (65%)	21	10 (48%)
Clackamas	97	78 (80%)	117	104 (89%)	130	121 (93%)	79	61 (77%)	79	51 (65%)
Clatsop	14	9 (64%)	16	10 (63%)	21	20 (95%)	16	13 (81%)	15	10 (67%)
Columbia	20	17 (85%)	16	9 (56%)	27	27 (100%)	19	10 (53%)	16	11 (69%)
Coos	11	6 (55%)	13	7 (54%)	20	20 (100%)	15	9 (60%)	11	4 (36%)
Crook	13	10 (77%)	16	13 (81%)	25	25 (100%)	15	13 (87%)	16	14 (88%)
Curry	9	8 (89%)	10	10 (100%)	12	12 (100%)	9	9 (100%)	7	7 (100%)
Deschutes	50	40 (80%)	71	64 (90%)	80	78 (98%)	47	32 (68%)	52	38 (73%)
Douglas	51	44 (86%)	60	53 (88%)	65	61 (94%)	53	40 (75%)	50	38 (76%)
Gilliam	0	--	1	1 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Grant	4	4 (100%)	7	6 (86%)	8	8 (100%)	7	5 (71%)	2	2 (100%)
Harney	4	4 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	4	4 (100%)	3	2 (67%)
Hood River	25	25 (100%)	23	23 (100%)	28	28 (100%)	24	23 (96%)	21	20 (95%)
Jackson	64	50 (78%)	87	82 (94%)	89	88 (99%)	59	44 (75%)	56	39 (70%)
Jefferson	9	6 (67%)	21	19 (90%)	24	24 (100%)	16	12 (75%)	15	10 (67%)
Josephine	34	33 (97%)	37	34 (92%)	55	55 (100%)	27	25 (93%)	26	23 (88%)
Klamath	20	14 (70%)	21	17 (81%)	28	28 (100%)	21	17 (81%)	15	11 (73%)
Lane	101	79 (78%)	137	123 (90%)	148	145 (98%)	116	100 (86%)	92	68 (74%)

⁵⁹ Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "Please tell us whether Healthy Start has helped your family with the following issues" items are rated as "Yes," "No" and "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "Yes."

County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
Lincoln	40	30 (75%)	43	35 (81%)	53	49 (92%)	38	30 (79%)	27	21 (78%)
Linn	18	17 (94%)	23	17 (74%)	26	25 (96%)	14	13 (93%)	14	13 (93%)
Malheur	7	7 (100%)	9	7 (78%)	19	18 (95%)	12	11 (92%)	5	4 (80%)
Marion	138	124 (90%)	144	117 (81%)	174	166 (95%)	127	101 (80%)	108	79 (73%)
Morrow	10	8 (80%)	14	13 (93%)	15	15 (100%)	8	6 (75%)	13	12 (92%)
Multnomah	129	101 (78%)	162	134 (83%)	190	182 (96%)	122	94 (77%)	127	100 (79%)
Polk	38	27 (71%)	37	22 (59%)	47	44 (94%)	36	29 (81%)	34	25 (74%)
Sherman	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	14	14 (100%)	17	16 (94%)	18	17 (94%)	13	10 (77%)	7	6 (86%)
Umatilla	19	11 (58%)	18	10 (56%)	24	22 (92%)	14	9 (64%)	18	11 (61%)
Union	5	3 (60%)	8	6 (75%)	10	9 (90%)	5	2 (40%)	4	3 (75%)
Wallowa	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Wasco	27	27 (100%)	25	24 (96%)	32	32 (100%)	26	24 (92%)	20	19 (95%)
Washington	233	177 (76%)	235	152 (65%)	292	279 (96%)	190	125 (66%)	181	101 (56%)
Wheeler	0	--	0	--	1	1 (100%)	0	--	1	1 (100%)
Yamhill	27	19 (70%)	38	32 (84%)	42	41 (98%)	27	21 (78%)	19	10 (53%)
State	1,254	1,006 (80%)	1,459	1,185 (81%)	1,744	1,679 (96%)	1,185	910 (77%)	1,080	768 (71%)

⁵⁹ Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "Please tell us whether Healthy Start has helped your family with the following issues" items are rated as "Yes," "No" and "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "Yes."

Table 38: Healthy Start Service Delivery Indicators 2007-08

County	Service Delivery Indicator #1 % First Births Screened ¹	Service Delivery Indicator #2 % Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth ²	Service Delivery Indicator #3 % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed ³	Service Delivery Indicator #4 % IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer ⁴	Service Delivery Indicator #5 % Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2006-07) ⁵	Service Delivery Indicator #6 % Expected Adequate Enrollment Served ⁶
Benton	194 (60%)	170 (93%)	79%	12 (60%)	10 (50%)	57 (71%)
Clackamas	781 (47%)	699 (92%)	79%	48 (74%)	34 (52%)	229 (60%)
Clatsop	29 (16%)	17 (71%)	68%	7 (64%)	6 (55%)	32 (80%)
Columbia	65 (34%)	49 (75%)	73%	17 (100%)	12 (71%)	43 (101%)
Coos	88 (31%)	66 (85%)	82%	10 (53%)	8 (42%)	53 (82%)
Crook	58 (55%)	43 (78%)	98%	10 (77%)	6 (46%)	42 (198%)
Curry	9 (15%)	1 (11%)	57%	10 (83%)	6 (50%)	26 (176%)
Deschutes	381 (42%)	320 (93%)	79%	40 (78%)	25 (49%)	160 (91%)
Douglas	230 (47%)	207 (91%)	78%	32 (86%)	23 (62%)	97 (86%)
Gilliam	3 (60%)	1 (33%)	78%	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (171%)
Grant	15 (58%)	13 (87%)	88%	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	18 (313%)
Harney	3 (9%)	2 (67%)	71%	7 (100%)	4 (57%)	8 (128%)
Hood River	67 (58%)	54 (81%)	82%	17 (89%)	13 (68%)	55 (196%)
Jackson	527 (48%)	469 (95%)	66%	57 (70%)	36 (44%)	173 (79%)
Jefferson	41 (38%)	28 (68%)	67%	15 (68%)	10 (45%)	49 (198%)
Josephine	187 (54%)	173 (96%)	76%	32 (65%)	16 (33%)	100 (132%)
Klamath	254 (70%)	235 (93%)	82%	13 (59%)	10 (45%)	62 (84%)
Lane	749 (45%)	515 (69%)	75%	79 (80%)	61 (62%)	262 (69%)

¹ 50% or more first births screened meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

² 70% or more screens completed prenatally or within 2 weeks of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

³ 65% or more families with 75% or more of their expected home visits completed meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁴ 80% or more Intensive Service families engaged in services for 90 days or longer meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁵ 50% or more of families remaining in Intensive Service for 12 months or longer meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁶ Expected adequate enrollment is based on information provided by OCCF. Programs that enroll 80% or more than the expected adequate enrollment meet the Oregon Performance Standard. For 2007-08, adequate enrollment was defined as 25% of the average number of first births born in 2003, 2004, & 2005.

County	Service Delivery Indicator #1 % First Births Screened ¹	Service Delivery Indicator #2 % Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth ²	Service Delivery Indicator #3 % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed ³	Service Delivery Indicator #4 % IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer ⁴	Service Delivery Indicator #5 % Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2006-07) ⁵	Service Delivery Indicator #6 % Expected Adequate Enrollment Served ⁶
Lincoln	175 (90%)	159 (91%)	91%	21 (88%)	15 (63%)	76 (176%)
Linn	349 (58%)	251 (76%)	73%	8 (53%)	8 (53%)	63 (49%)
Malheur	59 (41%)	50 (86%)	83%	12 (71%)	8 (47%)	53 (149%)
Marion	1,155 (63%)	1,123 (98%)	73%	63 (78%)	40 (49%)	267 (66%)
Morrow	37 (93%)	26 (79%)	69%	6 (100%)	5 (83%)	20 (167%)
Multnomah	3,118 (66%)	2,869 (92%)	64%	109 (80%)	68 (50%)	499 (49%)
Polk	253 (70%)	234 (96%)	92%	15 (68%)	8 (36%)	82 (112%)
Sherman	7 (88%)	7 (100%)	33%	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	3 (200%)
Tillamook	23 (22%)	13 (57%)	73%	6 (75%)	5 (63%)	38 (146%)
Umatilla	111 (35%)	72 (67%)	79%	14 (78%)	7 (39%)	63 (69%)
Union	75 (64%)	70 (93%)	58%	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	16 (56%)
Wallowa	18 (67%)	10 (63%)	71%	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	7 (140%)
Wasco	89 (75%)	80 (96%)	85%	12 (80%)	9 (60%)	49 (196%)
Washington	617 (20%)	541 (88%)	57%	119 (75%)	87 (55%)	452 (59%)
Wheeler	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	--	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	2 (400%)
Yamhill	128 (24%)	97 (82%)	58%	22 (81%)	20 (74%)	76 (70%)
State	9,897 (49%)	8,665 (89%)	72%	826 (76%)	567 (52%)	3,235 (71%)

Regional Programs

Columbia Gorge	163 (67%)	141 (90%)	82%	30 (83%)	22 (61%)	196%
Gilliam/Wheeler	5 (71%)	2 (40%)	78%	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	222%

Table 39: Healthy Start Outcome Indicators 2007-08

County	Outcome Indicator #1 % Children with Primary Care Provider ¹	Outcome Indicator #2 % Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations ²	Outcome Indicator #3 % Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More (based on HOME) ³	Outcome Indicator #4 % Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions ⁴	Outcome Indicator #5 % Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress ⁵	Outcome Indicator #6 % Parents Reporting Healthy Start Helped with Social Support ⁶
Benton	31 (100%)	28 (90%)	18 (95%)	29 (97%)	16 (57%)	18 (69%)
Clackamas	142 (97%)	126 (86%)	72 (88%)	112 (86%)	74 (58%)	104 (89%)
Clatsop	22 (96%)	23 (100%)	15 (94%)	18 (90%)	12 (63%)	10 (63%)
Columbia	31 (100%)	30 (97%)	18 (100%)	24 (83%)	12 (46%)	9 (56%)
Coos	27 (100%)	25 (93%)	11 (100%)	15 (94%)	12 (75%)	7 (54%)
Crook	27 (100%)	25 (93%)	14 (100%)	20 (80%)	9 (41%)	13 (81%)
Curry	13 (93%)	14 (100%)	9 (100%)	10 (77%)	7 (58%)	10 (100%)
Deschutes	94 (99%)	89 (94%)	52 (95%)	68 (88%)	50 (67%)	64 (90%)
Douglas	71 (100%)	60 (85%)	40 (87%)	51 (88%)	35 (65%)	53 (88%)
Gilliam	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)
Grant	10 (91%)	11 (100%)	3 (75%)	7 (88%)	7 (88%)	6 (86%)
Harney	6 (100%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	3 (100%)
Hood River	39 (98%)	38 (97%)	26 (100%)	25 (83%)	23 (88%)	23 (100%)
Jackson	111 (100%)	100 (90%)	61 (98%)	71 (87%)	49 (64%)	82 (94%)
Jefferson	26 (87%)	28 (90%)	19 (90%)	22 (76%)	9 (41%)	19 (90%)
Josephine	63 (100%)	51 (82%)	36 (92%)	47 (81%)	30 (58%)	34 (92%)
Klamath	42 (100%)	42 (98%)	22 (88%)	25 (76%)	16 (55%)	17 (81%)
Lane	172 (99%)	157 (91%)	89 (88%)	126 (86%)	81 (59%)	123 (90%)

¹ 70% or more of children with a primary care provider meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

² 70% or more of children with up-to-date immunizations meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

³ 70% or more of parents who report they read to their children 3 times a week or more meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁴ 70% or more of parents reporting positive parent-child interactions meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁵ 35% or more of parents reporting reduced parenting stress meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁶ 70% or more of parents reporting Healthy Start helped with social support meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

County	Outcome Indicator #1 % Children with Primary Care Provider ¹	Outcome Indicator #2 % Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations ²	Outcome Indicator #3 % Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More (based on HOME) ³	Outcome Indicator #4 % Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions ⁴	Outcome Indicator #5 % Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress ⁵	Outcome Indicator #6 % Parents Reporting Healthy Start Helped with Social Support ⁶
Lincoln	57 (97%)	54 (92%)	33 (87%)	50 (93%)	31 (60%)	35 (81%)
Linn	34 (97%)	33 (94%)	12 (71%)	23 (79%)	16 (59%)	17 (74%)
Malheur	25 (100%)	21 (84%)	15 (94%)	14 (88%)	8 (62%)	7 (78%)
Marion	205 (99%)	183 (88%)	102 (84%)	150 (86%)	96 (59%)	117 (81%)
Morrow	15 (100%)	13 (87%)	7 (58%)	12 (92%)	5 (50%)	13 (93%)
Multnomah	248 (96%)	242 (94%)	125 (90%)	166 (80%)	109 (65%)	134 (83%)
Polk	54 (100%)	49 (91%)	29 (97%)	41 (91%)	24 (59%)	22 (59%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	--	1 (100%)
Tillamook	30 (100%)	30 (100%)	10 (77%)	11 (65%)	5 (50%)	16 (94%)
Umatilla	34 (97%)	29 (85%)	15 (83%)	21 (88%)	13 (62%)	10 (56%)
Union	12 (100%)	11 (92%)	8 (89%)	9 (90%)	7 (70%)	6 (75%)
Wallowa	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)
Wasco	32 (97%)	30 (91%)	26 (93%)	26 (93%)	14 (50%)	24 (96%)
Washington	305 (94%)	283 (88%)	160 (78%)	216 (83%)	155 (64%)	152 (65%)
Wheeler	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--
Yamhill	52 (100%)	44 (90%)	32 (89%)	35 (80%)	24 (60%)	32 (84%)
State	2,038 (98%)	1,882 (90%)	1,086 (88%)	1,451 (85%)	953 (61%)	1,185 (81%)
Regional Programs						
Columbia Gorge	72 (97%)	69 (95%)	52 (96%)	51 (88%)	37 (69%)	48 (98%)
Gilliam/Wheeler	4 (100%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	1 (100%)

**APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF ANALYSES, TERMS,
AND DATA SOURCES**

Glossary of Analyses, Terms, and Data Sources

Table 1:

Birth rate: The actual number of resident births recorded by Vital Statistics for the July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008, fiscal year available for download as of October 2008. This number is a proxy for the number of screens a program may be able to conduct.

Birth rates reported here represent first births for all counties. Vital statistics information is recorded for the mother only.

Rate of Declines to Share Information: Information on families completing the NBQ but declining to share information with the evaluation team is collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers.

Total Families Screened: Calculated by adding the number of resident first births screened (and sent to the evaluation) with the number of families screened, but declining to share information with the evaluation.

Screening Rate: For a family to be included in the screening rate, programs must (1) submit the NBQ to the evaluation team in time to be included in the analyses (by October 15, 2008 for screens dated July 2007 through June 2008), or (2) have a screening date entered into the OCCF Family Manager data system by October 15, 2008. Screening rate is calculated by dividing the total number of families screened by the first birth rate.

It is possible that programs serving a high proportion of first time fathers and/or prenatal families may have a higher screening rate compared to their resident (mothers') births. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100% for the purpose of these analyses.

Table 2:

Total Families Offered Healthy Start: Calculated by adding the number of families screened, number of families who declined HS information, number of families receiving information but declined screening, and number of families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened (see below).

Percent of Eligible Births Offered Service: Percent of total families offered healthy start, divided by the first birth rate for the fiscal year.

Percent of Families Declining Screening: Percent of families declining the NBQ divided by the number of families offered screening.

Number of Offered Families Screened: Calculated by adding the number of families screened (and sent to the evaluation) and the number of families screened but declined to share information with the evaluation (see Table 1).

Number of families who declined information, number of families receiving HS information but declining screening, and number of families who signed a pre-consent

but were not screened are submitted annually to the evaluation team by the Healthy Start Program Manager or Supervisor.

Table 3:

Time to Screen: The data for calculating “Time to Screen” comes from counting the number of days between the date of birth and the date of screen. These variables are taken from the NBQ. In the event the NBQ has not yet been submitted to the evaluation, the dates are taken from Family Manager. Prenatal screens are counted as zero days. Families with data missing on either date of birth or date of screen are not included in these analyses.

Table 4:

During FY 2007-08, a high-risk screen was defined as 2 or more risk factors on the NBQ or the single risk indicator for depression (Item 19) or the single risk indicator for substance use issues (Item 21). NBQs are typically completed either by the parent or by Healthy Start staff. A Spanish language version of the NBQ is available for those families preferring to read in that language.

Other risk factors (in addition to depression and substance use) include:

- Being a teen mother (age 17 or younger) (Item 7b)
- Being single (Item 13)
- Having prenatal care more than 12 weeks into pregnancy or not at all (Item 14a)
- Having less than 5 health care visits while pregnant (Item 14b)
- Having less than a high school diploma or GED (Item 15)
- Both mother and spouse/partner (if present) being unemployed (Items 16 and 17)
- Reporting difficulty paying for living expenses some/most of the time (Item 18)
- Having some or serious problems with family relationships (Item 20)

Table 5:

Information about mother’s race/ethnicity is taken from Item 8 on the NBQ. Choices listed on the NBQ reflect any race/ethnicity in which there was at least 1% representation in any of Oregon’s counties at the time the instrument was developed. Families identifying themselves as more than one race/ethnicity are considered by the evaluation team to be “Multiracial.”

Table 6:

Language: Information on language spoken in the household is taken from Item 10 of the NBQ.

Teen Mothers: A teen mother is defined by Healthy Start as any mother who is age 17 or younger at the time of screen. Mother’s age is taken either from Item 7b on the NBQ (your current age) or is calculated by comparing Item 7a (mother’s date of birth) to the date of the screen, to calculate mother’s age at the time of screening if Item 7b is left blank.

Single Mothers: Marital status is determined by mother’s response to Item 13 on the NBQ.
Education Level: Determined by mother’s response to Item 15 on the NBQ.

Table 7:

Health Insurance: Information about health insurance at the time of screening is taken from Items 12a (for mothers) and 12b (for baby) on the NBQ.

Prenatal Care: Information on prenatal care received is taken from Items 14a and 14b on the NBQ.

Table 8:

Risk factors reported are taken from responses to the following items on the NBQ:

- Parents' employment is calculated by looking at both responses to Item 16 and 17 on the NBQ. Both parents need to be unemployed (or a single mother unemployed) in order for this Item to be counted as a risk factor.
- Trouble paying for basic living expenses is taken from responses to Item 18 on the NBQ.
- Information about symptoms of depression is taken from responses to Item 19 on the NBQ.
- Information on alcohol and drug use is taken from Item 21 on the NBQ.
- Information about relationship issues is taken from Item 20 on the NBQ.

Table 9:

Those families who have a positive NBQ (at least 2 risk factors or the risk factor for depression or the risk factor for substance use) are counted as eligible for Intensive Service. A family eligible for service (in Table 4) may not be included in the total number eligible for Intensive Service in this table if information on offering/acceptance was missing from the NBQ.

Families offered and accepted services during FY 2007-08 are identified by program responses to Item D on the NBQ. Occasionally, a family originally declining service may decide later that they wish to receive service. Programs can serve these families based on program capacity; however individuals that fall into this scenario are not counted in the acceptance rate unless a revised NBQ was submitted.

Families not offered for "other" reasons are primarily those in one large program who were participating in a program similar to Healthy Start at the time of screening.

Table 10:

Number of Families Screened/Referred Only includes all families who had a screening date during FY 2007-08, and for whom the NBQ indicated the family was not eligible (negative screen) or indicated the family declined or was not offered Intensive Service. This count also includes families who originally accepting Intensive Service on the NBQ, but later had an exit form submitted indicating the family never received a first home visit.

Newly Enrolled Intensive Service families includes all families who had a screening date during FY 2007-08, and for whom the NBQ form indicating the family accepted Intensive Service plus those families for whom a Family Intake or other evaluation form suggesting a home visit occurred was submitted.

Continuing Intensive Service families includes all families who enrolled in Intensive Service in a prior fiscal year, and did not have an exit form with a last home visit or creative outreach end date prior to FY 2007-08.

Table 11:

Families accepting Intensive Service and receiving a first home visit is calculated by comparing the number of families who have NBQs indicating “Accepted Intensive Service” compared with the number of Family Intakes submitted. In the event a Family Intake was not submitted, but the family has other evaluation data indicating visits took place, the assumption was made that the family had a first home visit and is included in the analyses.

Information on families not receiving a first home visit is taken from reasons on the Exit Form. However, families coded with one of these reasons, but who also had other evaluation data submitted suggesting they had received a first home visit, were counted as having received a first home visit.

New Intensive Service families receiving their first home visit prenatally or within 3 months of the birth of the baby is calculated by subtracting the date of the first home visit (from Item 1 on the Family Intake) from the baby’s date of birth (taken from the NBQ or Family Manager, as appropriate). If the date of the first home visit on the Family Intake is missing, and a first home visit date is on an exit form for that family, the first home visit on the exit form is substituted. If the first home visit date is still missing, the date of the Family Intake form is substituted.

Healthy Start program managers submit home visit information by Home Visitor to the evaluation team monthly. The evaluation team compiles the rate of expected home visits using Healthy Families America standards that state that families should receive 75% or more of the expected visits, given their level of services. The percentage of families who meet this criterion is reported.

Tables 12 - 15:

Demographic information on Intensive Service families is taken from items reported on the NBQ (see Tables 5-8 above for source of data).

Tables 16 & 17:

Kempe risk factors are taken from the Kempe Inventory Form. Items on the Kempe form are rated by the worker as: 0 (not applicable) 5 (mild) or 10 (severe). Data reported here is the highest rating on a given item for either parent.

Table 18 & 19:

Families offered service are those whose NBQ indicated both a positive (high risk) score and who were offered service (Item D, excluding (1) not offered, caseload full, (2) not offered, unable to contact, and (3) not offered, other). Counts of accepted service are indicated on the NBQ, Item D. Demographics of families accepting Intensive Service are taken from the NBQ.

Table 20 A:

Retention was calculated using the HFA recommended analysis strategy. Specifically, we take a sample of families enrolled during a given period in the past, and report on their retention in the program at subsequent intervals. For these analyses, families enrolled in FY 2005-06 were selected, as this provided adequate time to be able to look at 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24-month retention periods. All families who were enrolled in Intensive Services (specifically, who received a first home visit or had a Family Intake form submitted during FY 2005-06) were included in the retention analysis. However, families with an exit form indicating “moved” or “deceased” as an exit reason were not included in these analyses.

Table 20 B:

For these analyses, families enrolled in FY 2006-07 were selected, as this provided adequate time to be able to look at a 3, 6, and 12-month retention period. All families who were enrolled in Intensive Services (specifically, who received a first home visit or had a Family Intake form submitted during FY 2006-07) were included in the retention analysis. However, families with an exit form indicating “moved” or “deceased” as an exit reason were not included in these analyses.

Tables 21 & 22:

Demographic descriptions of “retained” families are based on responses to the NBQ from families enrolled during the 2006-07 fiscal year who remained in the program for 12 months or longer.

Table 23:

Number of exiting families is determined by those exit forms submitted with an exit date during FY 2007-08. Exit dates are based on creative outreach end dates, or the date of the last home visit (if there is no creative outreach period indicated). If both of those dates are missing, the date of the exit form is used.

Average age at exit is determined by calculating the age of the child at the time of exit, using the birth date (from the NBQ) and the exit date (see exit date calculation above).

Reasons for participants exiting the program are based on Healthy Start workers’ reports, as indicated on the Exit Form.

Other reasons include: parent in jail, child removed from custody, child deceased, concerns about FSW safety, parent no longer interested, parent too busy, and family transferred to another program. Programs were also given the option to describe reasons not listed on the exit form under “other.”

Tables 24 - 26:

Health care information comes from the most recently received Family Update.

- Parent’s primary health care provider information: Item 30
- Child’s primary health care provider information: Item 29
- Well-child check up information: Item 21
- Child’s health insurance information: Item 22

- Emergency room use: Item 24
- Immunization information: Item 20a

Analyses describing children lacking health insurance on the NBQ but having it at the most recent follow-up are determined by comparing Item 12b on the NBQ to Item 22 on the Family Update, for that group of children who had both pieces of information present.

Analyses describing the percentage of children immunized by age two examine the response to Item 20a on the Family Update only for those children who are 2 years of age (by comparing the child’s birth date to the date of the most recent Family Update form).

Table 27 A &B

Differences in select outcomes were examined for families receiving prenatal or postnatal service. Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby). Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby’s date of birth).

Early prenatal care is determined by item 14a on the NBQ and item 23 on the Family Intake.

Other outcomes are taken from the family intake form including:

- Smoking during pregnancy (item 16),
- Child having a health care provider (item 19),
- Mothers breastfeeding (item 21)
- Premature births (item 10).

Table 28:

Comprehensive prenatal care for the initial and subsequent pregnancies is based on the mother receiving BOTH early (1st trimester) and comprehensive (5 or more checkups) prenatal care (Items 14a and 14b on the NBQ, and Item 32b on the Family Update).

Only mothers indicating they are currently pregnant with a subsequent pregnancy (on Item 32 of the Family Update), and who have information on subsequent prenatal care, are included in the analyses.

Table 28 - 31:

ASQ and ASQ-SE developmental assessments are administered by home visitors as early as 4 months of age, however the earliest the information is reported to the evaluation is on the 6 month Family Update. In order to be included in the “eligible for screening” data, children had to be at least 6 months old, and therefore to be eligible to have a Family Update form completed.

If Family Updates indicated the child’s development was being tracked by early intervention or that the child was receiving early intervention services, the family was given credit for having a screening even if there was no screening information on the

Family Update. This is because home visitors are not required to do the developmental assessment if the child is receiving developmental services through Early Intervention.

The Home Visitor reports information on child's developmental status (normal, delays indicated, other) on the Family Update form (Items 37b and 38b).

Children with confirmed developmental delays are reported on the Family Update (Item 34).

Receipt of Early Intervention services (related to ASQ) is reported on the Family Update (Item 36).

Referrals/connections to other services (related to ASQ-SE) is reported on the Family Update (Item 38c)

Table 32:

Connecting the family to needed resources is taken from information reported by the home visitor on the 6-month Family Update (Item 11). Specifically, workers who indicated “Yes” on the question “Did anyone in the family lack the needed service since the Family Intake” have those families included in analyses. Families were included in the analysis if the worker indicated that they lacked the given service and if there was a response to the follow-up question, “Did you connect any family members to the needed service.” Only families with responses to both parts of the question are included in the analyses.

Table 33:

Family outcomes and life events are taken from the most recent Family Update responses to Items 13 and 19.

Table 34:

Parenting skills and ability to help child learn are taken from Items 11 and 12 on the Parent Survey A. Parents are asked to rate “Your knowledge of how children grow and develop,” “Your confidence that you know what is right for your child” and “Your ability to help your child learn” at both 6 and 12 months compared to when their baby was born. Data in this report describe the increases in these skills at the two time periods (6 months and 12 months) compared to when the baby was born.

Table 35:

Measures of Parent-Child Interaction are obtained from parent self-report on the Parent Survey II, Part A. Parents report the frequency of four activities (sing songs, tell stories, read/look at books, and play games) “a few times a week,” “about once a day” or “more than once a day”.

Parenting Stress reduction is determined by comparing responses on the short form of the Parenting Stress index, consisting of 4 items (“I find myself giving up more of my life to meet my child’s needs than expected,” “I feel trapped by my responsibilities as a parent,” “Since having a baby, I am almost never able to do things that I like to do,” and “Having a baby has caused more problems than I expected in my relationships”) on a 5-point scale

of Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree. A change in score from parent's baseline (Parent Survey I) stress to 6 month Parent survey is calculated.

HOME information is taken from workers' in-home observation of the home environment. Percentages for "good" or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers (Bradley & Caldwell, 1984).

The home visitor reports information on families reading to their child 3 or more times a week on Item 42 of the HOME inventory.

Table 36:

Ratings of staff cultural competency are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B. Items include, "My home visitor has helped me to think about my culture, family traditions, religious beliefs and/or values," "My home visitor has respected my family's culture, traditions, and religious beliefs and/or values," and "My home visitor has used or given me materials and information in my primary language" rated as "Yes," "No" or "Not Sure."

Ratings of staff strengths orientation are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B. Items ask about the extent to which the home visitor has "Helped me to see strengths in myself I didn't know I had," "Helped me to use my own skill and resources to solve problems," "Worked together with me to meet my needs," "Helped me to see that I am a good parent," and "Encouraged me to think about my own personal goals and dreams" rated as "Yes," "No" or "Not Sure." These items are taken from the Strengths-Based Practices Inventory (Green & Tarte, 1999).

Table 37:

Ratings of home visitor helpfulness are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II, Part B, asking whether Healthy Start has helped with any of the following issues: getting food, clothing, housing or transportation; information on parenting and child growth/development; opportunities to meet other parents; feeling depressed, stressed or losing your temper; and going back to school, job training, or finding a job rated as "Yes," "No," or "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect those families reporting their home visitor helped with those issues.

Tables 38 & 39:

Tables 38 & 39 summarize performance indicators defined by the Healthy Start Research, Steering, and Advisory Committees for 2007-08. These indicators are reported in prior tables, with the exception of Service Delivery Indicator #6: Percent of Expected Adequate Enrollment. This is calculated based on the information provided to all programs by OCCF that defined "Adequate Enrollment" as 25% of the three-year average of the number of first births born in 2003, 2004, and 2005. Specifically, the total number of Intensive Service families served during 2007-08 is divided by the defined "Expected Adequate Enrollment". For example, if County A served 50 families in Intensive Services, and the average number of first births born in County A for 2003, 2004, and 2005 was 200, then County A served 100% of their expected enrollment ($50/(200*25\%)$).