

## **MICHAEL W. FINIGAN, Ph.D.**

---

NPC Research  
5100 SW Macadam Ave., Ste. 575  
Portland, OR 97239-3867  
(503) 243-2436 x 102; fax: (503) 243-2454  
finigan@npcresearch.com

---

### **EDUCATION**

Ph.D., 1979      University Of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon  
Major: Sociology

M.A., 1974      University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon  
Major: Sociology

B.A., 1968      Occidental College, Los Angeles, California  
Major: Political Science

### **CURRENT POSITIONS**

**Research Consultant (2015–present)**  
**Faculty Member, National Drug Court Institute. (1999–present)**

### **PREVIOUS POSITIONS**

**President and Founder/Owner, NPC Research (1989–2014)**

### **RESEARCH EXPERIENCE**

#### **Current**

**Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act Evaluation (2012–present)**  
Principal Investigator. California Assembly Bill 590 established a pilot project for legal services to be provided to low-income parties in civil matters involving critical issues, so that judicial decisions are made on the basis of the necessary information and the parties have an adequate understanding of the orders to which they are subject. Nine pilot projects in seven counties have been selected by the California Judicial Council. NPC is conducting a process evaluation and an outcome study.

**NIJ Second Chance Act Reentry Courts (2010–present) Co-**  
Principal Investigator. NPC Research, RTI International, and the Center for Court Innovation (CCI) are collaborating on the National Institute of Justice evaluation of specialized reentry court models across eight sites funded by Second Chance Act funds through the Bureau of Justice Assistance. NPC Research is the lead evaluator. The importance of this evaluation stems from growing policymaker

attention to the myriad problems associated with prisoner reentry, coupled with a dearth of “what works” knowledge generally and a paucity of rigorous research examining reentry courts in particular.

## **Previous**

**Evaluation of the Plain Language/Spanish Language Court Orders Form (2012–2013)** Principal Investigator. Legal services Corporation is funding a pilot project to translate court orders for Hispanics in domestic violence and custody dispute cases into Spanish and into non-legalize language on the hypothesis that that will increase compliance with court orders. NPC is conducting an evaluation of pilots in Sonoma County, CA, and Austin, TX.

**Testing the Cost Savings of the New York Judicial Diversion Program (2010–2013)** Principal Investigator. NPC Research and the Center for Court Innovation (CCI) are conducting an analysis of the costs and savings of 10 Judicial Diversion drug court programs located throughout the state (two New York City, two suburban, two upstate urban, and four upstate rural counties).

**Civil Right to Counsel, Phase II (2009–2010)** Co-Principal Investigator. This study was a follow-up to NPC’s original Civil Right to Counsel project that designed a comprehensive random assignment study to look at the impact of providing legal counsel to indigent individuals in tenant/landlord (eviction) cases. Phase II conducted a needs assessment of two pilot sites (Philadelphia, PA and Pierce County [Tacoma], WA) to gather information about the unmet legal needs and legal contexts of these two areas. The project also identified relevant cost elements for inclusion in the future full study and established cost estimates and proxies when feasible and plans for how to measure costs in the actual full study.

**10 Key Components of Drug Court Technical Assistance to SAMHSA Adult Drug Court Grantees (2010)** Co-Principal Investigator. This project involved technical assistance to 22 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)-funded adult drug courts. Through a subcontract from JBS International, NPC conducted technical assistance with a focus on practices that fall within the 10 Key Components of Drug Courts. NPC synthesized the findings from online assessments, interviews, and observations; developed a set of recommendations; and convened a video conference with the drug court team, NPC staff, and at least one nationally recognized drug court expert to discuss the findings and recommendations. In addition, NPC provided each site, JBS, and SAMHSA with a written report containing the assessment information and detailed recommendations.

**What Works?: An Examination of Drug Court Practices within the 10 Key Components and Program Outcomes in 100 Drug Courts (2009–2010)** Co-Principal Investigator. This project was funded by the National Association of Drug Court Professionals and the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The purpose of this project was to compare drug court program practices and outcomes (including graduation rates,

criminal justice recidivism and cost-benefits) across over 100 drug court programs to determine which program practices were related to the most positive outcomes.

**Performance and Cost Evaluations of Drug Courts Statewide in Maryland (2004–2009)** Co-Principal Investigator. In 2003, NPC Research performed cost evaluations in two drug courts in Baltimore City and Anne Arundel. The results were used by the AOC to obtain more funding for Maryland drug courts from the legislature. In 2004, the Maryland Administrative Office of the Courts contracted with NPC Research to perform Process, Outcome and Cost Evaluations in an additional five adult drug courts and nine juvenile drug courts in the state of Maryland. In 2006, the AOC once again used these results to obtain more funding. NPC Research was contracted to perform evaluations and provide technical assistance for problem solving courts statewide.

**Washington County Substance-Free Transitional Housing Evaluation (2005–2009)** Principal Investigator. NPC Research is conducting a 3½-year study to evaluate the impact of WCCC drug-free transitional housing services, with a particular interest in examining the effects of Oxford Houses (resident-run substance-free houses). This research is designed to study the impact (including improvements in self-sufficiency and community adjustment along with reductions in subsequent drug use and criminal offending) and cost-benefit of transitional housing in a local community corrections system.

**Michigan Adult Drug Court Cost-Benefit Analyses (2005–2008)** Principal Investigator. Under a contract with the Michigan State Court Administrative Office, this project involves cost-benefit analyses (including process and outcome evaluations) of the Barry County and Kalamazoo County Adult Drug Treatment Courts.

**California Self-Help Center Pilot Evaluation (2005–2006)** Principal Investigator. This evaluation examined the effectiveness of five different self-help center models aimed at providing legal information, assistance, and referrals to self-represented civil litigants. The evaluation investigated the extent to which the pilot programs are implemented successfully; whether the centers influenced self-represented litigants' willingness to proceed into the legal system; whether the centers resulted in greater court efficiency; and whether litigants received just outcomes.

**Maryland Drug Treatment Court Evaluation (2004–2009)** Co-Principal Investigator. NPC conducted process, outcome and cost evaluations of juvenile drug courts, adult (circuit and district) drug courts, and family recovery (dependency) courts throughout Maryland. The study included studies of drug court enhancements, including arts enrichment opportunities for juvenile drug court participants and employment training and support for adult drug court participants.

**A Cost Benefit Evaluation of California Drug Courts (2000–present)** Principal Investigator. Few drug court evaluations in California have been based upon economic or cost-benefit analysis. Policymakers, aware of this, have been reluctant to permanently fund these programs.

This type of cost-benefit analysis in California is necessary if policymakers are to make informed decisions about the efficacy—and thus continued funding—of these programs. The Administrative Office of the Courts (Judicial Council of the State of California) has contracted with NPC Research to conduct a long-term statewide cost analysis of drug courts. The evaluation effort will include the development of a tool to assess the costs and cost-benefit of drug courts.

**Family Treatment Drug Court Evaluation (2002–2007)** Co-Principal Investigator. The evaluation employs a multi-method, quasi-experimental research design to document whether family treatment drug courts are more effective than traditional court settings in helping parents achieve sobriety and increasing the frequency of successful parent-child reunification. NPC Research is leading this evaluation and has subcontracted with Abt Associates (Cambridge, MA) for management of one of the study sites. NPC has sole responsibility for three treatment sites (San Diego, CA, Santa Clara, CA, and Washoe, NV, counties) and two comparison counties (Contra Costa, CA, and San Bernardino, CA, counties).

**A Detailed Cost Analysis in a Mature Drug Court Setting, funded by National Institute of Justice (2000–2003)** Principal Investigator. NPC Research conducted an intensive cost analysis at a single mature drug court. NPC tracked court and criminal justice resource utilization and unit costs for a small intensive study group composed of a sample of those in the drug court program and a sample of those eligible but receiving standard court processing. This study is informing NIJ concerning effective cost methodology in analyzing drug courts.

**Cost Studies of Drug Courts in Baltimore and Anne Arundel Counties, Maryland (2002–2003)** Principal Investigator. NPC Research conducted a cost study of the Anne Arundel County Drug Court and a cost analysis of the Baltimore City District and Circuit Court drug treatment courts. Funded by grants from the Administrative Office of the Courts of the State of Maryland and Baltimore Substance Abuse Systems, Inc.

**Strengths-Based Restorative Justice Assessment Tools for Youth: Addressing a Critical Gap in the Juvenile Justice System (2000–2003)** Research Consultant. NPC Research developed a strengths-based assessment tool, for use in the juvenile justice system, and a training protocol. NPC also conducted validation and outcome studies. The pilot test of the instrument involved one Oregon county; five Oregon counties were part of the validation and outcome study. Funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

**Drug Courts and Medicaid Managed Behavioral Health Care, funded by National Institute on Drug Abuse (2000–2005)** Co-Principal Investigator. This longitudinal outcomes research study described substance abuse treatment services for drug court participants and comparison group offenders in Oregon (which has one of the country's oldest drug court programs). This project will examine cohorts of offenders prior to and after Medicaid managed care (the Oregon Health Plan) had

been implemented. Drug court participants were compared with similar offenders who were eligible for but did not participate in drug court.

**Juvenile Breaking the Cycle (1999–2002)** Local Cost Study Evaluator. The goal of the NIJ-funded project was to effect major changes in the lives of juvenile offenders in Lane County. JBTC identified high-risk juveniles with substance abuse problems immediately at intake into the Department of Youth Services; established the degree of the substance abuse problems and the presence of other psychological or criminogenic risk factors; enhanced the integrated service delivery of services; and ensured the systemic use of appropriate incentives and sanctions to encourage offenders to comply with treatment—and deter them from further criminal involvement.

**Youth Intervention Network (1998–2001)** Research Consultant. NPC Research conducted an evaluation of a service coordination effort for adolescents with substance abuse treatment needs who have contact with the juvenile justice system in Lane County (Eugene area), Oregon. Part of a national seven-site study funded by the CSAT. Duties included evaluation planning and implementation; measure development; staff supervision; report writing; and quantitative, qualitative, and archival data collection and analysis.

**Jail Diversion Program for Individuals with Co-occurring Disorders (1997–2000)**. A nine-site knowledge development and application program designed to address issues facing individuals who have contact with the criminal justice system and also present co-occurring disorders. NPC Research was responsible for the evaluation of the site located in Multnomah County, Oregon. This cross-site study was funded by SAMHSA, CMHS, and CSAT.

**State Incentive Cooperative Agreement (1997– 2003)** Research Consultant. The goal of the SICA project was to reduce the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) among youth by improving the state and local systems for implementing prevention services. Both federal and local stakeholders acknowledged that such systems change would take time, and that it would be difficult to see measurable change in terms of individual (student-level) ATOD use within the 3-year time span of the project. Therefore, a major focus of the evaluation was to document the extent to which Oregon was effectively using SICA funds to put into place those systems changes that would be expected to influence ATOD use in the long term. This evaluation was a collaboration between NPC and Portland State University. Funded by CSAP.

**Juvenile Alcohol and Drug Abuse Prevention Diffusion Evaluation and Integrated Data Systems Project (1998–2002)** Research Consultant. The purpose of the project is to learn more about how different communities address solving adolescent substance abuse, youth violence, and school dropout and delinquency. A prevention science approach, based on risk and protective factors, enables each of the six participating states to study the results of prevention activities in selected communities. Funded by NIDA and CSAP (with supporting funds from the U.S. Dept. of Education and the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention).

**STOP Drug Court Diversion Program (1997)** for the State Justice Institute, Multnomah County and the Department of Community Corrections. Primary evaluator for countywide Drug Court diversion program.

**Pacific Northwest Prevention Coalition Evaluation (1996–1998)**

Project Manager. A process evaluation documenting the implementation of the project involving participant observations of coalition meetings and activities, document review, interviews with key informants, and annual surveys focusing on coalition functioning and multi-cultural competencies. Funded by CSAP.

**Oregon Risk/Protective Factors for Substance Abuse: County**

**Profiles (1996).** Produced county profiles of Risk/Protective Factors for substance abuse from archival data. Funded by the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.

**Community Partnership Prevention Coalitions in the States of**

**Oregon and Washington (1995–1998).** Evaluation of federally funded community coalitions as part of a joint effort by the states of Washington and Oregon for substance abuse prevention. Funded by CSAP and SAMHSA.

**Multnomah County Target Cities Project (1994–1999)** for Multnomah County Target Cities, the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs, the State of Oregon, CSAT, and SAMHSA.

**Societal Outcomes and Costs Savings of Drug and Alcohol**

**Treatment in the State of Oregon (1994–1996).** Evaluation of a random sample of A&D clients (Residential, Outpatient and Methadone clients) using data collected from a variety of databases including CPMS, AFS, OMAP, LEDS, CSD etc.). Funded by for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.

**Substance Abuse Treatment Needs Assessment for Arrestees**

**(1994).** Compiled data from the Drug Use Forecasting (DUF), Project, the Substance Abuse Needs and Treatment Assessment (SANTA) Project, with individual booking records. Examined data sets from four county jail sites in Oregon (Multnomah, Coos, Marion and Deschutes) in order to make an estimation of treatment needs among the arrestee population at each site. Funded by the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs and the State of Oregon.

**Outcomes of Three Pre-Release Day Treatment Programs (1994–1996)**

for the Department of Corrections, State of Oregon, CSAT, and SAMHSA.

**Literacy Program for Multnomah County Justice Services (1993–**

**1995),** a federally funded evaluation for the Department of Community Corrections Multnomah County.

**Idaho Perinatal Substance Use Prevention Project (1993–1994)** a

federally funded evaluation for the Idaho Primary Care Association and the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

**Parole Transition Projects in the State of Oregon (1992–1993)** for the

Department of Corrections, State of Oregon.

**Drug Use Among Offenders in Non-Urban Areas (Drug Use**

**Forecasting Study- DUF, 1991–1992)** for the Criminal Justice Services

Division, Office of the Criminal Justice Coordinator, Oregon Executive Department and the State of Oregon.

**ADAPT Program for Multnomah County Justice Services Division (1991–1993)** for the Department of Community Corrections, Multnomah County and the Bureau of Justice Assistance.

**“AIDS/HIV Prevention Intervention in Lockups and Booking Facilities – Multnomah County Site” (1991–1993)**. On-site research coordinator for the Oregon component of an ABT Associates (Cambridge, MA) assessment.

**Management Information System for Multnomah County Department of Community Corrections (1991–1992)** for the Department of Community Corrections of Multnomah County. Assisted in the development of a strategic plan for the new countywide data analysis system. An assessment of the emerging ISIS software to be used in parole and probation offices was included in this project.

**Multnomah County Department of Community Corrections (1991–1992)**. Analyzed the results of a survey designed to clarify the mission of Multnomah County Department of Community Corrections.

**Oregon Diversion Program for First Offender DUII (1991)** for the Oregon Traffic Safety Commission, Oregon Motor Vehicles Division, and the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs. Completed a major study of the diversion program for first offender DUII in Oregon. This study was authorized by Senate Bill 131 from the 1989 Legislature. A section of the bill required the Oregon Traffic Safety Commission (OTSC) to evaluate the effectiveness of the use of the diversion program in cases involving DUII. The Motor Vehicles Division and the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs, as well as OTSC, provided data, which we used in our evaluation of the diversion process. The results of our study have received statewide media attention, including being a significant part of an hour-long local TV special on the drunk driver problem in Oregon.

**Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey (1990–1998)** for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs. Annual administration of statewide youth risk and protected factor survey. Administered longitudinally to sixth, eighth, and eleventh graders. Analyzed data is used to form prevention programs and policies.

## PUBLICATIONS

- Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2012). What Works? The 10 Key Components of Drug Courts: Research Based Best Practices. *Drug Court Review*, *VIII*(1), 6-42.
- Finigan, M. W., Perkins, T., Zold-Kilbourn, P., Parks, J., & Stringer, M. (2011). Preliminary evaluation of extended-release naltrexone in Michigan and Missouri drug courts. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, *41*(3), 288-293.
- Burrus, S. W. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2011). Show Me the Money: Child Welfare Cost Savings of a Family Drug Court. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, *62*(3), 1-14.
- Bigelow, D. A., Finigan, M. W., Carey, S. M., & McFarland, B. H. (2010). Medicaid Managed Care and Drug Court Outcomes. In: B. H. McFarland, D. McCarty, & A. E. Kovas (Eds.), *Medicaid and Treatment for People with Substance Abuse Problems*. Hauppauge NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.  
[https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product\\_info.php?products\\_id=13973](https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product_info.php?products_id=13973)
- Green, B. L., Furrer, C. J., Worcel, S. D., Burrus, S. W. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2007). How effective are Family Treatment Drug Courts? Results from a 4-site national study. *Child Maltreatment*, *12*(1), 43-59
- Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Crumpton, D., & Waller, M. S. (2006). California Drug Courts: Outcomes, Costs and Promising Practices: An Overview of Phase II in a Statewide Study. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, *38*(4), 345-356.
- Finigan, M. W., & Carey, S. M. (2006). Analysis of 26 Process and Impact Evaluations of Drug Courts: Lessons Learned. National Institute of Justice.
- Finigan, M. W., & Carey, S. M. (2004). A detailed cost analysis in a mature drug court setting: a cost-benefit evaluation of the Multnomah County Drug Court. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, *20*(3), 315-338. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crumpton, D., Worcel, S. D., & Finigan, M. W. (2003) Analysis of foster care costs from the Family Treatment Drug Court Retrospective Study. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment.
- Finigan, M. W., Barron, N., & Carey, S. M. (2003) "Chapter 10: Effectively Assessing and Preparing Inmates for Community Substance Abuse Treatment: The Portland Target Cities Project In-Jail Intervention." Stephens, R.C., Scott, C.K., and Muck, R.D. (Eds.) *Clinical Assessment and Substance Abuse Treatment: The Target Cities Experience*. (pp. 165-178). State University of New York Press.
- Finigan, M. W. (2002). Costs and Benefits Associated with Substance Abuse Treatment in the Portland Target Cities Initiative. National Evaluation Data Services.
- Finigan, M. W. (2000). The Multnomah County STOP Drug Diversion Program. In *Creating a New Criminal Justice System for the 21st Century: Findings and Results From State and Local Program Evaluations*. Bureau of Justice Assistance monograph.

## TECHNICAL REPORTS

- Finigan, M. W., Mackin, J. R., Herrera Allen, T., Waller, M. S., & Weller, J. M. (2010). Civil Right to Counsel, Phase II Pilot Study: Needs Assessment and Cost Elements. A report to the Northwest Justice Project & the Civil Right to Counsel Leadership and Support Initiative. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Mackin, J. R., Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Lucas, L. M., Lambarth, C. H., Waller, M. S., Herrera Allen, T., Weller, J. M., & Linhares, B. (2009). Maryland Problem-Solving Courts



Evaluation, Phase III: Integration of Results from Process, Outcome, and Cost Studies Conducted 2007-2009. A report to the Maryland Judiciary, Office of Problem-Solving Courts. NPC Research: Portland, OR.

For this client, Dr. Finigan also co-authored many pre-process, process, outcome, and cost evaluation reports for juvenile, family, adult and DUI court programs. These reports can be accessed at <http://npcresearch.com/staff/michael-finigan-ph-d>.

Worcel, S. D., Finigan, M. W., & Herrera Allen, T. (2009). Civil Right to Counsel Social Science Study Design Proposal. A Report to the Northwest Justice Project & the Civil Right to Counsel Leadership and Support Initiative. NPC Research: Portland, OR.

Carey, S. M., Lucas, L. M., Waller, M. S., Lambarth, C. H., Linhares, R., Weller, J. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2009). Vermont Drug Courts: Rutland County Adult Drug Court Process, Outcome, and Cost Evaluation. A report to the Vermont Judiciary. NPC Research, Portland, OR.

Worcel, S. D., Burrus, S. W. M., Finigan, M. W., Sanders, M. B., & Allen, T. L. (2009). A Study of Substance-Free Transitional Housing and Community Corrections in Washington County, Oregon. A report to the National Institute of Justice. NPC Research: Portland, OR.

Carey, S. M., Lucas, L., Waller, M., Furrer, C., Kissick, K., and Finigan, M. (2009). *Michigan Drug Courts: Oakland County Adult Treatment Court Cost Evaluation*, Final Report. Submitted to the Michigan Supreme Court, State Courts Administrative Office, March 2009.

Carey, S. M., Lucas, L., Waller, M., Furrer, C., Kissick, K., and Finigan, M. (2009). *Michigan Drug Courts: Oakland County Family Focused Juvenile Treatment Court Cost Evaluation*, Final Report. Submitted to the Michigan Supreme Court, State Courts Administrative Office, March 2009.

Carey, S. M., Lucas, L., Waller, M., Lambarth, C., Linhares, R., Weller, J. and Finigan, M. (2009). *Vermont Drug Courts: Rutland County Adult Drug Court Cost Evaluation*, Final Report. Submitted to the Vermont Judiciary, January 2009.

Weller, J. M., Mackin, J. R., Carey, S. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2009). Umatilla County Drug Court Process Evaluation. A report to the Umatilla County Community Corrections. Portland, OR: NPC Research.

Mackin, J. R., Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Lucas, L. M., Lambarth, C. H., & Waller, M. S. (2008). *Employment Enhancement Program at Baltimore City Drug Treatment Court: Outcome & Cost Evaluation*. A report to the Goodwill Industries of the Chesapeake and the Maryland Judiciary, Office of Problem-Solving Courts. Portland, OR: NPC Research.

Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., & Mackin, J. R. (2008). How Drug Court Practices Impact Recidivism and Costs. Powerpoint presented at the annual meeting of the National Association of Drug Court Professionals, St. Louis, MO.

Carey, S. M., Pukstas, K., Waller, M. S., Mackin, R. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2008). Drug Courts and State-Mandated Drug Treatment Programs: Outcomes, Costs, and Consequences: Drug Court and Proposition 36 in California. NPC Research: Portland, OR.

Burrus, S. W. M., Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2008). Family Drug Treatment Courts and Juvenile Drug Courts: Outcomes, Costs and Promising Practices. Powerpoint presented at the annual meeting of the National Association of Drug Court Professionals, St. Louis, MO.

- Carey, S. M., Pukstas, K., & Finigan, M. W. (2008). Exploring the Key Components of Drug Courts: A Comparative Study of 18 Adult Drug Courts on Practices, Outcomes and Costs. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Mackin, J. R., Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Lucas, L. M., Lambarth, C. H., & Waller, M. S. (2008). Employment Enhancement Program at Baltimore City Drug Treatment Court: Outcome & Cost Evaluation. A report to the Goodwill Industries of the Chesapeake and the Maryland Judiciary, Office of Problem-Solving Courts. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Worcel, S. D., Lucas, L. M., Strong, S. E., Konrad, E. L., & Finigan, M. W. (2007). Minnesota Drug Courts Funding Study – Chemical Dependency and Mental Health Services. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Worcel, S. D., Green, B. L., Furrer, C. J., Burrus, S. W. M., Finigan, M. W. (2007). Family Treatment Drug Court Evaluation: Final Report. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Finigan, M. W., Carey, S. M., & Cox, A. A. (2007). The Impact of a Mature Drug Court Over 10 Years of Operation: Recidivism and Costs: Final Report. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Pukstas, K., Weller, J. M., Brekhus, J., Crumpton, D., Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2006). Harford County Juvenile Drug Court Performance Evaluation: Program Process, Outcome and Cost Analyses: Final Report. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Pukstas, K., Weller, J. M., Brekhus, J., Crumpton, D., Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2006). Baltimore City Juvenile Drug Court Performance Evaluation: Program Process, Outcome and Cost Analyses: Final Report. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Pukstas, K., Weller, J. M., Brekhus, J., Crumpton, D., Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2006). Maryland Drug Treatment Courts: Interim Report of the Effectiveness of Juvenile Drug Courts. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Carey, S. M., Crumpton, D., Finigan, M. W., & Waller, M. S. (2005). California Drug Courts: A Methodology for Determining Costs and Benefits PHASE II: Testing the Methodology: Final Report. NPC Research: Portland, OR.
- Crumpton, D., Brekhus, J., Weller, J. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2004). Cost Analysis of Baltimore City, MD, Drug Treatment Court. Prepared for the Administrative Office of the Courts of Maryland and Baltimore Substance Abuse Systems, Inc.
- Crumpton, D., Brekhus, J., Weller, J. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2004). Cost Analysis of Anne Arundel County, MD, Drug Court. Prepared for the Administrative Office of the Courts of Maryland and Baltimore Substance Abuse Systems, Inc.
- Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Weller, J. M., Schnacker, L., & Crumpton, D. (2003). "An Independent Evaluation of SACPA/Proposition 36 in Santa Clara County, Final Report." Process and impact evaluation submitted to the Santa Clara County Executive Office.
- Carey, S. M., Finigan, M. W., Crumpton, D., & Worcel, S. D. (2002). California Drug Courts: A Methodology for Determining Costs and Avoided Costs, Phase I: Building the Methodology, Final Report.
- Finigan, M. W., Mackin, J. R., & Schnacker, L. (2001). "Youth Intervention Network (YIN), Department of Youth Services, Lane County, Oregon. Final Data Report." A report to the Lane County Department of Youth Services, Caliber Associates, and the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment.

- Carey, S. M., Cole, R.T.M., Finigan, M. W., Lucas, L.M., & Mackin, J.R. (1999). "Douglas County Drug Court Process Evaluation." A report to the Douglas County, Oregon, Trial Court Administrator's Office.
- Finigan, M. W., & Green, B. L. (1999). Pacific Northwest Prevention Coalition Evaluation. Report submitted to Oregon's Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.
- Finigan, M. W. (1998). An outcome program evaluation of the Multnomah County S.T.O.P. Drug Diversion Program. (Report prepared for Multnomah County Department of Community Corrections) Northwest Professional Consortium: Portland, OR.
- Finigan, M. W., (1996). "Societal Outcomes and Cost Savings of Drug and Alcohol Treatment in the State of Oregon" for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.
- Finigan, M. W., (1996). "An Analysis of the Oregon SANTA Project Data with an Estimation of Treatment Needs at Each Site" for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.
- Finigan, M. W., (1995). "Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey" for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.
- Finigan, M. W., (1993). "Evaluation of the Oregon Parole Transition Projects" for the Community Programs Division, Oregon Department of Corrections.
- Finigan, M. W., (1993). "Evaluation of the Oregon DMV Vehicle Cancellation Program" for the Division of Motor Vehicles.
- Finigan, M. W., (1993). "Evaluation of the Traffic Violator School Programs in Santa Clara County, California" for the Municipal Court, Santa Clara County, California.
- Finigan, M. W., (1992). "Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey" for the Office of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs.
- Finigan, M. W., contributor (1992). "Oregon 50-Year Transportation Plan" for the Oregon Department of Transportation.
- Finigan, M. W., (1991–1992). "Portland Drug Use Forecasting (DUF) Semi-Annual Reports (Non-Urban areas)" for the Criminal Justice Services Division.
- Finigan, M. W., (1991). "Implementation Evaluation of Programs in the Oregon Drug Control Package" for the Criminal Justice Services Division.
- Finigan, M. W., (1991). "Evaluation of the Oregon Diversion Program for First-Offender DUII" for the Oregon Traffic Safety Commission.
- Finigan, M. W., (1989–1992). "Portland Drug Use Forecasting (DUF) Quarterly Reports (Multnomah County)" for the National Institute of Justice.

## **CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS**

- Carey, S. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2012, March). Why these 10 Key Components? Because they work! Presented at the Alcohol and Other Drug Treatment Courts Conference, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Carey, S. M., & Finigan, M. W. (2011, November). Drug Court Costs. Presented at the Organization of American States conference, Santiago, Chile.
- Carey, S. M., Mackin, J. R., & Finigan, M. W. (2011, July). What Works: Best Practices in Adult Drug Courts. New Findings from the Latest Research. Presented at the NADCP Annual Training Conference, Washington, DC.

## **MEDIA PRESENTATIONS**

- Presentation to Secretary Donna Shalala (HHS) and to the Oregon print, television and radio media (July 1996) Presentation of the results of cost data on treatment outcomes at a press conference held in Portland. One of two studies presented to Secretary Shalala. Resulted in featured stories in major local media including an interview for Channel 12 news.
- Press Conference on the final results of the 1996 Outcome Evaluation of the A&D Treatment System (February 1996). Presentation of the results of data analysis at a press conference held in Portland and in Medford. Resulted in featured stories in local radio news shows and metro section story in the Oregonian.
- Press Conference on the preliminary results of the 1995 Outcome Evaluation of the A&D Treatment System (April 26, 1995). Presentation of the results of data analysis at a press conference held in Portland. Resulted in featured stories in all major local radio news shows and metro section front-page story in the Oregonian.
- Press Conference on the results of the 1994 Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey (January 12, 1995). Presentation of data analyses. Press conferences were held in Portland, Eugene, Medford, and Bend. Resulted in featured stories in all major local TV news shows and front-page stories in the Oregonian and Eugene Register Guard.
- Governor's Press Conference on the results of the 1992 Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey (October 1992). Governor Barbara Roberts presented results of data analysis using charts prepared for her by Northwest Professional Consortium.