



[www.npcresearch.com](http://www.npcresearch.com)

# *Healthy Start of Oregon* 2003 – 2004 Status Report Volume II: Appendices

July 1, 2003 – June 30, 2004



*For more information contact:*

**NPC Research**

**Beth L. Green, Ph.D.**

4380 SW Macadam Ave., Suite 530

Portland, OR 97239-6408

(503) 243-2436

Fax: (503) 243-2454

**January 2005**



# Appendix A

# Appendix A: Healthy Start 2003-2004 Data Tables

## Table of Contents

Table 1. Services Offered to New Families (FY 2003-04) .....	4
Table 2. Screening Results and Time to Screening (FY 2003-04) .....	6
Table 3. Risk Characteristics Reported by Screened <sup>1</sup> Families (FY 2003-04) .....	8
Table 4. Selected Demographics of Families Screened this Year <sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04) .....	10
Table 5a. Percent of Families Assessed <sup>1</sup> by County (FY 2003-04) .....	12
Table 5b. Reasons for Not Conducting Kempe Assessments <sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04) .....	14
Table 6. Percentage of Basic Service Families by Risk Level & Kempe Results (FY 2003-04) .....	16
Table 7. Overall Participation in Healthy Start (FY 2003-04) .....	18
Table 8. Initial Services Received by the High Risk/High Stress Families (FY 2003-04) .....	20
Table 9. Risk Characteristics Reported by Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	22
Table 10. Selected Demographics of Intensive Service Families this Year (FY 2003-04) .....	24
Table 11. Intensive Service Families Served by County and Birth Year of Child (FY 2003-04) .....	26
Table 12a. Issues at Intake for Intensive Service Mothers (FY 2003-04) .....	28
Table 12b. Issues at Intake for Intensive Service Fathers (FY 2003-04) .....	30
Table 13a. Average Months of Service for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	32
Table 13b. Average Number of Home Visits and Level of Service Reported During First 6 Months of Intensive Services (FY 2003-04) .....	36
Table 14. Service Engagement and Retention for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	38
Table 15. Exit Reasons for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	40
Table 16. Parenting Skills for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 and 12 Months   of Service (FY 2003-04) .....	42
Table 17. Parenting Skills for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 and 12 Months of Service (FY 2003-04) .....	44
Table 18. Parent-Child Interactions for Families Receiving Intensive Service (FY 2003-04) .....	46
Table 19a. Reduction in Risk Processes for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	48
Table 19b. Reduction in Risk Processes for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04) .....	50
Table 20. Children Aged 0-2 Free from Maltreatment (FY 2003-04) .....	52
Table 21. Children Aged 0-2 Free from Maltreatment by Service Type (FY 2003-04) .....	54
Table 22. Likelihood of Child Maltreatment Based on Number of Risks (FY 2003-04) .....	56
Parameter Estimate .....	56
Table 23. Child Maltreatment Victims by Stress Level (FY 2003-04) .....	57
Table 24. Prenatal Care for Families with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04) .....	58
Table 25. Health Care for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04) .....	60
Table 26b. Utilization of Health Care Resources for Families with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04) .....	64
Table 27. Adequacy of Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 Months of Service (FY 2003-04) .....	66
Table 28a. HOME (Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment ) Assessment Results (FY 2003-04) .....	68

Table 28b. Nurturing and Supportive Environments for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04).....	70
Table 29a. Family Literacy Activities for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04) .....	72
Table 29b. Family Literacy Activities for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04).....	74
Table 30. Child Growth and Development for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04).....	76
Table 31a. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services: Parenting Support (FY 2003-04).....	78
Table 31b. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services: Basic Household Resources (FY 2003-04).....	80
Table 31c. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services: Family Risk Support (FY 2003-04) .....	82
Table 32. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services (FY 2003-04).....	84
Table 32 (continued) .....	86
Appendix B.....	89

**Table 1. Services Offered to New Families (FY 2003-04)**

First Birth Sites <sup>1</sup>	2003-2004 1 <sup>st</sup> births from OFH statistics <sup>2</sup>	Number of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children offered service <sup>3</sup>	Percent of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children offered service	Number of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children screened <sup>4</sup>	Percent of first birth children screened	<i>“Offered service” includes screened plus:</i>		
						<i># (%) of documented screening/ service refusals<sup>5</sup></i>	<i># (%) of documented refusals to share information<sup>5</sup></i>	<i># (%) of prenatal exits<sup>5</sup></i>
Baker	44	34	<b>77%</b>	24	55%	10 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Benton	309	249	<b>81%</b>	247	80%	-	2 (1%)	-
Clackamas	1,551	894	<b>58%</b>	424	27%	415 (46%)	55 (6%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	143	44	<b>31%</b>	25	17%	17 (39%)	0 (0%)	2 (5%)
Curry	52	59*	<b>114%</b>	49	94%	4 (7%)	2 (3%)	4 (7%)
Deschutes	668	564	<b>84%</b>	399	60%	162 (29%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)
Douglas	480	270	<b>56%</b>	247	51%	11 (4%)	4 (2%)	8 (3%)
Grant	24	22	<b>92%</b>	10	42%	12 (55%)	-	-
Hood River	115	89	<b>77%</b>	62	54%	14 (16%)	12 (14%)	1 (1%)
Jackson	908	537	<b>59%</b>	424	47%	69 (13%)	18 (3%)	26 (5%)
Klamath	267	143	<b>54%</b>	101	38%	27 (19%)	14 (10%)	1 (1%)
Lake	31	27	<b>87%</b>	13	42%	10 (37%)	0 (0%)	4 (15%)
Lane	1,511	1,171	<b>78%</b>	738	49%	380 (32%)	53 (5%)	-
Lincoln	167	149	<b>89%</b>	125	75%	15 (10%)	6 (4%)	3 (2%)
Linn	539	317	<b>59%</b>	287	53%	5 (2%)	7 (2%)	18 (6%)
Marion	1,624	1,029	<b>63%</b>	776	48%	242 (24%)	4 (.4%)	7 (1%)
Morrow	46	44	<b>96%</b>	37	80%	5 (11%)	2 (5%)	-
Multnomah	4,049	2,266	<b>56%</b>	1,889	47%	377 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Polk	298	176	<b>59%</b>	150	50%	26 (15%)	0 (0%)	-
Tillamook	105	52	<b>50%</b>	52	50%	-	-	-
Union	119	75	<b>63%</b>	75	63%	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>1</sup>First birth counties are those contracted to serve first-time parents. All-birth counties include those in which the program is contracted to serve families with subsequent births in addition to first birth families.

<sup>2</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health ([www.dhs.state.or.us/publichealth/](http://www.dhs.state.or.us/publichealth/)).

<sup>3</sup> “Offered service” includes those families screened plus (a) families approached about Healthy Start, but who declined screening/service, (b) families participating in screening/service, but who declined to share information with the evaluation, and (c) families who received screening/service, but exited prior to the birth of the baby.

<sup>4</sup> Includes Oregon Children’s Plan data entered into Women’s and Children’s Health Data System (WCHDS) and/or submitted to NPC Research using the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>5</sup> This information is collected each fiscal year from program manager self-report. Dashes indicate the program did not collect that information during the entire fiscal year.

First Birth Sites <sup>1</sup>	2003-2004 1 <sup>st</sup> births from OFH statistics <sup>2</sup>	Number of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children offered service <sup>3</sup>	Percent of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children offered service	Number of 1 <sup>st</sup> birth children screened <sup>4</sup>	Percent of first birth children screened	<i>“Offered service” includes screened plus:</i>		
						<i># (%) of documented screening/ service refusals<sup>5</sup></i>	<i># (%) of documented refusals to share information<sup>5</sup></i>	<i># (%) of prenatal exits<sup>5</sup></i>
Wallowa	19	20*	105%	13	68%	5 (25%)	1 (5%)	1 (5%)
Wasco	92	74	80%	46	50%	25 (34%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)
Washington	3,021	884	29%	502	17%	211 (24%)	48 (5%)	123 (14%)
Yamhill	411	133	32%	105	26%	23 (17%)	4 (3%)	1 (1%)
<b>Total (for first birth counties)</b>	<b>16,593</b>	<b>9,322</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>6,280</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>2,065 (22%)</b>	<b>233 (2%)</b>	<b>204 (2%)</b>
<b>All-Birth Sites<sup>5</sup></b>								
Crook	245	147	60%	48	20%	98 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Harney	69	23	33%	8	12%	10 (43%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%)
Jefferson	314	14	4%	14	4%	-	-	-
Malheur	419	96	23%	22	5%	69 (72%)	0 (0%)	5 (5%)
Sherman	16	13	81%	2	13%	11 (85%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	772	475	62%	438	57%	37 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>Total (for all-birth counties)</b>	<b>1,835</b>	<b>768</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>225 (29%)</b>	<b>4 (1%)</b>	<b>7 (1%)</b>
<b>TOTAL (for first-birth counties plus all-birth counties)</b>	<b>18,428</b>	<b>10,090</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>7,352</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>2,290 (23%)</b>	<b>237 (2%)</b>	<b>211 (2%)</b>

<sup>1</sup>First birth counties are those contracted to serve first-time parents. All-birth counties include those in which the program is contracted to serve families with subsequent births in addition to first birth families.

<sup>2</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health ([www.dhs.state.or.us/publichealth/](http://www.dhs.state.or.us/publichealth/)).

<sup>3</sup> “Offered service” includes those families screened plus (a) families approached about Healthy Start, but who declined screening/service, (b) families participating in screening/service, but who declined to share information with the evaluation, and (c) families who received screening/service, but exited prior to the birth of the baby.

<sup>4</sup> Includes Oregon Children’s Plan data entered into Women’s and Children’s Health Data System (WCHDS) and/or submitted to NPC Research using the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>5</sup> This information is collected each fiscal year from program manager self-report. Dashes indicate the program did not collect that information during the entire fiscal year.

\*It is possible that these counties may have served second-birth families that were unable to be distinguished from first-birth families for this analysis, or the first-birth statistics were under-counted.

**Table 2. Screening Results and Time to Screening (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Total families offered service <sup>1</sup>	Total families with screening data available <sup>3</sup>	Number screened at higher risk	Percent screened at higher risk	# (%) <sup>2</sup> screened during prenatal period	# (%) <sup>2</sup> screened between birth and 2 weeks of age	#(%) <sup>2</sup> screened at other times <sup>3</sup>	Mean time to screen (days)
Baker	34	24	16	<b>66.7%</b>	2 (12%)	11 (65%)	4 (24%)	<b>31.94</b>
Benton	249	247	91	<b>36.8%</b>	7 (3%)	199 (94%)	5 (2%)	<b>5.50</b>
Clackamas	894	424	289	<b>68.2%</b>	68 (23%)	68 (23%)	154 (53%)	<b>39.00</b>
Columbia	44	25	23	<b>92.0%</b>	10 (48%)	4 (19%)	7 ( 33.4%)	<b>41.00</b>
Crook	147	48	36	<b>75.0%</b>	1 (3%)	28 (90%)	2 (6.5%)	<b>26.41</b>
Curry	59	49	35	<b>71.4%</b>	2 (7%)	10 (36%)	16 (57%)	<b>47.81</b>
Deschutes	564	399	220	<b>55.1%</b>	255 (83%)	40 (13%)	13 (4%)	<b>47.82</b>
Douglas	270	247	175	<b>70.9%</b>	7 (3%)	125 (54%)	99 (43%)	<b>8.63</b>
Grant	22	10	8	<b>80.0%</b>	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	<b>21.33</b>
Harney	23	8	7	<b>87.5%</b>	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	<b>176.40</b>
Hood River	89	62	46	<b>74.2%</b>	15 (32%)	19 (41%)	12 (26%)	<b>24.00</b>
Jackson	537	424	269	<b>63.4%</b>	24 (11%)	184 (85%)	8 (4%)	<b>16.95</b>
Jefferson	14	14	4	<b>28.6%</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	<b>31.75</b>
Klamath	143	101	35	<b>34.7%</b>	2 (3%)	46 (78%)	11 (19%)	<b>21.44</b>
Lake	27	13	9	<b>69.2%</b>	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	<b>75.25</b>
Lane	1,171	738	409	<b>55.4%</b>	10 (2%)	605 (90%)	54 (8%)	<b>14.63</b>
Lincoln	149	125	83	<b>66.4%</b>	34 (31%)	50 (45%)	27 (24%)	<b>15.53</b>
Linn	317	287	147	<b>51.2%</b>	19 (12%)	75 (47%)	67 (42%)	<b>9.35</b>
Malheur	96	22	16	<b>72.7%</b>	1 (8%)	9 (69%)	3 (23%)	<b>94.00</b>
Marion	1,029	776	550	<b>70.9%</b>	19 (6%)	271 (83%)	35 (11%)	<b>7.89</b>
Morrow	44	37	20	<b>54.1%</b>	2 (13%)	6 (40%)	7 (47%)	<b>33.08</b>

<sup>1</sup>Offered service includes those families screened plus (a) families approached about Healthy Start, but refused screen/service, (b) families declining to share information with the evaluation and (c) families receiving service but exiting prior to the birth of the baby. Counts of families in A, B, & C are reported to the evaluation by program managers.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on the number of families with a screening date available.

<sup>3</sup> Only includes families in which screening date was entered into WCHDS or submitted on a New Baby Questionnaire.

Note: Other screening times may include either families interviewed after 2 weeks past the baby's birth or screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.



Site	Total families offered service <sup>1</sup>	Total families with screening data available <sup>3</sup>	Number screened at higher risk	Percent screened at higher risk	# (%) <sup>2</sup> screened during prenatal period	# (%) <sup>2</sup> screened between birth and 2 weeks of age	#(%) <sup>2</sup> screened at other times <sup>3</sup>	Mean time to screen (days)
Multnomah	2,266	1,889	1,265	<b>67.0%</b>	26 (5%)	494 (88%)	39 (7%)	<b>20.02</b>
Polk	176	150	96	<b>64.0%</b>	8 (7%)	74 (64%)	34 (29%)	<b>8.10</b>
Sherman	16	2	2	<b>100.0%</b>	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	<b>16.00</b>
Tillamook	52	52	42	<b>80.8%</b>	4 (8%)	44 (90%)	1 (2%)	<b>9.14</b>
Umatilla	772	438	80	<b>18.3%</b>	0 (0%)	61 (52%)	56 (48%)	<b>15.15</b>
Union	75	75	39	<b>52.0%</b>	0 (0%)	48 (91%)	5 (9%)	<b>7.67</b>
Wallowa	20	13	9	<b>69.2%</b>	3 (23%)	1 (8%)	9 (69%)	<b>63.44</b>
Wasco	74	46	32	<b>69.6%</b>	12 (30%)	11 (28%)	17 (43%)	<b>28.47</b>
Washington	884	502	328	<b>65.3%</b>	90 (30%)	77 (25%)	137 (45%)	<b>44.37</b>
Yamhill	133	105	72	<b>68.6%</b>	11 (16%)	46 (66%)	13 (19%)	<b>18.50</b>
<b>TOTAL*</b>	<b>10,390</b>	<b>7,352</b>	<b>4,453</b>	<b>60.6</b>	<b>633 (16%)</b>	<b>2,610 (64%)</b>	<b>845 (21%)</b>	<b>21.94</b>

<sup>1</sup>Offered service includes those families screened plus (a) families approached about Healthy Start, but refused screen/service, (b) families declining to share information with the evaluation and (c) families receiving service but exiting prior to the birth of the baby. Counts of families in A, B, & C are reported to the evaluation by program managers.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on the number of families with a screening date available.

<sup>3</sup> Only includes families in which screening date was entered into WCHDS or submitted on a New Baby Questionnaire.

**Note:** Other screening times may include either families interviewed after 2 weeks past the baby's birth or screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.

**Table 3. Risk Characteristics Reported by Screened<sup>1</sup> Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Number of families with screening data	Teen mom (17 years or younger)	Not currently married	Began prenatal care more than 12 weeks (or not at all)	Received less than 5 health care provider visits prenatally <sup>2</sup>	Does not have a high school diploma or GED	Both mother and spouse/partner are unemployed	Has trouble paying for basic living expenses	Possible depressed mood	Has marital and/or family relationship issues	Has issues with drinking and/or drug use
Baker	24	26%	79%	42%	17%	58%	11%	53%	16%	37%	5%
Benton	247	2%	23%	5%	1%	8%	10%	15%	21%	2%	1%
Clackamas	424	10%	50%	15%	3%	23%	12%	39%	40%	7%	5%
Columbia	25	32%	64%	21%	7%	57%	14%	86%	29%	29%	7%
Crook	48	5%	50%	35%	0%	25%	-	55%	25%	30%	-
Curry	49	3%	56%	24%	0%	24%	15%	39%	27%	5%	2%
Deschutes	399	7%	38%	10%	4%	15%	8%	26%	34%	11%	14%
Douglas	247	12%	52%	13%	4%	25%	18%	35%	31%	8%	8%
Grant	10	-	57%	14%	-	29%	33%	43%	100%	29%	29%
Harney	8	80%	100%	40%	-	20%	-	100%	-	-	-
Hood River	62	12%	44%	11%	-	57%	41%	36%	30%	4%	9%
Jackson	424	5%	51%	16%	1%	32%	15%	29%	29%	4%	9%
Jefferson	14	-	50%	-	-	50%	-	75%	50%	-	-
Klamath	101	20%	63%	23%	-	45%	14%	48%	35%	15%	-
Lake	13	13%	78%	-	-	33%	50%	33%	56%	22%	22%
Lane	738	9%	46%	16%	8%	18%	13%	33%	35%	8%	6%
Lincoln	125	11%	75%	13%	2%	43%	17%	75%	20%	25%	3%
Linn	287	5%	50%	11%	1%	24%	16%	42%	34%	8%	9%
Malheur	22	31%	75%	25%	-	81%	64%	47%	38%	19%	-
Marion	776	12%	55%	17%	4%	30%	17%	32%	30%	6%	2%
Morrow	37	17%	71%	19%	-	27%	18%	36%	32%	-	5%
Multnomah	1,889	8%	46%	17%	2%	24%	18%	36%	25%	4%	4%

<sup>1</sup>Most screenings were conducted using the OCP screen between July 2003 and February 2004. Most screenings conducted between March 2004 and June 2004 used the New Baby Questionnaire. Percentages are based on the number of families with screening data available.

<sup>2</sup>This item is only asked on the NBQ. About 40% of the sample was asked this question.

Site	Number of families with screening data	Teen mom (17 years or younger)	Not currently married	Began prenatal care more than 12 weeks (or not at all)	Received less than 5 health care provider visits prenatally <sup>2</sup>	Does not have a high school diploma or GED	Both mother and spouse/partner are unemployed	Has trouble paying for basic living expenses	Possible depressed mood	Has marital and/or family relationship issues	Has issues with drinking and/or drug use
Polk	150	8%	45%	10%	-	24%	19%	35%	36%	6%	6%
Sherman	2	-	50%	-	-	-	-	50%	50%	-	-
Tillamook	52	6%	78%	-	-	22%	22%	78%	67%	33%	-
Umatilla	438	13%	63%	18%	9%	54%	20%	37%	20%	7%	5%
Union	75	6%	42%	16%	11%	11%	6%	39%	11%	6%	-
Wallowa	13	-	60%	-	-	30%	-	70%	20%	22%	-
Wasco	46	7%	51%	14%	-	26%	34%	38%	37%	9%	9%
Washington	502	12%	58%	23%	3%	47%	17%	50%	40%	9%	7%
Yamhill	105	18%	59%	18%	3%	40%	18%	46%	33%	15%	3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,352</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>5%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Screenings were conducted using the OCP screen between July 1, 2003, and February 2004. Screenings conducted between March 2004-June 2004 used the New Baby Questionnaire.

Percentages are based on the number of families with screening data available.

<sup>2</sup>This item is only asked on the NBQ. About 40% of the sample was asked this question.

**Table 4. Selected Demographics of Families Screened this Year <sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup>								Language Spoken at Home		
	Number of Respondents	African American	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Caucasian	Multiple races and ethnicities	Other race/ethnicity	English	Spanish	Other
Baker	19	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Benton	193	<1%	12%	9%	0%	74%	2%	2%	90%	9%	1%
Clackamas	383	1%	15%	4%	2%	74%	2%	2%	85%	14%	1%
Columbia	23	4%	0%	0%	0%	78%	13%	0%	96%	0%	4%
Crook	40	0%	13%	3%	3%	75%	5%	0%	88%	13%	0%
Curry	33	0%	3%	0%	3%	88%	3%	3%	94%	7%	0%
Deschutes	382	0%	3%	1%	1%	89%	<1%	1%	99%	1%	0%
Douglas	187	1%	3%	2%	1%	91%	1%	1%	98%	1%	1%
Grant	3	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Harney	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Hood River	50	0%	62%	4%	0%	34%	0%	0%	39%	61%	0%
Jackson	241	<1%	12%	3%	2%	75%	6%	1%	93%	6%	<1%
Jefferson	4	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%
Klamath	67	0%	16%	2%	9%	66%	6%	2%	89%	11%	0%
Lake	8	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Lane	609	1%	11%	3%	1%	83%	1%	1%	95%	5%	0%

<sup>1</sup> Family demographics are reported on the OCP/NBQ at screening

<sup>2</sup> Percentages may not total 100% because some families chose not to answer this question.

Site	Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup>								Language Spoken at Home		
	Number of Respondents	African American	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Caucasian	Multiple races and ethnicities	Other race/ethnicity	English	Spanish	Other
Lincoln	111	0%	21%	2%	7%	68%	3%	0%	82%	17%	<1%
Linn	172	1%	11%	1%	1%	85%	1%	0%	91%	9%	1%
Malheur	16	0%	56%	6%	0%	31%	0%	6%	63%	38%	0%
Marion	364	1%	36%	1%	<1%	56%	3%	1%	72%	27%	<1%
Morrow	24	0%	33%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	70%	30%	0%
Multnomah	737	7%	16%	8%	2%	62%	3%	1%	78%	14%	8%
Polk	117	1%	21%	1%	1%	73%	1%	2%	84%	15%	2%
Sherman	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Tillamook	49	2%	29%	0%	0%	69%	0%	0%	74%	27%	0%
Umatilla	129	2%	30%	0%	2%	64%	1%	0%	82%	18%	0%
Union	54	0%	4%	2%	0%	94%	0%	0%	98%	2%	0%
Wallowa	13	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Wasco	42	0%	10%	5%	5%	81%	0%	0%	88%	9%	2%
Washington	374	2%	51%	7%	0%	38%	2%	1%	56%	41%	3%
Yamhill	76	0%	28%	3%	1%	61%	3%	3%	80%	20%	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,527</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>2%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Family demographics are reported on the OCP/NBQ at screening

<sup>2</sup> Percentages may not total 100% because some families chose not to answer this question.

**Table 5a. Percent of Families Assessed<sup>1</sup> by County (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Number screened at higher risk	Number of higher risk families interviewed with Kempe <sup>2</sup>	Percent of higher risk families interviewed	ASSESSMENT RESULTS				Percent of assessed families eligible for intensive service
				<i>Low Family Stress (0-20)</i>	<i>Moderate Family Stress (25-35)</i>	<i>High Family Stress (40-60)</i>	<i>Severe Family Stress (65-100)</i>	
Baker	16	0	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	0	0%	0%	0%
Benton	91	33	<b>36%</b>	46%	33%	21%	0%	54%
Clackamas	289	125	<b>43%</b>	7%	59%	32%	3%	94%
Columbia	23	21	<b>91%</b>	10%	67%	19%	5%	91%
Crook	36	28	<b>78%</b>	7%	18%	68%	7%	93%
Curry	35	13	<b>37%</b>	15%	15%	62%	8%	85%
Deschutes	220	80	<b>36%</b>	14%	38%	46%	3%	87%
Douglas	175	38	<b>22%</b>	0%	63%	29%	8%	100%
Grant	8	4	<b>50%</b>	25%	50%	0%	25%	75%
Harney	7	4	<b>57%</b>	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Hood River	46	39	<b>85%</b>	80%	15%	3%	3%	21%
Jackson	269	118	<b>44%</b>	16%	52%	31%	2%	85%
Jefferson	4	0	<b>0%</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Klamath	35	15	<b>43%</b>	13%	47%	40%	0%	87%
Lake	9	1	<b>11%</b>	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
Lane	409	229	<b>56%</b>	5%	60%	33%	2%	95%
Lincoln	83	41	<b>49%</b>	27%	42%	29%	2%	73%
Linn	147	53	<b>36%</b>	58%	37%	6%	0%	43%
Malheur	16	4	<b>25%</b>	75%	25%	0%	0%	25%
Marion	550	138	<b>25%</b>	10%	50%	40%	1%	91%
Morrow	20	9	<b>45%</b>	11%	56%	33%	0%	89%

<sup>1</sup>Family stress levels are measured by the Kempe Family Stress Inventory (KFSI), conducted by trained assessment workers. Stress is assessed in ten categories, with total scores ranging from 0-100. Families with scores of 25 or higher are eligible for Intensive Service.

<sup>2</sup>Only families screened at high risk on either the OCP or NBQ are interviewed.

Site	ASSESSMENT RESULTS							
	Number screened at higher risk	Number of higher risk families interviewed with Kempe <sup>2</sup>	Percent of higher risk families interviewed	Low Family Stress (0-20)	Moderate Family Stress (25-35)	High Family Stress (40-60)	Severe Family Stress (65-100)	Percent of assessed families eligible for intensive service
Multnomah	1,265	197	16%	25%	50%	24%	2%	75%
Polk	96	50	52%	6%	42%	50%	1%	94%
Sherman	2	0	0%	0%	0	0	0%	0%
Tillamook	42	20	48%	5%	60%	35%	0%	95%
Umatilla	80	33	41%	12%	36%	42%	9%	87%
Union	39	26	67%	15%	34%	39%	12%	85%
Wallowa	9	4	44%	-	25%	75%	0%	100%
Wasco	32	24	75%	17%	26%	44%	13%	83%
Washington	328	145	44%	3%	49%	45%	3%	97%
Yamhill	72	35	49%	17%	46%	37%	0%	83%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,453</b>	<b>1,527</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>84%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Family stress levels are measured by the Kempe Family Stress Inventory (KFSI), conducted by trained assessment workers. Stress is assessed in ten categories, with total scores ranging from 0-100. Families with scores of 25 or higher are eligible for Intensive Service.

<sup>2</sup>Only families screened at high risk on either the OCP or NBQ are interviewed.

**Table 5b. Reasons for Not Conducting Kempe Assessments<sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Total families with information</b>	<b>Parent declined Kempe</b>	<b>Parent involved in other home visiting program</b>	<b>Unable to locate parent</b>	<b>Program/Worker caseload full</b>	<b>Other</b>
Baker	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Benton	12	6 (50%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)
Clackamas	51	20 (39%)	0 (0%)	15 (29%)	12 (24%)	4 (8%)
Columbia	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Crook	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	9	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)
Deschutes	5	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Harney	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jefferson	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	7	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	2(29%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)
Lake	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Lane	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lincoln	19	16 (84%)	2 (11%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Linn	14	3 (21%)	3 (21%)	6 (43%)	1 (7%)	1 (7%)
Malheur	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	51	13 (26%)	4 (8%)	33 (65%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)

<sup>1</sup> Details regarding the reasons for not assessing families who scored at higher risk on the screening tool were only available for a small subset of parents during 2003-04.



Site	Total families with information	Parent declined Kempe	Parent involved in other home visiting program	Unable to locate parent	Program/Worker caseload full	Other
Morrow	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	95	26 (27%)	58 (61%)	10 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Polk	9	4 (44%)	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)
Sherman	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	6	4 (67%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)
Union	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	3	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Wasco	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Washington	36	33 (92%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	2 (6%)
Yamhill	5	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>143 (43%)</b>	<b>76 (23%)</b>	<b>78 (24%)</b>	<b>15 (5%)</b>	<b>19 (6%)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Details regarding the reasons for not assessing families who scored at higher risk on the screening tool were only available for a small subset of parents during 2003-04.

**Table 6. Percentage of Basic Service Families by Risk Level & Kempe Results (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Basic Service Total <sup>1</sup>	Risk Unknown <sup>2</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Lower Risk <sup>3</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Higher Risk <sup>4</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Higher Risk No Kempe <sup>5</sup> (% of high risk, basic)	Kempe = Lower Stress <sup>6</sup> (% of high risk, basic)	Kempe = Higher Stress <sup>7</sup> (% of high risk, basic)
Baker	20	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	13 (65%)	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Benton	214	2 (1%)	148 (69%)	64 (30%)	57 (89%)	7 (11%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	338	55 (16%)	114 (34%)	169 (50%)	161 (95%)	2 (1%)	6 (4%)
Columbia	6	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	4 (67%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)
Crook	17	1 (6%)	9 (53%)	7 (41%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)
Curry	45	6 (13%)	14 (31%)	25 (56%)	22 (88%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)
Deschutes	341	3 (1%)	179 (52%)	159 (47%)	139 (87%)	8 (5%)	12 (8%)
Douglas	206	12 (6%)	70 (34%)	124 (60%)	122 (98%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)
Grant	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Harney	11	5 (45%)	0 (0%)	6 (55%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)
Hood River	58	13 (22%)	15 (26%)	30 (52%)	7 (23%)	22 (73%)	1 (3%)
Jackson	294	44 (15%)	145 (49%)	105 (36%)	62 (59%)	17 (16%)	26 (25%)
Jefferson	2	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	94	15 (16%)	60 (64%)	19 (20%)	16 (84%)	2 (11%)	1 (5%)
Lake	5	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>1</sup> Total Basic Service families include those with unknown risks, low-risk screens, or high-risk screens (but who did not receive Intensive Service).

<sup>2</sup> These Healthy Start families were missing screening information, but were entered as "Healthy Start" in WCHDS.

<sup>3</sup> Lower-risk families have a negative screen (on the OCP or NBQ).

<sup>4</sup> Combines families in the higher risk/no Kempe, lower stress Kempe, and higher stress Kempe categories for parents who only received Basic Service.

<sup>5</sup> Higher-risk/no Kempe families had a positive screen, but did not have a Kempe assessment conducted.

<sup>6</sup> Low stress families had a Kempe score of 20 or lower.

<sup>7</sup> High stress families had a Kempe score of 25 or higher.

Site	Basic Service Total <sup>1</sup>	Risk Unknown <sup>2</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Lower Risk <sup>3</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Higher Risk <sup>4</sup> (% of Basic Service)	Higher Risk No Kempe <sup>5</sup> (% of high risk, basic)	Kempe = Lower Stress <sup>6</sup> (% of high risk, basic)	Kempe = Higher Stress <sup>7</sup> (% of high risk, basic)
Lane	563	53 (9%)	318 (56%)	192 (34%)	178 (93%)	8 (4%)	6 (3%)
Lincoln	102	9 (9%)	42 (41%)	51 (50%)	39 (76%)	11 (22%)	1 (2%)
Linn	283	25 (9%)	131 (46%)	127 (45%)	92 (72%)	29 (23%)	6 (5%)
Malheur	14	5 (36%)	4 (29%)	5 (36%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Marion	656	11 (2%)	226 (34%)	419 (64%)	393 (94%)	13 (3%)	13 (3%)
Morrow	27	2 (7%)	14 (52%)	11 (41%)	9 (82%)	1 (9%)	1 (9%)
Multnomah	1,414	0 (0%)	570 (40%)	844 (60%)	828 (98%)	10 (1%)	6 (1%)
Polk	102	0 (0%)	49 (48%)	53 (52%)	45 (85%)	3 (6%)	5 (9%)
Sherman	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	29	0 (0%)	8 (28%)	21 (72%)	20 (95%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	395	0 (0%)	349 (88%)	46 (12%)	39 (85%)	3 (7%)	4 (9%)
Union	47	0 (0%)	32 (68%)	15 (32%)	11 (73%)	3 (20%)	1 (7%)
Wallowa	11	2 (18%)	4 (36%)	5 (45%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	29	3 (10%)	14 (48%)	12 (41%)	6 (50%)	4 (33%)	2 (17%)
Washington	465	171 (37%)	118 (25%)	176 (38%)	171 (97%)	2 (1%)	3 (2%)
Yamhill	75	5 (7%)	25 (33%)	45 (60%)	34 (76%)	6 (13%)	5 (11%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5,865</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>2,667 (45%)</b>	<b>2,750 (47%)</b>	<b>2,485 (90%)</b>	<b>160 (6%)</b>	<b>105 (4%)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Total Basic Service families include those with unknown risks, low-risk screens, or high-risk screens (but who did not receive Intensive Service).

<sup>2</sup> These Healthy Start families were missing screening information, but were entered as “Healthy Start” in WCHDS.

<sup>3</sup> Lower-risk families have a negative screen (on the OCP or NBQ).

<sup>4</sup> Combines families in the higher risk/no Kempe, lower stress Kempe, and higher stress Kempe categories for parents who only received Basic Service.

<sup>5</sup> Higher-risk/no Kempe families had a positive screen, but did not have a Kempe assessment conducted.

<sup>6</sup> Low stress families had a Kempe score of 20 or lower.

<sup>7</sup> High stress families had a Kempe score of 25 or higher.

**Table 7. Overall Participation in Healthy Start (FY 2003-04)**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Total Healthy Start Clients</b>	<b>Total Basic Service Clients<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Total Intensive Service Clients<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Total Prenatal Exits</b>	<b>Total Documented Refusals to Share Information</b>
Baker	34	20 (59%)	14 (41%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Benton	278	214 (77%)	62 (22%)	-	2 (1%)
Clackamas	786	338 (43%)	393 (50%)	0 (0%)	55 (7%)
Columbia	42	6 (14%)	34 (81%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)
Crook	58	17 (29%)	40 (69%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Curry	67	45 (67%)	16 (24%)	4 (6%)	2 (3%)
Deschutes	488	341 (70%)	144 (30%)	3 (1%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	345	206 (60%)	127 (37%)	8 (2%)	4 (1%)
Grant	16	1 (6%)	15 (94%)	-	-
Harney	33	11 (33%)	17 (52%)	1 (3%)	4 (12%)
Hood River	134	58 (43%)	63 (47%)	1 (1%)	12 (9%)
Jackson	710	294 (41%)	372 (53%)	26 (4%)	18 (3%)
Jefferson	38	2 (5%)	36 (95%)	-	-
Klamath	159	94 (59%)	50 (31%)	1 (1%)	14 (9%)
Lake	27	5 (19%)	18 (67%)	4 (15%)	0 (0%)
Lane	1,124	563 (50%)	508 (45%)	-	53 (5%)
Lincoln	144	102 (71%)	33 (23%)	3 (2%)	6 (4%)
Linn	378	283 (75%)	70 (19%)	18 (5%)	7 (2%)
Malheur	48	14 (29%)	29 (60%)	5 (10%)	0 (0%)
Marion	1,059	656 (62%)	392 (37%)	7 (1%)	4 (<1%)
Morrow	58	27 (47%)	29 (50%)	-	2 (3%)

<sup>1</sup> Basic service clients include any families participating in Healthy Start and the evaluation who was not enrolled in Intensive Services.

<sup>2</sup> Total intensive clients served includes any person receiving Intensive Service during FY 03-04 regardless of fiscal year of birth.

Site	Total Healthy Start Clients	Total Basic Service Clients <sup>1</sup>	Total Intensive Service Clients <sup>2</sup>	Total Prenatal Exits	Total Documented Refusals to Share Information
Multnomah	2,097	1,414 (67%)	683 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Polk	288	102 (35%)	186 (65%)	-	0 (0%)
Sherman	9	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	93	29 (31%)	64 (69%)	-	-
Umatilla	489	395 (81%)	94 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Union	100	47 (47%)	53 (53%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	19	11 (58%)	6 (32%)	1 (5%)	1 (5%)
Wasco	88	29 (33%)	56 (64%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)
Washington	1,128	465 (41%)	492 (44%)	123 (11%)	48 (4%)
Yamhill	175	75 (43%)	95 (54%)	1 (1%)	4 (2%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10,512</b>	<b>5,865 (56%)</b>	<b>4,199 (40%)</b>	<b>211 (2%)</b>	<b>237 (2%)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Basic service clients include any client participating in Healthy Start and the evaluation who was not enrolled in Intensive Services.

<sup>2</sup> Total intensive clients served includes any person receiving Intensive Service during FY 03-04 regardless of fiscal year of birth.

**Table 8. Initial Services Received by the High Risk/High Stress Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	High Risk/High Stress Families Initial Status at Time of Kempe						Intensive Service Families		
	Total High Risk/High Stress	Declined Intensive Service <sup>1</sup>	On Wait List <sup>2</sup>	Not Offered (other reason) <sup>3</sup>	Not in Intensive Service (reason unknown)	Accepted intensive service <sup>2</sup>	Percent receiving visit within 3 months of birth	New Intensive service families born FY 03-04 <sup>1</sup>	Total Intensive Families Served <sup>4</sup>
Baker	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6 (17%)	4	14
Benton	17	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17 (100%)	22 (91%)	36	62
Clackamas	116	5 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	110 (95%)	74 (73%)	141	393
Columbia	19	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (100%)	16 (100%)	21	34
Crook	26	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	26 (100%)	3 (100%)	32	40
Curry	11	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (91%)	3 (67%)	10	16
Deschutes	69	11 (16%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	58 (84%)	21 (91%)	62	144
Douglas	38	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	36 (95%)	31 (87%)	53	127
Grant	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	8 (100%)	9	15
Harney	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	2	17
Hood River	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	3 (100%)	17	63
Jackson	98	7 (7%)	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	86 (88%)	60 (85%)	178	372
Jefferson	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	10 (70%)	13	36
Klamath	13	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (100%)	10 (90%)	22	50
Lake	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	8 (63%)	12	18
Lane	218	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	212 (97%)	69 (94%)	229	508

<sup>1</sup> Accepted, declined and on wait list are attributed by the worker on the Kempe scoring sheet.

<sup>2</sup> Total new intensive families is larger than the number who accepted Intensive Services because information about whether families accepted service was only available for the latter part of FY 2003-04.

<sup>3</sup> Workers have the option of writing in other reasons why a family did not accept Intensive Services. These reasons were tabulated by the evaluation team. Reasons included things such as the family moved before services were offered, the child was removed from custody, or no bilingual worker was available to work with the family.

<sup>4</sup> Total intensive families served includes any families receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04 regardless of fiscal year of birth.

**High Risk/High Stress Families  
Initial Status at Time of Kempe**

**Intensive Service Families**

Site	Total High Risk/High Stress	Declined Intensive Service <sup>1</sup>	On Wait List <sup>2</sup>	Not Offered (other reason) <sup>3</sup>	Not in Intensive Service (reason unknown)	Accepted intensive service <sup>2</sup>	Percent receiving visit within 3 months of birth	New Intensive service families born FY 03-04 <sup>1</sup>	Total Intensive Families Served <sup>4</sup>
Lincoln	30	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	30 (100%)	29 (90%)	32	33
Linn	22	4 (18%)	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)	16 (73%)	13 (100%)	30	70
Malheur	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	10 (80%)	13	29
Marion	124	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	4 (3%)	4 (3%)	114 (92%)	69 (58%)	136	392
Morrow	8	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (88%)	8 (88%)	12	29
Multnomah	47	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	9 (6%)	6 (4%)	131 (89%)	146 (85%)	477	683
Polk	47	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	4 (9%)	0 (0%)	41 (87%)	25 (68%)	48	186
Sherman	0	-	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-	1	8
Tillamook	19	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0	19 (100%)	4 (75%)	24	64
Umatilla	29	2 (7%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	3 (10%)	22 (76%)	12 (100%)	43	94
Union	22	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	20 (91%)	2 (100%)	29	53
Wallowa	4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	2 (0%)	4	6
Wasco	19	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	16 (84%)	11 (73%)	20	56
Washington	141	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	20 (14%)	119 (84%)	83 (81%)	216	492
Yamhill	29	3 (10%)	3 (10%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	21 (72%)	23 (91%)	38	95
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,283</b>	<b>47 (4%)</b>	<b>4 (&lt;1%)</b>	<b>28 (2%)</b>	<b>41 (3%)</b>	<b>1,163 (91%)</b>	<b>784 (82%)</b>	<b>1,964</b>	<b>4,199</b>

<sup>1</sup> Accepted, declined and on wait list are attributed by the worker on the Kempe scoring sheet.

<sup>2</sup> Total new intensive families is larger than the number who accepted Intensive Services because information about whether families accepted service was only available for the latter part of FY 2003-04.

<sup>3</sup> Workers have the option of writing in other reasons why a family did not accept Intensive Services. These reasons were tabulated by the evaluation team. Reasons included things such as the family moved before services were offered, the child was removed from custody, or no bilingual worker was available to work with the family.

<sup>4</sup> Total intensive families served includes any families receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04 regardless of fiscal year of birth.

**Table 9. Risk Characteristics Reported by Intensive Service Families<sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Number of Total Intensive Service families <sup>2</sup>	Teen mom (17 years or younger)	Not currently married	Began prenatal care more than 12 weeks (or not at all)	Received less than 5 health care provider visits prenatally <sup>3</sup>	Does not have a high school diploma or GED	Both mother and spouse/partner are unemployed	Has trouble paying for basic living expenses	Possible depressed mood	Has marital and/or family relationship issues	Has issues with drinking and/or drug use
Baker	14	10%	100%	100%	33%	67%	0%	100%	0%	33%	0%
Benton	62	8%	55%	8%	0%	37%	21%	26%	46%	6%	6%
Clackamas	393	15%	61%	25%	3%	45%	27%	53%	62%	20%	15%
Columbia	34	26%	81%	13%	9%	63%	27%	75%	44%	25%	13%
Crook	40	8%	50%	50%	0%	17%	0%	67%	33%	17%	0%
Curry	16	17%	77%	15%	0%	46%	27%	39%	62%	8%	8%
Deschutes	144	32%	84%	21%	4%	53%	24%	54%	58%	36%	41%
Douglas	127	14%	72%	20%	13%	40%	26%	49%	53%	27%	24%
Grant	15	14%	75%	25%	0%	42%	46%	25%	75%	25%	17%
Harney	17	18%	100%	0%	0%	29%	20%	50%	40%	33%	0%
Hood River	63	19%	57%	18%	0%	75%	47%	59%	28%	14%	10%
Jackson	372	15%	73%	18%	3%	50%	26%	38%	38%	9%	17%
Jefferson	36	11%	60%	0%	0%	40%	0%	80%	20%	0%	0%
Klamath	50	21%	85%	28%	0%	55%	29%	46%	67%	15%	18%
Lake	18	9%	77%	8%	0%	39%	42%	31%	46%	15%	23%
Lane	508	19%	77%	28%	11%	36%	25%	57%	57%	24%	12%

<sup>1</sup>Most screenings were conducted using the OCP screen between July 1, 2003, and February 2004. Most screenings conducted between March 2004-June 2004 used the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>2</sup> Total new intensive families is larger than the number who accepted Intensive Services because information about whether families accepted service was only available for the latter part of FY 2003-04.

<sup>3</sup> This item is only asked on the NBQ. About 40% of the sample was asked this question.



Site	Number of Total Intensive Service families <sup>2</sup>	Teen mom (17 years or younger)	Not currently married	Began prenatal care more than 12 weeks (or not at all)	Received less than 5 health care provider visits prenatally <sup>3</sup>	Does not have a high school diploma or GED	Both mother and spouse/partner are unemployed	Has trouble paying for basic living expenses	Possible depressed mood	Has marital and/or family relationship issues	Has issues with drinking and/or drug use
Lincoln	33	19%	82%	30%	5%	65%	26%	77%	26%	36%	9%
Linn	70	11%	69%	14%	0%	45%	37%	61%	60%	15%	8%
Malheur	29	28%	85%	19%	0%	69%	48%	28%	46%	8%	8%
Marion	392	20%	73%	31%	3%	53%	28%	57%	45%	20%	9%
Morrow	29	18%	84%	21%	0%	53%	39%	42%	26%	5%	11%
Multnomah	683	12%	68%	23%	4%	38%	29%	49%	35%	4%	5%
Polk	186	21%	73%	23%	0%	50%	34%	42%	43%	14%	18%
Sherman	8	11%	78%	0%	0%	0%	57%	67%	50%	11%	22%
Tillamook	64	6%	59%	42%	0%	36%	21%	73%	38%	31%	9%
Umatilla	94	37%	76%	28%	8%	72%	48%	45%	29%	10%	14%
Union	53	11%	40%	40%	0%	20%	20%	80%	0%	0%	20%
Wallowa	6	25%	100%	25%	0%	75%	0%	75%	25%	25%	0%
Wasco	56	17%	77%	13%	0%	51%	51%	59%	40%	25%	26%
Washington	492	22%	73%	32%	4%	57%	22%	56%	52%	15%	10%
Yamhill	95	31%	73%	22%	0%	61%	31%	66%	50%	17%	13%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,199</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>13%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Most screenings were conducted using the OCP screen between July 1, 2003 and February 2004. Most screenings conducted between March 2004-June 2004 used the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>2</sup> Total new intensive families is larger than the number who accepted Intensive Services because information about whether families accepted service was only available for the latter part of FY 2003-04.

<sup>3</sup> This item is only asked on the NBQ. About 40% of the sample was asked this question.

**Table 10. Selected Demographics of Intensive Service Families this Year<sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Number of Respondents	Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup>							Language Spoken at Home <sup>3</sup>		
		African American	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Caucasian	Multiple races and ethnicities	Other race/ethnicity	English	Spanish	Other
Baker	11	0%	9%	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Benton	49	0%	27%	4%	2%	65%	0%	2%	65%	35%	0%
Clackamas	366	<1%	33%	3%	2%	60%	0%	3%	70%	30%	0%
Columbia	31	3%	0%	0%	0%	84%	10%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Crook	36	0%	8%	0%	3%	83%	3%	0%	94%	6%	0%
Curry	12	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Deschutes	141	1%	9%	0%	1%	87%	1%	1%	94%	6%	0%
Douglas	116	0%	7%	1%	1%	91%	0%	0%	94%	6%	0%
Grant	7	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Harney	12	0%	8%	0%	0%	92%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Hood River	56	0%	66%	2%	0%	32%	0%	0%	32%	68%	0%
Jackson	222	2%	23%	2%	2%	68%	2%	1%	83%	16%	1%
Jefferson	20	5%	55%	0%	10%	30%	0%	0%	47%	53%	0%
Klamath	41	2%	17%	0%	17%	59%	5%	0%	90%	10%	0%
Lake	11	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Lane	474	1%	17%	2%	1%	78%	<1%	<1%	86%	13%	<1%

<sup>1</sup> Family demographics are reported on the OCP/NBQ at screening.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages may not total 100% because some families chose not to answer this question.

<sup>3</sup> Percentages may not total to 100% because of rounding.

Site	Number of Respondents	Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup>							Language Spoken at Home <sup>3</sup>		
		African American	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Caucasian	Multiple races and ethnicities	Other race/ethnicity	English	Spanish	Other
Lincoln	31	0%	29%	0%	7%	61%	3%	0%	74%	26%	0%
Linn	46	0%	57%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	54%	46%	0%
Malheur	25	0%	60%	4%	0%	36%	0%	0%	68%	32%	0%
Marion	298	1%	54%	4%	1%	38%	1%	<1%	56%	43%	1%
Morrow	22	0%	46%	9%	0%	46%	0%	0%	67%	33%	0%
Multnomah	261	8%	37%	15%	2%	38%	1%	0%	49%	36%	15%
Polk	165	1%	40%	1%	1%	56%	0%	1%	72%	27%	1%
Sherman	9	0%	11%	0%	0%	89%	0%	0%	90%	10%	0%
Tillamook	51	0%	28%	2%	0%	71%	0%	0%	78%	22%	0%
Umatilla	71	1%	30%	0%	3%	66%	0%	0%	83%	17%	0%
Union	36	0%	0%	0%	0%	97%	0%	3%	97%	3%	0%
Wallowa	5	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Wasco	54	0%	19%	6%	9%	67%	0%	0%	83%	17%	0%
Washington	369	1%	67%	3%	1%	28%	0%	1%	40%	58%	3%
Yamhill	70	0%	27%	1%	1%	69%	0%	0%	79%	21%	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,118</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>2%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Family demographics are reported on the OCP/NBQ at screening.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages may not total 100% because some families chose not to answer this question.

<sup>3</sup> Percentages may not total to 100% because of rounding.

**Table 11. Intensive Service Families Served by County and Birth Year of Child (FY 2003-04) <sup>1</sup>**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Total Intensive Service FY 2003-04</b>	<b>Born FY 1998-99 (Age 5-6)</b>	<b>Born FY 1999-100 (Age 4-5)</b>	<b>Born FY 2000-01 (Age 3-4)</b>	<b>Born FY 2001-02 (Age 2-3)</b>	<b>Born FY 2002-03 (Age 1-2)</b>	<b>Born FY 2003-04 (Age 0-1)</b>
Baker	<b>14</b>	0%	0%	0%	7%	64%	29%
Benton	<b>62</b>	0%	2%	3%	8%	29%	58%
Clackamas	<b>393</b>	2%	3%	9%	15%	35%	36%
Columbia	<b>34</b>	0%	0%	0%	3%	35%	62%
Crook	<b>40</b>	0%	0%	3%	0%	18%	80%
Curry	<b>16</b>	0%	0%	0%	13%	25%	63%
Deschutes	<b>144</b>	3%	2%	4%	19%	29%	43%
Douglas	<b>127</b>	0%	1%	8%	24%	26%	42%
Grant	<b>15</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%
Harney	<b>17</b>	0%	0%	29%	29%	29%	12%
Hood River	<b>63</b>	0%	10%	19%	22%	22%	27%
Jackson	<b>372</b>	2%	4%	8%	11%	28%	48%
Jefferson	<b>36</b>	0%	0%	6%	19%	39%	36%
Klamath	<b>50</b>	0%	0%	2%	18%	36%	44%
Lake	<b>18</b>	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%

<sup>1</sup> Fiscal years begin on July 1 and extend through June 30. Statistics are for children born within those parameters.

**Note:** Most sites are only funded to serve children to 3 years of age.

Site	Total Intensive Service FY 2003-04	Born FY 1998-99 (Age 5-6)	Born FY 1999-100 (Age 4-5)	Born FY 2000-01 (Age 3-4)	Born FY 2001-02 (Age 2-3)	Born FY 2002-03 (Age 1-2)	Born FY 2003-04 (Age 0-1)
Lane	508	1%	2%	8%	14%	31%	45%
Lincoln	33	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	97%
Linn	70	1%	4%	7%	20%	24%	43%
Malheur	29	0%	0%	3%	10%	41%	45%
Marion	392	3%	6%	9%	19%	28%	35%
Morrow	29	0%	0%	0%	0	59%	41%
Multnomah	683	0%	0%	<1%	1%	29%	70%
Polk	186	1%	1%	12%	22%	39%	26%
Sherman	8	0%	13%	0%	0	75%	13%
Tillamook	64	5%	3%	9%	13%	33%	38%
Umatilla	94	0%	0%	12%	13%	30	46%
Union	53	0%	2%	0%	8%	36%	55%
Wallowa	6	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%
Wasco	56	2%	5%	5%	18%	34%	36%
Washington	492	1%	1%	4%	17%	33%	44%
Yamhill	95	0%	0%	16%	16%	28%	40%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,199</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>47%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Fiscal years begin on July 1 and extend through June 30. Statistics are for children born within those parameters.

**Note:** Most sites are only funded to serve clients to 3 years of age.

**Table 12a. Issues at Intake for Intensive Service Mothers <sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Maternal History							
	Physically abused or neglected as a child	Sexual abuse or incest	Foster or out-of - home care	Raised by alcoholic or drug-affected parent	Developmental disability	History of depression, other mental illness	History of alcohol or substance abuse	History of criminal activity
Baker	11% (1)	0% (0)	22% (2)	33% (3)	0% (0)	22% (2)	22% (2)	22% (2)
Benton	38% (18)	11% (5)	11% (5)	26% (12)	2% (1)	28% (13)	27% (12)	13% (6)
Clackamas	26% (89)	9% (29)	12% (41)	23% (76)	5% (19)	40% (136)	24% (83)	9% (30)
Columbia	11% (3)	11% (3)	7% (2)	22% (6)	4% (1)	37% (10)	33% (9)	11% (3)
Crook	14% (4)	7% (2)	3% (1)	14% (4)	0% (0)	38% (11)	14% (4)	4% (1)
Curry	50% (7)	0% (0)	7% (1)	43% (6)	13% (2)	57% (8)	21% (3)	7% (1)
Deschutes	28% (36)	16% (20)	19% (24)	33% (43)	11% (15)	57% (73)	47% (61)	16% (20)
Douglas	22% (25)	13% (15)	14% (16)	23% (26)	7% (8)	48% (54)	31% (34)	11% (12)
Grant	33% (4)	42% (5)	25% (3)	33% (4)	0% (0)	67% (8)	75% (9)	42% (5)
Harney	64% (9)	50% (7)	64% (9)	57% (8)	7% (1)	29% (4)	36% (5)	7% (1)
Hood River	11% (6)	4% (2)	4% (2)	15% (8)	6% (3)	17% (9)	14% (7)	4% (2)
Jackson	28% (72)	19% (48)	12% (30)	36% (92)	2% (5)	38% (96)	38% (95)	15% (38)
Jefferson	28% (8)	3% (1)	14% (4)	35% (10)	3% (1)	35% (10)	10% (3)	10% (3)
Klamath	38% (14)	11% (4)	29% (10)	47% (17)	8% (3)	56% (20)	36% (13)	3% (1)
Lake	15% (2)	8% (1)	0% (0)	31% (4)	0% (0)	31% (4)	39% (5)	8% (1)
Lane	18% (64)	12% (41)	8% (28)	14% (50)	8% (28)	44% (156)	19% (67)	5% (17)

<sup>1</sup> Statistics describe mothers of children receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04. Questions are asked by home visitors during the first month of service and are reported on the Family Intake form.

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.

Site	Maternal History							
	Physically abused or neglected as a child	Sexual abuse or incest	Foster or out-of-home care	Raised by alcoholic or drug-affected parent	Developmental disability	History of depression, other mental illness	History of alcohol or substance abuse	History of criminal activity
Lincoln	41% (9)	18% (4)	23% (5)	36% (8)	0% (0)	36% (8)	59% (13)	9% (2)
Linn	26% (15)	4% (2)	9% (5)	21% (12)	5% (3)	37% (21)	25% (14)	9% (5)
Malheur	11% (1)	22% (2)	10% (1)	30% (3)	0% (0)	30% (3)	11% (1)	11% (1)
Marion	28% (75)	14% (37)	14% (37)	21% (56)	4% (11)	35% (95)	18% (49)	9% (24)
Morrow	0% (0)	5% (1)	14% (3)	15% (3)	0% (0)	10% (2)	14% (3)	5% (1)
Multnomah	12% (46)	8% (31)	7% (27)	19% (71)	3% (10)	22% (85)	11% (41)	3% (13)
Polk	45% (34)	14% (11)	14% (11)	23% (18)	5% (4)	40% (30)	21% (16)	10% (8)
Sherman	14% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	57% (4)	43% (3)	0% (0)
Tillamook	28% (14)	14% (7)	24% (12)	35% (18)	6% (3)	19% (9)	36% (18)	10% (5)
Umatilla	26% (14)	17% (9)	15% (8)	38% (20)	11% (6)	21% (11)	31% (16)	15% (8)
Union	28% (12)	21% (9)	14% (6)	37% (16)	5% (2)	42% (18)	28% (12)	12% (5)
Wallowa	0% (0)	67% (2)	0% (0)	67% (2)	0% (0)	67% (2)	33% (1)	33% (1)
Wasco	32% (15)	6% (3)	15% (7)	32% (15)	6% (3)	40% (19)	45% (21)	17% (8)
Washington	28% (102)	9% (34)	9% (32)	24% (89)	3% (11)	25% (92)	18% (66)	10% (35)
Yamhill	29% (22)	13% (10)	14% (11)	23% (18)	6% (5)	28% (22)	30% (23)	9% (7)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24% (722)</b>	<b>12% (345)</b>	<b>12% (343)</b>	<b>24% (718)</b>	<b>5% (145)</b>	<b>35% (1,035)</b>	<b>24% (709)</b>	<b>9% (266)</b>

<sup>1</sup>Statistics describe mothers of children receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04. Questions are asked by home visitors during the first month of service and are reported on the Family Intake form.

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.

**Table 12b. Issues at Intake for Intensive Service Fathers <sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Paternal History							
	Physically abused or neglected as a child	Sexual abuse or incest	Foster or out-of-home care	Raised by alcoholic or drug-affected parent	Developmental disability	History of depression, other mental illness	History of alcohol or substance abuse	History of criminal activity
Baker	14% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	29% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)	29% (2)
Benton	32% (13)	5% (2)	15% (6)	22% (9)	2% (1)	15% (6)	37% (15)	23% (9)
Clackamas	22% (62)	2% (5)	11% (30)	17% (46)	4% (10)	7% (19)	26% (73)	15% (41)
Columbia	13% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6% (1)	0% (0)	6% (1)	31% (5)	40% (6)
Crook	9% (2)	0% (0)	8% (2)	35% (8)	0% (0)	8% (2)	17% (4)	9% (2)
Curry	50% (7)	7% (1)	14% (2)	36% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	43% (6)	36% (5)
Deschutes	16% (17)	2% (2)	8% (8)	29% (30)	9% (10)	13% (13)	42% (45)	30% (32)
Douglas	12% (11)	0% (0)	5% (5)	12% (11)	4% (4)	13% (12)	29% (27)	16% (15)
Grant	29% (2)	14% (1)	43% (3)	57% (4)	22% (2)	14% (1)	57% (4)	57% (4)
Harney	21% (3)	0% (0)	21% (3)	29% (4)	7% (1)	29% (4)	50% (7)	21% (3)
Hood River	7% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (3)	0% (0)	5% (2)	19% (8)	5% (2)
Jackson	20% (43)	0% (0)	6% (13)	21% (44)	2% (5)	5% (11)	38% (83)	22% (48)
Jefferson	12% (3)	0% (0)	4% (1)	20% (5)	0% (0)	8% (2)	15% (4)	28% (7)
Klamath	35% (9)	4% (1)	24% (6)	24% (6)	0% (0)	12% (3)	58% (4)	50% (13)
Lake	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	38% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (4)	13% (1)
Lane	11% (28)	2% (6)	6% (14)	10% (25)	4% (11)	8% (20)	16% (38)	12% (29)
Lincoln	21% (3)	0% (0)	7% (1)	14% (2)	5% (1)	21% (3)	50% (7)	50% (7)

<sup>1</sup> Statistics describe fathers of children receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04. Questions are asked by home visitors during the first month of service and are reported on the Family Intake form.

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.



Site	Paternal History							
	Physically abused or neglected as a child	Sexual abuse or incest	Foster or out-of-home care	Raised by alcoholic or drug-affected parent	Developmental disability	History of depression, other mental illness	History of alcohol or substance abuse	History of criminal activity
Linn	30% (12)	0% (0)	15% (6)	17% (7)	0% (0)	15% (6)	29% (12)	15% (6)
Malheur	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	29% (2)	14% (1)
Marion	15% (36)	3% (8)	5% (13)	15% (36)	3% (8)	5% (12)	19% (45)	17% (41)
Morrow	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	13% (2)	0% (0)	6% (1)	18% (3)	24% (4)
Multnomah	13% (38)	1% (4)	8% (22)	13% (37)	1% (4)	6% (17)	15% (43)	7% (20)
Polk	32% (20)	3% (2)	13% (8)	24% (15)	3% (2)	7% (4)	25% (16)	32% (20)
Sherman	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)
Tillamook	11% (4)	3% (1)	3% (1)	14% (5)	8% (3)	0% (0)	28% (10)	32% (12)
Umatilla	12% (5)	0% (0)	10% (4)	12% (5)	12% (5)	0% (0)	41% (17)	33% (14)
Union	21% (9)	5% (2)	9% (4)	33% (14)	9% (4)	21% (9)	44% (19)	42% (18)
Wallowa	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
Wasco	22% (9)	0% (0)	5% (2)	23% (9)	7% (3)	8% (3)	51% (21)	42% (17)
Washington	23% (70)	2% (7)	8% (24)	18% (53)	1% (3)	7% (22)	26% (80)	16% (48)
Yamhill	10% (6)	2% (1)	10% (6)	15% (9)	0% (0)	3% (2)	20% (12)	23% (14)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18% (418)</b>	<b>2% (43)</b>	<b>8% (184)</b>	<b>17% (401)</b>	<b>3% (77)</b>	<b>8% (175)</b>	<b>26% (626)</b>	<b>19% (443)</b>

<sup>1</sup>Statistics describe fathers of children receiving Intensive Service during FY 2003-04. Questions are asked by home visitors during the first month of service and are reported on the Family Intake form.

**Note:** Sample sizes are in parentheses, following the percentages. Percentages can be misleading when sample size is small.

**Table 13a. Average Months of Service for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Age of Child in Months								Overall Average Months of Service
	0-5	6-11	12-17	18-23	24-29	30-35	36-47	48+	
Baker	4.90 (1)	4.07 (2)	5.70 (4)	6.72 (5)	4.17 (1)	-	-	-	5.66 (13)
Benton	2.54 (8)	5.65 (21)	7.73 (14)	9.02 (9)	24.53 (4)	20.23 (1)	18.12 (2)	47.80 (1)	8.85 (60)
Clackamas	3.74 (37)	5.20 (62)	10.16 (75)	14.19 (65)	19.76 (40)	27.75 (26)	36.59 (38)	52.46 (22)	17.19 (368)
Columbia	3.55 (8)	4.24 (9)	4.88 (6)	14.05 (5)	22.43 (1)	-	-	-	6.50 (29)
Crook	1.83 (11)	6.36 (16)	12.00 (10)	15.50 (1)	-	-	17.38 (1)	-	7.04 (39)
Curry	0.82 (2)	4.17 (4)	4.52 (3)	18.70 (3)	13.92 (2)	-	-	-	8.27 (14)
Deschutes	3.56 (23)	5.72 (31)	9.92 (21)	17.27 (17)	20.58 (21)	27.46 (7)	29.47 (11)	46.62 (7)	14.75 (138)
Douglas	2.00 (16)	6.13 (26)	8.87 (27)	13.78 (11)	22.95 (19)	29.96 (13)	32.90 (9)	38.23 (2)	14.65 (124)
Grant	8.59 (4)	10.54 (4)	11.77 (3)	17.37 (2)	17.77 (1)	-	-	-	11.74 (14)
Harney	1.80 (1)	5.40 (1)	8.57 (2)	21.47 (1)	19.05 (2)	25.98 (4)	32.90 (6)	-	22.30 (17)
Hood River	8.16 (4)	10.04 (13)	6.65 (8)	14.32 (6)	14.89 (5)	16.04 (7)	29.10 (11)	38.87 (8)	17.92 (63)
Jackson	3.75 (31)	12.93 (108)	12.51 (67)	14.03 (39)	19.99 (32)	27.27 (16)	33.15 (34)	50.35 (20)	17.76 (349)
Jefferson	1.99 (3)	11.38 (10)	14.81 (5)	18.03 (6)	18.16 (6)	23.53 (2)	16.98 (3)	-	14.54 (35)

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.

Site	Age of Child in Months								Overall Average Months of Service
	0-5	6-11	12-17	18-23	24-29	30-35	36-47	48+	
Klamath	2.46 (9)	19.07 (7)	7.59 (9)	14.34 (10)	19.79 (10)	27.5 (1)	-	-	12.85 (47)
Lake	0.83 (2)	3.73 (5)	11.87 (5)	15.40 (3)	-	-	-	-	8.39 (15)
Lane	2.71 (53)	7.89 (102)	9.49 (107)	15.01 (52)	20.58 (48)	28.34 (28)	34.59 (39)	43.58 (14)	14.82 (447)
Lincoln	3.81 (17)	6.20 (15)	-	5.13 (1)	-	-	-	-	4.94 (33)
Linn	1.87 (10)	7.26 (12)	9.91 (11)	11.53 (9)	17.83 (6)	26.26 (4)	30.50 (9)	48.76 (4)	15.39 (65)
Malheur	-	5.03 (9)	21.79 (5)	21.77 (7)	25.90 (2)	25.90 (1)	9.27 (1)	-	15.74 (25)
Marion	3.51 (63)	9.07 (37)	11.21 (57)	14.20 (60)	20.59 (49)	23.56 (27)	29.80 (40)	43.98 (36)	17.72 (373)
Morrow	2.15 (2)	12.08 (8)	9.96 (7)	6.39 (6)	21.68 (4)	-	-	-	10.95 (27)
Multnomah	9.10 (149)	15.66 (248)	12.78 (210)	16.49 (43)	19.82 (3)	28.82 (2)	38.30 (1)	-	13.44 (657)
Polk	3.64 (15)	5.48 (23)	18.86 (52)	17.09 (24)	22.04 (17)	25.61 (22)	28.78 (24)	46.63 (3)	18.56 (180)
Sherman	-	9.27 (1)	3.80 (1)	8.17 (1)	12.15 (2)	-	-	44.03 (1)	14.93 (6)
Tillamook	2.28 (8)	7.22 (9)	12.16 (10)	13.08 (11)	21.87 (5)	29.11 (5)	40.13 (6)	56.41 (5)	19.09 (59)
Umatilla	4.05 (11)	9.81 (16)	12.00 (23)	18.26 (6)	16.25 (8)	24.91 (6)	38.19 (11)	-	15.89 (81)
Union	2.45 (7)	7.66 (18)	9.25 (11)	14.61 (9)	23.95 (5)	-	-	58.77 (1)	11.12 (51)
Wallowa	-	10.76 (4)	-	-	8.40 (1)	10.77 (1)	-	-	10.37 (6)

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.

Site	Age of Child in Months								Overall Average Months of Service
	0-5	6-11	12-17	18-23	24-29	30-35	36-47	48+	
Wasco	1.88 (4)	4.53 (12)	9.65 (11)	14.12 (9)	23.14 (3)	25.60 (8)	36.47 (3)	45.00 (4)	15.90 (54)
Washington	6.79 (80)	7.93 (96)	10.99 (95)	16.99 (76)	21.19 (49)	24.76 (41)	29.32 (27)	43.77 (10)	14.63 (475)
Yamhill	2.17 (19)	9.57 (14)	11.06 (18)	14.72 (10)	18.02 (5)	23.76 (9)	36.90 (18)	-	16.02 (93)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2.35 (202)</b>	<b>3.78 (527)</b>	<b>6.49 (549)</b>	<b>12.56 (277)</b>	<b>18.90 (182)</b>	<b>24.89 (114)</b>	<b>33.80 (182)</b>	<b>48.67 (90)</b>	<b>15.20 (2,123)</b>

Note: Number in parenthesis represents the total number of respondents.



**Table 13b. Average Number of Home Visits and Level of Service Reported During First 6 Months of Intensive Services (FY 2003-04) <sup>1</sup>**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Number of families</b>	<b>Average visits per month</b>	<b>Percentage of families at level one</b>
Baker	-	-	-
Benton	23	2.81	100%
Clackamas	136	2.26	53%
Columbia	6	1.89	60%
Crook	15	2.69	84%
Curry	1	1.33	33%
Deschutes	70	2.70	89%
Douglas	26	2.32	43%
Grant	3	2.56	75%
Harney	5	2.47	71%
Hood River	22	3.07	96%
Jackson	25	2.63	18%
Jefferson	3	2.17	23%
Klamath	10	2.13	53%
Lake	-	-	-
Lane	149	2.28	67%
Lincoln	4	2.17	27%
Linn	34	2.25	100%

<sup>1</sup> During the first 6 months of Intensive Services, families should be at service Level One and offered weekly home visits.

Site	Number of families	Average visits per month	Percentage of families at level one
Malheur	3	0.72	38%
Marion	84	1.87	55%
Morrow	13	1.73	100%
Multnomah	68	1.82	34%
Polk	19	2.30	33%
Sherman	1	0.67	50%
Tillamook	32	2.82	90%
Umatilla	19	1.61	95%
Union	10	2.28	40%
Wallowa	1	1.67	100%
Wasco	26	2.01	74%
Washington	133	2.35	63%
Yamhill	39	2.00	82%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>2.26</b>	<b>56%</b>

<sup>1</sup> During the first 6 months of Intensive Services, families should be at service Level One and offered weekly home visits.

**Table 14. Service Engagement and Retention for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Program Engagement <sup>1</sup>					12+ month retention <sup>2</sup>
	Total intensive service	Never engaged	Engaged, left service other reasons	Engaged, graduated	Engaged, remained in service	
Baker	14	0%	64%	0%	36%	1 (25%)
Benton	62	0%	42%	2%	57%	7 (27%)
Clackamas	393	1%	56%	4%	39%	306 (50%)
Columbia	34	0%	74%	0%	27%	3 (20%)
Crook	40	0%	40%	3%	58%	1 (33%)
Curry	16	0%	44%	0%	56%	4 (40%)
Deschutes	144	1%	40%	1%	58%	171 (61%)
Douglas	127	1%	43%	0%	56%	67 (39%)
Grant	15	0%	40%	0%	60%	1 (33%)
Harney	17	0%	24%	12%	65%	15 (63%)
Hood River	63	2%	35%	2%	62%	53 (73%)
Jackson	372	3%	40%	2%	55%	220 (48%)
Jefferson	36	3%	47%	0%	50%	6 (67%)
Klamath	50	6%	48%	0%	46%	13 (36%)
Lake	18	0%	56%	6%	39%	0 (0%)
Lane	508	8%	50%	<1%	42%	398 (43%)
Lincoln	33	0%	36%	0%	64%	23 (25%)
Linn	70	0%	40%	11%	49%	45 (34%)

<sup>1</sup>Families are considered engaged if they receive three or more months of intensive service.

<sup>2</sup>Families used in these analyses were theoretically eligible (based on child's birth date) to be enrolled in service for at least one year.



Site	Program Engagement <sup>1</sup>					12+ month retention <sup>2</sup>
	Total intensive service	Never engaged	Engaged, left service other reasons	Engaged, graduated	Engaged, remained in service	
Malheur	29	0%	62%	0%	38%	9 (60%)
Marion	392	5%	58%	1%	36%	428 (51%)
Morrow	29	0%	62%	0%	38%	5 (26%)
Multnomah	683	11%	46%	2%	41%	27 (22%)
Polk	186	1%	73%	1%	26%	55 (31%)
Sherman	8	0%	50%	0%	50%	9 (82%)
Tillamook	64	9%	28%	3%	59%	51 (59%)
Umatilla	94	11%	26%	2%	62%	21 (34%)
Union	53	4%	66%	2%	28%	24 (31%)
Wallowa	6	0%	17%	0%	83%	NA
Wasco	56	4%	38%	7%	52%	40 (51%)
Washington	492	1%	39%	1%	59%	132 (45%)
Yamhill	95	1%	40%	1%	58%	40 (43%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,199</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>2,175 (46%)</b>

<sup>1</sup>Families are considered engaged if they receive three or more months of intensive service.

<sup>2</sup>Families used in these analyses were theoretically eligible (based on child's birth date) to be enrolled in service for at least one year.

**Table 15. Exit Reasons for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Reasons for Leaving <sup>1</sup>							
	Moved out of county or unable to locate	Parent no longer interested	Parent too busy	Passive refusal	Caseload limitation	Child removed from custody	Visitor never connected with family	Other
Baker (8)	25%	13%	25%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Benton (26)	39%	8%	31%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Clackamas (194)	29%	17%	13%	19%	2%	2%	2%	17%
Columbia (25)	16%	12%	48%	4%	0%	4%	0%	16%
Crook (15)	33%	27%	7%	27%	0%	7%	0%	0%
Curry (7)	29%	29%	14%	14%	0%	14%	0%	0%
Deschutes (51)	35%	14%	20%	6%	2%	6%	4%	14%
Douglas (46)	41%	9%	24%	11%	0%	7%	2%	7%
Grant (5)	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	20%	0%	40%
Harney (3)	0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%
Hood River (21)	38%	14%	5%	5%	0%	5%	5%	29%
Jackson (148)	16%	15%	18%	18%	18%	0%	6%	9%
Jefferson (16)	50%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	19%
Klamath (26)	23%	0%	8%	27%	0%	4%	12%	27%
Lake (8)	63%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%
Lane (245)	20%	13%	11%	16%	1%	5%	16%	18%
Lincoln (12)	33%	17%	17%	17%	0%	8%	0%	8%

<sup>1</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Service are captured on the family's exit form. "Other" reasons may include parent in jail, child deceased, creative outreach ended, parent became involved in another home visiting program, as well as other exit reasons.

**Note:** Parenthetical number represents the total number of families.

Site	Reasons for Leaving <sup>1</sup>							
	Moved out of county or unable to locate	Parent no longer interested	Parent too busy	Passive refusal	Caseload limitation	Child removed from custody	Visitor never connected with family	Other
Linn (19)	32%	5%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%
Malheur (17)	35%	12%	29%	18%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Marion (169)	16%	23%	15%	12%	0%	1%	12%	21%
Morrow (17)	29%	12%	24%	6%	0%	0%	0%	29%
Multnomah (382)	17%	12%	15%	16%	2%	0%	20%	19%
Polk (48)	31%	4%	10%	21%	0%	4%	4%	25%
Sherman (4)	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	25%
Tillamook (23)	35%	0%	17%	9%	0%	4%	26%	9%
Umatilla (32)	19%	13%	3%	22%	3%	3%	31%	6%
Union (37)	19%	19%	32%	19%	0%	0%	5%	5%
Wallowa (1)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wasco (22)	18%	9%	18%	27%	0%	5%	9%	14%
Washington (180)	28%	16%	15%	13%	0%	2%	3%	23%
Yamhill (39)	10%	15%	21%	26%	0%	3%	3%	23%
<b>TOTAL (1,846)</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>17%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Service are captured on the family's exit form. "Other" reasons may include parent in jail, child deceased, creative outreach ended, parent became involved in another home visiting program, as well as other exit reasons.

**Note:** Parenthetical number represents the total number of families.

**Table 16. Parenting Skills<sup>1</sup> for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 and 12 Months of Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Parenting Skills		Individual Skills	
	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months
Baker	-	100% (1)	-	100% (1)
Benton	89% (18)	92% (13)	67% (18)	85% (13)
Clackamas	85% (209)	84% (172)	77% (208)	79% (172)
Columbia	100% (8)	100% (3)	75% (8)	100% (3)
Crook	80% (20)	83% (6)	79% (19)	83% (6)
Curry	100% (5)	67% (6)	60% (5)	67% (6)
Deschutes	92% (74)	78% (58)	82% (74)	71% (58)
Douglas	82% (33)	96% (24)	70% (33)	83% (24)
Grant	50% (2)	80% (5)	50% (2)	60% (5)
Harney	33% (3)	57% (7)	0% (3)	29% (7)
Hood River	82% (22)	89% (27)	82% (22)	73% (26)
Jackson	80% (132)	78% (87)	71% (132)	73% (86)
Jefferson	88% (16)	100% (9)	69% (16)	89% (9)
Klamath	100% (15)	78% (18)	87% (15)	72% (18)
Lake	100% (3)	-	100% (3)	-
Lane	83% (180)	89% (150)	76% (180)	78% (150)

<sup>1</sup>Ratings for parenting skills are reported on the “Parenting Ladder” on the parent surveys. Parents self-report on each item at the time of the child’s birth, at 6 months, and again at 12 months. Also, at 6 and 12 months parents “retrospectively” report where they were on each item when their child was born. Each item is rated from 0 (“Low”) to 6 (“High”). Percentages refer to parents who rated themselves higher in comparison to their retrospective rating of where they were when their child was born.

**Note:** Sample sizes are in parentheses, following the percentages. Percentages can be misleading when sample size is small.

Site	Parenting Skills		Individual Skills	
	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months
Lincoln	92% (12)	89% (26)	83% (12)	-
Linn	82% (22)	-	82% (22)	73% (26)
Malheur	100% (3)	50% (2)	33% (3)	50% (2)
Marion	86% (132)	86% (105)	80% (129)	82% (105)
Morrow	83% (12)	100% (5)	58% (12)	80% (5)
Multnomah	83% (195)	83% (98)	74% (190)	72% (96)
Polk	70% (40)	76% (37)	75% (40)	68% (37)
Sherman	100% (2)	100% (3)	100% (2)	67% (3)
Tillamook	73% (33)	65% (20)	64% (33)	55% (20)
Umatilla	69% (16)	92% (13)	56% (16)	77% (13)
Union	73% (30)	94% (16)	57% (30)	75% (16)
Wallowa	0% (1)	-	100% (1)	-
Wasco	80% (24)	95% (20)	58% (24)	75% (20)
Washington	86% (169)	83% (143)	77% (165)	79% (141)
Yamhill	82% (39)	93% (27)	74% (39)	81% (26)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>84% (1,470)</b>	<b>84% (1,101)</b>	<b>75% (1,456)</b>	<b>76% (1,094)</b>

<sup>1</sup>Ratings for parenting skills are reported on the “Parenting Ladder” on the parent surveys. Parents self-report on each item at the time of the child's birth, at 6 months, and again at 12 months. Also, at 6 and 12 months parents “retrospectively” report where they were on each item when their child was born. Each item is rated from 0 (“Low”) to 6 (“High”). Percentages refer to parents who rated themselves higher in comparison to their retrospective rating of where they were when their child was born.

**Note:** Sample sizes are in parentheses, following the percentages. Percentages can be misleading when sample size is small.

**Table 17. Parenting Skills<sup>1</sup> for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 and 12 Months of Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Confidence in Knowing What is Right for Child		Ability to Help Child		Ability to Cope with Stress of Life	
	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months
Baker	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%
Benton	61%	69%	56%	85%	56%	69%
Clackamas	70%	71%	65%	68%	45%	50%
Columbia	88%	100%	75%	67%	50%	67%
Crook	63%	80%	61%	83%	72%	67%
Curry	80%	33%	40%	33%	75%	33%
Deschutes	77%	57%	68%	67%	65%	55%
Douglas	70%	88%	55%	67%	76%	63%
Grant	50%	60%	50%	40%	0%	80%
Harney	67%	57%	0%	71%	33%	43%
Hood River	77%	73%	82%	69%	41%	65%
Jackson	66%	67%	62%	64%	45%	57%
Jefferson	81%	56%	56%	67%	47%	56%
Klamath	87%	78%	73%	61%	53%	22%
Lake	100%	-	67%	-	67%	-
Lane	65%	69%	63%	65%	51%	56%

<sup>1</sup>Ratings for parenting skills are reported on the “Parenting Ladder” on the parent surveys. Parents self-report on each item at the time of the child’s birth, at 6 months, and again at 12 months. Also, at 6 and 12 months parents “retrospectively” report where they were on each item when their child was born. Each item is rated from 0 (“Low”) to 6 (“High”). Percentages refer to parents who rated themselves higher in comparison to their retrospective rating of where they were when their child was born.

Site	Confidence in Knowing What is Right for Child		Ability to Help Child		Ability to Cope with Stress of Life	
	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months	Improved at 6 months	Improved at 12 months
Lincoln	70%	-	50%	-	55%	-
Linn	77%	84%	59%	80%	19%	60%
Malheur	33%	50%	33%	0%	67%	0%
Marion	66%	77%	60%	65%	48%	50%
Morrow	64%	80%	50%	80%	55%	0%
Multnomah	73%	67%	64%	67%	60%	57%
Polk	64%	70%	58%	57%	39%	49%
Sherman	50%	33%	50%	0%	50%	33%
Tillamook	61%	40%	58%	30%	33%	35%
Umatilla	63%	58%	63%	69%	44%	46%
Union	67%	81%	47%	81%	33%	60%
Wallowa	100%	-	0%	-	0%	-
Wasco	58%	65%	57%	65%	46%	55%
Washington	73%	74%	61%	68%	50%	53%
Yamhill	72%	74%	63%	74%	37%	63%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>53%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Ratings for parenting skills are reported on the “Parenting Ladder” on the parent surveys. Parents self-report on each item at the time of the child’s birth, at 6 months, and again at 12 months. Also, at 6 and 12 months parents “retrospectively” report where they were on each item when their child was born. Each item is rated from 0 (“Low”) to 6 (“High”). Percentages refer to parents who rated themselves higher in comparison to their retrospective rating of where they were when their child was born.

**Table 18. Parent-Child Interactions for Families Receiving Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	At Intake			At 6 months			At 12 months		
	Mean	Number at intake	Positive most of the time or higher	Mean	Number at 6 months	Positive most of the time or higher	Mean	Number at 12 months	Positive most of the time or higher
Baker	3.83	9	33%	4.56	2	100%	4.19	2	50%
Benton	3.76	52	39%	4.56	24	92%	3.90	14	50%
Clackamas	4.09	355	64%	4.33	271	75%	4.34	206	74%
Columbia	4.32	28	79%	4.39	10	90%	4.33	6	67%
Crook	4.82	30	93%	4.73	19	95%	4.59	7	100%
Curry	4.13	15	67%	4.67	6	100%	4.02	6	67%
Deschutes	4.24	133	74%	4.41	91	82%	4.27	73	74%
Douglas	4.29	115	69%	4.31	61	75%	4.48	46	83%
Grant	4.32	14	64%	4.59	4	75%	4.43	5	100%
Harney	3.99	14	43%	3.96	7	57%	4.11	8	63%
Hood River	4.22	53	68%	4.51	25	80%	4.52	27	89%
Jackson	4.05	270	58%	4.21	161	63%	4.28	107	72%
Jefferson	4.65	29	90%	4.66	14	93%	5.00	7	100%
Klamath	4.12	38	71%	4.29	20	90%	4.20	19	74%
Lake	4.54	15	87%	4.34	4	75%	-	-	-
Lane	4.28	368	70%	4.48	230	81%	4.44	178	81%
Lincoln	3.75	29	35%	4.32	15	80%	5.00	1	100%

**Note:** Percentages can be misleading when sample sizes are small.  
NPC Research



Site	At Intake			At 6 months			At 12 months		
	Mean	Number at intake	Positive most of the time or higher	Mean	Number at 6 months	Positive most of the time or higher	Mean	Number at 12 months	Positive most of the time or higher
Linn	3.87	61	51%	4.61	36	94%	4.58	36	89%
Malheur	4.75	12	92%	5.00	8	100%	4.88	8	100%
Marion	4.13	287	62%	4.38	158	77%	4.33	135	73%
Morrow	4.51	22	86%	4.50	15	87%	4.79	6	100%
Multnomah	4.39	401	73%	4.59	229	89%	4.63	122	93%
Polk	4.13	84	61%	4.20	61	67%	4.24	44	71%
Sherman	3.69	8	50%	3.88	3	33%	4.17	3	33%
Tillamook	4.06	52	56%	4.19	38	63%	4.27	31	58%
Umatilla	3.95	55	55%	3.81	21	48%	3.90	20	55%
Union	4.13	41	68%	4.56	27	82%	4.61	16	88%
Wallowa	3.50	3	67%	4.19	2	50%	-	-	-
Wasco	4.47	47	81%	4.64	35	91%	4.78	23	96%
Washington	4.30	392	75%	4.52	219	85%	4.39	182	78%
Yamhill	3.65	79	33%	4.30	51	75%	4.02	31	61%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4.20</b>	<b>3,111</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>1,867</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>4.38</b>	<b>1,369</b>	<b>77%</b>

**Note:** Percentages can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

**Table 19a. Reduction in Risk Processes for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Substance Abuse Experienced at:				Domestic Violence Experienced at:			
	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>2</sup>
Baker	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	2	0%
Benton	50%	33%	6	-34%	18%	9%	11	-50%
Clackamas	16%	16%	96	0%	2%	1%	150	-50%
Columbia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crook	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Curry	0%	50%	2	100%	0%	0%	2	0%
Deschutes	44%	44%	36	0%	6%	8%	53	33%
Douglas	38%	31%	16	-16%	6%	0%	32	-100%
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harney	40%	20%	5	-50%	0%	0%	5	0%
Hood River	25%	0%	4	-100%	6%	0%	17	-100%
Jackson	33%	22%	55	-33%	3%	5%	79	67%
Jefferson	0%	0%	4	0%	14%	0%	7	-100%
Klamath	0%	0%	6	0%	0%	0%	17	0%
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Includes total family with information at both time periods.

<sup>2</sup> In these analyses, negative percent change indicates a reduction in risk.

<sup>^</sup> Percentage change cannot be reliably calculated.

**Note:** Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

Site	Substance Abuse Experienced at:				Domestic Violence Experienced at:			
	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>2</sup>
Lane	15%	8%	48	-47%	4%	1%	115	-75%
Lincoln	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Linn	29%	18%	17	-38%	0%	0%	31	0%
Malheur	100%	100%	1	0%	0%	0%	1	0%
Marion	19%	19%	53	0%	5%	1%	84	-80%
Morrow	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	2	0%
Multnomah	6%	8%	53	33%	1%	0%	73	-100%
Polk	6%	18%	17	200%	7%	3%	29	-57%
Sherman	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	0%	3	0%
Tillamook	21%	7%	14	-67%	0%	0%	24	0%
Umatilla	14%	43%	7	207%	0%	17%	12	^
Union	30%	10%	10	-67%	0%	8%	12	^
Wallowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wasco	33%	33%	15	0%	14%	5%	21	-64%
Washington	33%	22%	72	-33%	9%	5%	117	-44%
Yamhill	36%	27%	10	-25%	0%	0%	23	0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>-17%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>-25%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes total family with information at both time periods.

<sup>2</sup> Risks include substance abuse, domestic violence and criminal activity.

^ Percentage change cannot be reliably calculated.

**Note:** Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

**Table 19b. Reduction in Risk Processes for Intensive Service Families (FY 2003-04)**

County	Criminal Activity Experienced at:				Risk Reduction <sup>2</sup> at:			
	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>3</sup>	at intake	at 12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>3</sup>
Baker	0%	0%	2	0%	0%	0%	2	0%
Benton	7%	0%	14	-100%	29%	21%	14	-28%
Clackamas	2%	1%	196	-50%	14%	11%	201	-21%
Columbia	20%	0%	5	-100%	20%	0%	5	-100%
Crook	33%	0%	3	-100%	1%	0%	3	-100%
Curry	0%	20%	5	^	0%	40%	5	^
Deschutes	3%	6%	69	100%	30%	36%	70	20%
Douglas	2%	2%	44	0%	21%	18%	44	-14%
Grant	20%	0%	5	-100%	40%	20%	5	-50%
Harney	0%	0%	6	0%	33%	17%	6	-48%
Hood River	4%	0%	26	-100%	8%	4%	26	-50%
Jackson	0%	1%	93	^	29%	19%	94	-34%
Jefferson	0%	14%	7	^	29%	14%	7	-52%
Klamath	22%	0%	18	-100%	44%	6%	18	-86%
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lane	1%	2%	167	100%	8%	9%	169	13%

<sup>1</sup> Includes total families with information at both time periods.

<sup>2</sup> Risks include substance abuse, domestic violence and criminal activity.

<sup>3</sup> In these analyses, negative percent change indicates a reduction in risk.

^ Percentage change cannot be reliably calculated.

County	Criminal Activity Experienced at:				Risk Reduction <sup>2</sup> at:			
	Intake	12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>3</sup>	at intake	at 12 mos.	Total families <sup>1</sup>	Percent Change <sup>3</sup>
Lincoln	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	-	1	-
Linn	3%	3%	33	0%	18%	12%	34	-33%
Malheur	0%	0%	1	0%	100%	100%	1	0%
Marion	2%	2%	125	0%	14%	10%	125	-29%
Morrow	0%	0%	3	0%	0%	0%	3	0%
Multnomah	1%	0%	102	-100%	9%	6%	103	-33%
Polk	5%	3%	39	-40%	13%	13%	39	0%
Sherman	0%	0%	2	0%	0%	33%	3	^
Tillamook	0%	0%	26	0%	14%	7%	28	-50%
Umatilla	6%	18%	17	200%	18%	41%	17	127%
Union	0%	0%	14	0%	29%	14%	14	-52%
Wallowa	-	-	23	-	-	-	-	-
Wasco	0%	0%	23	0%	35%	22%	23	-37%
Washington	4%	3%	159	-25%	21%	16%	161	-24%
Yamhill	7%	0%	28	-100%	21%	28%	29	33%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,233</b>	<b>-33%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1,250</b>	<b>-18%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes total families with information at both time periods.

<sup>2</sup> Risks include substance abuse, domestic violence and criminal activity.

<sup>3</sup> In these analyses, negative percent change indicates a reduction in risk.

^ Percentage change cannot be reliably calculated.

**Table 20. Children Aged 0-2 Free from Maltreatment (FY 2003-04)  
for Healthy Start and Non-Healthy Start**

Site	Healthy Start Children				Non-Healthy Start Children			
	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Total Healthy Start children, aged 0-2 yrs	% Free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000	Child abuse victims in 2003	Number children, 0-2 yrs not served by Healthy Start	% Free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000
Baker	^	^	^	^	24	453	94.7%	53
Benton	^	^	^	^	13	1,947	99.3%	7
Clackamas	14	1,811	99.2%	8	85	10,395	99.2%	8
Columbia	^	^	^	^	25	1,529	98.4%	16
Crook	^	^	^	^	12	654	98.2%	18
Curry	^	^	^	^	7	419	98.3%	17
Deschutes	15	1,609	99.1%	9	55	2,933	98.1%	19
Douglas	12	647	98.1%	19	81	2,585	96.9%	31
Grant	^	^	^	^	10	188	94.7%	53
Harney	^	^	^	^	5	208	97.6%	24
Hood River	^	^	^	^	22	617	96.4%	36
Jackson	26	1,471	98.2%	18	160	4,914	96.7%	33
Jefferson	0	37	100%	0	16	890	98.2%	18
Klamath	^	^	^	^	94	2,223	95.8%	42
Lake	^	^	^	^	6	188	96.8%	32
Lane	41	3,580	98.9%	11	285	7,253	96.1%	39
Lincoln	^	^	^	^	40	1,101	96.4%	36

**Note:** The Oregon State Office of Services to Children and Families (SCF) electronically checked records of 19,662 Healthy Start children born between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2003 for confirmed incidents of child maltreatment. **Total Healthy Start** children include **Basic Service** families and **Intensive Service** families. **Non-Healthy Start Children** are the total number of children born in each county between 2001 and 2003 according to the Oregon Health Department (OHD) birth statistics *minus* the number of children screened/served by Healthy Start. Similarly, child abuse victims among non-Healthy Start children are the total number of child maltreatment victims, aged 0 – 2 years, for each county *minus* the number of Healthy Start victims.

<sup>1</sup> This Healthy Start Status Report presents maltreatment counts based on a three-year period of time (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). The 2002-2003 Healthy Start maltreatment counts presented in this Healthy Start Status Report to those presented in the 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report. However, freedom from maltreatment percentages and incidence rates are comparable.

<sup>2</sup>Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

<sup>^</sup> Due to DHS restrictions on reporting data about small samples, these data are unavailable for this report.

Site	Healthy Start Children				Non-Healthy Start Children			
	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Total Healthy Start children, aged 0-2 yrs	% Free from maltreat-ment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000	Child abuse victims in 2003	Number children, 0-2 yrs not served by Healthy Start	% Free from maltreat-ment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000
Linn	7	830	99.2%	8	104	3,261	96.8%	32
Malheur	^	85	98.8%	12	19	1,323	98.6%	14
Marion	11	1,154	99.0%	10	330	12,466	97.4%	26
Morrow	^	^	^	^	12	472	97.5%	25
Multnomah	11	1,562	99.3%	7	459	26,368	98.3%	17
Polk	25	2,176	98.9%	11	0	122	100.0%	0
Sherman	0	7	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Tillamook	12	429	97.2%	28	12	304	96.1%	39
Umatilla	13	920	98.6%	14	48	2,319	97.9%	21
Union	^	^	^	^	31	687	95.5%	45
Wallowa	0	10	100%	0	0	172	100.0%	0
Wasco	14	323	95.7%	43	18	519	96.5%	35
Washington	7	1,038	99.3%	7	223	21,669	99.0%	10
Yamhill	^	^	^	^	53	3,179	98.3%	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>19,662</b>	<b>98.8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2,245</b>	<b>111,397</b>	<b>98.0%</b>	<b>20</b>

**Note:** The Oregon State Office of Services to Children and Families (SCF) electronically checked records of 19,662 Healthy Start children born between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2003 for confirmed incidents of child maltreatment. **Total Healthy Start** children include **Basic Service** families and **Intensive Service** families. **Non-Healthy Start Children** are the total number of children born in each county between 2001 and 2003 according to the Oregon Health Department (OHD) birth statistics *minus* the number of children screened/served by Healthy Start. Similarly, child abuse victims among non-Healthy Start children are the total number of child maltreatment victims, aged 0 – 2 years, for each county *minus* the number of Healthy Start victims.

<sup>1</sup>This Healthy Start Status Report presents maltreatment counts based on a three-year period of time (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). The 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report presented maltreatment counts based on a two-year time period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). Therefore, it is not appropriate to compare maltreatment counts presented in this Healthy Start Status Report to those presented in the 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report. However, freedom from maltreatment percentages and incidence rates are comparable.

<sup>2</sup>Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

^ Due to DHS restrictions on reporting data about small samples, these data are unavailable for this report.

**Table 21. Children Aged 0-2 Free from Maltreatment by Service Type (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Healthy Start Basic Service Children				Healthy Start Intensive Service Children			
	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Basic service children, 0-2 years	% free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Intensive Service Children, 0-2 yrs	% free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000
Baker	^	NA	NA	NA	^	^	^	^
Benton	0	359	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Clackamas	6	1183	99.5%	5	8	628	98.7%	13
Columbia	0	15	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Crook	0	9	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Curry	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Deschutes	6	1,398	99.6%	4	9	211	95.7%	43
Douglas	^	^	^	^	8	221	96.4%	36
Grant	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Harney	0	2	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Hood River	0	229	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Jackson	11	891	98.8%	12	15	580	97.4%	26
Jefferson	0	5	100%	0	0	32	100%	0
Klamath	0	120	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Lake	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Lane	15	2,580	99.4%	6	26	1,000	97.4%	26
Lincoln	0	68	100%	0	^	^	^	^

**Note:** The Oregon State Office Services to Children and Families (SCF) electronically checked records of 19,662 Healthy Start children born between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2003 for confirmed incidents of child maltreatment. **Basic Service** include families who screened negative on the New Baby Questionnaire (NBQ); families who screened positive on the NBQ, but had a score of less than 25 on the Kempe Family Stress Inventory (KFSI), or no further assessment was conducted (due to full caseloads or parental decline of the assessment). Basic service families did not receive on-going home visits. **Intensive Service** include those families who screened positive on the New Baby Questionnaire and who scored 25 or higher on the Kempe (KFSI). Intensive service families accepted and received on-going home visits.

<sup>1</sup> This Healthy Start Status Report presents maltreatment counts based on a three-year period of time (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). The 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report presented maltreatment counts based on a two-year time period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). Therefore, it is not appropriate to compare maltreatment counts presented in this Healthy Start Status Report to those presented in the 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report. However, freedom from maltreatment percentages and incidence rates are comparable.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.



Site	Healthy Start Basic Service Children				Healthy Start Intensive Service Children			
	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Basic service children, 0-2 years	% free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000	Child abuse victims in 2003 <sup>1</sup>	Intensive Service Children, 0-2 yrs	% free from maltreatment <sup>2</sup>	Incidence rate per 1,000
Linn	5	715	99.3%	7	^	^	^	^
Malheur	^	^	^	^	0	33	100%	0
Marion	^	^	^	^	10	497	98.0%	20
Morrow	0	17	100%	0	^	^	^	^
Multnomah	9	1,024	99.1%	9	^	^	^	^
Polk	18	1,841	99.0%	10	7	335	97.9%	21
Sherman	^	^	^	^	0	7	100%	0
Tillamook	7	344	98.0%	20	5	85	94.1%	59
Umatilla	10	798	98.7%	13	^	^	^	^
Union	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Wallowa	0	5	100%	0	0	5	100%	0
Wasco	6	235	97.4%	26	8	88	90.9%	91
Washingto	^	^	^	^	6	602	99.0%	10
Yamhill	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>13,848</b>	<b>99.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>5,814</b>	<b>97.6%</b>	<b>24</b>

**Note:** The Oregon State Office Services to Children and Families (SCF) electronically checked records of 19,662 Healthy Start children born between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2003 for confirmed incidents of child maltreatment. **Basic Service** include families who screened negative on the New Baby Questionnaire (NBQ); families who screened positive on the NBQ, but had a score of less than 25 on the Kempe Family Stress Inventory (KFSI), or no further assessment was conducted (due to full caseloads or parental decline of the assessment). Basic service families did not receive on-going home visits. **Intensive Service** include those families who screened positive on the New Baby Questionnaire and who scored 25 or higher on the Kempe (KFSI). Intensive service families accepted and received on-going home visits.

<sup>1</sup> This Healthy Start Status Report presents maltreatment counts based on a three-year period of time (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). The 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report presented maltreatment counts based on a two-year time period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2003). Therefore, it is not appropriate to compare maltreatment counts presented in this Healthy Start Status Report to those presented in the 2002-2003 Healthy Start Status Report. However, freedom from maltreatment percentages and incidence rates are comparable.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

^ Due to DHS restrictions on reporting data about small samples, these data are unavailable for this report.

**Table 22. Likelihood of Child Maltreatment Based on Number of Risks<sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

	<b>Parameter Estimate</b>	<b>Odds of Child Victimization</b>
<b>Any one risk vs. none</b> (Sample = 3,124) <sup>2</sup>	B= -.011	.99
<b>Any two risks vs. none</b> (Sample = 2,488)	B=.219	1.25 <sup>3</sup>
<b>Any three risks vs. none</b> (Sample = 2,010)	B=.620	1.86*
<b>Any four risks vs. none</b> (Sample = 1,511)	B=.875	2.40**
<b>Any five risks vs. none</b> (Sample = 992)	B=1.11	3.04**
<b>Any six or more risks vs. none</b> (Sample = 1,129)	B=1.60	4.97**

---

\* p < .01; \*\*p < .001

---

**Note:** A logistic regression model was used to model the effects of the total number of risk characteristics shown by each family on the likelihood of child maltreatment for 19,662 children aged 0 – 2 years during 2003, for which there was child victimization information.

<sup>1</sup> The number of risks was captured by the Oregon Children's Plan assessment or by the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>2</sup> Sample sizes reflect the number of families within each risk grouping (e.g., 3,124 families had only one risk factor).

<sup>3</sup> Odds ratios show the likelihood of child maltreatment occurrence for families with risk characteristics in comparison to families with no risk characteristics. For example, among families screened by Oregon Healthy Start, children whose families have three risks at the time of birth are 1.86 times more likely to have been confirmed victims of child maltreatment than children whose families had no risks.

**Table 23. Child Maltreatment Victims by Stress Level (FY 2003-04)**

	2001			2002			2003		
	Number (Percent)	No Abuse	Victims	Number (Percent)	No Abuse	Victims	Number (Percent)	No Abuse	Victims
<b>Kempe Family Stress Assessment</b>									
Assessed at low stress	379 (13%)	100.0%	0/1,000	667 (18%)	99.0%	10/1,000	986 (19%)	99.4%	6/1000
Assessed at moderate stress	1,285 (45%)	98.8%	12/1,000	1,554 (43%)	99.0%	10/1,000	2,207 (44%)	98.7%	13/1000
Assessed at high stress	1,116 (39%)	96.0%	40/1,000	1,247 (35%)	96.6%	34/1,000	1,690 (34%)	96.0%	40/1000
Assessed at severe stress	99 (3%)	89.2%	108/1,000	129 (4%)	92.4%	78/1000	150 (3%)	92.6%	74/1000
<b>Total higher risk families interviewed</b>	2,879	97.5%	25/1,000	3,597	97.9%	27/1,000	5,033	97.7%	23/1000

**Note:** Statistics describe confirmed cases of child maltreatment for Healthy Start children aged 0 – 2 years where families have both screening and assessment information. First, families are screened using the New Baby Questionnaire. Families with positive screens are interviewed by trained assessment workers using the Kempe Family Stress Assessment.

Kempe Family Stress Assessments are rated on a scale of 0 – 100. Low family stress is rated as 0 - 20, moderate family stress as 25 - 35, high family stress as 40 – 60, and severe family stress as 65 or higher. Families with moderate to higher levels of stress (25 or higher) are offered Healthy Start's intensive visiting services.

**Table 24. Prenatal Care for Families with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Early Comprehensive Prenatal Care		Intensive Service Families with New Pregnancy			
	Intensive Service families with information on prenatal care	Early, comprehensive prenatal care for initial pregnancy <sup>1</sup>	Intensive Service families with new pregnancy	Early prenatal care for initial pregnancy	Early prenatal care for new pregnancy	Percent change <sup>2</sup>
Baker	3	0%	0	NA	NA	NA
Benton	50	92%	10	90%	100%	11%
Clackamas	351	75%	152	72%	75%	4%
Columbia	16	88%	2	100%	100%	0%
Crook	12	50%	0	NA	NA	NA
Curry	13	85%	4	100%	100%	0%
Deschutes	117	79%	45	89%	82%	-8%
Douglas	86	80%	7	100%	86%	-14%
Grant	12	75%	4	100%	100%	0%
Harney	6	100%	2	100%	100%	0%
Hood River	50	82%	21	76%	95%	25%
Jackson	277	82%	19	86%	94%	9%
Jefferson	5	100%	0	NA	NA	NA
Klamath	39	72%	15	67%	80%	19%
Lake	13	92%	0	0%	0%	0%

<sup>1</sup>Information on early, comprehensive prenatal care for initial pregnancy is for Intensive Service families with screening information on the OCP or NBQ.

<sup>2</sup>Percent change measures the magnitude of the change and refers to the percentage increase or decrease between the two values. Percent change is calculated by subtracting the first value from the second value. The difference is then divided by the first value to determine what percent of the starting point the difference is.

**Note:** Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

Site	Early Comprehensive Prenatal Care		Intensive Service Families with New Pregnancy			Percent change <sup>2</sup>
	Intensive Service families with information on prenatal care	Early, comprehensive prenatal care for initial pregnancy <sup>1</sup>	Intensive Service families with new pregnancy	Early prenatal care for initial pregnancy	Early prenatal care for new pregnancy	
Lane	457	72%	116	71%	84%	18%
Lincoln	23	70%	0	NA	NA	NA
Linn	42	86%	17	88%	82%	-7%
Malheur	26	81%	0	NA	NA	NA
Marion	229	69%	46	61%	78%	28%
Morrow	19	79%	2	50%	100%	100%
Multnomah	556	77%	64	77%	89%	16%
Polk	136	77%	17	88%	88%	0%
Sherman	7	100%	1	100%	0%	-100%
Tillamook	31	58%	7	57%	86%	51%
Umatilla	46	72%	2	50%	100%	100%
Union	5	60%	1	50%	100%	100%
Wallowa	4	75%	0	NA	NA	NA
Wasco	53	87%	17	88%	82%	-79%
Washington	289	68%	64	66%	89%	35%
Yamhill	64	78%	20	70%	90%	29%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,037</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>685</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>12%</b>

<sup>1</sup>Information on early, comprehensive prenatal care for initial pregnancy is for Intensive Service families with screening information on the OCP or NBQ.

<sup>2</sup>Percent change measures the magnitude of the change and refers to the percentage increase or decrease between the two values. Percent change is calculated by subtracting the first value from the second value. The difference is then divided by the first value to determine what percent of the starting point the difference is.

**Note:** Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

**Table 25. Health Care<sup>1</sup> for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Adequacy of Healthcare		Health and Nutrition Status			Immunizations			Fully immunized at age 2	Children with immunization info. at age 2
	Child has primary health care provider	Regular, well-child checkups	Good or better health	Good or better nutrition	No passive smoke exposure	Immunizations up-to-date	Some immunizations, but not up-to-date	Number of children		
Baker	100%	0%	80%	100%	56%	80%	20%	5	-	-
Benton	100%	94%	94%	90%	78%	94%	7%	31	<b>80%</b>	<b>5</b>
Clackamas	92%	91%	91%	88%	65%	89%	10%	298	<b>88%</b>	<b>98</b>
Columbia	100%	83%	92%	100%	63%	100%	0%	13	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>
Crook	96%	100%	91%	96%	79%	96%	5%	22	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>
Curry	100%	75%	75%	75%	31%	75%	25%	8	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>
Deschutes	99%	97%	93%	86%	49%	91%	7%	110	<b>91%</b>	<b>34</b>
Douglas	94%	86%	75%	72%	50%	85%	14%	72	<b>88%</b>	<b>32</b>
Grant	100%	83%	100%	100%	73%	100%	0%	6	-	-
Harney	100%	93%	93%	93%	33%	73%	27%	15	<b>100%</b>	<b>7</b>
Hood River	100%	90%	98%	90%	80%	95%	3%	40	<b>95%</b>	<b>19</b>
Jackson	98%	88%	84%	85%	61%	95%	4%	180	<b>94%</b>	<b>36</b>
Jefferson	84%	74%	100%	95%	71%	79%	21%	19	<b>67%</b>	<b>3</b>
Klamath	96%	100%	92%	96%	50%	100%	0%	24	<b>100%</b>	<b>7</b>
Lake	100%	100%	100%	100%	44%	100%	0%	4	-	-
Lane	98%	97%	88%	85%	65%	97%	2%	271	<b>97%</b>	<b>93</b>

<sup>1</sup>Health outcomes are tracked by home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals in the Family Update. Outcome information is calculated using the most recent report for each child.

Site	Adequacy of Healthcare		Health and Nutrition Status			Immunizations			Fully immunized at age 2	Children with immunization info. at age 2
	Child has primary health care provider	Regular, well-child checkups	Good or better health	Good or better nutrition	No passive smoke exposure	Immunizations up-to-date	Some immunizations, but not up-to-date	Number of children		
Lincoln	100%	100%	100%	93%	48%	94%	0%	16	-	-
Linn	100%	90%	94%	83%	75%	94%	6%	48	86%	14
Malheur	100%	100%	95%	100%	71%	100%	0%	19	100%	2
Marion	97%	90%	91%	84%	69%	94%	6%	219	95%	102
Morrow	100%	63%	94%	88%	80%	88%	13%	16	100%	1
Multnomah	96%	96%	98%	93%	78%	94%	5%	247	100%	4
Polk	100%	78%	84%	74%	49%	96%	4%	68	96%	25
Sherman	100%	83%	83%	83%	43%	80%	20%	5	100%	1
Tillamook	98%	98%	93%	86%	40%	95%	5%	42	95%	19
Umatilla	94%	82%	65%	62%	54%	94%	3%	34	100%	5
Union	100%	100%	94%	97%	64%	100%	0%	31	100%	3
Wallowa	100%	100%	67%	33%	75%	100%	0%	3	100%	2
Wasco	95%	80%	97%	95%	21%	85%	15%	39	87%	15
Washington	95%	93%	94%	95%	76%	93%	6%	287	94%	68
Yamhill	95%	76%	87%	86%	51%	80%	20%	54	50%	14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>2,246</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>612</b>

<sup>1</sup>Health outcomes are tracked by home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals in the Family Update. Outcome information is calculated using the most recent report for each child.

**Table 26a. Utilization of Health Care Resources for Families with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Parent's Health Insurance Status				Number of families with insurance information
	Private insurance	Medicaid/OHP	Public insurance	No insurance	
Baker	0%	100%	0%	0%	11
Benton	10%	87%	2%	2%	52
Clackamas	29%	65%	0%	6%	375
Columbia	16%	80%	0%	4%	25
Crook	14%	78%	0%	8%	37
Curry	7%	93%	0%	0%	15
Deschutes	10%	87%	0%	3%	140
Douglas	18%	78%	2%	3%	120
Grant	31%	69%	0%	0%	13
Harney	41%	53%	6%	0%	17
Hood River	12%	85%	2%	2%	59
Jackson	11%	85%	1%	4%	340
Jefferson	23%	58%	0%	19%	26
Klamath	16%	84%	0%	0%	43
Lake	6%	88%	0%	6%	17
Lane	14%	83%	<1%	3%	481
Lincoln	6%	91%	3%	0%	33
Linn	2%	86%	5%	7%	58
Malheur	3%	90%	0%	7%	29



Site	Parent's Health Insurance Status				Number of families with insurance information
	Private insurance	Medicaid/OHP	Public insurance	No insurance	
Marion	15%	79%	1%	6%	358
Morrow	11%	79%	0%	11%	28
Multnomah	23%	66%	<1%	11%	614
Polk	21%	73%	1%	5%	148
Sherman	0%	100%	0%	0%	8
Tillamook	5%	89%	0%	7%	62
Umatilla	13%	81%	0%	6%	86
Union	35%	63%	0%	2%	49
Wallowa	0%	83%	17%	0%	6
Wasco	4%	91%	0%	5%	56
Washington	12%	80%	1%	7%	395
Yamhill	11%	81%	1%	7%	88
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3,789</b>

**Table 26b. Utilization of Health Care Resources for Families with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Utilization of Healthcare		Family Uses Emergency Services for Routine Care			
	Parent(s) linked to primary health care provider	Number of families with primary healthcare provider information	Frequently	Once or twice	No utilization for routine care	Number of families with emergency services for routine care
Baker	100%	5	0%	40%	60%	5
Benton	66%	32	0%	32%	68%	31
Clackamas	74%	304	1%	17%	82%	297
Columbia	64%	14	0%	15%	85%	13
Crook	91%	22	0%	50%	50%	22
Curry	88%	8	0%	25%	75%	8
Deschutes	78%	111	2%	22%	76%	110
Douglas	64%	78	8%	29%	63%	72
Grant	57%	7	17%	0%	83%	6
Harney	100%	15	0%	53%	47%	15
Hood River	93%	42	0%	20%	80%	40
Jackson	70%	205	3%	23%	74%	180
Jefferson	48%	23	0%	0%	100%	19
Klamath	63%	27	8%	33%	58%	24
Lake	75%	4	0%	50%	50%	4
Lane	70%	283	4%	24%	73%	271
Lincoln	56%	16	0%	19%	81%	16
Linn	44%	48	2%	23%	75%	48

Site	Utilization of Healthcare		Family Uses Emergency Services for Routine Care			
	Parent(s) linked to primary health care provider	Number of families with primary healthcare provider information	Frequently	Once or twice	No utilization for routine care	Number of families with emergency services for routine care
Malheur	68%	19	0%	5%	95%	19
Marion	65%	233	4%	22%	75%	220
Morrow	83%	18	0%	0%	100%	16
Multnomah	65%	251	2%	17%	81%	252
Polk	78%	71	2%	22%	76%	67
Sherman	50%	6	0%	0%	100%	4
Tillamook	91%	43	0%	33%	68%	40
Umatilla	64%	42	18%	27%	56%	34
Union	68%	34	0%	29%	71%	31
Wallowa	100%	3	33%	33%	33%	3
Wasco	59%	39	3%	21%	77%	39
Washington	52%	310	2%	26%	72%	281
Yamhill	68%	65	7%	20%	73%	55
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>2,378</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>2,242</b>

**Table 27. Adequacy of Essential Resources<sup>1</sup> for Intensive Service Families Receiving 6 Months of Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	WIC			Medicaid/OHP			Education Assistance			Drug/Alcohol Counseling			Mental Health Counseling		
	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>
Baker	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benton	2	0	-100%	-	-	-	8	5	-38%	1	^	NA	3	0	-100%
Clackamas	9	3	-67%	17	5	-71%	72	42	-42%	18	9	-50%	55	41	-25%
Columbia	-	-	-	1	0	-100%	1	0	-100%	-	-	-	1	0	-100%
Crook	-	-	-	1	1	0%	2	0	-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Curry	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-33%	-	-	-	1	0	-100%
Deschutes	-	-	-	3	0	-100%	27	14	-48%	13	9	-31%	19	11	-42%
Douglas	1	0	-100%	4	1	-75%	24	12	-50%	7	4	-43%	16	7	-56%
Grant	1	0	-100%	-	-	-	2	1	-50%	1	*	NA	1	0	-100%
Harney	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-100%	2	1	-50%	1	1	0%
Hood River	-	-	-	3	2	-33%	13	11	-15%	4	0	-100%	4	1	-75%
Jackson	7	0	-100%	5	0	-100%	56	39	-30%	23	13	-43%	37	19	-49%
Jefferson	-	-	-	3	1	-67%	1	1	0%	1	1	0%	1	0	-100%
Klamath	-	-	-	2	0	-100%	5	1	-80%	3	1	-67%	5	1	-80%
Lake	-	-	-	8	0	-100%	1	1	0%	1	^	NA	1	^	NA
Lane	12	5	-58%	-	-	-	44	20	-55%	9	2	-78%	24	10	-58%
Lincoln	-	-	-	1	0	-100%	7	4	-43%	1	0	-100%	3	1	-67%

<sup>1</sup> Parents rate the extent to which family needs are met for various resources using 5-point scale ranging from 1=never, 2=seldom, 3=sometimes, 4=usually and 5=always.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages can be misleading when sample size is small

^Families reporting need at intake had missing data at 6-month follow up.

- Counties with dashes in both time periods indicate that no family reported needing the resource at intake.

Site	WIC			Medicaid/OHP			Education Assistance			Drug/Alcohol Counseling			Mental Health Counseling		
	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>	Intake	6 months	Percentage change <sup>2</sup>
Linn	-	-	-	2	0	-100%	17	10	-41%	-	-	-	4	2	-50%
Malheur	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	-100%	-	-	-	1	0	-100%
Marion	3	0	-100%	11	3	-73%	64	38	-41%	6	1	-83%	19	12	-37%
Morrow	-	-	-	1	0	-100%	1	1	0%	-	-	-	1	0	-100%
Multnomah	20	0	-100%	15	3	-80%	61	30	-51%	2	0	-100%	10	5	-50%
Polk	1	0	-100%	1	0	-100%	23	15	-35%	1	0	-100%	6	1	-83%
Sherman	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tillamook	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	7	-13%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Umatilla	-	-	-	2	0	-100%	7	3	-57%	-	-	-	3	2	-33%
Union	1	0	-100%	-	-	-	3	1	-67%	1	0	-100%	3	1	-67%
Wallowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wasco	2	0	-100%	-	-	-	9	5	-44%	3	2	-33%	2	1	-50%
Washington	14	1	-93%	20	5	-75%	70	47	33%	12	1	-92%	22	6	-73%
Yamhill	4	1	-75%	5	2	-60%	16	7	-56%	2	1	-50%	9	4	-56%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-87%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>-78%</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>-42%</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>-59%</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>-50%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Parents rate the extent to which family needs are met for various resources using 5-point scale ranging from 1=never, 2=seldom, 3=sometimes, 4=usually and 5=always.

<sup>2</sup> Percentages can be misleading when sample size is small

^ Families reporting need at intake had missing data at 6 month follow up.

- Counties with dashes in both time periods indicate that no family reported needing the resource at intake.

**Table 28a. HOME (Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment ) Assessment Results<sup>1</sup> (FY 2003-04)**

Site	HOME <sup>2</sup>		Change in HOME scores <sup>3</sup>		
	Good or higher at 12 Months	Good or higher at 24 Months	Mean at 12 months	Mean at 24 months	Number w/ scores at both times
Baker	100% (1)	-	-	-	-
Benton	62% (13)	60% (5)	39.5	41.5	2
Clackamas	73% (169)	72% (68)	39.1	39.1	53
Columbia	60% (5)	100% (1)	41.0	44.0	1
Crook	33% (6)	-	-	-	-
Curry	86% (7)	100% (1)	38.0	39.0	1
Deschutes	85% (66)	81% (31)	39.8	41.0	23
Douglas	79% (47)	69% (26)	37.6	39.2	20
Grant	33% (3)	-	-	-	-
Harney	50% (10)	40% (5)	35.7	39.3	3
Hood River	79% (24)	94% (18)	39.9	41.8	14
Jackson	83% (93)	87% (23)	40.7	40.7	19
Jefferson	83% (6)	67% (3)	-	-	-
Klamath	83% (18)	80% (5)	40.8	38.2	5
Lake	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Family Effectiveness as Child's First teacher is measured by the Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted when the child is 12 months of age and again at 24 months. Percentages for "good or higher" refer to families whose total scores on the HOME are well above average, falling at the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher for the normative population. <sup>2</sup>Percentages represent different groups of people at 12 and 24 months. All families who had a completed the HOME at either time point are included.

<sup>3</sup>Change scores as calculated only for "matched" cases, that is both a 12-month and a 24-month HOME score are present for the same family.

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents sample size. Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

Site	HOME <sup>2</sup>		Change in HOME scores <sup>3</sup>		
	Good or higher at 12 Months	Good or higher at 24 Months	Mean at 12 months	Mean at 24 months	Number w/ scores at both times
Lane	76% (159)	77% (68)	39.1	39.6	53
Lincoln	100% (1)	-	-	-	-
Linn	75% (28)	100% (12)	39.3	40.4	9
Malheur	100% (6)	-	-	-	-
Marion	77% (115)	84% (74)	39.6	40.0	57
Morrow	67% (3)	100% (1)	38.0	37.0	1
Multnomah	74% (92)	67% (3)	34.5	37.5	2
Polk	92% (39)	78% (23)	40.6	40.2	16
Sherman	100% (1)	100% (1)	-	-	-
Tillamook	63% (27)	71% (14)	38.0	39.3	14
Umatilla	33% (12)	33% (6)	34.3	32.3	3
Union	82% (17)	100% (2)	35.5	41.0	2
Wallowa	-	100% (1)	-	-	-
Wasco	91% (21)	100% (11)	42.0	41.3	7
Washington	59% (147)	75% (47)	35.6	38.0	28
Yamhill	79% (19)	78% (9)	41.0	38.0	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>74% (1,155)</b>	<b>78% (458)</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>39.7</b>	<b>334</b>

<sup>1</sup>Family Effectiveness as Child's First teacher is measured by the Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted when the child is 12 months of age and again at 24 months. Percentages for "good or higher" refer to families whose total scores on the HOME are well above average, falling at the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher for the normative population. <sup>2</sup>Percentages represent different groups of people at 12 and 24 months. All families who had a completed the HOME at either time point are included.

<sup>3</sup>Change scores as calculated only for "matched" cases, that is both a 12-month and a 24-month HOME score are present for families

**Note:** Number in parenthesis represents sample size. Percentages are affected by sample size and can be misleading when sample sizes are small.

**Table 28b. Nurturing and Supportive Environments for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Selected HOME Sub-scales					
	Parent Responsivity and Affection		Availability of Toys/Learning		Parent Involvement in Child Learning	
	Good or higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months	Good or Higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months	Good or higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months
Baker	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-
Benton	53%	60%	54%	40%	27%	60%
Clackamas	76%	76%	76%	79%	77%	74%
Columbia	80%	100%	60%	100%	60%	100%
Crook	67%	-	71%	-	100%	-
Curry	57%	100%	86%	100%	71%	0%
Deschutes	78%	90%	83%	71%	81%	77%
Douglas	75%	85%	68%	81%	71%	78%
Grant	50%	-	40%	-	60%	-
Harney	70%	40%	30%	40%	30%	40%
Hood River	82%	89%	28%	67%	61%	94%
Jackson	68%	91%	86%	92%	89%	84%
Jefferson	86%	33%	100%	100%	86%	100%
Klamath	72%	80%	83%	60%	78%	80%
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lane	74%	76%	69%	86%	70%	68%
Lincoln	100%	-	100%	-	100%	-



Site	Selected HOME Sub-scales					
	Parent Responsivity and Affection		Availability of Toys/Learning		Parent Involvement in Child Learning	
	Good or higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months	Good or Higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months	Good or higher at 12 months	Good or higher at 24 months
Linn	75%	92%	59%	75%	69%	92%
Malheur	57%	-	86%	-	100%	-
Marion	77%	82%	64%	73%	75%	79%
Morrow	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Multnomah	81%	100%	69%	67%	81%	100%
Polk	90%	78%	88%	91%	88%	70%
Sherman	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Tillamook	59%	73%	82%	87%	82%	79%
Umatilla	69%	33%	53%	50%	38%	33%
Union	88%	100%	65%	67%	65%	100%
Wallowa	-	100%	-	100%	-	100%
Wasco	95%	91%	81%	82%	95%	100%
Washington	73%	81%	54%	62%	62%	63%
Yamhill	85%	67%	71%	89%	75%	78%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>75%</b>

**Table 29a. Family Literacy Activities for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Reads 3 Times/Week			Has 3 Books			Sample size of families with both 12 and 24 month data	Sample size for families with 12 month data only <sup>1</sup>
	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change		
Baker	100%	-	^	100%	-	^	-	1
Benton	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	2	NA
Clackamas	89%	95%	7%	100%	100%	0%	60	NA
Columbia	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
Crook	57%	-	^	100%	-	^	-	7
Curry	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
Deschutes	78%	91%	17%	100%	100%	0%	25	NA
Douglas	86%	91%	6%	96%	100%	4%	22	NA
Grant	80%	-	^	80%	-	^	-	5
Harney	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	3	NA
Hood River	93%	100%	8%	93%	100%	8%	16	NA
Jackson	81%	86%	6%	100%	100%	0%	22	NA
Jefferson	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
Klamath	100%	80%	-20%	100%	100%	0%	5	NA
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lane	80%	90%	13%	100%	98%	-2%	60	NA
Lincoln	100%	-	^	100%	-	^	-	1

<sup>^</sup> No families had data at 24 months for doing comparisons. Counties without 24-month comparisons are not included in the state total.

<sup>1</sup>Insufficient 24-month data for comparison.

Site	Reads 3 Times/Week			Has 3 Books			Sample size of families with both 12 and 24 month data	Sample size for families with 12 month data only <sup>1</sup>
	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change		
Linn	78%	100%	28%	100%	100%	0%	9	NA
Malheur	100%	-	^	100%	-	^	-	7
Marion	100%	91%	6%	97%	100%	3%	72	NA
Morrow	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
Multnomah	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	2	NA
Polk	75%	77%	3%	94%	100%	6%	17	NA
Sherman	100%	-	^	100%	NA	^	2	1
Tillamook	64%	79%	23%	100%	100%	0%	14	NA
Umatilla	0%	25%	25%	100%	100%	0%	4	NA
Union	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	3	NA
Wallowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wasco	71%	71%	0%	100%	100%	0%	7	NA
Washington	70%	85%	21%	100%	100%	0%	34	NA
Yamhill	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>22</b>

<sup>^</sup> No families had data at 24 months for doing comparisons. Counties without 24-month comparisons are not included in the state total.

<sup>1</sup>Insufficient 24-month data for comparison.

**Table 29b. Family Literacy Activities for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	10 Books in House			Sample size of families with both 12 and 24 month data	Sample size for counties with 12 month data only <sup>1</sup>
	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change		
Baker	0%	-	^	-	1
Benton	50%	100%	100%	2	NA
Clackamas	66%	69%	5%	60	NA
Columbia	0%	100%	100%	1	NA
Crook	100%	-	^	-	7
Curry	0%	100%	100%	1	NA
Deschutes	52%	65%	25%	25	NA
Douglas	55%	73%	25%	22	NA
Grant	40%	-	^	-	5
Harney	33%	100%	200%	3	NA
Hood River	60%	87%	45%	16	NA
Jackson	48%	57%	19%	22	NA
Jefferson	100%	100%	0%	1	NA
Klamath	60%	80%	33%	5	NA
Lake	-	-	-	-	0
Lane	59%	70%	19%	60	NA

^ Sample size at 24 months was not adequate to do comparisons. Counties without 24-month comparisons are not included in the state total.

<sup>1</sup>Insufficient 24-month data for comparison

Site	10 Books in House			Sample size of families with both 12 and 24 month data	Sample size for counties with 12 month data only <sup>1</sup>
	At 12 months	At 24 months	Percent Change		
Lincoln	100%	-	^	-	1
Linn	44%	56%	27%	9	NA
Malheur	83%	-	^	-	7
Marion	41%	55%	34%	72	NA
Morrow	100%	0%	100%	1	NA
Multnomah	100%	50%	50%	2	NA
Polk	41%	18%	56%	17	NA
Sherman	67%	-	^	2	1
Tillamook	29%	50%	72%	14	NA
Umatilla	0%	0%	0%	4	NA
Union	67%	50%	25%	3	NA
Wallowa	-	-	-	-	0
Wasco	57%	86%	51%	7	NA
Washington	32%	38%	-39%	34	NA
Yamhill	0%	0%	0%	1	NA
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>20%</b>	384	22

^ Sample size at 24 months was not adequate to do comparisons. Counties without 24-month comparisons are not included in the state total.

<sup>1</sup>Insufficient 24-month data for comparison

**Table 30. Child Growth and Development<sup>1</sup> for Children with Intensive Service (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Normal Growth & Development <sup>2</sup>		Normal Child Development by Age <sup>3</sup>			Early Intervention	
	Percent with normal development at oldest screening age	Number of children with at least one developmental screening	12 months	24 months	36 months	Referred to services	Number of children with developmental disabilities
Baker	100%	3	100% (3)	NA	NA	NA	0
Benton	87%	31	86% (14)	80% (5)	0% (1)	0	DK
Clackamas	83%	295	93% (152)	91% (68)	76% (37)	90%	20
Columbia	92%	13	80% (5)	NA	NA	0	DK
Crook	95%	21	100% (5)	NA	100% (1)	NA	0
Curry	100%	8	100% (5)	0% (1)	NA	NA	0
Deschutes	87%	109	94% (63)	93% (29)	100% (7)	100%	7
Douglas	89%	53	81% (21)	83% (6)	100% (2)	100%	2
Grant	100%	6	100% (3)	NA	-	NA	0
Harney	100%	14	100% (8)	100% (3)	100% (3)	NA	0
Hood River	90%	40	92% (26)	94% (16)	92% (12)	100%	3
Jackson	87%	179	91% (86)	92% (24)	100% (6)	100%	5
Jefferson	79%	19	86% (7)	100% (2)	NA	100%	2
Klamath	84%	25	92% (12)	0% (1)	NA	0	DK
Lake	100%	3	NA	NA	NA	0	NA

<sup>1</sup>Normal development and early intervention are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

<sup>2</sup>Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, and 42 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire. Most recent screening data is reported on the Family Update.

<sup>3</sup>Parentheses indicate the number screened at each age level.

DK: Don't know due to unreported data.

Site	Normal Growth & Development <sup>2</sup>		Normal Child Development by Age <sup>3</sup>			Early Intervention	
	Percent with normal development at oldest screening age	Number of children with at least one developmental screening	12 months	24 months	36 months	Referred to services	Number of children with developmental disabilities
Lane	86%	269	90% (159)	84% (73)	85% (27)	94%	16
Lincoln	87%	15	NA	NA	NA	0	DK
Linn	90%	48	100% (27)	46% (11)	100% (4)	100%	2
Malheur	100%	18	100% (7)	NA	NA	NA	0
Marion	87%	218	96% (115)	90% (73)	86% (29)	83%	12
Morrow	93%	15	100% (4)	100% (1)	NA	0	DK
Multnomah	95%	239	99% (88)	75% (4)	100% (2)	100%	9
Polk	87%	67	100% (27)	82% (17)	60% (5)	100%	4
Sherman	80%	5	67% (3)	100% (1)	100% (1)	100%	1
Tillamook	85%	33	86% (14)	44% (9)	25% (4)	100%	3
Umatilla	85%	33	90% (19)	75% (4)	NA	100%	1
Union	93%	30	93% (15)	100% (2)	NA	0	DK
Wallowa	50%	2	NA	100% (1)	NA	100%	1
Wasco	89%	37	100% (20)	100% (9)	67% (3)	100%	1
Washington	89%	284	92% (152)	98% (52)	75% (8)	100%	7
Yamhill	91%	55	100% (20)	89% (9)	100% (2)	100%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>2,187</b>	<b>93% (1,080)</b>	<b>88% (421)</b>	<b>83% (154)</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>98</b>

<sup>1</sup>Normal development and early intervention are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

<sup>2</sup>Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, and 42 months of age using the Ages and Stages. Questionnaire. Most recent screening data is reported on the Family Update.

<sup>3</sup>Parentheses indicate the number screened at each age level.

DK: Don't know due to unreported data.

**Table 31a. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services:  
Parenting Support (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Information on parenting and child growth and development			Understanding your child			Positive ways to teach or discipline your child		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size
Baker	100%	0%	1	100%	0%	1	100%	0%	1
Benton	92%	8%	24	90%	10%	29	89%	11%	27
Clackamas	86%	14%	225	87%	12%	254	85%	14%	242
Columbia	89%	11%	9	89%	11%	9	63%	38%	8
Crook	100%	0%	21	95%	5%	20	90%	11%	19
Curry	88%	13%	8	75%	25%	8	86%	14%	7
Deschutes	95%	3%	78	94%	5%	94	95%	4%	93
Douglas	91%	9%	33	88%	12%	50	90%	8%	48
Grant	100%	0%	6	100%	0%	6	100%	0%	5
Harney	100%	0%	4	88%	13%	8	75%	25%	8
Hood River	91%	6%	35	94%	6%	34	97%	3%	33
Jackson	89%	11%	114	91%	9%	152	93%	7%	150
Jefferson	88%	6%	17	91%	10%	21	72%	28%	18
Klamath	95%	5%	21	95%	5%	21	86%	14%	21
Lake	67%	33%	3	100%	0%	3	100%	0%	2



Site	Information on parenting and child growth and development			Understanding your child			Positive ways to teach or discipline your child		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size
Lane	91%	8%	195	92%	8%	225	87%	13%	221
Lincoln	83%	8%	12	83%	17%	12	75%	25%	12
Linn	97%	3%	29	94%	6%	36	94%	6%	34
Malheur	100%	0%	4	100%	0%	5	100%	0%	5
Marion	97%	3%	153	92%	7%	198	87%	11%	193
Morrow	92%	8%	12	92%	8%	13	80%	10%	10
Multnomah	90%	10%	228	88%	11%	225	87%	12%	215
Polk	96%	4%	51	97%	3%	61	92%	7%	62
Sherman	60%	20%	5	80%	20%	5	80%	20%	5
Tillamook	96%	4%	28	87%	14%	37	86%	14%	35
Umatilla	90%	10%	20	92%	8%	26	88%	12%	25
Union	93%	7%	29	83%	17%	29	92%	8%	26
Wallowa	100%	0%	2	100%	0%	2	100%	0%	2
Wasco	80%	20%	25	91%	9%	33	85%	15%	33
Washington	86%	12%	192	88%	11%	233	87%	12%	222
Yamhill	81%	19%	37	87%	13%	47	88%	9%	43
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1,621</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1,897</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1,825</b>

**Table 31b. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services:  
Basic Household Resources (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Basic household resources <sup>1</sup>			Basic child resources <sup>2</sup>			Education, job training or employment		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families
Baker	0%	100%	1	0%	100%	1	0%	100%	1
Benton	23%	64%	22	56%	19%	16	44%	25%	16
Clackamas	44%	42%	138	44%	34%	124	32%	33%	108
Columbia	25%	75%	4	75%	13%	8	67%	33%	6
Crook	75%	25%	8	33%	67%	12	0%	100%	5
Curry	25%	25%	4	57%	29%	7	50%	25%	4
Deschutes	65%	26%	57	64%	26%	53	54%	29%	52
Douglas	44%	31%	16	57%	14%	14	56%	25%	16
Grant	75%	25%	4	50%	50%	4	17%	83%	6
Harney	50%	50%	2	50%	50%	2	0%	100%	1
Hood River	46%	49%	33	46%	50%	26	31%	56%	32
Jackson	39%	46%	78	33%	52%	63	30%	41%	61
Jefferson	30%	30%	10	14%	29%	7	17%	17%	6
Klamath	22%	56%	9	33%	67%	12	0%	29%	7
Lake	0%	50%	2	0%	100%	2	0%	0%	2

<sup>1</sup> Basic household resources include food, clothing, housing and transportation.

<sup>2</sup> Basic child resources include child supplies (diapers, crib, etc.).

Site	Basic household resources <sup>1</sup>			Basic child resources <sup>2</sup>			Education, job training or employment		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Total Families
Lane	47%	43%	121	53%	40%	122	31%	46%	94
Lincoln	38%	63%	8	50%	50%	10	29%	43%	7
Linn	35%	41%	17	31%	54%	13	24%	47%	17
Malheur	75%	25%	4	80%	0%	5	50%	0%	4
Marion	56%	31%	112	53%	30%	90	54%	30%	93
Morrow	60%	0%	5	0%	50%	4	40%	40%	5
Multnomah	46%	42%	182	46%	45%	166	45%	35%	137
Polk	55%	36%	42	61%	24%	33	45%	35%	29
Sherman	25%	75%	4	25%	75%	4	0%	67%	3
Tillamook	70%	26%	23	57%	21%	14	33%	44%	9
Umatilla	21%	50%	14	10%	50%	10	22%	56%	9
Union	50%	50%	10	50%	42%	12	20%	30%	10
Wallowa	0%	100%	1	0%	100%	1	100%	0%	1
Wasco	58%	32%	19	61%	33%	18	38%	38%	16
Washington	48%	35%	153	58%	26%	149	41%	33%	126
Yamhill	45%	45%	29	33%	41%	27	44%	30%	23
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>1,132</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>1,029</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>906</b>

<sup>1</sup> Basic household resources include food, clothing, housing and transportation.

<sup>2</sup> Basic child resources include child supplies (diapers, crib, etc.).

**Table 31c. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services:  
Family Risk Support (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Substance Abuse Problems in the Household			Violence in the Household			Criminal Activity in Household		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size
Baker	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Benton	50%	50%	2	100%	0%	1	100%	0%	1
Clackamas	42%	26%	19	54%	23%	26	18%	27%	11
Columbia	50%	50%	2	50%	50%	2	50%	50%	2
Crook	0%	0%	0	0%	100%	1	0%	0%	0
Curry	100%	0%	1	0%	0%	0	100%	0%	1
Deschutes	50%	38%	8	57%	29%	7	100%	0%	2
Douglas	75%	25%	4	100%	0%	2	0%	100%	1
Grant	33%	33%	3	0%	100%	1	0%	0%	1
Harney	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Hood River	33%	0%	3	20%	20%	5	0%	0%	1
Jackson	50%	42%	12	50%	33%	6	67%	0%	3
Jefferson	50%	50%	4	33%	0%	3	100%	0%	1
Klamath	0%	0%	2	0%	33%	3	0%	0%	2
Lake	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Lane	31%	56%	16	32%	55%	22	50%	40%	10

Site	Substance Abuse Problems in the Household			Violence in the Household			Criminal Activity in Household		
	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size	Helped a lot	Helped a little	Sample size
Lincoln	67%	0%	3	100%	0%	2	67%	0%	3
Linn	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	1
Malheur	100%	0%	1	100%	0%	2	50%	50%	2
Marion	63%	25%	16	67%	17%	12	67%	11%	9
Morrow	0%	33%	3	0%	33%	3	0%	33%	3
Multnomah	41%	24%	17	62%	14%	21	44%	11%	9
Polk	40%	50%	10	67%	33%	9	50%	50%	4
Sherman	0%	67%	3	0%	100%	2	0%	100%	1
Tillamook	67%	0%	3	60%	20%	5	0%	0%	1
Umatilla	50%	50%	2	33%	67%	3	100%	0%	1
Union	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	0
Wallowa	100%	0%	1	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0
Wasco	50%	38%	8	50%	50%	4	67%	33%	3
Washington	41%	24%	29	54%	29%	28	53%	18%	17
Yamhill	50%	33%	6	40%	40%	5	100%	0%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>92</b>

**Table 32. Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Strengths Orientation				Staff Skills			
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Total Families	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Total Families
Baker	0%	100%	0%	1	0%	100%	0%	1
Benton	54%	42%	4%	26	59%	41%	0%	22
Clackamas	40%	53%	6%	247	49%	48%	2%	207
Columbia	63%	38%	0%	8	75%	25%	0%	8
Crook	70%	25%	0%	20	60%	35%	0%	20
Curry	13%	63%	25%	8	13%	88%	0%	8
Deschutes	51%	42%	5%	92	80%	20%	0%	71
Douglas	50%	46%	4%	46	74%	26%	0%	31
Grant	67%	33%	0%	6	50%	50%	0%	6
Harney	17%	50%	33%	6	50%	50%	0%	2
Hood River	31%	69%	0%	32	33%	67%	0%	30
Jackson	43%	49%	7%	155	61%	35%	4%	113
Jefferson	43%	52%	5%	21	53%	47%	0%	17
Klamath	40%	40%	20%	20	47%	53%	0%	17
Lake	0%	100%	0%	2	50%	50%	0%	2
Lane	57%	37%	4%	227	68%	30%	2%	191

Site	Strengths Orientation				Staff Skills			
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Total Families	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Total Families
Lincoln	58%	33%	8%	12	67%	33%	0%	12
Linn	35%	58%	7%	31	50%	50%	0%	22
Malheur <sup>1</sup>	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Marion	38%	51%	8%	175	66%	33%	0%	106
Morrow	42%	50%	8%	12	36%	64%	0%	11
Multnomah	46%	45%	6%	206	47%	50%	3%	208
Polk	55%	45%	0%	51	82%	18%	0%	38
Sherman	33%	67%	0%	3	33%	67%	0%	3
Tillamook	55%	34%	11%	38	64%	36%	0%	28
Umatilla	18%	77%	5%	22	35%	65%	0%	17
Union	63%	30%	7%	30	76%	17%	7%	29
Wallowa	100%	0%	0%	2	100%	0%	0%	2
Wasco	44%	47%	6%	32	63%	33%	0%	24
Washington	39%	50%	9%	232	52%	44%	4%	185
Yamhill	34%	53%	13%	47	51%	49%	0%	35
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1,810</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,466</b>

<sup>1</sup> No parents submitted responses to this item on Parent Surveys.

**Table 32 (continued)**  
**Level of Satisfaction for Parents Receiving**  
**Healthy Start Intensive Home Visiting and Family Support Services (FY 2003-04)**

Site	Cultural Competence				Child Focused			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Sample size	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Sample size
Baker	0%	100%	0%	1	0%	100%	0%	1
Benton	68%	32%	0%	22	68%	32%	0%	22
Clackamas	59%	40%	1%	207	57%	42%	1%	206
Columbia	75%	25%	0%	8	88%	13%	0%	8
Crook	60%	30%	5%	20	70%	25%	0%	20
Curry	13%	88%	0%	8	25%	75%	0%	8
Deschutes	79%	21%	0%	71	82%	18%	0%	71
Douglas	72%	25%	3%	32	87%	13%	0%	31
Grant	67%	33%	0%	6	50%	50%	0%	6
Harney	50%	50%	0%	2	50%	50%	0%	2
Hood River	73%	27%	0%	30	27%	73%	0%	30
Jackson	74%	25%	0%	113	68%	28%	2%	113
Jefferson	35%	59%	6%	17	77%	24%	0%	17
Klamath	77%	24%	0%	17	53%	41%	6%	17
Lake	50%	50%	0%	2	50%	50%	0%	2
Lane	76%	23%	1%	191	71%	27%	2%	191
Lincoln	75%	25%	0%	12	75%	17%	0%	12



Site	Cultural Competence				Child Focused			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Sample size	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Sample size
Linn	55%	41%	5%	22	68%	32%	0%	22
Malheur <sup>1</sup>	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^
Marion	72%	25%	3%	106	76%	24%	0%	106
Morrow	73%	27%	0%	11	55%	46%	0%	11
Multnomah	66%	33%	1%	208	58%	40%	1%	208
Polk	79%	21%	0%	38	82%	18%	0%	38
Sherman	67%	33%	0%	3	33%	67%	0%	3
Tillamook	75%	21%	4%	28	71%	29%	0%	28
Umatilla	53%	47%	0%	17	41%	59%	0%	17
Union	86%	14%	0%	29	62%	38%	0%	29
Wallowa	100%	0%	0%	2	100%	0%	0%	2
Wasco	67%	25%	4%	24	75%	21%	0%	24
Washington	68%	28%	4%	185	59%	38%	3%	184
Yamhill	60%	40%	0%	35	54%	46%	0%	35
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1,464</b>

<sup>1</sup> No parents submitted responses to this item on Parent Surveys.  
NPC Research



## Appendix B



# New Baby Questionnaire

**FORM INSTRUCTIONS:** Completely darken response circles like this ●.

Clearly print numbers like this:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

1. Today's date: 

Month	Day	Year
<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>

2. Is this the first parenting experience for either you or your spouse/partner? ☐ Yes ☐ No

## BABY'S INFORMATION (Skip if currently pregnant)

3. Baby's date of birth: 

Month	Day	Year
<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>

  
(Skip if currently pregnant)

4. Baby's gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female  
(Skip if currently pregnant)

5. Baby's ethnicity: (Mark all that apply)

- ☐ African American
- ☐ Asian/Pacific Islander
- ☐ American Indian/Alaska Native
- ☐ Hawaiian
- ☐ Hispanic/Latino/a
- ☐ White, not Hispanic
- ☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_

## MOTHER'S INFORMATION

Questions 6 - 22 should be answered by and refer to baby's **MOTHER**.

**Remember:** You can skip any question you do not want to answer.

6a. Your date of birth:

Month	Day	Year
<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>

6b. Your current age: 

<div></div>	<div></div>
-------------	-------------

7. Your ethnicity: (Mark all that apply)

- ☐ African American
- ☐ Asian/Pacific Islander
- ☐ American Indian/Alaska Native
- ☐ Hawaiian
- ☐ Hispanic/Latina
- ☐ White, not Hispanic
- ☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Indicate the county where you live:

- |                                 |                                  |                                  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Baker     | <input type="radio"/> Harney     | <input type="radio"/> Morrow     |
| <input type="radio"/> Benton    | <input type="radio"/> Hood River | <input type="radio"/> Multnomah  |
| <input type="radio"/> Clackamas | <input type="radio"/> Jackson    | <input type="radio"/> Polk       |
| <input type="radio"/> Clatsop   | <input type="radio"/> Jefferson  | <input type="radio"/> Sherman    |
| <input type="radio"/> Columbia  | <input type="radio"/> Josephine  | <input type="radio"/> Tillamook  |
| <input type="radio"/> Coos      | <input type="radio"/> Klamath    | <input type="radio"/> Umatilla   |
| <input type="radio"/> Crook     | <input type="radio"/> Lake       | <input type="radio"/> Union      |
| <input type="radio"/> Curry     | <input type="radio"/> Lane       | <input type="radio"/> Wallowa    |
| <input type="radio"/> Deschutes | <input type="radio"/> Lincoln    | <input type="radio"/> Wasco      |
| <input type="radio"/> Douglas   | <input type="radio"/> Linn       | <input type="radio"/> Washington |
| <input type="radio"/> Gilliam   | <input type="radio"/> Malheur    | <input type="radio"/> Wheeler    |
| <input type="radio"/> Grant     | <input type="radio"/> Marion     | <input type="radio"/> Yamhill    |

9. Do you or does anyone in your household speak English?

- ☐ Yes, I do
- ☐ I don't, but others in my household do
- ☐ No

10. What language do you usually speak at home?

- ☐ English
- ☐ Spanish
- ☐ Russian
- ☐ Mandarin
- ☐ Cantonese
- ☐ Japanese
- ☐ Korean
- ☐ Cambodian
- ☐ Laotian
- ☐ Vietnamese
- ☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_

11a. What type of health insurance do you have for yourself?

- ☐ Private health insurance
- ☐ Oregon Health Plan (OHP) or other Medicaid program
- ☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ No health insurance

11b. What type of health insurance do you have for your baby?

- ☐ Private health insurance
- ☐ Oregon Health Plan (OHP) or other Medicaid program
- ☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ No health insurance

Please turn over; additional questions are on the back.

February 14, 2004

12. Are you currently married?

☐ Yes ☐ No

13a. For this pregnancy, how far along were you when you first saw a health care provider (like a doctor) for prenatal care (not including any visit that was only for a pregnancy test)?

☐ 0 - 12 weeks ☐ More than 12 weeks (or not at all)

13b. For this pregnancy, how many times did you see a health care provider?

☐ 5 or more times  
☐ Less than 5 times  
☐ Don't know, still pregnant

14. What is the highest level of school you have completed?

☐ 8th grade or less  
☐ Some high school (not completed)  
☐ High school diploma  
☐ GED  
☐ Education beyond high school/GED

15. How would you describe your current employment?

(or, after you return from maternity leave)

☐ Employed full-time (35 hrs/week or more)  
☐ Employed part-time  
☐ Seasonally employed, specify: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Not employed  
☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_

16. How would you describe your spouse/partner's current employment? (or, after s/he returns from paternity/maternity leave)

☐ Employed full-time (35 hrs/week or more)  
☐ Employed part-time  
☐ Seasonally employed, specify: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Not employed  
☐ Other, specify: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Not Applicable: No spouse/partner

17. How often do you have trouble paying for basic living expenses (rent, food, etc.)?

☐ Most of the time  
☐ Some of the time  
☐ Never

18. In the past year, has there been a time lasting more than 2 weeks where you felt sad, hopeless or depressed?

☐ Yes ☐ No

19. How would you describe your current family relationships?

☐ Few/minor problems  
☐ Some problems  
☐ Serious problems

20. Do you feel you need to (or has someone asked you to) cut down on your drinking or drug use?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ I don't drink or use drugs

21. Do you or does any other member of your household use tobacco?

☐ Yes ☐ No

22. Is there anything else you would like us to know about you and your family or any additional information or services you are interested in (i.e., community resources, playgroups, how to find childcare, etc.)?

---

---

---

Thank you for completing this form!

(For program use only)

A. Child ID Number

0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9
0	1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	0	1
2	3	4	5	6	7
8					