



Friends of the Children – Portland

Annual Evaluation

July 2008 – June 2009

Population Report



Submitted to:

Terri Sorensen, Executive Director

Friends of the Children – Portland

44 NE Morris Street

Portland, OR 97212

Submitted by:

Carrie J. Furrer, Ph.D.

Katherine Kissick, B.A.



4380 SW Macadam Ave., Ste. 530
Portland, OR 97239
(503)243-2436
www.npcresearch.com

November 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



This report was made possible through the ongoing guidance and support from *Friends of the Children* – Portland program staff, especially Joe Bergen, Julie Larson, Terri Sorensen, Joy Fauth, and Tom Bialozor. The voices of the *Friends*, the youth in the program, and their parents/guardians and teachers were central to the success of this evaluation effort. We want to sincerely thank the *Friends*, parents/guardians, youth, and teachers who completed the assessment forms. Our deepest appreciation is extended to the *Friend Team* Leaders who were responsible for coordinating the data collection. None of this information would be possible without the vision and commitment of Duncan Campbell, founder, and the Board of Directors, Program Committee, and staff of *Friends of the Children* – Portland. Finally, we would like to thank the staff at NPC Research for contributing to the production of this report.

This report is the third in a series of five reports focusing on the 2008-09 program year:

1. Milestones and Long-Term Program Outcomes
2. Service Delivery
3. **Historical Attributes of the *FOTC*-Portland Youth Population**
4. *FOTC* Adolescents
5. Education

TABLE OF CONTENTS


Acknowledgements	1
Table of Contents	i
Description of Program Youth: 2001-02 through 2008-09	3
Gender	3
Race/Ethnicity	3
Youth Risk Profile: 2001-02 through 2008-09	4
Analytical Approach	4
Risk Factors	4
Youth Moved More Than Once in Past Year	4
Youth Did Not Live with Biological Mother in Past Year	5
Youth Did Not Live with Biological Father in Past Year	5
Youth Lived in Foster Care or with Relatives in Past Year	5
Youth Had Incident of Abuse or Neglect in the Past Year	6
Not Always Enough Food in Youth's Home	6
Youth Involved with Biological Mother Less than Monthly	6
Youth Involved with Biological Father Less than Monthly	7
Youth's Biological Parents Did Not Graduate from High School	7
Youth's Biological Mother was a Teen Parent	8
Youth's Biological Father was a Teen Parent	8
Youth's Biological Mother Not Employed in the Past Year	8
Youth's Biological Father Not Employed in the Past Year	9
Youth's Biological Mother Incarcerated in the Past Year	9
Youth's Biological Father Incarcerated in the Past Year	9
Youth's Biological Mother Involved in Criminal Activity in the Past Year	10
Youth's Biological Father Involved in Criminal Activity in the Past Year	10
Youth's Biological Mother Abused Substances in the Past Year	10
Youth's Biological Father Abused Substances in the Past Year	11
Youth's Biological Mother in Recovery in the Past Year	11

Youth's Biological Father in Recovery in the Past Year	11
Youth's Biological Mother Not Involved in Child's School in the Past Year.....	12
Youth's Biological Father Not Involved in Child's School in the Past Year	12
Youth's Biological Mother was a Single Parent in the Past Year	12
Youth's Biological Father was a Single Parent in the Past Year	13
Youth's Biological Mother had Serious Physical Health Problem in the Past Year	13
Youth's Biological Father had Serious Physical Health Problem in the Past Year	13
Youth's Biological Mother had Mental Health Problem in the Past Year	14
Youth's Biological Father had Mental Health Problem in the Past Year	14
Youth's Biological Mother Involved in Domestic Violence in the Past Year.....	14
Youth's Biological Father Involved in Domestic Violence in the Past Year	15
Biological Parents Involved in Gangs in the Past Year	15
Gender Differences in Risk Factors.....	16
Youth Had an Incidence of Abuse or Neglect in the Past Year by Gender	16
Race/Ethnicity Differences in Risk Factors	17
Youth Had an Incidence of Abuse or Neglect in the Past Year by Ethnicity	17
Youth Did Not Live with Biological Father in the Past Year by Ethnicity	18
Youth's Biological Mother was a Teen Parent by Ethnicity	19
Youth's Biological Father was a Teen Parent by Ethnicity	20
Youth's Biological Father was Incarcerated in the Past Year by Ethnicity.....	21
Youth's Biological Mother had History of Substance Abuse by Ethnicity	22
Youth's Biological Mother was a Single Parent by Ethnicity	23
Youth's Biological Mother had Mental Health Problem in the Past Year by Ethnicity.....	24
Youth's Biological Mother Involved in Domestic Violence in the Past Year by Ethnicity	29
Summary of Risk Trends: 2001-02 through 2008-09.....	30

DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM YOUTH: 2001-02 THROUGH 2008-09

As shown in the graphs below, there have been no statistically significant changes in the proportion of *FOTC* youth according to gender or race/ethnicity over the past 8 program years. Total sample sizes for each program year are shown in the table (center of page).


Gender



Sample Size by Program Year

Sample size	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
	256	274	267	263	274	282	304	302

Race/Ethnicity



YOUTH RISK PROFILE: 2001-02 THROUGH 2008-09

Analytical Approach


According to their *Friends*, youth face a variety of challenges in their lives. To look at change and stability in the types of challenges *FOTC* youth face, 3-year rolling averages were calculated for a range of past year risk factors as reported by *Friends* (2002 – 2004, 2003 – 2005, etc.). If youth had an indication of a particular risk, they were coded “yes” and if there was no indication of risk, they were coded “no.” If youth were missing information for all three years included in the rolling average, they were coded “missing.” Each of the rolling averages was then graphed to produce a 6-point trend for each type of risk encompassing eight program years.



Rolling averages for each 3-year period were tested for gender and race/ethnicity differences. If three or more gender or race/ethnicity differences were found for the same risk factor, these trends were also graphed. Tables below each graph indicate the number of youth for whom data were available across each 3-year period.

Risk Factors


YOUTH MOVED MORE THAN ONCE IN PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that moved more than once in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	295	291	330	343	322	343


YOUTH DID NOT LIVE WITH BIOLOGICAL MOTHER IN PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that did not live with their biological mother in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	295	292	330	343	321	343


YOUTH DID NOT LIVE WITH BIOLOGICAL FATHER IN PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that did not live with their biological father in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	295	292	331	343	321	343


YOUTH LIVED IN FOSTER CARE OR WITH RELATIVES IN PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that lived in foster care or with relatives in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	295	292	330	336	320	344

YOUTH HAD INCIDENT OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT IN THE PAST YEAR




No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that had an incident of abuse or neglect in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	---	291	326	303	322	344

Note. Abuse/neglect item not on survey in 2001-02.


NOT ALWAYS ENOUGH FOOD IN YOUTH'S HOME IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth that did not have enough food to eat in their home in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	294	293	326	340	320	344

YOUTH NOT INVOLVED WITH BIOLOGICAL MOTHER IN THE PAST YEAR




Significant decline in biological mothers' involvement with their children from 2004-06 (and before) to 2007-09.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	291	293	327	340	319	335

Program years 2001-02 through 2005-06 assessed involvement with biological mother using a yes or no question (e.g., Is the child's biological mother currently involved with this child?). Starting in 2006-07, the question was rephrased to assess how often the biological mother was involved with her child, with a response scale ranging from *daily* to *never*. If a biological mother was involved with her child less than monthly (2006-07 and beyond), the child was coded as "mother not involved." Thus, the changes found in mothers' involvement could be due to changes in how the question was asked.

YOUTH NOT INVOLVED WITH BIOLOGICAL FATHER IN THE PAST YEAR




Significant decline in biological fathers' involvement with their children from 2005-07 (and before) to 2007-09.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	290	293	325	336	315	324

Program years 2001-02 through 2005-06 assessed involvement with biological father using a yes or no question (e.g., Is the child's biological father currently involved with this child?). Starting in 2006-07, the question was rephrased to assess how often the biological father was involved with his child, with a response scale ranging from *daily* to *never*. If a biological father was involved with her child less than monthly (2006-07 and beyond), the child was coded as "father not involved." Thus, the changes found in fathers' involvement could be due to changes in how the question was asked.

YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS DID NOT GRADUATE FROM HIGH SCHOOL




1 in 3 FOTC youth had a mother or father who did not graduate from high school.

Sample size	Mother	Father
2007-09	254	123

Note. Parent education first assessed in 2006-07.


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER WAS A TEEN PARENT



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers were teen parents.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	231	232	245	243	231	264


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER WAS A TEEN PARENT



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers were teen parents.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	168	155	159	152	145	162


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER NOT EMPLOYED IN THE PAST YEAR



Significant decline in the proportion of biological mothers that were not employed in the past year from 2003-05 and before to 2005-07 and after.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	241	230	269	281	264	276


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER NOT EMPLOYED IN THE PAST YEAR



Significant decline in the proportion of biological fathers that were not employed in the past year from 2004-06 and before to 2006-08 and after.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	143	138	153	154	153	157


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER INCARCERATED IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of FOTC youth whose biological mothers were incarcerated in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	250	244	275	273	261	272


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER INCARCERATED IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of FOTC youth whose biological fathers were incarcerated in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	171	167	189	174	168	149

YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN THE PAST YEAR




No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers were involved in criminal activity in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	---	208	223	218	215	227

Note. Involvement in criminal activity item not on survey in 2001-02.

YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN THE PAST YEAR




No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers were involved in criminal activity in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	---	131	125	110	119	109

Note. Involvement in criminal activity item not on survey in 2001-02.


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER ABUSED SUBSTANCES IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers abused substances in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	225	208	221	210	191	218


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER ABUSED SUBSTANCES IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers abused substances in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	134	102	97	86	87	86


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER IN RECOVERY IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers were in recovery in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	219	210	229	218	218	243


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER IN RECOVERY IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers were in recovery in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	98	95	99	89	88	81


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER NOT INVOLVED IN CHILD'S SCHOOL IN THE PAST YEAR



Significant decline in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers were not involved in their child's school in the past year from 2003-05 and before to 2007-09.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	261	255	298	305	292	317


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER NOT INVOLVED IN CHILD'S SCHOOL IN THE PAST YEAR



Significant decline in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers were not involved in their child's school in the past year from 2005-07 and before to 2007-09.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	227	215	245	232	229	240


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER WAS A SINGLE PARENT IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological mothers were single parents in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	268	263	310	314	296	315


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER WAS A SINGLE PARENT IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers were single parents in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	228	223	262	253	235	236


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER HAD SERIOUS PHYSICAL HEALTH PROBLEM IN THE PAST YEAR



Significantly higher proportion of youth having biological mothers with serious health problem in 2004-06 through 2006-08.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	211	206	268	260	248	241


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER HAD SERIOUS PHYSICAL HEALTH PROBLEM IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of *FOTC* youth whose biological fathers had serious physical health problems in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	125	123	178	168	164	103


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER HAD MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEM IN THE PAST YEAR



Significantly higher proportion of youth having biological mothers with mental health problem in 2004-06 through 2006-08.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	201	194	258	258	248	241


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER HAD MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEM IN THE PAST YEAR



No significant change in the proportion of FOTC youth whose biological fathers had mental health problems in the past year.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	110	105	169	159	156	99


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER INVOLVED IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN THE PAST YEAR



Significant decline in the proportion of youth whose biological fathers was involved in domestic violence in the past year from 2003-05 and before to 2006-08 and after; 2007-09 also lower than 2005-07 and before.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	177	176	198	189	185	207


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER INVOLVED IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN THE PAST YEAR



Biological father involvement in domestic violence in the past year significantly lower in 2006-08 than 2002-04.

Sample size	2002-04	2003-05	2004-06	2005-07	2006-08	2007-09
	98	95	163	151	156	93

BIOLOGICAL PARENTS INVOLVED IN GANGS IN THE PAST YEAR



Very few *FOTC* youths' biological parents were involved in gangs in the past year.


Sample size	Mother	Father
2007-09	239	101

Note. Gang involvement was first assessed in 2007-08. The rolling average for this risk factor includes only 2 years, 2007-08 and 2008-09.

Gender Differences in Risk Factors

Rolling averages for each 3-year period were tested for gender differences. If three or more gender differences were found for the same risk factor, these trends were graphed.

YOUTH HAD AN INCIDENCE OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT IN THE PAST YEAR BY GENDER




Years	All Youth	Boys	Girls	Finding
2003-05	38% (291)	32% (153)	44% (138)	Girls were more likely to have had at least one incident of abuse or neglect
2004-06	34% (326)	29% (167)	40% (159)	
2005-07	38% (303)	31% (147)	44% (156)	
2006-08	39% (322)	34% (158)	45% (164)	
2007-09	40% (344)	36% (171)	45% (173)	No significant differences

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).

Race/Ethnicity Differences in Risk Factors

Rolling averages for each 3-year period were tested for race/ethnicity differences. If three or more race/ethnicity differences were found for the same risk factor, these trends were graphed.


YOUTH HAD AN INCIDENCE OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT IN THE PAST YEAR BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2003-05	38% (291)	28% (161)	56% (80)	38% (50)	African American youth were less likely, and Caucasian youth were more likely, to have had at least one incident of abuse or neglect
2004-06	34% (326)	25% (178)	53% (89)	32% (59)	
2005-07	38% (302)	29% (160)	55% (86)	39% (56)	
2006-08	39% (321)	29% (164)	58% (96)	38% (61)	
2007-09	40% (343)	31% (170)	58% (107)	36% (66)	

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH DID NOT LIVE WITH BIOLOGICAL FATHER IN THE PAST YEAR BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	88% (295)	92% (166)	82% (84)	80% (45)	African American youth were more likely to live without their biological father
2003-05	85% (292)	90% (161)	80% (81)	76% (50)	African American youth were more likely, and youth of other ethnicities were less likely, to live without their biological father
2004-06	84% (331)	89% (182)	80% (89)	75% (60)	
2005-07	84% (342)	89% (183)	79% (98)	79% (61)	
2006-08	83% (320)	90% (163)	78% (96)	75% (61)	African American youth were more likely to live without their biological father
2007-09	83% (342)	88% (169)	79% (107)	77% (66)	

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER WAS A TEEN PARENT BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	56% (231)	64% (131)	46% (63)	46% (37)	African American youth were more likely to have mothers who were teen parents
2003-05	61% (232)	69% (126)	52% (64)	52% (42)	
2004-06	62% (245)	72% (123)	54% (71)	53% (51)	
2005-07	66% (243)	73% (120)	63% (73)	52% (50)	African American youth were more likely, and youth of other ethnicities were less likely, to have mothers who were teen parents
2006-08	63% (231)	71% (110)	62% (71)	48% (50)	
2007-09	58% (264)	63% (122)	56% (89)	49% (53)	No significant differences

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER WAS A TEEN PARENT BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	32% (168)	40% (90)	25% (49)	21% (29)	African American youth were more likely to have a father who was a teen parent
2003-05	36% (155)	47% (78)	29% (48)	17% (29)	African American youth were more likely, and youth of other ethnicities were less likely, to have a father who was a teen parent
2004-06	38% (159)	54% (76)	29% (49)	18% (34)	
2005-07	42% (152)	57% (70)	33% (49)	24% (33)	
2006-08	36% (145)	50% (62)	26% (50)	24% (33)	African American youth were more likely to have a father who was a teen parent
2007-09	32% (162)	46% (76)	18% (57)	21% (29)	African American youth were more likely, and Caucasian youth were less likely, to have a father who was a teen parent

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL FATHER WAS INCARCERATED IN THE PAST YEAR BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	35% (171)	45% (93)	14% (50)	39% (28)	African American youth were more likely, and Caucasian youth were less likely, to have fathers who were incarcerated
2003-05	34% (167)	43% (88)	14% (51)	43% (28)	
2004-06	31% (189)	43% (93)	12% (58)	32% (38)	
2005-07	32% (174)	43% (84)	12% (57)	36% (33)	
2006-08	29% (168)	38% (80)	16% (55)	27% (33)	
2007-09	32% (149)	39% (75)	23% (44)	30% (30)	No significant differences

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER HAD HISTORY OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	38% (225)	35% (126)	51% (65)	27% (34)	Caucasian youth were more likely to have a mother who abused substances
2003-05	40% (208)	36% (111)	55% (56)	32% (41)	
2004-06	39% (221)	37% (113)	53% (61)	26% (47)	Caucasian youth were more likely, and youth of other ethnicities were less likely, to have a mother who abused substances
2005-07	34% (210)	30% (107)	51% (57)	22% (46)	
2006-08	31% (191)	27% (92)	49% (55)	18% (44)	Caucasian youth were more likely, and African American youth were less likely, to have a mother who abused substances
2007-09	28% (218)	18% (104)	47% (64)	24% (50)	

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER WAS A SINGLE PARENT BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	64% (268)	71% (152)	59% (75)	46% (41)	African American youth were more likely, and youth of other ethnicities were less likely, to have a mother who was a single parent
2003-05	61% (263)	68% (148)	54% (70)	49% (45)	African American youth were more likely to have a mother who was a single parent
2004-06	59% (310)	63% (171)	52% (83)	57% (56)	No significant differences
2005-07	61% (313)	64% (168)	51% (89)	68% (56)	Caucasian youth were less likely to have a mother who was a single parents
2006-08	64% (295)	71% (151)	52% (88)	64% (56)	African American youth were more likely, and Caucasian youth were less likely, to have a mother who was a single parent
2007-09	67% (314)	73% (158)	55% (95)	67% (61)	

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).


YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER HAD MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEM IN THE PAST YEAR BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	17% (201)	10% (107)	29% (59)	17% (35)	African American youth were less likely, and Caucasian youth were more likely, to have a mother with a mental health problem
2003-05	21% (194)	13% (106)	35% (52)	25% (36)	
2004-06	39% (258)	35% (133)	39% (75)	50% (50)	No significant differences
2005-07	36% (258)	33% (129)	36% (78)	47% (51)	No significant differences
2006-08	34% (248)	30% (126)	35% (72)	42% (50)	No significant differences
2007-09	10% (241)	5% (118)	17% (70)	11% (53)	African American youth were less likely, and Caucasian youth were more likely, to have a mother with a mental health problem

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).

YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL MOTHER INVOLVED IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE IN THE PAST YEAR BY ETHNICITY



Years	All Youth	African American	Caucasian	Other	Finding
2002-04	48% (177)	39% (98)	65% (49)	50% (30)	African American youth were less likely, and Caucasian youth were more likely, to have had a mother involved in domestic violence
2003-05	49% (176)	39% (96)	67% (48)	53% (32)	
2004-06	43% (198)	39% (103)	51% (57)	45% (38)	No significant difference
2005-07	43% (189)	39% (92)	47% (55)	48% (42)	No significant difference
2006-08	33% (185)	27% (90)	36% (55)	43% (40)	No significant difference
2007-09	30% (207)	20% (98)	35% (63)	41% (46)	African American youth were less likely, and youth of other ethnicities were more likely, to have had a mother involved in domestic violence

Note. The table presents percentages and the number of youth in each group (*n*). Bold numbers indicate significantly higher proportions. All of the statistically significant differences reported in this table were determined using chi-squared analysis ($p < .05$).

SUMMARY OF RISK TRENDS: 2001-02 THROUGH 2008-09

Risk factor	Target person	Description of trend	Change over time?	Differed by gender?	Differed by race/ethnicity?
Youth moved more than once in the past year	Youth	26-33% of youth have moved more than once in the past year	No	No	No
Youth did not live with biological parent in the past year	Mother	33-40% of youth did not live with their biological mother in the past year	No	No	No
	Father	83-88% of youth did not live with their biological father in the past year	No	No	African American youth more likely to live without biological father
Youth lived in foster care or with relatives in the past year	Youth	33-35% of youth lived in foster care or with relatives in the past year	No	No	No
Youth had incident of abuse or neglect in the past year	Youth	34-40% of youth had an incident of abuse or neglect in the past year	No	Girls more likely to have incident	No
Not always enough food in youth's home in the past year	Youth	38-41% of youth did not always have enough food in their home in the past year	No	No	No
Youth not involved with biological parent in the past year	Mother	Significant decline in proportion of youth not involved with their biological mothers, from 32% in 2002-04 to 16% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No

Risk factor	Target person	Description of trend	Change over time?	Differed by gender?	Differed by race/ethnicity?
Youth not involved with biological parent in the past year	Father	Significant decline in proportion of youth not involved with their biological fathers, from 72% in 2002-04 to 59% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No
Youth's biological parent did not graduate from high school	Mother	34% of youth had biological mothers that did not graduate from high school in 2007-09	Available for 2007-09 only	No	No
	Father	36% of youth had biological fathers that did not graduate from high school in 2007-09	Available for 2007-09 only	No	No
Youth's biological parent was a teen parent	Mother	56-66% of youth's biological mothers were teen parents	No	No	African American youth more likely to have had teen parents
	Father	32-42% of youth's biological fathers were teen parents	No	No	
Youth's biological parent was not employed in the past year	Mother	Significant decline in the proportion of youth having unemployed biological mothers in the past year, from 56% in 2002-04 to 36% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No
	Father	Significant decline in the proportion of youth having unemployed biological fathers in the past year, from 54% in 2002-04 to 22% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No
Youth's biological parent incarcerated in the past year	Mother	8-12% of youth had a biological mother who was incarcerated in the past year	No	No	No

Risk factor	Target person	Description of trend	Change over time?	Differed by gender?	Differed by race/ethnicity?
Youth's biological parent incarcerated in the past year	Father	29-35% of youth had a biological father who was incarcerated in the past year	No	No	African American youth more likely to have had incarcerated father
Youth's biological parent involved in criminal activities in the past year	Mother	19-23% of youth had a biological mother involved in criminal activities in the past year	No	No	No
	Father	31-37% of youth had a biological father involved in criminal activities in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent abused substances in the past year	Mother	28-40% of youth had biological mothers who abused substances in the past year	No	No	Caucasian youth more likely to have had mother who abused substances
	Father	36-43% of youth had biological fathers who abused substances in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent in recovery in past year	Mother	20-28% of youth had biological mothers in recovery in the past year	No	No	No
	Father	15-26% of youth had biological fathers in recovery in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent not involved in child's school in past year	Mother	Significant decline in proportion of youth who had a biological mother uninvolved in his/her school, from 70% in 2002-04 to 59% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No

Risk factor	Target person	Description of trend	Change over time?	Differed by gender?	Differed by race/ethnicity?
Youth's biological parent not involved in child's school in past year	Father	Significant decline in proportion of youth who had a biological father uninvolved in his/her school, from 91% in 2002-04 to 79% in 2007-09	Yes	No	No
Youth's biological parent was a single parent in the past year	Mother	59-67% of youth had a biological mother who was a single parent in the past year	No	No	African American youth more likely to have mother who was a single parent
	Father	6-9% of youth had a biological father who was a single parent in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent had a serious physical health problem in the past year	Mother	Significantly higher proportion of youth had a biological mother with a serious health problem in the past year in 2004-06 through 2006-08 (40-43%) compared to 2002-04, 2003-05, and 2007-09 (18-26%)	Yes	No	No
	Father	14-21% of youth had a biological father with a serious physical health problem in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent had a mental health problem in the past year	Mother	Significantly higher proportion of youth had a biological mother with a mental health problem in the past year in 2004-06 through 2006-08 (34-39%) compared to 2002-04, 2003-05, and 2007-09 (10-21%)	Yes	No	Caucasian youth more likely to have mother with mental health problem

Risk factor	Target person	Description of trend	Change over time?	Differed by gender?	Differed by race/ethnicity?
Youth's biological parent had a mental health problem in the past year	Father	8-17% of youth had a biological father with a mental health problem in the past year	No	No	No
Youth's biological parent involved in domestic violence in the past year	Mother	Significant decline in the proportion of youth that had a biological mother involved in domestic violence in the past year, from 48% in 2002-04 to 30% in 2007-09	Yes	No	Caucasian youth (2002-04 through 2003-05) and youth of other ethnicities (2007-09) more likely to have mother involved in domestic violence
	Father	Significant decline in the proportion of youth that had a biological father involved in domestic violence in the past year, from 44% in 2002-04 to 22% in 2006-08	Yes	No	No
Youth's biological parent involved with gangs in the past year	Mother	1% of youth had a biological mother involved with gangs in the past year	Available for 2007-09 only	No	No
	Father	4% of youth had a biological father involved with gangs in the past year	Available for 2007-09 only	No	No