



Statewide Evaluation Results 2012-2013: Healthy Families Oregon *Summary of Findings*

Submitted to:
Jada Rupley
Early Learning Systems Director
Early Learning Division
Oregon Department of Education

Submitted by:
NPC Research
Portland, OR



February 2014



NPC Research
5100 SW Macadam Ave., Ste. 575
Portland, OR 97239
(503) 243-2436
www.npcresearch.com



STATEWIDE EVALUATION RESULTS 2012-2013: HEALTHY FAMILIES OREGON *SUMMARY OF FINDINGS*

Submitted by

Beth L. Green, Ph.D.

Jerod M. Tarte, M.A.

Jennifer A. Aborn, B.S.

Jade T. Croome, B.S.

NPC Research

healthyfamilies@npcresearch.com

February 2014



Informing policy, improving programs

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Healthy Families Oregon (HFO) provides voluntary, evidence-based home visitation to high-risk families in 35 Oregon counties. The HFO program is accredited by the Healthy Families America program, which was rated in 2010 as meeting the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) criteria for evidence-based home visiting models (see www.promisingpractices.net and <http://homvee.acf.hhs.gov/Default.aspx>).

In 2012-2013, HFO provided risk screening and basic information to 8,814 first-time mothers across the state—about half of all first births. Families who are identified through this screening process as being at high risk for child maltreatment and other negative outcomes are offered intensive, evidence-based home visitation services—in 2012-13, 2,958 families received home visiting, making HFO the state's largest child abuse prevention program.

Healthy Families Oregon (HFO) was created in 1993 with a mandate from the Oregon Legislature to provide universal, voluntary services to all first-time parents in the state of Oregon (ORS-417.795). The HFO mission is to *“promote and support positive parenting and healthy growth and development for all Oregon parents and their first-born children.”*

The goals of the program are to:

1. Prevent child abuse and neglect; and
2. Improve early indicators of school readiness.

To achieve these goals, HFO uses the evidence-based Healthy Families America (HFA) model, working with first-time parents during the critical early years of



children's brain development. Services begin prenatally or at birth, and continue until children are age 3. The program aims to reduce risk factors associated with increased incidence of child abuse and neglect and to promote the role of parents as their child's first teacher.

In June 2007, HS~HFO was officially recognized as an accredited multi-site state system by Healthy Families America—only the sixth state in the nation to have achieved this level of accreditation. Oregon was successfully re-accredited in 2012. Accreditation follows intensive review by national experts of the quality of implementation of the HFO program, and ensures that the program meets national standards for model fidelity.

Rigorous program evaluation is a core required program element for Healthy Families America. Oregon has contracted with NPC Research to compile information collected by programs and conduct service implementation and outcome evaluation for over 10 years. This ongoing evaluation allows the state central administration and local programs to continually review data, ensure outcomes-based accountability, and to use this data for continuous program improvement.

Key findings from the FY 2012-13 evaluation are summarized below.

Outcomes for Children and Families

WHO ARE HFO FAMILIES?

HFO families are screened using a short, family-friendly risk screening tool that identifies up to 12 key risk factors associated with negative child outcomes. Of the over 8,000 first birth families screened, more than half (54%, or 4,249 families) had two or more of these 12 risk factors, making them potentially eligible for HFO's intensive home visiting services. Compared to the last biennium, a greater percentage of families screened met HFO's criteria for "at risk" (54% vs. 42%). Families enrolled in HFO home visiting services are characterized by an average of 3.4 risk factors, and are at significantly higher risk than families who receive initial screening and referral only.

Families receiving home visiting present with a number of additional risk factors that place children at risk for maltreatment, for example:

- 86% of parents were experiencing multiple stressors related to parenting, poverty, and family instability.
- 81% reported a lack of nurturing parents in their own childhoods, with personal histories ranging from the mild use of corporal punishment to more serious abuse and neglect.
- 70% of parents reported having grown up in homes with at least one parent who had problems with substance abuse, mental health, and/or criminal involvement.
- 20%-42% had a variety of unrealistic and potentially harmful beliefs and attitudes about their newborn infants

(e.g., high endorsement of the usefulness of corporal punishment).

- 37% of parents indicated a mild to moderate substance abuse problem.

REDUCING RISK FACTORS FOR CHILD MALTREATMENT

Recent reviews of the research literature suggest that poor parenting skills, negative or harsh parent-child interactions, and high levels of parenting stress are all consistently associated with an elevated risk of child abuse and maltreatment (Stith et al., 2009). HFO targets these and other risk factors early in the child's life in order to reduce the likelihood of maltreatment and to support long-term success for children and families. HFO has a proven track record of positive results in these areas that compares favorably to other programs serving high-risk families. Specifically, participants in HFO show:

- ***Increased positive parenting:*** After one year of home visiting, 96% of parents consistently engaged in positive, nurturing interactions with their children.
- ***Improved parenting skills:*** 76% of parents reported that they improved their parenting skills during the first 6 months of services.
- ***Decreased parenting stress:*** 63% of parents reported a decrease in parenting-related stress from the time of the child's birth to the 6-month birthday, a time when parents generally experience elevated levels of parenting-related stress.

PROMOTING SCHOOL READINESS

HFO is also extremely successful in helping parents to provide children with supportive early literacy environments, one of the keys

to helping children to be prepared to enter and succeed in school. HFO participants:

- ***Provide positive, developmentally supportive learning environments:*** After 12 months of service, 87% of parents were creating learning environments for their young children that were rated as “good” or higher by their home visitor, as indicated by the standardized Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment Inventory, a widely used assessment tool (Caldwell & Bradley, 1994). This percentage is higher than results found in other, comparable populations.
- ***Read frequently to their young children:*** By age 1, 93% of Healthy Families’ parents reported reading to their children 3 times per week or more. In Oregon, the National Survey of Children’s Health (2007) found that 85% of parents in the general population read this often to their children, and rates are considerably lower for Oregon’s low-income families (76%) and Hispanic families (69%).

PROMOTING HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT

Positive health and development is a key foundation for children’s later school readiness. HFO is highly successful in promoting positive health outcomes for children, and greatly exceeds Healthy Families America standards on these issues. After at least 6 months in the program, children are:

- ***Receiving well-child care:*** 93% of HFO children were receiving regular well-child check-ups, compared to only 76% of all children ages 0-5 in Oregon (NSCH, 2007), and 84% of young children nationally (Child Trends, 2007).
- ***Covered by health insurance:*** 100% of HFO children had health insurance, compared to 85% of low-income children nationally (NSCH, 2007). This is an increase from the 95% coverage rate reported 5 years ago for HFO.
- ***Fully immunized:*** 89% of HFO’s 2-year-olds were fully immunized, compared to only 71% (National Immunization Survey, 2011)—76% of all Oregon 2-year-olds (Oregon ALERT Immunization Registry, 2010), and greatly exceeding the HFA standard of 80%. Nationally, about 82% of children were fully immunized by age 3 (Child Trends, 2007).
- ***Showing healthy growth and development:*** While 74% of HFO children received a timely developmental screening (using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire, or ASQ), many (87%) received at least one developmental screening. Most (86%) of these children showed normal growth and development on their overall assessments and 96% were on track for social-emotional development.
- ***Appropriately linked to Early Intervention:*** Of those parents whose children’s assessments indicated a possible developmental delay, 92% received referrals and/or other services to support their child’s development in the area of delay. Only 11% declined to be referred for early intervention services.
- ***Linked to primary health care:*** 99% of HFO children had a primary health care provider, which greatly exceeds the Healthy Families America standard of 80%. Further, 78% of caregivers had a primary health provider, an increase from 72% 5 years ago.

SUPPORTING FAMILY SELF-SUFFICIENCY

Healthy Start's higher risk families often need a variety of supports to help them meet their basic needs, and frequently set and reach goals related to improving their self-sufficiency. After 6 months of intensive home visiting services, many families had been connected to services they needed. Of those families indicating each of the following needs:

- 84% were connected to housing assistance,
- 79% were connected to education assistance,
- 79% were connected to Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, and
- 74% were connected to job training and employment services.

Fewer families were successfully connected to dental insurance (62%) and substance abuse treatment (69%). Compared to the 2007-08 findings, the percentage of families who identified many of these needs was higher, while the number successfully connected to needed services was somewhat lower, than in prior years. This may reflect the overall economic downturn as well as related state and federal budget cuts for these services.

PARENT SATISFACTION WITH HFO

Parents are given multiple opportunities to provide confidential feedback about the services they receive from HFO. Overall, families are extremely positive about the home visiting services. Almost 100% of HFO parents reported that the home visitors "helped a lot or a little" by providing parenting information. Parents also reported that their home visitor "helped a lot or a little" with obtaining basic resources (97%), dealing with emotional issues (97%), and encouraging the development of positive

relationships with family or friends (92%). Parents reported that the services provided by the program are culturally competent (96%) and help them to build on their family's strengths (86%).

Program Implementation & Service Delivery

Strong outcomes cannot be achieved without high-quality service delivery. HFO has maintained a strong system for screening, contacting and offering services to first-time parents. Most screening (94%) took place prenatally or during the first 2 weeks after the baby's birth, exceeding the HFA standard of 80%. Early screening and engagement of families in services is critical to program success.

The program provided intensive home visiting services to almost 3,000 at-risk families this year (2,958). Services were offered to 3,709 families; about two-thirds of these indicated that they would be interested in the program. The primary reason for declining services was that the family felt that services were not needed (76%); in fact, those families who indicated this as a reason for declining had fewer risk factors, on average, than those who were interested in home visiting.

For families who indicate that they are interested in home visitation, a follow-up contact or home visit is scheduled near the due date or shortly after the baby's birth. Families are not contacted and/or offered services for a variety of reasons, including:

- Services are not available/program caseloads are full (20%).
- Additional local eligibility criteria are not met (1%).
- Families can no longer be reached or located (63%).

Overall, of those families who are initially screened and indicated interest in the program, 46% (793) enrolled in services and began receiving home visits.

Thus in 2012-2013, a total of 2,958 families received intensive home visitation; of these 793 were new to the program during this fiscal year. Families remain in the program, on average, until the baby is about one year of age. The average age of children at exit from the program is 15 months, although the average for local programs ranges from 2 months to 20 months. This figure reflects a steady increase in programs' ability to retain families successfully for longer periods of time over the past several reporting periods.

MEETING SERVICE DELIVERY STANDARDS

Across eight key service delivery performance standards (related to timing, engagement, provision, and retention in services), the state met or exceeded the Oregon Performance and/or HFA standards in seven areas. Individual programs showed somewhat greater variability:

- 22 out of 28 met state standards for early screening (70% within 2 weeks of birth).
- 27 out of 28 met standards for timely delivery of the first home visit (80% of first home visits by baby's 3-month birth date).
- 26 out of 28 met state standards for successfully engaging over 75% of families for more than 90 days.
- 22 out of 28 met the standard for successfully retaining at least 50% of families for more than 1 year of service.

Conclusions

Healthy Families Oregon has consistently documented positive outcomes for parents and children for over 10 years. During FY 2012-2013, program participants showed improvements across a variety of domains known to be important to supporting children's healthy development and reducing the risk for child maltreatment. Further, the program is showing considerable success at the state and local levels in meeting the standards set by Healthy Families America, thus ensuring home visiting services are consistent with evidence-based best practices. The state's investment in HFA accreditation appears to have resulted in greater consistency and quality of services across the state, and variability in implementation quality across programs has continued to be reduced since accreditation was originally achieved in 2007.

HFO programs represent a key component of the state's effort to screen families and children for risk of negative outcomes, and to the system of home visitation and supports for at-risk families. Evaluation results underscore the key role that HFO programs have in improving outcomes for these families, and in laying the foundations for later success.

References

- Caldwell, B. M., & Bradley, R. H. (1994). Environmental issues in developmental follow-up research. In S. L. Friedman & H. C. Haywood, Eds., *Developmental follow-up: Concepts, domains, and methods*, pp. 235-256. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Child Trends. (2007). *Early Child Development in Social Context: A Chartbook*. Child Trends and Center for Child Health Research.
- National Immunization Survey (2011). <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm6135.pdf>. Retrieved on Jan. 25, 2013.
- National Survey of Children's Health (2007). <http://childhealthdata.org/browse/survey?s=2>. Retrieved on Feb. 4, 2013.
- Oregon ALERT Immunization Registry (2010). <http://public.health.oregon.gov/DataStatistics/Pages/index.aspx>. Retrieved on January 17, 2013.
- Stith, S. M., Liu, T., Christopher Davies, L., Boykin, E. L., Alder, M. C., Harris, J. M., Som, A., McPherson, M., & Dees, J. E. M. E. G. (2009). Risk factors in child maltreatment: A meta-analytic review of the literature. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 14*(1), 13-29. doi: 10.1016/j.avb.2006.03.006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service Delivery Indicators 2012-13 | 1 |
| Table 2. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Outcome Indicators 2012-13 | 4 |
| Table 3a. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service 2011-12 Cohort (CE 1-1.C) | 7 |
| Table 3b. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service 2012-13 Cohort | 10 |
| Table 4a. Receipt of Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service and Acceptance Rate 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-2.A) | 13 |
| Table 4b. Reasons Parents Decline Home Visiting Services - No First Home Visit 2012- 13 Cohort (CE 1-1.E) | 16 |
| Table 5. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-2.B) | 19 |
| Table 6. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012- 13 Cohort (CE 1-2.B) | 22 |
| Table 7. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012- 13 Cohort (CE 1-2.B) | 25 |
| Table 8. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2010-11 (CE 3-4.B)..... | 28 |
| Table 9. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)..... | 31 |
| Table 10. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B) | 34 |
| Table 11. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2011-2012 (CE 3-4.B) | 37 |
| Table 12. Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion (CE 3- 4.B) | 40 |
| Table 13a. Family Assessment Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service | 43 |
| Table 13b. Family Assessment Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics | 46 |
| Table 13c. Percent with High Stress Family Assessment and Presence of Specific Indicators | 49 |
| Table 14. Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity | 52 |
| Table 15. Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level | 55 |
| Table 16. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups | 58 |



| | |
|---|----|
| Table 17a. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance..... | 61 |
| Table 17b. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Use of Emergency Room in Past 6 Months..... | 64 |
| Table 18a. Comparison of Prenatal Care and Smoke Exposure for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal | 67 |
| Table 18b. Comparison of Health Outcomes for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal | 70 |
| Table 19. Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births | 73 |
| Table 20. HOME Score and Developmental Screening | 76 |
| Table 21. Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions | 79 |
| Table 22. Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions..... | 82 |
| Table 23. Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families | 85 |
| Table 24. Family Outcomes and Life Events | 88 |
| Table 25. Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn | 91 |
| Table 26. Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness | 94 |
| Table 27. Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors 2012-13..... | 97 |

Table 1. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service Delivery Indicators 2012-13

| County | Number First Births FY 2012-13 | Number (%) First Births Screened ¹ | Service Delivery Indicator #1 Number (%) Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth ² | Service Delivery Indicator #2 Number (%) Receiving First HV Within 3 Months of Birth ³ | Service Delivery Indicator #3 % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed ⁴ | Service Delivery Indicator #4 Number (%) IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer ⁵ (2012-13) | Service Delivery Indicator #5 Number (%) Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2011-12) ⁶ | Service Delivery Indicator #6 Caseload Points Per Home Visitor ⁷ | Service Delivery Indicator #7 At least 5% Cash | Service Delivery Indicator #7 Min. 25% Match | Service Delivery Indicator #8 Age Appropriate ASQ Screening |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Baker | 58 | -- | -- | 5 (100%) | -- | 4 (80%) | 5 (71%) | -- | -- | -- | 15 (88%) |
| Benton | 319 | 110 (34%) | 108 (98%) | 7 (88%) | 75% | 7 (88%) | 9 (64%) | 15.10 | 33% | 33% | 22 (73%) |
| Clackamas | 1,628 | 926 (57%) | 868 (95%) | 56 (93%) | 79% | 47 (94%) | 19 (51%) | 18.53 | 25% | 36% | 90 (78%) |
| Clatsop | 145 | -- | -- | 5 (100%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 3 (43%) | -- | -- | -- | 10 (83%) |
| Columbia | 194 | 83 (43%) | 50 (61%) | 5 (83%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 6 (86%) | -- | -- | -- | 17 (94%) |
| Coos | 256 | 28 (11%) | 20 (74%) | 1 (100%) | 86% | 3 (75%) | 3 (21%) | 22.47 | 12% | 27% | 17 (74%) |
| Crook | 73 | 11 (15%) | 4 (36%) | 8 (100%) | 80% | 7 (100%) | 4 (57%) | 16.50 | 50% | 50% | 10 (48%) |
| Curry | 70 | 5 (7%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 81% | 5 (100%) | 7 (70%) | 13.55 | 25% | 25% | 5 (29%) |
| Deschutes | 710 | 204 (29%) | 190 (93%) | 33 (87%) | 90% | 27 (96%) | 15 (48%) | 20.99 | 17% | 26% | 43 (58%) |
| Douglas | 444 | 238 (54%) | 229 (97%) | 24 (100%) | 87% | 18 (78%) | 19 (63%) | 23.07 | 57% | 78% | 46 (82%) |
| Gilliam | 9 | 10 (111%) | 4 (40%) | 3 (100%) | 78% | 2 (50%) | 1 (100%) | 6.32 | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Grant | 23 | 9 (39%) | 7 (78%) | 4 (80%) | 53% | 2 (67%) | 2 (50%) | 13.18 | 150% | 160% | 3 (50%) |
| Harney | 29 | 4 (14%) | 2 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 73% | 1 (50%) | 1 (100%) | 13.04 | 31% | 31% | 1 (11%) |
| Hood River | 113 | 44 (39%) | 31 (72%) | 8 (89%) | 83% | 11 (100%) | 8 (80%) | 24.42 | -- | -- | 34 (92%) |
| Jackson | 907 | 307 (34%) | 271 (92%) | 30 (86%) | 79% | 32 (84%) | 10 (48%) | 23.36 | 170% | 176% | 34 (54%) |
| Jefferson | 76 | 15 (20%) | 6 (40%) | 6 (86%) | 77% | 6 (75%) | 2 (100%) | 25.29 | 50% | 64% | 21 (91%) |
| Josephine | 323 | 164 (51%) | 151 (94%) | 13 (100%) | 77% | 9 (82%) | 14 (74%) | 17.87 | 62% | 63% | 27 (66%) |
| Klamath | 302 | 165 (55%) | 155 (96%) | 21 (96%) | 89% | 25 (100%) | 12 (57%) | 18.28 | 27% | 22% | 13 (27%) |

¹ 50% or more first births screened meets the Oregon Performance Standard.² 70% or more screens completed prenatally or within 2 weeks of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.³ 80% or more first home visits completed prenatally or within 3 months of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.⁴ 65% or more families with 75% or more of their expected home visits completed meets the Oregon Performance Standard.⁵ 75% or more Intensive Service families engaged in services for 90 days or longer (based on date of first home visit) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.⁶ 50% or more of families remaining in Intensive Service for 12 months or longer meets the Oregon Performance Standard.⁷ Average caseload points of 18-24 per 1.0 FTE meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 1. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service Delivery Indicators 2012-13

| County | Number First Births FY 2012-13 | Number (%) First Births Screened ¹ | Service Delivery Indicator #1 | Service Delivery Indicator #2 | Service Delivery Indicator #3 | Service Delivery Indicator #4 | Service Delivery Indicator #5 | Service Delivery Indicator #6 | Service Delivery Indicator #7 | | Service Delivery Indicator #8 |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | Number (%) Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth ² | Number (%) Receiving First HV Within 3 Months of Birth ³ | % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed ⁴ | Number (%) IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer ⁵ (2012-13) | Number (%) Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2011-12) ⁶ | Caseload Points Per Home Visitor ⁷ | At least 5% Cash | Min. 25% Match | Age Appropriate ASQ Screening |
| Lane | 1,477 | 1,183 (80%) | 1,138 (96%) | 64 (93%) | 82% | 51 (90%) | 31 (74%) | 36.64 | 21% | 28% | 136 (93%) |
| Lincoln | 169 | 122 (72%) | 106 (90%) | 14 (100%) | 85% | 19 (95%) | 16 (84%) | 22.24 | 19% | 26% | 49 (70%) |
| Linn | 503 | 351 (70%) | 297 (86%) | 16 (89%) | 77% | 17 (94%) | 10 (48%) | 18.84 | 69% | 72% | 38 (86%) |
| Malheur | 128 | 49 (38%) | 40 (83%) | 36 (97%) | 77% | 28 (88%) | 6 (35%) | 10.43 | 137% | 139% | 23 (79%) |
| Marion | 1475 | 1,076 (73%) | 1,054 (98%) | 69 (92%) | 85% | 58 (83%) | 54 (60%) | 18.94 | 34% | 42% | 151 (80%) |
| Morrow | 51 | 38 (75%) | 32 (87%) | 7 (78%) | 77% | 5 (100%) | 5 (63%) | 6.94 | 235% | 235% | 14 (64%) |
| Multnomah | 4,176 | 2,690 (64%) | 2,611 (97%) | 209 (91%) | 80% | 173 (88%) | 133 (61%) | 19.03 | 94% | 94% | 373 (83%) |
| Polk | 281 | 155 (55%) | 151 (97%) | 11 (85%) | 83% | 9 (82%) | 6 (75%) | 12.91 | 35% | 35% | 18 (64%) |
| Sherman | 5 | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 86 | 62 (72%) | 50 (91%) | 12 (100%) | 83% | 17 (90%) | 3 (75%) | 10.71 | 68% | 68% | 20 (83%) |
| Umatilla | 389 | 89 (23%) | 78 (90%) | 16 (80%) | 71% | 17 (90%) | 15 (46%) | 21.99 | 29% | 31% | 8 (22%) |
| Union | 110 | 68 (62%) | 68 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 79% | 3 (75%) | 11 (79%) | 22.87 | 23% | 26% | 14 (67%) |
| Wallowa | 19 | 37 (195%) | 32 (87%) | 9 (100%) | -- | 5 (100%) | 4 (67%) | -- | -- | -- | 4 (57%) |
| Wasco | 111 | 78 (70%) | 74 (95%) | 9 (100%) | 76% | 11 (100%) | 11 (65%) | 19.55 | -- | -- | 18 (69%) |
| Washington | 2,959 | 420 (14%) | 314 (76%) | 60 (82%) | 80% | 77 (96%) | 65 (61%) | 18.33 | 11% | 25% | 180 (72%) |
| Wheeler | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 427 | 73 (17%) | 63 (86%) | 11 (100%) | 80% | 9 (90%) | 10 (71%) | 16.36 | 116% | 116% | 30 (64%) |
| State | 18,082 | 8,814 (49%) | 8,208 (94%) | 784 (91%) | 81% | 712 (89%) | 520 (61%) | 19.44 | 63% | 69% | 1,488 (74%) |

¹ 50% or more first births screened meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

² 70% or more screens completed prenatally or within 2 weeks of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

³ 80% or more first home visits completed prenatally or within 3 months of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁴ 65% or more families with 75% or more of their expected home visits completed meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁵ 75% or more Intensive Service families engaged in services for 90 days or longer (based on date of first home visit) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁶ 50% or more of families remaining in Intensive Service for 12 months or longer meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁷ Average caseload points of 18-24 per 1.0 FTE meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 1. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service Delivery Indicators 2012-13

| Regional Programs | Number First Births FY 2012-13 | Number (%) First Births Screened ¹ | Service Delivery Indicator #1 | Service Delivery Indicator #2 | Service Delivery Indicator #3 | Service Delivery Indicator #4 | Service Delivery Indicator #5 Number (%) Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2011-12) ⁶ | Service Delivery Indicator #6 | Service Delivery Indicator #7 | | Service Delivery Indicator #8 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | Number (%) Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth ² | Number (%) Receiving First HV Within 3 Months of Birth ³ | % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed ⁴ | Number (%) IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer (2012-13) ⁵ | Caseload Points Per Home Visitor ⁷ | At least 5% Cash | Min. 25% Match | Age Appropriate ASQ Screening | |
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 339 | 83 (24%) | 50 (61%) | 10 (91%) | 86% | 6 (100%) | 9 (64%) | 20.34 | 19% | 43% | 27 (90%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 224 | 122 (54%) | 105 (87%) | 17 (94%) | 80% | 22 (100%) | 19 (70%) | 21.84 | 32% | 40% | 52 (83%) |
| Coos/Curry | 326 | 32 (10%) | 24 (77%) | 5 (83%) | | 8 (89%) | 10 (42%) | | | | 22 (55%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 18 | 10 (56%) | 4 (40%) | 4 (100%) | | 3 (60%) | 1 (100%) | | 30% | 31% | 4 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 1,756 | 1,233 (70%) | 1,206 (98%) | 80 (91%) | | 67 (83%) | 60 (61%) | | | | 169 (78%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 77 | 38 (48%) | 33 (87%) | 14 (100%) | 91% | 9 (90%) | 9 (69%) | 22.11 | 205% | 205% | 19 (79%) |

¹ 50% or more first births screened meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

² 70% or more screens completed prenatally or within 2 weeks of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

³ 80% or more first home visits completed prenatally or within 3 months of birth meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁴ 65% or more families with 75% or more of their expected home visits completed meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁵ 75% or more Intensive Service families engaged in services for 90 days or longer (based on date of first home visit) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁶ 50% or more of families remaining in Intensive Service for 12 months or longer meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁷ Average caseload points of 18-24 per 1.0 FTE meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 2. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Outcome Indicators 2012-13

| County | Outcome Indicator #1 Number (%) Children with Primary Care Provider ⁸ | Outcome Indicator #2 Number (%) Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations ⁹ | Outcome Indicator #3 Number (%) Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More ¹⁰ | Outcome Indicator #4 Number (%) Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions ¹¹ | Outcome Indicator #5 Number (%) Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress ¹² | Outcome Indicator #6 Number (%) Parents Reporting HFA Oregon Helped with Social Support ¹³ |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Baker | 20 (100%) | 16 (80%) | 18 (90%) | 20 (100%) | 10 (59%) | 16 (89%) |
| Benton | 28 (100%) | 25 (89%) | 25 (96%) | 26 (100%) | 11 (58%) | 23 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 122 (98%) | 100 (81%) | 101 (88%) | 109 (95%) | 60 (55%) | 93 (85%) |
| Clatsop | 12 (100%) | 11 (92%) | 11 (100%) | 11 (100%) | 8 (80%) | 9 (100%) |
| Columbia | 18 (100%) | 17 (94%) | 18 (100%) | 18 (100%) | 12 (71%) | 18 (100%) |
| Coos | 25 (100%) | 23 (92%) | 22 (92%) | 24 (100%) | 17 (81%) | 21 (100%) |
| Crook | 22 (100%) | 19 (86%) | 19 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 10 (63%) | 14 (88%) |
| Curry | 10 (100%) | 5 (50%) | 9 (82%) | 10 (91%) | 3 (60%) | 6 (86%) |
| Deschutes | 68 (100%) | 66 (97%) | 56 (100%) | 56 (100%) | 28 (65%) | 49 (100%) |
| Douglas | 59 (97%) | 53 (86%) | 56 (90%) | 58 (94%) | 26 (57%) | 51 (96%) |
| Gilliam | 4 (100%) | 2 (50%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 2 (67%) | -- |
| Grant | 5 (83%) | 4 (67%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (67%) | 5 (100%) |
| Harney | 8 (100%) | 7 (88%) | 9 (100%) | 9 (100%) | 2 (33%) | 6 (100%) |
| Hood River | 40 (100%) | 40 (100%) | 37 (100%) | 37 (100%) | 25 (76%) | 27 (100%) |
| Jackson | 70 (100%) | 67 (96%) | 63 (94%) | 63 (96%) | 41 (64%) | 58 (95%) |
| Jefferson | 29 (100%) | 26 (90%) | 25 (93%) | 25 (93%) | 14 (61%) | 26 (100%) |
| Josephine | 48 (98%) | 44 (90%) | 42 (96%) | 41 (93%) | 28 (67%) | 38 (100%) |
| Klamath | 32 (100%) | 29 (88%) | 20 (80%) | 22 (92%) | 8 (62%) | 19 (95%) |

⁸ 70% or more of children with a primary care provider meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁹ 70% or more of children with up-to-date immunizations meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹⁰ 70% or more of parents who report they read to their children 3 times a week or more (as reported on the Parent Survey) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹¹ 70% or more of parents reporting positive parent-child interactions meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹² 50% or more of parents reporting reduced parenting stress meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹³ 70% or more of parents reporting Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon helped with social support meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 2. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Outcome Indicators 2012-13

| County | Outcome Indicator #1 Number (%) Children with Primary Care Provider ⁸ | Outcome Indicator #2 Number (%) Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations ⁹ | Outcome Indicator #3 Number (%) Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More ¹⁰ | Outcome Indicator #4 Number (%) Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions ¹¹ | Outcome Indicator #5 Number (%) Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress ¹² | Outcome Indicator #6 Number (%) Parents Reporting HFA Oregon Helped with Social Support ¹³ |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Lane | 155 (99%) | 139 (89%) | 145 (96%) | 146 (99%) | 80 (58%) | 138 (97%) |
| Lincoln | 71 (100%) | 65 (92%) | 65 (97%) | 64 (96%) | 37 (66%) | 53 (91%) |
| Linn | 49 (100%) | 44 (90%) | 43 (96%) | 44 (98%) | 28 (68%) | 33 (92%) |
| Malheur | 26 (100%) | 23 (89%) | 22 (100%) | 22 (100%) | 11 (58%) | 13 (93%) |
| Marion | 204 (98%) | 189 (92%) | 151 (85%) | 170 (96%) | 95 (65%) | 124 (87%) |
| Morrow | 22 (96%) | 22 (96%) | 19 (95%) | 18 (90%) | 5 (63%) | 16 (94%) |
| Multnomah | 514 (98%) | 444 (85%) | 449 (94%) | 459 (96%) | 279 (66%) | 335 (89%) |
| Polk | 27 (100%) | 24 (89%) | 23 (92%) | 25 (100%) | 14 (64%) | 13 (68%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 29 (100%) | 28 (97%) | 23 (89%) | 22 (85%) | 11 (52%) | 21 (100%) |
| Umatilla | 29 (97%) | 27 (90%) | 22 (96%) | 23 (100%) | 13 (68%) | 16 (73%) |
| Union | 19 (95%) | 18 (90%) | 17 (100%) | 17 (100%) | 9 (64%) | 13 (87%) |
| Wallowa | 8 (100%) | 8 (100%) | 8 (100%) | 8 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) |
| Wasco | 31 (97%) | 31 (97%) | 28 (97%) | 28 (100%) | 16 (62%) | 24 (96%) |
| Washington | 234 (100%) | 223 (95%) | 204 (93%) | 209 (95%) | 111 (57%) | 190 (93%) |
| Wheeler | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 48 (100%) | 41 (87%) | 42 (88%) | 44 (92%) | 24 (71%) | 31 (84%) |
| State | 2,089 (99%) | 1,883 (89%) | 1,804 (93%) | 1,859 (96%) | 1,048 (63%) | 1,506 (92%) |

⁸ 70% or more of children with a primary care provider meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁹ 70% or more of children with up-to-date immunizations meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹⁰ 70% or more of parents who report they read to their children 3 times a week or more (as reported on the Parent Survey) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹¹ 70% or more of parents reporting positive parent-child interactions meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹² 50% or more of parents reporting reduced parenting stress meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹³ 70% or more of parents reporting Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon helped with social support meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 2. Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Outcome Indicators 2012-13

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Outcome Indicator #1 Number (%) Children with Primary Care Provider⁸ | Outcome Indicator #2 Number (%) Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations⁹ | Outcome Indicator #3 Number (%) Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More¹⁰ | Outcome Indicator #4 Number (%) Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions¹¹ | Outcome Indicator #5 Number (%) Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress¹² | Outcome Indicator #6 Number (%) Parents Reporting HFA Oregon Helped with Social Support¹³ |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 30 (100%) | 28 (93%) | 29 (100%) | 29 (100%) | 20 (74%) | 27 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 71 (99%) | 71 (99%) | 65 (99%) | 65 (100%) | 41 (70%) | 51 (98%) |
| Coos/Curry | 35 (100%) | 28 (80%) | 31 (89%) | 34 (97%) | 20 (77%) | 27 (96%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 7 (100%) | 5 (71%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 231 (98%) | 213 (91%) | 174 (86%) | 195 (97%) | 109 (65%) | 137 (85%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 28 (100%) | 24 (86%) | 26 (93%) | 28 (100%) | 15 (65%) | 22 (92%) |

⁸ 70% or more of children with a primary care provider meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

⁹ 70% or more of children with up-to-date immunizations meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹⁰ 70% or more of parents who report they read to their children 3 times a week or more (as reported on the Parent Survey) meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹¹ 70% or more of parents reporting positive parent-child interactions meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹² 50% or more of parents reporting reduced parenting stress meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

¹³ 70% or more of parents reporting Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon helped with social support meets the Oregon Performance Standard.

Table 3a. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service 2011-12 Cohort (CE 1-1.C)

| County | Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service: High Risk Screen (% of all screens) | Total (% of Eligible) Not Offered Intensive Service at Time of Screen | <i>Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: Already Enrolled in Another Service</i> | <i>Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: NBQ was Incorrectly Scored as Negative</i> | Total (% of Eligible) Offered Intensive Service (at Time of Screening) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Baker | 5 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) |
| Benton | 37 (41%) | 5 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (100%) | 32 (87%) |
| Clackamas | 400 (44%) | 23 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 23 (100%) | 377 (94%) |
| Clatsop | 5 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) |
| Columbia | 53 (68%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 52 (98%) |
| Coos | 15 (83%) | 1 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 14 (93%) |
| Crook | 9 (90%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 9 (100%) |
| Curry | 5 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 105 (63%) | 9 (9%) | 3 (33%) | 6 (67%) | 96 (91%) |
| Douglas | 112 (70%) | 6 (5%) | 1 (17%) | 5 (83%) | 106 (95%) |
| Gilliam | 2 (29%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 2 (100%) |
| Grant | 7 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 7 (100%) |
| Harney | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 4 (100%) |
| Hood River | 30 (68%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 29 (97%) |
| Jackson | 198 (76%) | 16 (8%) | 1 (6%) | 15 (94%) | 182 (92%) |
| Jefferson | 9 (82%) | 1 (11%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (89%) |
| Josephine | 113 (71%) | 2 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) | 111 (98%) |
| Klamath | 78 (54%) | 8 (10%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (100%) | 70 (90%) |

Table 3a. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service 2011-12 Cohort (CE 1-1.C)

| County | Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service: High Risk Screen (% of all screens) | Total (% of Eligible) Not Offered Intensive Service at Time of Screen | Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: Already Enrolled in Another Service | Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: NBQ was Incorrectly Scored as Negative | Total (% of Eligible) Offered Intensive Service (at Time of Screening) |
|------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Lane | 541 (46%) | 69 (13%) | 35 (51%) | 34 (49%) | 472 (87%) |
| Lincoln | 90 (83%) | 2 (2%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 88 (98%) |
| Linn | 244 (72%) | 91 (37%) | 82 (90%) | 9 (10%) | 153 (63%) |
| Malheur | 35 (71%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 35 (100%) |
| Marion | 421 (64%) | 15 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (100%) | 406 (96%) |
| Morrow | 31 (82%) | 3 (10%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 28 (90%) |
| Multnomah | 1,140 (42%) | 234 (21%) | 149 (64%) | 85 (36%) | 906 (80%) |
| Polk | 57 (54%) | 5 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (100%) | 52 (91%) |
| Sherman | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Tillamook | 42 (72%) | 5 (12%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (80%) | 37 (88%) |
| Umatilla | 63 (82%) | 3 (5%) | 1 (33%) | 2 (67%) | 60 (95%) |
| Union | 32 (55%) | 8 (25%) | 3 (38%) | 5 (63%) | 24 (75%) |
| Wallowa | 16 (84%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 15 (94%) |
| Wasco | 42 (55%) | 3 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 39 (93%) |
| Washington | 265 (77%) | 23 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 23 (100%) | 242 (91%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 42 (75%) | 5 (12%) | 3 (60%) | 2 (40%) | 37 (88%) |
| State | 4,249 (54%) | 540 (13%) | 283 (52%) | 257 (48%) | 3,709 (87%) |

Table 3a. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service 2011-12 Cohort (CE 1-1.C)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (High Risk Screen) | Total (% of Eligible) Not Offered Intensive Service at Time of Screen | <i>Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: Already Enrolled in Another Service</i> | <i>Number (% of Not Offered) Not Offered: NBQ was Incorrectly Scored as Negative</i> | Total (% of Eligible) Offered Intensive Service (at Time of Screening) |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 58 (70%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 57 (98%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 72 (60%) | 4 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 68 (94%) |
| Coos/Curry | 20 (87%) | 1 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 19 (95%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 3 (38%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | -- | 3 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 478 (63%) | 20 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 20 (100%) | 458 (96%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 21 (88%) | 1 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 20 (95%) |

**Table 3b. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon
Service 2012-13 Cohort**

| County | Total (% of Offered at Screen) Declined At Screen | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Feels Services Not Needed | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Other |
|-------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Baker | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Benton | 5 (16%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (80%) |
| Clackamas | 120 (32%) | 1 (1%) | 111 (93%) | 8 (7%) |
| Clatsop | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 12 (23%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (67%) | 4 (33%) |
| Coos | 1 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Crook | 1 (11%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Curry | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Deschutes | 2 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Douglas | 53 (50%) | 5 (9%) | 38 (72%) | 10 (19%) |
| Gilliam | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Grant | 2 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) |
| Harney | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Hood River | 2 (7%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) |
| Jackson | 95 (52%) | 4 (4%) | 1 (1%) | 90 (95%) |
| Jefferson | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Josephine | 4 (4%) | 1 (25%) | 2 (50%) | 1 (25%) |
| Klamath | 33 (47%) | 0 (0%) | 24 (73%) | 9 (27%) |

**Table 3b. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon
Service 2012-13 Cohort**

| County | Total (% of Offered at Screen) Declined At Screen | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Feels Services Not Needed | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Other |
|-------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Lane | 296 (63%) | 16 (5%) | 255 (86%) | 25 (8%) |
| Lincoln | 2 (2%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) |
| Linn | 26 (17%) | 6 (23%) | 7 (27%) | 13 (50%) |
| Malheur | 5 (14%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (80%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion | 94 (23%) | 4 (4%) | 52 (55%) | 38 (40%) |
| Morrow | 9 (32%) | 1 (11%) | 1 (11%) | 7 (79%) |
| Multnomah | 407 (45%) | 3 (1%) | 404 (99%) | 0 (0%) |
| Polk | 38 (73%) | 2 (5%) | 20 (53%) | 16 (42%) |
| Sherman | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 10 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (90%) | 1 (10%) |
| Umatilla | 4 (7%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 2 (50%) |
| Union | 11 (46%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) | 9 (82%) |
| Wallowa | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Wasco | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Washington | 3 (1%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 5 (14%) | 1 (20%) | 1 (20%) | 3 (60%) |
| State | 1,242 (34%) | 49 (4%) | 948 (76%) | 245 (20%) |

**Table 3b. Initial Interest in Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service
2012-13 Cohort**

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Total (% of Offered at Screen) Declined At Screen | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Feels Services Not Needed | Number (% of Declined) Declined: Other |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 12 (21%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (67%) | 4 (33%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 2 (3%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos/Curry | 1 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 132 (29%) | 6 (5%) | 72 (55%) | 54 (41 %) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- |

Table 4a. Receipt of Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service and Acceptance Rate 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-2.A)

| County | Total Interested in Service (% of Those Offered at Screen) | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Caseload Full | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Didn't Meet Local Eligibility ¹⁴ | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Unable to Contact | Total Interested and Offered at Follow-Up | Number (% of Offered and Interested in Service at Screening) Received First Home Visit (Accepted Services) | Total Families Receiving Home Visits This FY ¹⁵ |
|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Baker | 5 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 5 (100%) | 24 |
| Benton | 27 (84%) | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 8 (30%) | 44 |
| Clackamas | 257 (68%) | 65 (63%) | 0 (0%) | 39 (38%) | 153 | 59 (39%) | 183 |
| Clatsop | 5 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 5 (100%) | 17 |
| Columbia | 40 (77%) | 17 (77%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (23%) | 18 | 5 (28%) | 25 |
| Coos | 13 (93%) | -- | -- | -- | 13 | 1 (8%) | 32 |
| Crook | 8 (89%) | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 8 (100%) | 27 |
| Curry | 5 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 5 (100%) | 25 |
| Deschutes | 94 (98%) | 11 (52%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (48%) | 73 | 34 (47%) | 114 |
| Douglas | 53 (50%) | 13 (72%) | 1 (6%) | 4 (22%) | 35 | 23 (66%) | 92 |
| Gilliam | 1 (50%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 (100%) | 5 |
| Grant | 5 (71%) | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 5 (100%) | 11 |
| Harney | 3 (75%) | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 3 (100%) | 14 |
| Hood River | 27 (93%) | -- | -- | -- | 14 | 8 (57%) | 51 |
| Jackson | 87 (48%) | -- | -- | -- | 87 | 30 (35%) | 101 |
| Jefferson | 8 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 7 (88%) | 34 |
| Josephine | 107 (96%) | 51 (68%) | 0 (0%) | 24 (32%) | 32 | 13 (41%) | 57 |
| Klamath | 37 (53%) | -- | -- | -- | 37 | 22 (60%) | 73 |

¹⁴ Includes randomized control families in the 7 RCT programs: Clackamas, Deschutes, Douglas, Jackson, Lane, Marion, and Polk.

¹⁵ Total number of families receiving home visits this fiscal year includes any family who received at least one home visit between July 2012 and June 2013, regardless of the month/year they originally entered Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon services.

Table 4a. Receipt of Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service and Acceptance Rate 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-2.A)

| County | Total Interested in Service (% of Those Offered at Screen) | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Caseload Full | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Didn't Meet Local Eligibility ¹⁴ | Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Unable to Contact | Total Interested and Offered at Follow-Up | Number (% of Offered and Interested in Service at Screening) Received First Home Visit (Accepted Services) | Total Families Receiving Home Visits This FY ¹⁵ |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Lane | 176 (37%) | 17 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 46 (73%) | 113 | 68 (60%) | 200 |
| Lincoln | 86 (98%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (100%) | 76 | 16 (21%) | 95 |
| Linn | 127 (83%) | 6 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 33 (85%) | 88 | 18 (21%) | 64 |
| Malheur | 30 (86%) | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 29 (97%) | 62 |
| Marion | 312 (77%) | 86 (52%) | 2 (1%) | 78 (47%) | 146 | 73 (50%) | 282 |
| Morrow | 19 (68%) | -- | -- | -- | 19 | 7 (37%) | 35 |
| Multnomah | 499 (55%) | 1 (1%) | 1 (1%) | 130 (99%) | 367 | 201 (55%) | 652 |
| Polk | 14 (27%) | -- | -- | -- | 14 | 13 (93%) | 38 |
| Sherman | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 |
| Tillamook | 27 (73%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) | 25 | 10 (40%) | 47 |
| Umatilla | 56 (93%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 55 | 17 (31%) | 75 |
| Union | 13 (54%) | -- | -- | -- | 13 | 5 (39%) | 25 |
| Wallowa | 15 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 15 | 8 (53%) | 17 |
| Wasco | 39 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (100%) | 32 | 6 (19%) | 43 |
| Washington | 239 (99%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 53 (98%) | 185 | 67 (36%) | 326 |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3 |
| Yamhill | 32 (87%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (100%) | 21 | 12 (57%) | 64 |
| State | 2,467 (67%) | 268 (36%) | 4 (1%) | 466 (63%) | 1,729 | 793 (46%) | 2,958 |

¹⁴ Includes randomized control families in the 7 RCT programs: Clackamas, Deschutes, Douglas, Jackson, Lane, Marion, and Polk.

¹⁵ Total number of families receiving home visits this fiscal year includes any family who received at least one home visit between July 2012 and June 2013, regardless of the month/year they originally entered Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon services.

Table 4a. Receipt of Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon Service and Acceptance Rate 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-2.A)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | <i>Total Interested in Service (% of Those Offered at Screen)</i> | <i>Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Caseload Full</i> | <i>Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Didn't Meet Local Eligibility¹⁴</i> | <i>Number (% of Interested) Not Offered at Follow Up: Unable to Contact</i> | <i>Total Interested and Offered at Follow-Up</i> | <i>Number (%) of Offered and Interested in Service at Screening) Received First Home Visit (Accepted Services)</i> | <i>Total Receiving Home Visits This FY (Regardless of First Home Visit Date)¹⁵</i> |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 45 (79%) | 17 (77%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (23%) | 23 | 10 (44%) | 42 |
| Columbia Gorge | 66 (97%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 20 (100%) | 46 | 14 (30%) | 94 |
| Coos/Curry | 18 (95%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 18 | 6 (33%) | 57 |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 2 (67%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 9 |
| Marion/Polk | 326 (71%) | 86 (52%) | 2 (1%) | 78 (47%) | 160 | 86 (54%) | 320 |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 20 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 20 | 13 (65%) | 41 |

¹⁴ Includes randomized control families in the 7 RCT programs: Clackamas, Deschutes, Douglas, Jackson, Lane, Marion, and Polk.

¹⁵ Total number of families receiving home visits this fiscal year includes any family who received at least one home visit between July 2012 and June 2013, regardless of the month/year they originally entered Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon services.

Table 4b. Reasons Parents Decline Home Visiting Services - No First Home Visit 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-1.E)

| County | Number (% of Interested & Offered) Did Not Receive First Home Visit | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Family Moved | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Home Visit Scheduled: Unable to Complete | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Feels Services not Needed | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Other | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) No Exit Information ¹⁶ |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Baker | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benton | 19 (70%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 94 (61%) | 15 (16%) | 2 (2%) | 5 (5%) | 41 (44%) | 3 (3%) | 28 (30%) |
| Clatsop | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 13 (72%) | 5 (39%) | 2 (15%) | 1 (8%) | -- | 2 (15%) | 3 (23%) |
| Coos | 12 (92%) | 2 (17%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 (83%) |
| Crook | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Curry | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Deschutes | 39 (53%) | 4 (10%) | -- | -- | 3 (7%) | 25 (64%) | 7 (18%) |
| Douglas | 12 (34%) | 1 (8%) | 1 (8%) | -- | 1 (8%) | -- | 9 (75%) |
| Gilliam | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Harney | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hood River | 6 (43%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6 (100%) |
| Jackson | 57 (66%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 57 (100%) |
| Jefferson | 1 (13%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Josephine | 19 (59%) | 1 (5%) | -- | 4 (21%) | 4 (21%) | 5 (26%) | 5 (26%) |
| Klamath | 15 (41%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 (7%) | 14 (93%) |

¹⁶ These families had no exit information entered in Family Manager and had no additional evaluation data (Family Intake, Update, etc.) indicating a home visit took place.

Table 4b. Reasons Parents Decline Home Visiting Services - No First Home Visit 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-1.E)

| County | Number (% of Interested & Offered) Did Not Receive First Home Visit | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Family Moved | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Home Visit Scheduled: Unable to Complete | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Feels Services not Needed | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Other | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) No Exit Information ¹⁶ |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Lane | 45 (40%) | 3 (7%) | 3 (7%) | 13 (29%) | 12 (27%) | 7 (16%) | 7 (16%) |
| Lincoln | 60 (79%) | 6 (10%) | -- | 2 (3%) | 13 (22%) | 24 (40%) | 15 (25%) |
| Linn | 70 (80%) | 7 (10%) | 1 (1%) | 8 (11%) | 23 (33%) | 5 (7%) | 26 (37%) |
| Malheur | 1 (3%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Marion | 73 (50%) | 1 (1%) | 2 (3%) | 9 (12%) | 32 (44%) | 15 (21%) | 14 (19%) |
| Morrow | 12 (63%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 12 (100%) |
| Multnomah | 166 (45%) | 6 (4%) | 10 (6%) | 34 (21%) | 72 (43%) | 18 (11%) | 26 (16%) |
| Polk | 1 (7%) | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Sherman | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 15 (60%) | 1 (7%) | -- | -- | 2 (13%) | -- | 12 (80%) |
| Umatilla | 38 (69%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 (3%) | 37 (97%) |
| Union | 8 (62%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 7 (47%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7 (100%) |
| Wasco | 26 (81%) | 1 (4%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 (4%) | 24 (92%) |
| Washington | 118 (64%) | 11 (9%) | 1 (1%) | 8 (7%) | 18 (15%) | 12 (10%) | 68 (58%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 9 (43%) | 1 (11%) | -- | -- | 1 (11%) | 3 (33%) | 4 (44%) |
| State | 936 (54%) | 66 (7%) | 22 (2%) | 84 (9%) | 222 (24%) | 122 (13%) | 420 (45%) |

¹⁶ These families had no exit information entered in Family Manager and had no additional evaluation data (Family Intake, Update, etc.) indicating a home visit took place.

Table 4b. Reasons Parents Decline Home Visiting Services - No First Home Visit 2012-13 Cohort (CE 1-1.E)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (% of Interested & Offered) Did Not Receive First Home Visit | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Family Moved | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Home Visit Scheduled: Unable to Complete | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Too Busy | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Feels Services not Needed | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) Declined: Other | Number (% of not Receiving 1 st HV) No Exit Information ¹⁶ |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 13 (57%) | 5 (39%) | 2 (15%) | 1 (8%) | -- | 2 (15%) | 3 (23%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 32 (70%) | 1 (3%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 (3%) | 30 (94%) |
| Coos/Curry | 12 (67%) | 2 (17%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 (83%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Marion/Polk | 74 (46%) | 2 (3%) | 2 (3%) | 9 (12%) | 32 (43%) | 15 (20%) | 14 (19%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 7 (35%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7 (100%) |

¹⁶ These families had no exit information entered in Family Manager and had no additional evaluation data (Family Intake, Update, etc.) indicating a home visit took place.

Table 5. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity 2012-13 Cohort¹⁷ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Offered Intensive Service ¹⁸ | Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Baker | 5 | 5 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benton | 13 | 2 (15%) | 5 | 2 (40%) | 9 | 4 (44%) |
| Clackamas | 102 | 37 (36%) | 27 | 12 (44%) | 24 | 10 (42%) |
| Clatsop | 4 | 4 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 13 | 3 (23%) | 1 | 0 (0%) | 4 | 2 (50%) |
| Coos | 12 | 1 (8%) | -- | -- | 1 | 0 (0%) |
| Crook | 4 | 4 (100%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Curry | 3 | 3 (100%) | -- | -- | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 61 | 30 (49%) | 4 | 1 (25%) | 8 | 3 (38%) |
| Douglas | 29 | 19 (66%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 5 | 3 (60%) |
| Gilliam | -- | -- | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Grant | 3 | 3 (100%) | -- | -- | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Harney | 3 | 3 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hood River | 3 | 1 (33%) | 10 | 6 (60%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Jackson | 53 | 16 (30%) | 22 | 11 (50%) | 12 | 3 (25%) |
| Jefferson | 5 | 5 (100%) | 3 | 2 (67%) | -- | -- |
| Josephine | 28 | 12 (43%) | -- | -- | 4 | 1 (25%) |
| Klamath | 25 | 14 (56%) | 4 | 2 (50%) | 8 | 6 (75%) |

¹⁷ Acceptance is defined as receiving a first home visit (either as indicated on a Family Intake form sent to NPC or a first home visit entered in Family Manager). Race/ethnicity is indicated on the NBQ and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

¹⁸ Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 5. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity 2012-13 Cohort¹⁷ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Offered Intensive Service ¹⁸ | Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lane | 87 | 53 (61%) | 13 | 8 (62%) | 13 | 7 (54%) |
| Lincoln | 58 | 10 (17%) | 10 | 3 (30%) | 8 | 3 (38%) |
| Linn | 61 | 9 (15%) | 16 | 7 (44%) | 11 | 2 (18%) |
| Malheur | 6 | 6 (100%) | 17 | 16 (94%) | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| Marion | 61 | 27 (44%) | 57 | 35 (61%) | 28 | 11 (39%) |
| Morrow | 3 | 3 (100%) | 11 | 2 (18%) | 5 | 2 (40%) |
| Multnomah | 174 | 91 (52%) | 59 | 37 (63%) | 134 | 73 (55%) |
| Polk | 10 | 9 (90%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 16 | 5 (31%) | 5 | 4 (80%) | 4 | 1 (25%) |
| Umatilla | 40 | 14 (35%) | 11 | 2 (18%) | 4 | 1 (25%) |
| Union | 12 | 5 (42%) | -- | -- | 1 | 0 (0%) |
| Wallowa | 12 | 6 (50%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 2 | 1 (50%) |
| Wasco | 20 | 2 (10%) | 6 | 3 (50%) | 6 | 1 (17%) |
| Washington | 51 | 13 (26%) | 92 | 36 (91%) | 42 | 18 (43%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 16 | 9 (56%) | 5 | 3 (60%) | -- | -- |
| State | 994 | 425 (43%) | 388 | 202 (52%) | 347 | 166 (48%) |

¹⁷ Acceptance is defined as receiving a first home visit (either as indicated on a Family Intake form sent to NPC or a first home visit entered in Family Manager). Race/ethnicity is indicated on the NBQ and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

¹⁸ Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 5. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity 2012-13 Cohort¹⁷ (CE 1-2.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service | Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families ¹⁸ Offered Intensive Service | Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 17 | 7 (41%) | 2 | 1 (50%) | 4 | 2 (50%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 23 | 3 (13%) | 16 | 9 (56%) | 7 | 2 (29%) |
| Coos/Curry | 15 | 4 (27%) | -- | -- | 3 | 2 (67%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Marion/Polk | 71 | 36 (51%) | 61 | 39 (64%) | 28 | 11 (39%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 17 | 11 (65%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 2 | 1 (50%) |

¹⁷ Acceptance is defined as receiving a first home visit (either as indicated on a Family Intake form sent to NPC or a first home visit entered in Family Manager). Race/ethnicity is indicated on the NBQ and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

¹⁸ Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 6. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort¹⁹ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Baker | 5 (100%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Benton | 4 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (25%) | 7 (30%) | 6 (26%) | 2 (50%) |
| Clackamas | 42 (37%) | 6 (60%) | 10 (53%) | 49 (37%) | 52 (43%) | 7 (24%) |
| Clatsop | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Columbia | 4 (25%) | -- | 0 (0%) | 5 (29%) | 3 (20%) | 2 (67%) |
| Coos | 1 (8%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (8%) | -- |
| Crook | 6 (100%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 2 |
| Curry | 3 (100%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) | 5 (100%) | -- |
| Deschutes | 33 (47%) | -- | 6 (55%) | 28 (45%) | 30 (46%) | 4 (50%) |
| Douglas | 21 (66%) | -- | 1 (33%) | 22 (69%) | 18 (62%) | 5 (100%) |
| Gilliam | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- |
| Grant | 4 (100%) | -- | -- | 5 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Harney | 3 (100%) | -- | -- | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- |
| Hood River | 1 (25%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (100%) | 6 (55%) | 9 (100%) | 3 (60%) |
| Jackson | 20 (31%) | 4 (50%) | 5 (36%) | 25 (34%) | 27 (37%) | 3 (23%) |
| Jefferson | 5 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 6 (86%) | 7 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Josephine | 13 (42%) | -- | 4 (80%) | 9 (33%) | 11 (42%) | 2 (40%) |
| Klamath | 18 (58%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 21 (58%) | 12 (50%) | 9 (75%) |

¹⁹ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 6. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort¹⁹ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Lane | 61 (60%) | 2 (67%) | 8 (57%) | 60 (61%) | 58 (62%) | 10 (50%) |
| Lincoln | 12 (19%) | 2 (50%) | 3 (27%) | 13 (20%) | 14 (20%) | 2 (29%) |
| Linn | 12 (17%) | 4 (50%) | 4 (24%) | 14 (20%) | 18 (22%) | 0 (0%) |
| Malheur | 16 (94%) | 5 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 24 (96%) | 23 (100%) | 6 (86%) |
| Marion | 34 (41%) | 6 (86%) | 10 (59%) | 63 (49%) | 49 (44%) | 24 (69%) |
| Morrow | 3 (43%) | 2 (29%) | -- | 7 (39%) | 5 (29%) | 2 (100%) |
| Multnomah | 143 (54%) | 5 (50%) | 48 (61%) | 153 (53%) | 180 (54%) | 21 (60%) |
| Polk | 9 (90%) | 1 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 9 (90%) | 10 (91%) | 3 (100%) |
| Sherman | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- |
| Tillamook | 6 (30%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 7 (32%) | 6 (30%) | 4 (80%) |
| Umatilla | 15 (32%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (17%) | 16 (33%) | 14 (32%) | 3 (27%) |
| Union | 5 (42%) | -- | 0 (0%) | 5 (46%) | 5 (42%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wallowa | 7 (50%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 8 (53%) | 5 (42%) | 3 (100%) |
| Wasco | 3 (12%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (40%) | 4 (15%) | 5 (19%) | 1 (17%) |
| Washington | 27 (31%) | 18 (43%) | 16 (44%) | 51 (34%) | 51 (35%) | 16 (44%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 11 (61%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (80%) | 8 (50%) | 11 (58%) | 1 (50%) |
| State | 553 (44%) | 63 (54%) | 144 (53%) | 648 (45%) | 652 (45%) | 140 (52%) |

¹⁹ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 6. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort¹⁹ (CE 1-2.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 8 (40%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 9 (43%) | 7 (37%) | 3 (75%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 4 (14%) | 4 (80%) | 3 (50%) | 10 (26%) | 10 (29%) | 4 (36%) |
| Coos/Curry | 4 (27%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 5 (31%) | 6 (33%) | -- |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 2 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- |
| Marion/Polk | 43 (46%) | 7 (88%) | 14 (67%) | 72 (52%) | 59 (48%) | 27 (71%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 12 (63%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 13 (65%) | 8 (53%) | 5 (100%) |

¹⁹ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 7. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort²⁰ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number (%) Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Prenatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Postnatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service |
|------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Baker | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 4 (100%) |
| Benton | 7 (32%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (27%) | 4 (33%) | 6 (29%) | 2 (33%) |
| Clackamas | 33 (41%) | 26 (36%) | 15 (35%) | 43 (39%) | 27 (29%) | 31 (53%) |
| Clatsop | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 3 (100%) | -- | 5 (100%) |
| Columbia | 1 (8%) | 4 (67%) | 1 (50%) | 4 (25%) | 3 (43%) | 2 (18%) |
| Coos | 1 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (11%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) |
| Crook | 5 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 7 (100%) |
| Curry | 5 (100%) | -- | 2 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 19 (40%) | 15 (58%) | 9 (36%) | 25 (52%) | 8 (62%) | 26 (43%) |
| Douglas | 12 (57%) | 11 (79%) | 7 (88%) | 14 (61%) | 10 (71%) | 13 (65%) |
| Gilliam | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Grant | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Harney | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Hood River | 3 (43%) | 5 (71%) | 4 (67%) | 4 (50%) | 7 (70%) | 1 (33%) |
| Jackson | 18 (39%) | 11 (28%) | 8 (27%) | 21 (38%) | 20 (30%) | 9 (56%) |
| Jefferson | 6 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (100%) |
| Josephine | 9 (41%) | 4 (40%) | 7 (54%) | 6 (32%) | 0 (0%) | 12 (48%) |
| Klamath | 6 (43%) | 16 (70%) | 4 (40%) | 18 (67%) | 10 (71%) | 12 (52%) |

²⁰ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

Table 7. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort²⁰ (CE 1-2.B)

| County | Number (%) Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Prenatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Postnatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Lane | 50 (63%) | 18 (53%) | 26 (59%) | 42 (61%) | 31 (63%) | 37 (58%) |
| Lincoln | 13 (23%) | 3 (15%) | 13 (41%) | 3 (7%) | 10 (15%) | 6 (75%) |
| Linn | 13 (18%) | 5 (36%) | 9 (21%) | 8 (19%) | 12 (18%) | 6 (38%) |
| Malheur | 21 (100%) | 8 (89%) | 11 (100%) | 15 (94%) | 17 (100%) | 11 (92%) |
| Marion | 33 (41%) | 40 (63%) | 12 (29%) | 60 (59%) | 34 (54%) | 39 (47%) |
| Morrow | 3 (30%) | 4 (44%) | 2 (22%) | 4 (44%) | 4 (29%) | 3 (60%) |
| Multnomah | 135 (52%) | 66 (62%) | 73 (59%) | 125 (52%) | 25 (63%) | 176 (54%) |
| Polk | 8 (89%) | 5 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 9 (90%) | 2 (100%) | 11 (92%) |
| Sherman | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Tillamook | 3 (23%) | 7 (64%) | 6 (50%) | 4 (36%) | 9 (43%) | 1 (50%) |
| Umatilla | 8 (24%) | 9 (41%) | 6 (26%) | 11 (36%) | 12 (34%) | 4 (22%) |
| Union | 4 (50%) | 1 (25%) | 3 (33%) | 2 (50%) | -- | 5 (39%) |
| Wallowa | 2 (29%) | 5 (71%) | 3 (50%) | 4 (50%) | 7 (70%) | 1 (20%) |
| Wasco | 3 (16%) | 3 (27%) | 2 (13%) | 4 (24%) | 5 (16%) | 1 (100%) |
| Washington | 43 (36%) | 22 (41%) | 20 (39%) | 45 (35%) | 41 (31%) | 26 (55%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 9 (64%) | 3 (43%) | 6 (55%) | 6 (60%) | 3 (50%) | 9 (60%) |
| State | 483 (43%) | 305 (51%) | 267 (44%) | 510 (46%) | 312 (38%) | 476 (54%) |

²⁰ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

Table 7. Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors 2012-13 Cohort²⁰ (CE 1-2.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Prenatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service | Number (%) of Postnatal Screens Accepting Intensive Service |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 4 (27%) | 6 (75%) | 3 (75%) | 7 (37%) | 3 (43%) | 7 (44%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 6 (23%) | 8 (44%) | 6 (29%) | 8 (32%) | 12 (29%) | 2 (50%) |
| Coos/Curry | 6 (38%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (20%) | 5 (39%) | 4 (29%) | 2 (67%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | -- | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | -- | 2 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 41 (46%) | 45 (65%) | 16 (35%) | 69 (62%) | 36 (55%) | 50 (53%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 5 (50%) | 7 (78%) | 5 (63%) | 7 (64%) | 8 (73%) | 5 (56%) |

²⁰ Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire and entered into Family Manager by program staff.

Table 8. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2010-11 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of New IS Families Enrolled in FY 2010-11 ²¹ | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Baker | 9 | 8 (89%) | 7 (78%) | 5 (56%) | 5 (56%) | 4 (44%) | 13 |
| Benton | 16 | 14 (88%) | 12 (75%) | 11 (69%) | 10 (63%) | 9 (56%) | 19 |
| Clackamas | 62 | 53 (86%) | 43 (69%) | 30 (48%) | 26 (42%) | 22 (36%) | 15 |
| Clatsop | 9 | 9 (100%) | 8 (89%) | 4 (44%) | 3 (33%) | 3 (33%) | 13 |
| Columbia | 7 | 6 (86%) | 6 (86%) | 4 (57%) | 3 (43%) | 3 (43%) | 10 |
| Coos | 18 | 14 (78%) | 11 (61%) | 11 (61%) | 9 (50%) | 3 (17%) | 14 |
| Crook | 18 | 10 (56%) | 8 (44%) | 5 (28%) | 5 (28%) | 4 (22%) | 7 |
| Curry | 7 | 7 (100%) | 6 (86%) | 5 (71%) | 2 (29%) | 2 (29%) | 14 |
| Deschutes | 59 | 50 (85%) | 41 (70%) | 29 (49%) | 22 (37%) | 14 (24%) | 12 |
| Douglas | 19 | 17 (90%) | 14 (74%) | 13 (68%) | 10 (53%) | 9 (47%) | 16 |
| Gilliam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | 3 | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 20 |
| Harney | 4 | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 3 (75%) | 17 |
| Hood River | 15 | 15 (100%) | 12 (80%) | 9 (60%) | 8 (53%) | 6 (40%) | 19 |
| Jackson | 39 | 37 (95%) | 33 (85%) | 21 (54%) | 15 (39%) | 14 (36%) | 18 |
| Jefferson | 1 | 11 (100%) | 10 (91%) | 10 (91%) | 7 (64%) | 7 (64%) | 20 |
| Josephine | 29 | 24 (83%) | 17 (59%) | 17 (59%) | 13 (45%) | 12 (41%) | 10 |
| Klamath | 36 | 32 (89%) | 22 (61%) | 14 (39%) | 10 (28%) | 8 (22%) | 10 |

²¹ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2010-11. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2010-11.

Table 8. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2010-11 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of New IS Families Enrolled in FY 2010-11 ²¹ | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|--------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Lane | 85 | 77 (91%) | 65 (77%) | 49 (58%) | 38 (45%) | 32 (38%) | 17 |
| Lincoln | 30 | 30 (100%) | 28 (93%) | 24 (80%) | 16 (53%) | 11 (37%) | 20 |
| Linn | 31 | 21 (68%) | 17 (55%) | 9 (29%) | 9 (29%) | 8 (26%) | 8 |
| Malheur | 15 | 13 (87%) | 11 (73%) | 8 (53%) | 6 (40%) | 5 (33%) | 8 |
| Marion | 121 | 110 (91%) | 87 (72%) | 66 (55%) | 50 (41%) | 41 (34%) | 15 |
| Morrow | 14 | 12 (86%) | 11 (79%) | 10 (71%) | 8 (57%) | 8 (57%) | 18 |
| Multnomah | 195 | 175 (90%) | 151 (77%) | 120 (62%) | 98 (50%) | 77 (40%) | 18 |
| Polk | 20 | 15 (75%) | 15 (75%) | 13 (65%) | 11 (55%) | 8 (40%) | 18 |
| Sherman | 2 | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 |
| Tillamook | 14 | 13 (93%) | 10 (71%) | 9 (64%) | 8 (57%) | 5 (36%) | 17 |
| Umatilla | 28 | 24 (86%) | 16 (57%) | 8 (29%) | 2 (7%) | 1 (4%) | 9 |
| Union | 11 | 8 (73%) | 6 (56%) | 4 (36%) | 2 (18%) | 2 (18%) | 6 |
| Wallowa | 4 | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (50%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 10 |
| Wasco | 13 | 11 (85%) | 9 (69%) | 5 (39%) | 4 (31%) | 3 (23%) | 11 |
| Washington | 160 | 141 (88%) | 121 (76%) | 88 (55%) | 71 (44%) | 60 (38%) | 18 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 19 |
| Yamhill | 22 | 19 (86%) | 19 (86%) | 15 (68%) | 14 (64%) | 13 (59%) | 19 |
| State | 1,127 | 989 (88%) | 827 (73%) | 625 (56%) | 492 (44%) | 399 (35%) | 15 |

²¹ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2010-11. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2010-11.

Table 8. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2010-11 (CE 3-4.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of New IS Families Enrolled in FY 2010-11²¹ | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 16 | 15 (94%) | 14 (88%) | 8 (50%) | 6 (38%) | 6 (38%) | 12 |
| Columbia Gorge | 28 | 26 (93%) | 21 (75%) | 14 (50%) | 12 (43%) | 9 (32%) | 15 |
| Coos/Curry | 25 | 21 (84%) | 17 (68%) | 16 (64%) | 11 (44%) | 5 (20%) | 14 |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 3 | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 1 (33%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 8 |
| Marion/Polk | 141 | 125 (89%) | 102 (72%) | 79 (56%) | 61 (43%) | 49 (35%) | 16 |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 13 | 12 (92%) | 10 (77%) | 7 (54%) | 6 (46%) | 5 (39%) | 12 |

²¹ Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2010-11. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2010-11.

Table 9. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12²² | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Baker | 10 | 10 (100%) | 9 (90%) | 7 (70%) | 14 |
| Benton | 16 | 15 (94%) | 11 (69%) | 10 (63%) | 10 |
| Clackamas | 47 | 43 (92%) | 33 (70%) | 23 (49%) | 10 |
| Clatsop | 11 | 8 (73%) | 7 (64%) | 4 (36%) | 8 |
| Columbia | 11 | 11 (100%) | 11 (100%) | 10 (91%) | 16 |
| Coos | 15 | 11 (73%) | 11 (73%) | 2 (13%) | 8 |
| Crook | 8 | 6 (75%) | 5 (63%) | 5 (63%) | 7 |
| Curry | 12 | 10 (83%) | 8 (67%) | 4 (33%) | 12 |
| Deschutes | 37 | 25 (68%) | 20 (54%) | 18 (49%) | 9 |
| Douglas | 32 | 31 (97%) | 25 (78%) | 20 (63%) | 12 |
| Gilliam | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- |
| Grant | 4 | 3 (75%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (50%) | 8 |
| Harney | 1 | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 12 |
| Hood River | 11 | 10 (91%) | 10 (91%) | 9 (82%) | 9 |
| Jackson | 25 | 22 (88%) | 17 (68%) | 11 (44%) | 9 |
| Jefferson | 4 | 5 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 35 |
| Josephine | 23 | 23 (100%) | 18 (78%) | 16 (70%) | 9 |
| Klamath | 24 | 23 (96%) | 22 (92%) | 13 (54%) | 10 |

²² Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2011-12. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2011-12.

Table 9. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 ²² | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|--------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Lane | 45 | 42 (93%) | 37 (82%) | 32 (71%) | 8 |
| Lincoln | 25 | 25 (100%) | 23 (92%) | 20 (80%) | 14 |
| Linn | 25 | 19 (76%) | 16 (64%) | 11 (44%) | 9 |
| Malheur | 20 | 14 (70%) | 11 (55%) | 8 (40%) | 8 |
| Marion | 95 | 80 (84%) | 67 (71%) | 53 (56%) | 9 |
| Morrow | 9 | 9 (100%) | 6 (67%) | 5 (56%) | 6 |
| Multnomah | 247 | 221 (90%) | 190 (77%) | 147 (60%) | 10 |
| Polk | 10 | 9 (90%) | 9 (90%) | 5 (50%) | 11 |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 7 | 7 (100%) | 5 (71%) | 4 (57%) | 9 |
| Umatilla | 37 | 29 (78%) | 21 (57%) | 17 (46%) | 8 |
| Union | 14 | 14 (100%) | 12 (86%) | 11 (79%) | 5 |
| Wallowa | 6 | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (67%) | 13 |
| Wasco | 18 | 17 (94%) | 15 (83%) | 11 (61%) | 11 |
| Washington | 115 | 101 (88%) | 82 (71%) | 64 (56%) | 9 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 10 |
| Yamhill | 15 | 14 (93%) | 12 (80%) | 10 (67%) | 9 |
| State | 983 | 866 (88%) | 730 (74%) | 562 (57%) | 10 |

²² Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2011-12. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2011-12.

Table 9. Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12²² | Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Of Those Exited, Average Number of Months in Program |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 22 | 19 (86%) | 18 (82%) | 14 (64%) | 10 |
| Columbia Gorge | 29 | 27 (93%) | 25 (86%) | 20 (69%) | 11 |
| Coos/Curry | 27 | 21 (78%) | 19 (70%) | 6 (22%) | 9 |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 10 |
| Marion/Polk | 105 | 89 (85%) | 76 (72%) | 58 (55%) | 9 |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 16 | 16 (100%) | 15 (94%) | 11 (69%) | 14 |

²² Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2011-12. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2011-12.

Table 10. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | | Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | | Number of Other Race Families ²³ Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | | Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | | Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baker | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 9 | | 6 (67%) | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | | 9 | | 7 (78%) | |
| Benton | 3 | | 3 (100%) | | 9 | | 4 (44%) | | 4 | | 3 (75%) | | 2 | | 2 (100%) | | 11 | | 5 (46%) | |
| Clackamas | 13 | | 7 (54%) | | 28 | | 13 (46%) | | 6 | | 3 (50%) | | 6 | | 4 (67%) | | 33 | | 16 (49%) | |
| Clatsop | 3 | | 2 (75%) | | 6 | | 1 (17%) | | 2 | | 1 (50%) | | 2 | | 2 (100%) | | 9 | | 2 (22%) | |
| Columbia | 1 | | 0 (0%) | | 8 | | 8 (100%) | | 2 | | 2 (100%) | | -- | | -- | | 11 | | 10 (91%) | |
| Coos | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 8 | | 0 (0%) | | 6 | | 1 (17%) | | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 10 | | 0 (0%) | |
| Crook | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 6 | | 3 (50%) | | -- | | -- | | 3 | | 2 (67%) | |
| Curry | -- | | -- | | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 11 | | 3 (27%) | | -- | | -- | | 1 | | 1 (50%) | |
| Deschutes | 3 | | 5 (60%) | | 17 | | 8 (47%) | | 15 | | 7 (47%) | | 2 | | 1 (50%) | | 24 | | 11 (46%) | |
| Douglas | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | 25 | | 15 (60%) | | 6 | | 4 (67%) | | -- | | -- | | 28 | | 17 (61%) | |
| Gilliam | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | |
| Grant | -- | | -- | | 4 | | 2 (50%) | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | | 4 | | 2 (50%) | |
| Harney | -- | | -- | | 2 | | 1 (50%) | | -- | | -- | | -- | | -- | | 2 | | 1 (50%) | |
| Hood River | 5 | | 4 (80%) | | -- | | -- | | 6 | | 5 (83%) | | 2 | | 2 (100%) | | 3 | | 3 (100%) | |
| Jackson | 6 | | 2 (33%) | | 15 | | 8 (53%) | | 4 | | 1 (25%) | | 3 | | 2 (67%) | | 16 | | 7 (44%) | |
| Jefferson | 3 | | 3 (100%) | | 1 | | 0 (0%) | | 1 | | 1 (100%) | | -- | | -- | | 1 | | 0 (0%) | |
| Josephine | -- | | -- | | 18 | | 13 (72%) | | 5 | | 3 (60%) | | -- | | -- | | 22 | | 15 (68%) | |
| Klamath | 6 | | 4 (67%) | | 8 | | 3 (38%) | | 10 | | 6 (60%) | | 1 | | 0 (0%) | | 14 | | 7 (50%) | |

²³ Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 10. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of Hispanic/ Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number of Other Race Families ²³ Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Lane | 6 | 6 (100%) | 26 | 16 (62%) | 13 | 10 (77%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 34 | 25 (74%) |
| Lincoln | 8 | 7 (88%) | 12 | 11 (92%) | 5 | 2 (40%) | 7 | 6 (86%) | 17 | 14 (82%) |
| Linn | 12 | 8 (67%) | 11 | 2 (18%) | 2 | 1 (50%) | 9 | 7 (78%) | 14 | 3 (21%) |
| Malheur | 6 | 2 (33%) | 5 | 3 (60%) | 9 | 3 (33%) | 1 | 0 (0%) | 10 | 5 (50%) |
| Marion | 50 | 30 (60%) | 27 | 12 (44%) | 18 | 11 (61%) | 16 | 12 (75%) | 35 | 12 (34%) |
| Morrow | 7 | 4 (57%) | 2 | 1 (50%) | -- | -- | 2 | 2 (100%) | 3 | 1 (33%) |
| Multnomah | 34 | 26 (77%) | 109 | 55 (51%) | 104 | 66 (64%) | 9 | 7 (78%) | 172 | 90 (52%) |
| Polk | 1 | 0 (0%) | 5 | 3 (60%) | 4 | 2 (50%) | 1 | 0 (0%) | 4 | 2 (50%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 3 | 1 (33%) | 2 | 1 (50%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | -- | -- | 3 | 2 (67%) |
| Umatilla | 10 | 6 (60%) | 22 | 10 (46%) | 5 | 1 (20%) | 4 | 2 (50%) | 26 | 13 (50%) |
| Union | -- | -- | 4 | 3 (75%) | 10 | 8 (80%) | -- | -- | 3 | 2 (67%) |
| Wallowa | -- | -- | 5 | 3 (60%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 5 | 4 (80%) |
| Wasco | 3 | 1 (33%) | 12 | 7 (58%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 12 | 7 (58%) |
| Washington | 43 | 28 (65%) | 21 | 9 (43%) | 51 | 27 (53%) | 31 | 23 (74%) | 28 | 11 (39%) |
| Wheeler | 1 | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 1 | 1 (100%) | 5 | 2 (40%) | 9 | 7 (78%) | -- | -- | 7 | 4 (57%) |
| State | 234 | 152 (65%) | 428 | 222 (52%) | 321 | 188 (59%) | 102 | 76 (75%) | 576 | 301 (52%) |

²³ Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 10. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2011-12 (CE 3-4.B)

| Regional Programs | Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number of White Families Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number of Other Race Families ²³ Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | | Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2011-12 | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------|
| | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | |
| Clatsop/Columbia | 4 | 2 (50%) | 14 | 9 (64%) | 4 | 3 (75%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 20 | 12 (60%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 8 | 5 (63%) | 12 | 7 (58%) | 9 | 8 (89%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 15 | 10 (67%) |
| Coos/Curry | 1 | 1 (100%) | 9 | 1 (11%) | 17 | 4 (24%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 12 | 1 (8%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 1 | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 51 | 30 (59%) | 32 | 15 (47%) | 22 | 13 (59%) | 17 | 12 (71%) | 39 | 14 (36%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 14 | 9 (64%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 14 | 11 (79%) |

²³ Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

Table 11. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2011-2012 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened Prenatally Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened After Birth Still Enrolled 12 Months Later |
|------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Baker | 3 (75%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (50%) | 5 (83%) | 3 (75%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (50%) | 5 (100%) |
| Benton | 4 (57%) | 4 (57%) | 7 (58%) | 1 (50%) | 4 (57%) | 4 (67%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (62%) | 1 (50%) | 7 (58%) |
| Clackamas | 5 (56%) | 18 (47%) | 15 (54%) | 7 (39%) | 13 (59%) | 10 (40%) | 4 (67%) | 19 (46%) | 9 (39%) | 14 (58%) |
| Clatsop | 2 (67%) | 2 (25%) | 3 (43%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 3 (43%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (44%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (30%) |
| Columbia | 2 (100%) | 8 (89%) | 7 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 9 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 8 (89%) | 5 (83%) | 5 (100%) |
| Coos | 0 (0%) | 2 (15%) | 2 (18%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (11%) | 1 (17%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (14%) | 2 (17%) | 0 (0%) |
| Crook | 1 (50%) | 3 (60%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (50%) | 3 (60%) | 2 (50%) | 2 (67%) |
| Curry | 2 (50%) | 2 (25%) | 4 (33%) | -- | 2 (25%) | 2 (50%) | -- | 4 (33%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (33%) |
| Deschutes | 3 (30%) | 15 (56%) | 14 (56%) | 4 (33%) | 8 (44%) | 9 (53%) | 2 (33%) | 16 (52%) | 0 (0%) | 18 (50%) |
| Douglas | 2 (100%) | 16 (57%) | 13 (59%) | 5 (63%) | 9 (90%) | 9 (45%) | 2 (50%) | 16 (62%) | 1 (25%) | 17 (65%) |
| Gilliam | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Grant | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) | -- | 2 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) |
| Harney | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Hood River | 4 (100%) | 5 (71%) | 5 (71%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 6 (86%) | 2 (100%) | 7 (78%) | 5 (71%) | 4 (100%) |
| Jackson | 3 (43%) | 7 (44%) | 4 (40%) | 5 (42%) | 3 (38%) | 7 (50%) | 2 (29%) | 8 (50%) | 6 (50%) | 4 (36%) |
| Jefferson | 3 (75%) | 4 (80%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (75%) |
| Josephine | 3 (75%) | 12 (67%) | 11 (79%) | 4 (50%) | 9 (75%) | 6 (60%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (71%) | -- | 15 (68%) |
| Klamath | 1 (100%) | 8 (42%) | 8 (57%) | 1 (25%) | 3 (75%) | 6 (38%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (47%) | 3 (100%) | 6 (35%) |

Table 11. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2011-2012 (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened Prenatally Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened After Birth Still Enrolled 12 Months Later |
|------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Lane | 11 (85%) | 21 (66%) | 27 (77%) | 5 (50%) | 19 (68%) | 13 (77%) | 1 (33%) | 31 (74%) | 11 (69%) | 21 (72%) |
| Lincoln | 2 (67%) | 18 (82%) | 18 (86%) | 2 (50%) | 8 (73%) | 12 (86%) | 1 (33%) | 19 (86%) | 17 (85%) | 3 (60%) |
| Linn | 5 (71%) | 6 (33%) | 6 (35%) | 5 (63%) | 8 (50%) | 3 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (50%) | 8 (47%) | 3 (38%) |
| Malheur | 1 (25%) | 6 (40%) | 3 (38%) | 4 (36%) | 2 (40%) | 3 (25%) | 3 (50%) | 4 (31%) | 2 (22%) | 5 (50%) |
| Marion | 7 (44%) | 44 (57%) | 25 (50%) | 24 (60%) | 16 (52%) | 35 (57%) | 10 (53%) | 41 (55%) | 3 (43%) | 48 (56%) |
| Morrow | 1 (33%) | 3 (60%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (40%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (33%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (40%) |
| Multnomah | 31 (62%) | 103 (57%) | 96 (59%) | 38 (57%) | 42 (56%) | 92 (59%) | 14 (48%) | 120 (59%) | 14 (78%) | 120 (56%) |
| Polk | 3 (60%) | 1 (25%) | 3 (50%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (50%) | 3 (43%) | 1 (50%) | 3 (43%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | -- | 3 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (33%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (25%) | 3 (50%) | -- |
| Umatilla | 3 (75%) | 12 (40%) | 10 (53%) | 5 (33%) | 8 (57%) | 6 (33%) | 4 (57%) | 11 (41%) | 13 (52%) | 2 (22%) |
| Union | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 5 (83%) | 1 (100%) | 7 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 6 (86%) | 2 (100%) | 5 (83%) |
| Wallowa | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | -- | 4 (80%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (67%) |
| Wasco | 3 (60%) | 7 (58%) | 6 (46%) | 4 (100%) | 2 (33%) | 8 (73%) | 1 (100%) | 9 (56%) | 6 (55%) | 4 (67%) |
| Washington | 24 (73%) | 36 (46%) | 33 (53%) | 22 (55%) | 30 (58%) | 22 (44%) | 5 (31%) | 54 (57%) | 40 (56%) | 20 (51%) |
| Wheeler | -- | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | -- | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | 0 (0%) | -- | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 1 (100%) | 9 (64%) | 3 (43%) | 7 (88%) | 4 (67%) | 6 (75%) | 2 (67%) | 8 (67%) | 8 (89%) | 2 (33%) |
| State | 132 (62%) | 389 (54%) | 340 (57%) | 171 (55%) | 214 (56%) | 294 (55%) | 67 (49%) | 453 (57%) | 172 (56%) | 349 (56%) |

Table 11. Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2011-2012 (CE 3-4.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened Prenatally Still Enrolled 12 Months Later | Number (%) of Families Screened After Birth Still Enrolled 12 Months Later |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Clatsop/ Columbia Columbia Gorge | 4 (80%) | 10 (59%) | 10 (71%) | 4 (57%) | 2 (33%) | 12 (75%) | 2 (50%) | 12 (67%) | 6 (86%) | 8 (53%) |
| Coos/Curry | 7 (78%) | 12 (63%) | 11 (55%) | 8 (100%) | 5 (50%) | 14 (78%) | 3 (100%) | 16 (64%) | 11 (61%) | 8 (80%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 2 (33%) | 4 (19%) | 6 (26%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (18%) | 3 (30%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (23%) | 3 (20%) | 3 (25%) |
| Marion/Polk NE Oregon (Baker/ Wallowa) | -- | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | -- | 1 (50%) | -- | -- | 1 (50%) | -- | 1 (50%) |
| | 10 (48%) | 45 (56%) | 28 (50%) | 25 (58%) | 19 (53%) | 36 (55%) | 11 (52%) | 44 (54%) | 4 (44%) | 51 (55%) |
| | 3 (60%) | 8 (89%) | 7 (78%) | 4 (80%) | 1 (33%) | 9 (90%) | 3 (75%) | 8 (80%) | 4 (67%) | 7 (88%) |

Table 12. Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion²⁴ (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of Exiting Families in FY 2011-12 | Median ²⁵ Age of Child at Exit (in Months) | Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program | Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate | Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service | Number (%) Families Moved out of County | Other Reason |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|-----------------|
| Baker | 6 | 10 | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (33%) | 1 (17%) |
| Benton | 14 | 20 | 3 (21%) | 1 (7%) | 5 (36%) | 4 (29%) | 1 (7%) |
| Clackamas | 77 | 26 | 28 (36%) | 5 (7%) | 26 (34%) | 18 (23%) | 0 (0%) |
| Clatsop | 11 | 10 | 1 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (9%) | 7 (64%) | 2 (18%) |
| Columbia | 15 | 24 | 6 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (13%) | 7 (47%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos | 19 | 14 | 2 (11%) | 1 (11%) | 10 (53%) | 5 (26%) | 0 (0%) |
| Crook | 9 | 24 | 4 (44%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (22%) | 2 (22%) | 1 (11%) |
| Curry | 15 | 18 | 0 (0%) | 1 (7%) | 1 (7%) | 5 (33%) | 8 (53%) |
| Deschutes | 39 | 14 | 7 (18%) | 4 (10%) | 12 (31%) | 7 (18%) | 9 (23%) |
| Douglas | 33 | 37 | 18 (55%) | 4 (21%) | 1 (3%) | 8 (24%) | 2 (6%) |
| Gilliam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | 8 | 10 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (13%) | 5 (63%) | 2 (25%) |
| Harney | 3 | 18 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 2 (67%) | 0 (0%) |
| Hood River | 12 | 27 | 5 (42%) | 1 (8%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (17%) |
| Jackson | 49 | 22 | 14 (29%) | 9 (18%) | 15 (31%) | 3 (6%) | 8 (16%) |
| Jefferson | 6 | 22 | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (33%) |
| Josephine | 24 | 16 | 7 (29%) | 8 (33%) | 6 (25%) | 1 (4%) | 2 (8%) |
| Klamath | 22 | 11 | 2 (9%) | 13 (59%) | 2 (9%) | 4 (18%) | 1 (5%) |

²⁴ Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor and entered into Family Manager.

²⁵ The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

Table 12. Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion²⁴ (CE 3-4.B)

| County | Number of Exiting Families in FY 2011-12 | Median ²⁵ Age of Child at Exit (in Months) | Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program | Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate | Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service | Number (%) Families Moved out of County | Other Reason |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|------------------|
| Lane | 80 | 20 | 29 (36%) | 16 (20%) | 21 (26%) | 10 (13%) | 4 (5%) |
| Lincoln | 33 | 35 | 16 (49%) | 3 (9%) | 6 (18%) | 8 (24%) | 0 (0%) |
| Linn | 32 | 10 | 5 (16%) | 1 (3%) | 17 (53%) | 5 (16%) | 4 (13%) |
| Malheur | 23 | 14 | 1 (4%) | 3 (13%) | 9 (39%) | 4 (17%) | 6 (26%) |
| Marion | 102 | 14 | 17 (17%) | 10 (10%) | 20 (20%) | 26 (26%) | 29 (28%) |
| Morrow | 7 | 15 | 2 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (57%) | 1 (14%) | 0 (0%) |
| Multnomah | 272 | 21 | 89 (33%) | 25 (9%) | 87 (32%) | 36 (13%) | 35 (13%) |
| Polk | 15 | 20 | 3 (20%) | 3 (20%) | 3 (20%) | 4 (27%) | 2 (13%) |
| Sherman | 1 | 6 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 18 | 36 | 10 (56%) | 2 (11%) | 4 (22%) | 2 (11%) | 0 (0%) |
| Umatilla | 44 | 8 | 1 (2%) | 10 (23%) | 15 (34%) | 5 (11%) | 13 (30%) |
| Union | 14 | 17 | 2 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (64%) | 2 (14%) | 1 (7%) |
| Wallowa | 6 | 10 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (33%) | 2 (33%) | 2 (33%) |
| Wasco | 14 | 14 | 2 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (50%) | 2 (14%) | 3 (21%) |
| Washington | 166 | 17 | 54 (33%) | 13 (8%) | 39 (24%) | 20 (12%) | 40 (24%) |
| Wheeler | 2 | 22 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 18 | 37 | 9 (50%) | 1 (6%) | 3 (17%) | 4 (22%) | 1 (6%) |
| State | 1,209 | 17 | 339 (28%) | 137 (11%) | 338 (28%) | 214 (18%) | 181 (15%) |

²⁴ Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor and entered into Family Manager.

²⁵ The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

Table 12. Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion²⁴ (CE 3-4.B)

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Exiting Families in FY 2011-12 | Median ²⁵ Age of Child at Exit (in Months) | Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program | Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate | Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service | Number (%) Families Moved out of County | Other Reason |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--------------|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 26 | 11 | 7 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (12%) | 14 (54%) | 2 (8%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 26 | 23 | 7 (27%) | 1 (4%) | 9 (35%) | 4 (15%) | 5 (19%) |
| Coos/Curry | 34 | 15 | 2 (6%) | 3 (9%) | 11 (32%) | 10 (29%) | 8 (24%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 3 | 19 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 117 | 14 | 20 (17%) | 13 (11%) | 23 (20%) | 30 (26%) | 31 (27%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 12 | 10 | 1 (8%) | 1 (8%) | 3 (25%) | 4 (33%) | 3 (25%) |

²⁴ Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor and entered into Family Manager.

²⁵ The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

Table 13a. Family Assessment Risk Factors²⁶ for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service

| County | Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect) | | Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History | | Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement | | Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem | | Number (%) with Multiple Stressors | |
|------------|---|-----------|--|----------|---|---------|--|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Baker | 3 (14%) | 19 (86%) | 4 (18%) | 18 (82%) | 6 (27%) | 1 (5%) | 4 (18%) | 13 (59%) | 7 (32%) | 9 (41%) |
| Benton | 6 (22%) | 14 (52%) | 9 (33%) | 4 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 19 (70%) | 6 (22%) | 17 (63%) | 7 (26%) |
| Clackamas | 12 (9%) | 108 (77%) | 44 (31%) | 62 (44%) | 17 (12%) | 6 (4%) | 52 (37%) | 62 (44%) | 49 (35%) | 73 (52%) |
| Clatsop | 4 (27%) | 6 (40%) | 4 (29%) | 3 (21%) | 2 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (13%) | 3 (20%) | 6 (40%) | 4 (27%) |
| Columbia | 7 (35%) | 12 (60%) | 15 (75%) | 3 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) | 15 (75%) | 5 (25%) | 13 (65%) | 6 (30%) |
| Coos | 3 (12%) | 20 (77%) | 9 (35%) | 12 (46%) | 2 (8%) | 4 (15%) | 8 (31%) | 5 (19%) | 13 (50%) | 9 (35%) |
| Crook | 5 (25%) | 14 (70%) | 6 (30%) | 13 (65%) | 1 (5%) | 1 (5%) | 11 (55%) | 5 (25%) | 7 (35%) | 9 (45%) |
| Curry | 0 (0%) | 10 (83%) | 1 (8%) | 10 (83%) | 1 (8%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (17%) | 10 (83%) | 2 (17%) | 10 (83%) |
| Deschutes | 16 (31%) | 26 (51%) | 20 (39%) | 17 (33%) | 3 (6%) | 6 (12%) | 19 (37%) | 10 (20%) | 33 (65%) | 15 (29%) |
| Douglas | 5 (8%) | 55 (85%) | 15 (23%) | 43 (65%) | 9 (14%) | 9 (14%) | 22 (33%) | 28 (42%) | 26 (39%) | 33 (50%) |
| Gilliam | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Grant | 1 (14%) | 6 (86%) | 1 (14%) | 6 (86%) | 1 (14%) | 1 (14%) | 2 (29%) | 3 (43%) | 1 (14%) | 5 (71%) |
| Harney | 4 (31%) | 7 (54%) | 5 (39%) | 6 (46%) | 1 (8%) | 2 (15%) | 5 (30%) | 7 (54%) | 2 (15%) | 11 (85%) |
| Hood River | 13 (32%) | 22 (54%) | 13 (32%) | 12 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 13 (32%) | 25 (61%) | 15 (37%) | 26 (63%) |
| Jackson | 8 (10%) | 55 (69%) | 13 (17%) | 43 (54%) | 9 (11%) | 9 (11%) | 44 (55%) | 26 (33%) | 26 (33%) | 41 (51%) |
| Jefferson | 4 (14%) | 18 (64%) | 8 (29%) | 10 (36%) | 2 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (29%) | 15 (54%) | 5 (18%) | 21 (75%) |
| Josephine | 8 (21%) | 23 (59%) | 7 (18%) | 18 (46%) | 6 (14%) | 2 (5%) | 15 (39%) | 17 (44%) | 14 (36%) | 19 (49%) |
| Klamath | 3 (7%) | 30 (73%) | 10 (24%) | 20 (49%) | 7 (17%) | 5 (12%) | 16 (39%) | 20 (49%) | 18 (44%) | 21 (51%) |

²⁶ Family Assessment risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe) and entered into Family Manager.

Table 13a. Family Assessment Risk Factors²⁶ for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service

| County | Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect) | | Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History | | Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement | | Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem | | Number (%) with Multiple Stressors | |
|------------|---|-------------|--|-----------|---|----------|--|-----------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Lane | 15 (9%) | 143 (82%) | 44 (25%) | 108 (62%) | 16 (9%) | 8 (5%) | 46 (27%) | 92 (53%) | 51 (29%) | 99 (57%) |
| Lincoln | 10 (15%) | 51 (79%) | 28 (44%) | 22 (34%) | 2 (3%) | 5 (8%) | 22 (34%) | 21 (33%) | 29 (45%) | 22 (34%) |
| Linn | 12 (25%) | 27 (56%) | 17 (35%) | 17 (35%) | 3 (6%) | 5 (10%) | 17 (35%) | 25 (52%) | 15 (31%) | 28 (58%) |
| Malheur | 9 (26%) | 12 (34%) | 12 (34%) | 8 (23%) | 3 (9%) | 3 (9%) | 18 (51%) | 4 (11%) | 18 (51%) | 8 (23%) |
| Marion | 25 (13%) | 129 (66%) | 71 (36%) | 70 (35%) | 8 (4%) | 12 (6%) | 85 (43%) | 75 (38%) | 65 (33%) | 102 (52%) |
| Morrow | 1 (8%) | 4 (31%) | 4 (31%) | 1 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (62%) | 4 (31%) | 5 (39%) | 1 (8%) |
| Multnomah | 73 (15%) | 288 (60%) | 106 (22%) | 192 (40%) | 26 (5%) | 17 (4%) | 195 (41%) | 169 (35%) | 181 (38%) | 235 (49%) |
| Polk | 1 (4%) | 19 (70%) | 8 (30%) | 12 (44%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 8 (30%) | 7 (26%) | 8 (30%) | 14 (52%) |
| Sherman | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 4 (12%) | 19 (56%) | 6 (18%) | 12 (35%) | 4 (12%) | 0 (0%) | 18 (53%) | 13 (38%) | 10 (29%) | 20 (59%) |
| Umatilla | 8 (18%) | 28 (62%) | 12 (26%) | 19 (41%) | 1 (2%) | 3 (7%) | 21 (46%) | 15 (33%) | 11 (24%) | 28 (61%) |
| Union | 3 (19%) | 8 (50%) | 7 (44%) | 5 (31%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (31%) | 1 (6%) | 4 (25%) | 9 (53%) |
| Wallowa | 3 (27%) | 8 (73%) | 2 (18%) | 9 (82%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) | 7 (64%) | 3 (27%) | 5 (46%) | 6 (55%) |
| Wasco | 5 (15%) | 26 (79%) | 12 (34%) | 18 (51%) | 5 (14%) | 5 (14%) | 14 (39%) | 18 (50%) | 6 (17%) | 29 (81%) |
| Washington | 19 (8%) | 172 (74%) | 79 (34%) | 73 (32%) | 9 (4%) | 8 (3%) | 94 (40%) | 82 (35%) | 95 (41%) | 96 (41%) |
| Wheeler | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 1 (2%) | 37 (86%) | 2 (5%) | 29 (67%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (7%) | 10 (23%) | 20 (47%) | 5 (12%) | 37 (86%) |
| State | 297 (14%) | 1,416 (67%) | 600 (28%) | 895 (42%) | 146 (7%) | 121 (6%) | 832 (39%) | 809 (38%) | 770 (36%) | 1,053 (50%) |

²⁶ Family Assessment risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe) and entered into Family Manager.

Table 13a. Family Assessment Risk Factors²⁶ for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect) | | Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History | | Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement | | Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem | | Number (%) with Multiple Stressors | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------|--|----------|---|---------|--|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Clatsop/Columbia | 11 (31%) | 18 (51%) | 19 (56%) | 6 (18%) | 2 (6%) | 1 (3%) | 17 (49%) | 8 (23%) | 19 (54%) | 10 (29%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 18 (24%) | 48 (65%) | 25 (33%) | 30 (40%) | 5 (7%) | 5 (7%) | 27 (35%) | 43 (56%) | 21 (27%) | 55 (71%) |
| Coos/Curry | 3 (8%) | 30 (79%) | 10 (26%) | 22 (58%) | 3 (8%) | 6 (16%) | 10 (26%) | 15 (40%) | 15 (40%) | 19 (50%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 6 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (88%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (89%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 26 (12%) | 148 (66%) | 79 (35%) | 82 (36%) | 8 (4%) | 13 (6%) | 93 (41%) | 82 (36%) | 73 (33%) | 116 (52%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 6 (18%) | 27 (82%) | 6 (18%) | 27 (82%) | 6 (18%) | 3 (9%) | 11 (33%) | 16 (49%) | 12 (36%) | 15 (46%) |

²⁶ Family Assessment risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe) and entered into Family Manager.

Table 13b. Family Assessment Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics

| County | Number (%) with Potential for Violence | | Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant | | Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant | | Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant | | Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues | |
|------------|--|----------|--|----------|--|---------|---|--------|--|----------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Baker | 0 (0%) | 7 (32%) | 11 (50%) | 2 (9%) | 5 (23%) | 3 (14%) | 3 (14%) | 1 (5%) | 10 (46%) | 4 (18%) |
| Benton | 2 (7%) | 2 (7%) | 9 (35%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 17 (63%) | 2 (7%) |
| Clackamas | 12 (9%) | 38 (27%) | 54 (38%) | 14 (10%) | 18 (13%) | 13 (9%) | 29 (21%) | 7 (5%) | 80 (57%) | 28 (20%) |
| Clatsop | 0 (0%) | 2 (14%) | 2 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (47%) | 1 (7%) |
| Columbia | 4 (20%) | 3 (15%) | 7 (35%) | 4 (20%) | 1 (5%) | 1 (5%) | 8 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 17 (85%) | 1 (5%) |
| Coos | 3 (12%) | 8 (31%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (42%) | 5 (19%) |
| Crook | 1 (5%) | 4 (20%) | 11 (58%) | 4 (21%) | 3 (15%) | 3 (15%) | 4 (21%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (50%) | 3 (15%) |
| Curry | 2 (17%) | 3 (25%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (77%) | 4 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) | 1 (9%) | 7 (58%) | 0 (0%) |
| Deschutes | 1 (2%) | 9 (18%) | 5 (10%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 4 (8%) | 9 (18%) | 0 (0%) | 40 (78%) | 2 (4%) |
| Douglas | 5 (8%) | 16 (24%) | 17 (26%) | 2 (3%) | 16 (24%) | 6 (9%) | 13 (20%) | 0 (0%) | 45 (68%) | 14 (21%) |
| Gilliam | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (50%) | 0 (0%) |
| Grant | 0 (0%) | 1 (14%) | 2 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (43%) | 0 (0%) |
| Harney | 0 (0%) | 2 (15%) | 4 (31%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (31%) | 1 (8%) | 2 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (54%) | 2 (15%) |
| Hood River | 4 (10%) | 5 (12%) | 26 (63%) | 3 (7%) | 5 (12%) | 4 (10%) | 13 (32%) | 3 (7%) | 21 (51%) | 8 (20%) |
| Jackson | 7 (9%) | 21 (26%) | 21 (27%) | 5 (6%) | 4 (5%) | 3 (4%) | 8 (11%) | 4 (5%) | 53 (66%) | 10 (13%) |
| Jefferson | 4 (14%) | 10 (36%) | 21 (75%) | 2 (7%) | 7 (25%) | 3 (11%) | 5 (18%) | 2 (7%) | 14 (50%) | 7 (25%) |
| Josephine | 2 (5%) | 4 (10%) | 13 (33%) | 1 (3%) | 6 (15%) | 3 (8%) | 4 (10%) | 1 (3%) | 24 (62%) | 9 (23%) |
| Klamath | 4 (10%) | 10 (26%) | 19 (46%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (15%) | 4 (10%) | 6 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 26 (63%) | 9 (22%) |

Table 13b. Family Assessment Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics

| County | Number (%) with Potential for Violence | | Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant | | Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant | | Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant | | Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues | |
|------------|--|-----------|--|----------|--|----------|---|---------|--|-----------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Lane | 19 (11%) | 38 (22%) | 76 (44%) | 11 (6%) | 22 (13%) | 16 (9%) | 33 (19%) | 3 (2%) | 99 (57%) | 30 (17%) |
| Lincoln | 7 (11%) | 11 (17%) | 22 (34%) | 1 (2%) | 7 (11%) | 3 (5%) | 7 (11%) | 2 (3%) | 51 (80%) | 1 (2%) |
| Linn | 6 (13%) | 7 (15%) | 11 (23%) | 3 (6%) | 12 (25%) | 3 (6%) | 13 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 35 (73%) | 6 (13%) |
| Malheur | 1 (3%) | 4 (11%) | 5 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (6%) | 3 (9%) | 11 (31%) | 0 (0%) | 21 (60%) | 1 (3%) |
| Marion | 15 (8%) | 33 (17%) | 91 (47%) | 20 (10%) | 26 (13%) | 18 (9%) | 40 (21%) | 4 (2%) | 149 (75%) | 19 (10%) |
| Morrow | 1 (8%) | 3 (23%) | 6 (46%) | 1 (8%) | 2 (15%) | 1 (8%) | 1 (8%) | 1 (8%) | 8 (62%) | 2 (15%) |
| Multnomah | 54 (11%) | 87 (18%) | 157 (33%) | 28 (6%) | 53 (11%) | 22 (5%) | 77 (16%) | 9 (2%) | 268 (56%) | 74 (16%) |
| Polk | 2 (7%) | 1 (4%) | 12 (46%) | 3 (12%) | 4 (15%) | 3 (12%) | 4 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 16 (62%) | 3 (12%) |
| Sherman | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 0 (0%) | 4 (12%) | 18 (53%) | 2 (6%) | 6 (18%) | 4 (12%) | 6 (18%) | 2 (6%) | 22 (65%) | 6 (18%) |
| Umatilla | 5 (11%) | 8 (17%) | 20 (44%) | 2 (4%) | 10 (22%) | 8 (17%) | 4 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 33 (72%) | 4 (9%) |
| Union | 2 (13%) | 2 (13%) | 8 (50%) | 2 (13%) | 2 (13%) | 1 (6%) | 2 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (69%) | 1 (6%) |
| Wallowa | 1 (9%) | 5 (46%) | 1 (9%) | 2 (18%) | 1 (9%) | 1 (9%) | 1 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (64%) | 2 (18%) |
| Wasco | 3 (9%) | 8 (23%) | 15 (43%) | 5 (14%) | 6 (18%) | 2 (6%) | 12 (35%) | 2 (6%) | 22 (61%) | 9 (25%) |
| Washington | 12 (5%) | 37 (16%) | 77 (33%) | 11 (5%) | 24 (10%) | 11 (5%) | 33 (14%) | 3 (1%) | 143 (61%) | 24 (10%) |
| Wheeler | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 0 (0%) | 5 (12%) | 9 (21%) | 4 (9%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) | 8 (19%) | 2 (5%) | 22 (51%) | 11 (26%) |
| State | 180 (9%) | 398 (19%) | 761 (36%) | 134 (6%) | 265 (13%) | 145 (7%) | 369 (18%) | 47 (2%) | 1,305 (62%) | 298 (14%) |

Table 13b. Family Assessment Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) with Potential for Violence | | Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant | | Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant | | Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant | | Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------|--|----------|--|----------|---|--------|--|----------|
| | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe | Mild | Severe |
| Clatsop/Columbia | 4 (12%) | 5 (15%) | 9 (27%) | 4 (12%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (3%) | 8 (23%) | 0 (0%) | 24 (69%) | 2 (6%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 7 (9%) | 13 (17%) | 41 (54%) | 8 (11%) | 11 (15%) | 6 (8%) | 25 (33%) | 5 (7%) | 43 (56%) | 17 (22%) |
| Coos/Curry | 5 (13%) | 11 (29%) | 4 (11%) | 2 (5%) | 6 (16%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (16%) | 1 (3%) | 18 (47%) | 5 (13%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 1 (13%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (88%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (13%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (75%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 17 (8%) | 34 (15%) | 103 (47%) | 23 (11%) | 30 (14%) | 21 (10%) | 44 (20%) | 4 (2%) | 165 (74%) | 22 (10%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 1 (3%) | 12 (36%) | 12 (36%) | 4 (12%) | 6 (18%) | 4 (12%) | 4 (13%) | 1 (3%) | 17 (52%) | 6 (18%) |

Table 13c. Percent with High Stress Family Assessment and Presence of Specific Indicators

| County | High Stress Family Assessment | Substance Abuse | Mental Illness | Criminal History | Prior Child Welfare Involvement | Current Child Welfare Involvement |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Baker | 19 (86%) | 13 (59%) | 10 (46%) | 12 (55%) | 4 (18%) | 2 (9%) |
| Benton | 14 (52%) | 3 (11%) | 4 (15%) | 4 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Clackamas | 123 (87%) | 56 (40%) | 38 (27%) | 40 (28%) | 9 (6%) | 8 (6%) |
| Clatsop | 6 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia | 16 (80%) | 3 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos | 19 (73%) | 10 (39%) | 7 (27%) | 6 (23%) | 4 (15%) | 2 (8%) |
| Crook | 18 (90%) | 12 (60%) | 4 (20%) | 10 (50%) | 2 (10%) | 0 (0%) |
| Curry | 11 (92%) | 10 (83%) | 5 (42%) | 5 (42%) | 2 (17%) | 3 (25%) |
| Deschutes | 34 (67%) | 13 (26%) | 4 (8%) | 6 (12%) | 3 (6%) | 4 (8%) |
| Douglas | 59 (89%) | 41 (63%) | 28 (43%) | 21 (32%) | 10 (15%) | 6 (9%) |
| Gilliam | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) |
| Grant | 6 (86%) | 5 (71%) | 5 (71%) | 2 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (29%) |
| Harney | 11 (85%) | 8 (62%) | 4 (31%) | 5 (39%) | 2 (15%) | 2 (15%) |
| Hood River | 37 (90%) | 10 (24%) | 8 (20%) | 8 (20%) | 2 (5%) | 0 (0%) |
| Jackson | 60 (75%) | 38 (48%) | 20 (25%) | 18 (23%) | 6 (8%) | 15 (19%) |
| Jefferson | 22 (79%) | 11 (39%) | 6 (21%) | 6 (21%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Josephine | 33 (85%) | 16 (41%) | 10 (26%) | 8 (21%) | 5 (13%) | 3 (8%) |
| Klamath | 33 (81%) | 17 (44%) | 16 (40%) | 15 (38%) | 7 (18%) | 7 (18%) |

Table 13c. Percent with High Stress Family Assessment and Presence of Specific Indicators

| County | High Stress Family Assessment | Substance Abuse | Mental Illness | Criminal History | Prior Child Welfare Involvement | Current Child Welfare Involvement |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Lane | 148 (85%) | 104 (61%) | 91 (52%) | 53 (31%) | 10 (6%) | 12 (7%) |
| Lincoln | 54 (83%) | 22 (34%) | 9 (14%) | 10 (15%) | 4 (6%) | 1 (2%) |
| Linn | 41 (85%) | 19 (40%) | 16 (33%) | 11 (23%) | 4 (8%) | 4 (8%) |
| Malheur | 20 (57%) | 11 (31%) | 2 (6%) | 4 (11%) | 4 (11%) | 2 (6%) |
| Marion | 156 (79%) | 62 (32%) | 39 (20%) | 47 (24%) | 6 (3%) | 10 (5%) |
| Morrow | 7 (54%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (17%) | 1 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Multnomah | 342 (71%) | 157 (33%) | 122 (26%) | 104 (22%) | 18 (4%) | 18 (4%) |
| Polk | 22 (82%) | 8 (30%) | 9 (33%) | 5 (19%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (4%) |
| Sherman | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 30 (88%) | 7 (21%) | 4 (12%) | 7 (21%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (3%) |
| Umatilla | 34 (74%) | 16 (36%) | 9 (21%) | 8 (18%) | 2 (5%) | 3 (7%) |
| Union | 11 (69%) | 6 (38%) | 4 (25%) | 3 (19%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wallowa | 11 (100%) | 8 (73%) | 5 (46%) | 4 (36%) | 2 (18%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wasco | 35 (97%) | 13 (37%) | 8 (23%) | 6 (17%) | 2 (6%) | 4 (11%) |
| Washington | 162 (69%) | 60 (26%) | 58 (25%) | 54 (23%) | 5 (2%) | 8 (3%) |
| Wheeler | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 40 (93%) | 21 (49%) | 18 (42%) | 14 (33%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) |
| State | 1,640 (78%) | 783 (37%) | 569 (27%) | 500 (24%) | 117 (6%) | 120 (6%) |

Table 13c. Percent with High Stress Family Assessment and Presence of Specific Indicators

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | High Stress Family Assessment | Substance Abuse | Mental Illness | Criminal History | Prior Child Welfare Involvement | Current Child Welfare Involvement |
|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 22 (63%) | 3 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 72 (94%) | 23 (30%) | 16 (21%) | 14 (18%) | 4 (5%) | 4 (5%) |
| Coos/Curry | 30 (79%) | 20 (53%) | 12 (32%) | 11 (29%) | 6 (16%) | 5 (13%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 6 (75%) | 1 (13%) | 4 (50%) | 2 (25%) | 1 (13%) | 1 (13%) |
| Marion/Polk | 178 (79%) | 70 (31%) | 48 (21%) | 52 (23%) | 7 (3%) | 11 (5%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 30 (91%) | 21 (64%) | 15 (46%) | 16 (49%) | 6 (18%) | 2 (6%) |

Table 14. Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity

| | Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ²⁷ | Number (%) African American | Number (%) Hispanic/Latino | Number (%) Asian | Number (%) American Indian | Number (%) Caucasian | Number (%) Multiracial | Number (%) Other | Number (%) Unreported |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Baker | 24 | 0 (0%) | 4 (17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 20 (83%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Benton | 44 | 0 (0%) | 16 (36%) | 2 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 18 (41%) | 3 (7%) | 1 (2%) | 4 (9%) |
| Clackamas | 183 | 0 (0%) | 44 (24%) | 5 (3%) | 1 (1%) | 97 (53%) | 13 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 23 (13%) |
| Clatsop | 17 | 0 (0%) | 5 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (53%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (12%) |
| Columbia | 25 | 0 (0%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) | | 18 (72%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (12%) |
| Coos | 32 | 0 (0%) | 2 (6%) | 1 (3%) | 3 (9%) | 15 (47%) | 3 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (25%) |
| Crook | 27 | 0 (0%) | 5 (19%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 11 (41%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (33%) |
| Curry | 25 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 12 (48%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 12 (48%) |
| Deschutes | 114 | 0 (0%) | 15 (13%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1%) | 71 (62%) | 7 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 20 (18%) |
| Douglas | 92 | 1 (1%) | 2 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1%) | 64 (70%) | 8 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 16 (17%) |
| Gilliam | 5 | 0 (0%) | 1 (20%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (40%) |
| Grant | 11 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (9%) | 8 (73%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) |
| Harney | 14 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 13 (93%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (7%) |
| Hood River | 51 | 0 (0%) | 26 (51%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 8 (16%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 15 (29%) |
| Jackson | 101 | 0 (0%) | 33 (33%) | 1 (1%) | 1 (1%) | 49 (49%) | 6 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (11%) |
| Jefferson | 34 | 0 (0%) | 14 (41%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (44%) | 2 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (9%) |
| Josephine | 57 | 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 40 (70%) | 4 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (16%) |
| Klamath | 73 | 1 (1%) | 12 (16%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (3%) | 35 (48%) | 6 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 17 (23%) |

²⁷ Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

Table 14. Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity

| | Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information ²⁷ | Number (%) African American | Number (%) Hispanic/ Latino | Number (%) Asian | Number (%) American Indian | Number (%) Caucasian | Number (%) Multiracial | Number (%) Other | Number (%) Unreported |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Lane | 200 | 4 (2%) | 32 (16%) | 4 (2%) | 1 (1%) | 135 (68%) | 11 (6%) | 2 (1%) | 11 (6%) |
| Lincoln | 95 | 0 (0%) | 24 (25%) | 1 (1%) | 3 (3%) | 51 (54%) | 4 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 12 (13%) |
| Linn | 64 | 1 (2%) | 27 (42%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 27 (42%) | 2 (3%) | 1 (2%) | 6 (9%) |
| Malheur | 62 | 1 (2%) | 25 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) | 21 (34%) | 4 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (16%) |
| Marion | 282 | 2 (1%) | 128 (45%) | 3 (1%) | 1 (<1%) | 87 (31%) | 14 (5%) | 1 (<1%) | 46 (16%) |
| Morrow | 35 | 0 (0%) | 22 (63%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (14%) | 2 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (14%) |
| Multnomah | 652 | 56 (9%) | 117 (18%) | 81 (12%) | 4 (1%) | 253 (39%) | 55 (8%) | 9 (1%) | 77 (12%) |
| Polk | 38 | 0 (0%) | 13 (34%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 19 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (16%) |
| Sherman | 1 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 47 | 0 (0%) | 18 (38%) | 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) | 14 (30%) | 4 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (17%) |
| Umatilla | 75 | 0 (0%) | 17 (23%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1%) | 46 (61%) | 2 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 9 (12%) |
| Union | 25 | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (60%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (4%) | 8 (32%) |
| Wallowa | 17 | 0 (0%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 12 (71%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (18%) |
| Wasco | 43 | 0 (0%) | 6 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 22 (51%) | 2 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 13 (30%) |
| Washington | 326 | 4 (1%) | 182 (56%) | 7 (2%) | 1 (<1%) | 58 (18%) | 18 (6%) | 4 (1%) | 52 (16%) |
| Wheeler | 3 | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (33%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 64 | 0 (0%) | 10 (16%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 35 (55%) | 3 (5%) | 1 (2%) | 15 (23%) |
| State | 2,985 | 72 (2%) | 805 (27%) | 108 (4%) | 27 (1%) | 1,307 (44%) | 179 (6%) | 22 (1%) | 438 (15%) |

²⁷ Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

Table 14. Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity

| | Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information²⁷ | Number (%) African American | Number (%) Hispanic/ Latino | Number (%) Asian | Number (%) American Indian | Number (%) Caucasian | Number (%) Multiracial | Number (%) Other | Number (%) Unreported |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 42 | 0 (0%) | 7 (17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 27 (64%) | 3 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (12%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 94 | 0 (0%) | 32 (34%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (1%) | 30 (32%) | 2 (2%) | 1 (1%) | 28 (30%) |
| Coos/Curry | 57 | 0 (0%) | 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) | 3 (5%) | 27 (47%) | 3 (5%) | 1 (2%) | 20 (35%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 9 | 0 (0%) | 2 (22%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (11%) | 4 (44%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (22%) |
| Marion/Polk | 320 | 2 (1%) | 141 (44%) | 3 (1%) | 1 (<1%) | 106 (33%) | 14 (4%) | 1 (<1%) | 52 (16%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/ Wallowa) | 41 | 0 (0%) | 5 (12%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 32 (78%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (7%) |

²⁷ Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

Table 15. Demographic²⁸ Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level

| | Number (%) of English Speaking Households | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households | Number (%) of Other Language Households | Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger) | Number (%) Single Mothers | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education | Number (%) of Families At or Below Poverty Level ²⁹ |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| Baker | 24 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (29%) | 15 (63%) | 7 (29%) | 17 (89%) |
| Benton | 24 (59%) | 12 (29%) | 5 (12%) | 6 (15%) | 28 (68%) | 9 (23%) | 23 (82%) |
| Clackamas | 115 (71%) | 25 (16%) | 21 (13%) | 16 (10%) | 132 (79%) | 61 (37%) | 65 (93%) |
| Clatsop | 11 (73%) | 4 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (7%) | 9 (60%) | 4 (27%) | 6 (86%) |
| Columbia | 23 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (17%) | 20 (83%) | 8 (35%) | 17 (89%) |
| Coos | 22 (99%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (4%) | 21 (78%) | 5 (19%) | 19 (83%) |
| Crook | 18 (95%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) | 3 (14%) | 14 (64%) | 4 (19%) | 17 (89%) |
| Curry | 12 (92%) | 1 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) | 17 (85%) | 3 (15%) | 9 (90%) |
| Deschutes | 89 (94%) | 5 (5%) | 1 (1%) | 10 (10%) | 80 (78%) | 30 (29%) | 47 (89%) |
| Douglas | 74 (97%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (3%) | 12 (16%) | 67 (87%) | 27 (35%) | 69 (93%) |
| Gilliam | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (20%) | 2 (50%) |
| Grant | 9 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (10%) | 8 (80%) | 3 (30%) | 8 (100%) |
| Harney | 13 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (15%) | 9 (69%) | 4 (31%) | 9 (100%) |
| Hood River | 10 (28%) | 18 (50%) | 8 (22%) | 13 (31%) | 27 (64%) | 23 (55%) | 36 (97%) |
| Jackson | 56 (62%) | 21 (23%) | 13 (14%) | 16 (18%) | 69 (76%) | 43 (48%) | 76 (97%) |
| Jefferson | 19 (63%) | 7 (23%) | 4 (13%) | 5 (16%) | 27 (84%) | 10 (31%) | 18 (72%) |
| Josephine | 47 (96%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) | 4 (8%) | 38 (78%) | 11 (22%) | 44 (94%) |
| Klamath | 49 (86%) | 2 (4%) | 6 (11%) | 14 (25%) | 54 (93%) | 26 (46%) | 40 (100%) |

²⁸ Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

²⁹ Number of families at or below poverty level is determined by comparing family income and family size (from the Family Intake), compared to the federal poverty rate calculations for 2012 (<http://www.ocpp.org/cgi-bin/display.cgi?page=poverty>).

Table 15. Demographic²⁸ Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level

| | Number (%) of English Speaking Households | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households | Number (%) of Other Language Households | Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger) | Number (%) Single Mothers | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education | Number (%) of Families At or Below Poverty Level ²⁹ |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| Lane | 164 (86%) | 2 (4%) | 6 (11%) | 16 (8%) | 151 (78%) | 44 (23%) | 149 (87%) |
| Lincoln | 60 (71%) | 22 (26%) | 3 (4%) | 10 (12%) | 71 (84%) | 22 (26%) | 66 (88%) |
| Linn | 33 (56%) | 23 (39%) | 3 (5%) | 6 (10%) | 43 (74%) | 19 (32%) | 17 (89%) |
| Malheur | 34 (69%) | 7 (14%) | 9 (18%) | 10 (18%) | 40 (70%) | 16 (29%) | 17 (74%) |
| Marion | 112 (47%) | 58 (24%) | 70 (29%) | 56 (23%) | 202 (82%) | 113 (46%) | 167 (90%) |
| Morrow | 7 (24%) | 17 (59%) | 5 (17%) | 7 (24%) | 23 (79%) | 14 (48%) | 16 (76%) |
| Multnomah | 370 (64%) | 59 (10%) | 152 (26%) | 65 (11%) | 423 (72%) | 186 (32%) | 423 (85%) |
| Polk | 21 (68%) | 6 (19%) | 4 (13%) | 5 (15%) | 23 (68%) | 11 (32%) | 11 (92%) |
| Sherman | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- |
| Tillamook | 21 (55%) | 8 (21%) | 9 (24%) | 12 (31%) | 33 (85%) | 22 (56%) | 16 (94%) |
| Umatilla | 49 (78%) | 11 (18%) | 3 (5%) | 16 (25%) | 53 (85%) | 27 (44%) | 20 (80%) |
| Union | 13 (81%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (19%) | 3 (16%) | 13 (68%) | 4 (22%) | 4 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 14 (93%) | 1 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (20%) | 15 (94%) | 8 (53%) | 8 (100%) |
| Wasco | 23 (79%) | 3 (10%) | 3 (10%) | 5 (16%) | 24 (75%) | 11 (34%) | 22 (85%) |
| Washington | 97 (35%) | 129 (47%) | 51 (18%) | 45 (15%) | 225 (73%) | 107 (37%) | 180 (82%) |
| Wheeler | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 40 (83%) | 6 (13%) | 2 (4%) | 10 (19%) | 42 (78%) | 23 (43%) | 26 (81%) |
| State | 1,681 (66%) | 460 (18%) | 394 (16%) | 385 (15%) | 2,022 (76%) | 908 (35%) | 1,667 (87%) |

²⁸ Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

²⁹ Number of families at or below poverty level is determined by comparing family income and family size (from the Family Intake), compared to the federal poverty rate calculations for 2012 (<http://www.ocpp.org/cgi-bin/display.cgi?page=poverty>).

**Table 15. Demographic²⁸ Characteristics of Intensive Service Families:
Language, Teen, Single Mothers, and Education & Poverty Level**

| | Number (%) of English Speaking Households | Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households | Number (%) of Other Language Households | Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger) | Number (%) Single Mothers | Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education | Number (%) of Families At or Below Poverty Level ²⁹ |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 34 (90%) | 4 (11%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (13%) | 29 (74%) | 12 (32%) | 23 (89%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 33 (51%) | 21 (32%) | 11 (17%) | 18 (24%) | 51 (69%) | 34 (46%) | 58 (92%) |
| Coos/Curry | 34 (92%) | 2 (5%) | 1 (3%) | 2 (4%) | 38 (81%) | 8 (17%) | 28 (85%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 7 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (67%) | 3 (33%) | 5 (71%) |
| Marion/Polk | 133 (49%) | 64 (24%) | 74 (27%) | 61 (22%) | 225 (80%) | 124 (45%) | 178 (90%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/ Wallowa) | 38 (97%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (26%) | 30 (75%) | 15 (39%) | 25 (93%) |

²⁸ Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

²⁹ Number of families at or below poverty level is determined by comparing family income and family size (from the Family Intake), compared to the federal poverty rate calculations for 2012 (<http://www.ocpp.org/cgi-bin/display.cgi?page=poverty>).

Table 16. Health Care for Intensive Service Families³⁰: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups

| County | Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information | Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Baker | 22 | 22 (100%) | 20 | 20 (100%) | 20 | 19 (95%) |
| Benton | 42 | 33 (79%) | 28 | 28 (100%) | 28 | 26 (93%) |
| Clackamas | 153 | 101 (66%) | 124 | 122 (98%) | 120 | 107 (89%) |
| Clatsop | 16 | 12 (71%) | 12 | 12 (100%) | 12 | 12 (100%) |
| Columbia | 20 | 20 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) | 16 | 16 (100%) |
| Coos | 27 | 27 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) |
| Crook | 23 | 20 (87%) | 22 | 22 (100%) | 20 | 19 (95%) |
| Curry | 17 | 7 (41%) | 10 | 10 (100%) | 10 | 9 (90%) |
| Deschutes | 93 | 76 (67%) | 68 | 68 (100%) | 67 | 66 (99%) |
| Douglas | 83 | 60 (72%) | 61 | 59 (97%) | 59 | 50 (85%) |
| Gilliam | 4 | 4 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) |
| Grant | 9 | 6 (67%) | 6 | 5 (83%) | 6 | 5 (83%) |
| Harney | 13 | 12 (92%) | 8 | 8 (100%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Hood River | 43 | 38 (88%) | 40 | 40 (100%) | 39 | 39 (100%) |
| Jackson | 86 | 81 (94%) | 70 | 70 (100%) | 67 | 64 (96%) |
| Jefferson | 31 | 30 (97%) | 29 | 29 (100%) | 26 | 19 (73%) |
| Josephine | 55 | 52 (95%) | 49 | 48 (98%) | 49 | 46 (94%) |
| Klamath | 58 | 54 (93%) | 32 | 32 (100%) | 32 | 30 (94%) |

³⁰ Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 16. Health Care for Intensive Service Families³⁰: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups

| County | Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information | Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Lane | 183 | 133 (73%) | 156 | 155 (99%) | 155 | 139 (90%) |
| Lincoln | 89 | 80 (90%) | 71 | 71 (100%) | 68 | 64 (94%) |
| Linn | 56 | 44 (79%) | 49 | 49 (100%) | 49 | 45 (92%) |
| Malheur | 41 | 33 (81%) | 26 | 26 (100%) | 26 | 24 (92%) |
| Marion | 250 | 199 (80%) | 208 | 204 (98%) | 200 | 186 (93%) |
| Morrow | 30 | 29 (97%) | 23 | 22 (96%) | 22 | 21 (96%) |
| Multnomah | 623 | 480 (77%) | 525 | 514 (98%) | 512 | 468 (91%) |
| Polk | 35 | 30 (86%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 27 | 27 (100%) |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 38 | 34 (90%) | 29 | 29 (100%) | 29 | 26 (90%) |
| Umatilla | 51 | 44 (86%) | 30 | 29 (97%) | 30 | 28 (93%) |
| Union | 25 | 17 (68%) | 20 | 19 (95%) | 19 | 18 (95%) |
| Wallowa | 9 | 9 (100%) | 8 | 8 (100%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Wasco | 40 | 38 (95%) | 32 | 31 (97%) | 31 | 26 (84%) |
| Washington | 268 | 151 (56%) | 235 | 234 (100%) | 233 | 230 (99%) |
| Wheeler | 3 | 3 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 57 | 53 (93%) | 48 | 48 (100%) | 45 | 43 (96%) |
| State | 2,594 | 2,033 (78%) | 2,116 | 2,089 (99%) | 2,065 | 1,920 (93%) |

³⁰ Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 16. Health Care for Intensive Service Families²⁷: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information | Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider | Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information | Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 36 | 32 (89%) | 30 | 30 (100%) | 28 | 28 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 83 | 76 (92%) | 72 | 71 (99%) | 70 | 65 (93%) |
| Coos/Curry | 44 | 34 (77%) | 35 | 35 (100%) | 35 | 34 (97%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 8 | 8 (100%) | 7 | 7 (100%) | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 285 | 229 (80%) | 235 | 231 (98%) | 227 | 213 (94%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 31 | 31 (100%) | 28 | 28 (100%) | 28 | 27 (96%) |

³⁰ Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 17a. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance

| County | Number of Children with Health Insurance Information (Family Update) | Number (%) with Private Insurance | Number (%) with OHP | Number (%) with No Insurance | Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ | Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Baker | 20 | 1 (5%) | 19 (95%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Benton | 28 | 1 (4%) | 27 (96%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 124 | 15 (12%) | 107 (86%) | 2 (2%) | 13 | 13 (100%) |
| Clatsop | 12 | 0 (0%) | 12 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Columbia | 18 | 2 (11%) | 16 (89%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Coos | 25 | 5 (20%) | 20 (80%) | 0 (0%) | 5 | 5 (100%) |
| Crook | 22 | 1 (5%) | 20 (91%) | 1 (5%) | -- | -- |
| Curry | 10 | 0 (0%) | 10 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 68 | 7 (10%) | 60 (88%) | 1 (2%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Douglas | 62 | 2 (3%) | 59 (95%) | 1 (2%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Gilliam | 3 | 1 (33%) | 2 (67%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Grant | 6 | 0 (0%) | 6 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Harney | 8 | 2 (25%) | 6 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Hood River | 40 | 1 (3%) | 39 (98%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Jackson | 70 | 6 (9%) | 63 (90%) | 1 (1%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Jefferson | 29 | 4 (14%) | 24 (83%) | 1 (3%) | -- | -- |
| Josephine | 49 | 3 (6%) | 46 (94%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Klamath | 33 | 2 (6%) | 31 (94%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |

Table 17a. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance

| County | Number of Children with Health Insurance Information (Family Update) | Number (%) with Private Insurance | Number (%) with OHP | Number (%) with No Insurance | Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ | Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Lane | 156 | 21 (14%) | 135 (87%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Lincoln | 70 | 4 (6%) | 66 (94%) | -- | 6 | 6 (100%) |
| Linn | 48 | 4 (8%) | 44 (92%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Malheur | 26 | 7 (27%) | 19 (73%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Marion | 209 | 12 (6%) | 192 (92%) | 5 (2%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Morrow | 23 | 3 (13%) | 19 (83%) | 1 (4%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Multnomah | 519 | 62 (12%) | 455 (88%) | 2 (<0%) | 19 | 19 (100%) |
| Polk | 27 | 2 (7%) | 25 (93%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 29 | 1 (3%) | 28 (97%) | 0 (0%) | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| Umatilla | 30 | 7 (23%) | 23 (77%) | 0 (0%) | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| Union | 20 | 2 (10%) | 17 (85%) | 1 (5%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 8 | 0 (0%) | 8 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Wasco | 32 | 2 (6%) | 30 (94%) | 0 (0%) | 4 | 4 (100%) |
| Washington | 235 | 32 (14%) | 202 (86%) | 1 (<0%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Wheeler | 3 | 0 (0%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 48 | 11 (23%) | 37 (77%) | 0 (0%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| State | 2,110 | 223 (11%) | 1,870 (89%) | 17 (1%) | 112 | 112 (100%) |

Table 17a. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Children with Health Insurance Information (Family Update) | Number (%) with Private Insurance | Number (%) with OHP | Number (%) with No Insurance | Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ | Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up |
|--|---|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 30 | 2 (7%) | 28 (93%) | 0 (0%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 72 | 3 (4%) | 69 (96%) | 0 (0%) | 6 | 6 (100%) |
| Coos/Curry | 35 | 5 (14%) | 30 (86%) | 0 (0%) | 7 | 7 (100%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 6 | 1 (17%) | 5 (83%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- |
| Marion/Polk | 236 | 14 (6%) | 217 (92%) | 5 (2%) | 9 | 9 (100%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 28 | 1 (4%) | 27 (96%) | 0 (0%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |

Table 17b. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Use of Emergency Room in Past 6 Months

| County | Number (%) of Children Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³¹ | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³² | Number (%) of Mothers Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³³ | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³⁴ |
|------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Baker | 5 (31%) | 1 | .31 | 4 (25%) | 1 | .25 |
| Benton | 2 (10%) | 2 | .15 | 1 (5%) | 1 | .05 |
| Clackamas | 20 (22%) | 3 | .67 | 10 (11%) | 3 | .29 |
| Clatsop | 1 (9%) | 2 | .18 | 0 (0%) | -- | .00 |
| Columbia | 1 (6%) | 1 | .06 | 1 (6%) | 1 | .06 |
| Coos | 2 (11%) | 1 | .11 | 5 (30%) | 1 | .29 |
| Crook | 6 (32%) | 3 | .79 | 6 (32%) | 2 | .53 |
| Curry | 1 (17%) | 1 | .17 | 0 (0%) | -- | .00 |
| Deschutes | 6 (12%) | 13 | 1.55 | 7 (14%) | 3 | .34 |
| Douglas | 13 (26%) | 3 | .68 | 4 (8%) | 3 | .20 |
| Gilliam | 1 (25%) | 2 | .50 | 1 (25%) | 2 | .50 |
| Grant | 2 (50%) | 2 | 1.00 | 2 (50%) | 2 | 1.00 |
| Harney | 1 (50%) | 1 | .50 | 1 (50%) | 1 | .50 |
| Hood River | 6 (20%) | 1 | .20 | 1 (3%) | 1 | .03 |
| Jackson | 13 (23%) | 1 | .33 | 11 (19%) | 5 | 1.00 |
| Jefferson | 7 (33%) | 2 | .67 | 6 (29%) | 2 | .67 |
| Josephine | 10 (29%) | 4 | 1.20 | 6 (18%) | 4 | .65 |
| Klamath | 3 (14%) | 2 | .24 | 1 (5%) | 2 | .10 |

³¹ Of families reporting child had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³² Of all families responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

³³ Of mothers reporting they had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³⁴ Of mothers responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

Table 17b. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Use of Emergency Room in Past 6 Months

| County | Number (%) of Children Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months³¹ | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months³² | Number (%) of Mothers Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months³³ | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months³⁴ |
|---------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Lane | 14 (10%) | 2 | .15 | 15 (11%) | 3 | .30 |
| Lincoln | 13 (25%) | 4 | 1.04 | 12 (23%) | 5 | 1.13 |
| Linn | 4 (9%) | 3 | .22 | 6 (13%) | 1 | .13 |
| Malheur | 5 (23%) | 1 | .23 | 3 (14%) | 1 | .18 |
| Marion | 27 (15%) | 3 | .49 | 19 (11%) | 4 | .38 |
| Morrow | 0 (0%) | -- | .00 | 1 (9%) | 9 | .82 |
| Multnomah | 109 (25%) | 2 | .59 | 62 (14%) | 2 | .33 |
| Polk | 8 (38%) | 2 | .62 | 2 (10%) | 4 | .33 |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 7 (27%) | 1 | .35 | 8 (32%) | 3 | .80 |
| Umatilla | 2 (18%) | 2 | .27 | 2 (17%) | 16 | 2.58 |
| Union | 5 (33%) | 1 | .40 | 3 (20%) | 1 | .27 |
| Wallowa | 3 (75%) | 2 | 1.50 | 0 (0%) | -- | .00 |
| Wasco | 7 (27%) | 1 | .35 | 4 (15%) | 1 | .19 |
| Washington | 41 (22%) | 3 | .56 | 39 (21%) | 3 | .57 |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 2 (6%) | 3 | .14 | 6 (17%) | 3 | .46 |
| State | 347 (21%) | 3 | .52 | 249 (15%) | 3 | .42 |

³¹ Of families reporting child had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³² Of all families responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

³³ Of mothers reporting they had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³⁴ Of mothers responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

Table 17b. Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Use of Emergency Room in Past 6 Months

| | Number (%) of Children Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³¹ | Average Number Child ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³² | Number (%) of Mothers Reporting ER Use During Last 6 Months | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³³ | Average Number Mother ER Visits During Last 6 Months ³⁴ |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 2 (7%) | 2 | .11 | 1 (4%) | 1 | .04 |
| Columbia Gorge | 13 (23%) | 1 | .27 | 5 (9%) | 1 | .11 |
| Coos/Curry | 3 (13%) | 1 | .13 | 5 (22%) | 1 | .22 |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 1 (25%) | 2 | .50 | 1 (25%) | 2 | .50 |
| Marion/Polk | 35 (18%) | 3 | .50 | 21 (11%) | 4 | .38 |
| NE Oregon (Baker/ Wallowa) | 8 (40%) | 1 | .55 | 4 (20%) | 1 | .20 |

³¹ Of families reporting child had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³² Of all families responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

³³ Of mothers reporting they had at least one ER visit in the past 6 months.

³⁴ Of mothers responding to the ER use question (including those with no use) in the past 6 months.

Table 18a. Comparison of Prenatal Care and Smoke Exposure for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| County | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on NBQ | | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on Intake | | Number (%) Children with Passive Smoke Exposure | |
|------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ³⁵ | Postnatal Service ³⁶ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Baker | 11 (100%) | 10 (77%) | 9 (100%) | 10 (77%) | 1 (11%) | 2 (15%) |
| Benton | 1 (100%) | 36 (90%) | 1 (100%) | 37 (97%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (8%) |
| Clackamas | 10 (83%) | 102 (69%) | 9 (82%) | 93 (74%) | 1 (9%) | 17 (14%) |
| Clatsop | 1 (100%) | 13 (93%) | -- | 13 (93%) | -- | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia | 6 (60%) | 8 (57%) | 4 (80%) | 6 (55%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos | 2 (100%) | 22 (88%) | 1 (100%) | 22 (92%) | 1 (100%) | 6 (24%) |
| Crook | 2 (50%) | 17 (94%) | 4 (100%) | 15 (94%) | 1 (25%) | 4 (25%) |
| Curry | 4 (67%) | 8 (73%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (29%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (50%) |
| Deschutes | 9 (100%) | 69 (76%) | 5 (100%) | 62 (86%) | 1 (20%) | 17 (24%) |
| Douglas | 5 (71%) | 57 (81%) | 6 (100%) | 58 (84%) | 2 (33%) | 16 (24%) |
| Gilliam | -- | 5 (100%) | -- | 4 (100%) | -- | 1 (25%) |
| Grant | 3 (75%) | 4 (67%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 3 (60%) |
| Harney | 5 (71%) | 5 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 4 (67%) | 2 (40%) |
| Hood River | 14 (93%) | 21 (78%) | 13 (100%) | 25 (96%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Jackson | 20 (67%) | 37 (63%) | 21 (84%) | 38 (70%) | 4 (16%) | 16 (29%) |
| Jefferson | 1 (50%) | 19 (63%) | 1 (100%) | 20 (83%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) |
| Josephine | 1 (100%) | 36 (78%) | 1 (100%) | 37 (77%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (31%) |
| Klamath | 14 (88%) | 22 (58%) | 12 (80%) | 21 (58%) | 3 (19%) | 6 (17%) |

³⁵ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁶ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 18a. Comparison of Prenatal Care and Smoke Exposure for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| County | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on NBQ | | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on Intake | | Number (%) Children with Passive Smoke Exposure | |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ³⁵ | Postnatal Service ³⁶ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Lane | 29 (88%) | 122 (78%) | 33 (100%) | 122 (84%) | 2 (15%) | 27 (18%) |
| Lincoln | 1 (100%) | 64 (82%) | 1 (100%) | 67 (86%) | 0 (0%) | 15 (19%) |
| Linn | 11 (92%) | 36 (86%) | 13 (100%) | 38 (91%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (24%) |
| Malheur | 17 (65%) | 21 (70%) | 9 (82%) | 21 (75%) | 1 (9%) | 5 (18%) |
| Marion | 27 (73%) | 146 (72%) | 26 (84%) | 159 (81%) | 3 (9%) | 25 (13%) |
| Morrow | 3 (60%) | 15 (68%) | 3 (100%) | 14 (74%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) |
| Multnomah | 35 (73%) | 382 (84%) | 44 (90%) | 433 (82%) | 4 (8%) | 60 (11%) |
| Polk | 2 (67%) | 27 (87%) | 2 (67%) | 24 (83%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (10%) |
| Sherman | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 17 (81%) | 12 (67%) | 13 (77%) | 16 (84%) | 1 (6%) | 3 (16%) |
| Umatilla | 21 (66%) | 19 (79%) | 17 (81%) | 15 (71%) | 3 (14%) | 5 (22%) |
| Union | 2 (100%) | 15 (88%) | 2 (100%) | 15 (83%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) |
| Wallowa | 8 (80%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 3 (75%) | 3 (75%) |
| Wasco | 10 (91%) | 13 (65%) | 10 (91%) | 15 (79%) | 2 (18%) | 3 (16%) |
| Washington | 34 (65%) | 180 (75%) | 46 (89%) | 157 (83%) | 1 (2%) | 2 (3%) |
| Wheeler | -- | 2 (67%) | -- | 2 (67%) | -- | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 14 (82%) | 23 (68%) | 14 (88%) | 25 (83%) | 4 (25%) | 5 (17%) |
| State | 340 (76%) | 1,573 (77%) | 338 (89%) | 1,599 (82%) | 50 (13%) | 283 (14%) |

³⁵ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁶ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 18a. Comparison of Prenatal Care and Smoke Exposure for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on NBQ | | Number (%) with Early Prenatal Care on Intake | | Number (%) Children with Passive Smoke Exposure | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ²⁸ | Postnatal Service ²⁹ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 7 (64%) | 21 (75%) | 4 (80%) | 19 (76%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 24 (92%) | 34 (72%) | 23 (96%) | 40 (89%) | 2 (8%) | 3 (7%) |
| Coos/Curry | 6 (75%) | 30 (83%) | 5 (83%) | 24 (77%) | 1 (17%) | 10 (30%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | -- | 8 (89%) | -- | 7 (88%) | -- | 1 (13%) |
| Marion/Polk | 29 (73%) | 173 (74%) | 28 (82%) | 183 (81%) | 4 (11%) | 28 (12%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 19 (91%) | 14 (82%) | 13 (100%) | 13 (77%) | 4 (31%) | 5 (29%) |

³⁵ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁶ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 18b. Comparison of Health Outcomes for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| County | Number (%) of Babies with Primary Health Care Providers | | Number (%) of Mothers Breastfeeding | | Number (%) of Babies Born Premature | |
|------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ³⁷ | Postnatal Service ³⁸ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Baker | 9 (100%) | 13 (100%) | 8 (100%) | 6 (46%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (8%) |
| Benton | 1 (100%) | 38 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 29 (76%) | -- | 8 (22%) |
| Clackamas | 11 (100%) | 123 (99%) | 7 (70%) | 73 (58%) | 0 (0%) | 14 (11%) |
| Clatsop | -- | 14 (100%) | -- | 11 (79%) | -- | 1 (7%) |
| Columbia | 6 (100%) | 13 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 9 (69%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (15%) |
| Coos | 1 (100%) | 24 (96%) | 1 (100%) | 17 (68%) | 1 (100%) | 5 (20%) |
| Crook | 4 (100%) | 15 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 12 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (19%) |
| Curry | 4 (80%) | 8 (100%) | 3 (60%) | 2 (25%) | 1 (20%) | 2 (33%) |
| Deschutes | 5 (100%) | 69 (99%) | 4 (80%) | 47 (66%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (7%) |
| Douglas | 5 (100%) | 66 (96%) | 4 (80%) | 31 (46%) | 1 (17%) | 6 (9%) |
| Gilliam | -- | 4 (100%) | -- | 3 (75%) | -- | 2 (50%) |
| Grant | 4 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (50%) | 2 (40%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) |
| Harney | 6 (100%) | 5 (100%) | 3 (60%) | 3 (60%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Hood River | 13 (100%) | 26 (100%) | 13 (100%) | 23 (89%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Jackson | 24 (96%) | 55 (100%) | 21 (84%) | 36 (66%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (15%) |
| Jefferson | 1 (100%) | 23 (96%) | 0 (0%) | 16 (64%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (9%) |
| Josephine | 1 (100%) | 47 (96%) | 1 (100%) | 38 (78%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (15%) |
| Klamath | 15 (100%) | 36 (100%) | 7 (47%) | 14 (39%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (11%) |

³⁷ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁸ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 18b. Comparison of Health Outcomes for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| County | Number (%) of Babies with Primary Health Care Providers | | Number (%) of Mothers Breastfeeding | | Number (%) of Babies Born Premature | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ³⁷ | Postnatal Service ³⁸ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Lane | 32 (100%) | 144 (99%) | 25 (83%) | 97 (66%) | 4 (13%) | 17 (12%) |
| Lincoln | 0 (0%) | 76 (99%) | 1 (100%) | 54 (69%) | 0 (0%) | 7 (9%) |
| Linn | 12 (92%) | 43 (100%) | 11 (85%) | 29 (69%) | 2 (17%) | 2 (5%) |
| Malheur | 11 (100%) | 27 (96%) | 7 (64%) | 16 (57%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (19%) |
| Marion | 29 (97%) | 193 (99%) | 24 (80%) | 126 (65%) | 2 (8%) | 20 (11%) |
| Morrow | 3 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 13 (68%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5%) |
| Multnomah | 47 (100%) | 510 (96%) | 41 (85%) | 374 (70%) | 4 (9%) | 51 (10%) |
| Polk | 3 (100%) | 28 (97%) | 2 (100%) | 17 (59%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (15%) |
| Sherman | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 0 (0%) | -- | 0 (0%) |
| Tillamook | 17 (100%) | 18 (95%) | 16 (94%) | 10 (56%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (11%) |
| Umatilla | 20 (95%) | 23 (100%) | 14 (67%) | 14 (67%) | 3 (14%) | 2 (11%) |
| Union | 2 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 9 (47%) | 1 (50%) | 4 (22%) |
| Wallowa | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (50%) |
| Wasco | 10 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 9 (90%) | 14 (74%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (17%) |
| Washington | 49 (98%) | 187 (98%) | 47 (96%) | 143 (76%) | 4 (8%) | 20 (11%) |
| Wheeler | -- | 3 (100%) | -- | 0 (0%) | -- | 2 (67%) |
| Yamhill | 15 (100%) | 29 (100%) | 10 (63%) | 16 (53%) | 1 (6%) | 2 (7%) |
| State | 364 (98%) | 1, 926 (98%) | 300 (82%) | 1,305 (66%) | 25 (7%) | 214 (11%) |

³⁷ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁸ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 18b. Comparison of Health Outcomes for Families Served Pre- & Postnatal

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) of Babies with Primary Health Care Providers | | Number (%) of Mothers Breastfeeding | | Number (%) of Babies Born Premature | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Prenatal Service ³⁰ | Postnatal Service ³¹ | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service | Prenatal Service | Postnatal Service |
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 6 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 20 (74%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (11%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 23 (100%) | 45 (100%) | 22 (96%) | 37 (82%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (7%) |
| Coos/Curry | 5 (83%) | 32 (97%) | 4 (67%) | 19 (58%) | 2 (33%) | 7 (23%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | -- | 8 (100%) | -- | 3 (38%) | -- | 4 (50%) |
| Marion/Polk | 32 (97%) | 221 (99%) | 26 (81%) | 143 (64%) | 2 (7%) | 24 (11%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 13 (100%) | 17 (100%) | 11 (92%) | 7 (41%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (18%) |

³⁷ Prenatal service families are those families who were both screened prenatally and began intensive service prenatally (as determined by the first home visit date occurring before the birth of the baby).

³⁸ Postnatal service families are those families who began intensive service after the birth of the baby (the first home visit date is after the baby's date of birth).

Table 19. Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births

| County | Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families) | Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy |
|------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Baker | 22 | 19 (86%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Benton | 39 | 38 (97%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 136 | 102 (75%) | 11 | 7 (88%) | 6 (75%) |
| Clatsop | 14 | 13 (93%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 16 | 10 (63%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Coos | 25 | 23 (92%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Crook | 21 | 20 (95%) | 3 | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) |
| Curry | 13 | 7 (54%) | 1 | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 79 | 69 (87%) | 7 | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) |
| Douglas | 76 | 65 (86%) | 5 | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) |
| Gilliam | 4 | 4 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Grant | 8 | 8 (100%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Harney | 12 | 12 (100%) | 1 | -- | -- |
| Hood River | 39 | 38 (97%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Jackson | 79 | 59 (75%) | 6 | 3 (60%) | 5 (100%) |
| Jefferson | 25 | 21 (84%) | 4 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Josephine | 49 | 38 (78%) | 5 | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) |
| Klamath | 53 | 34 (64%) | 0 | -- | -- |

Table 19. Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births

| County | Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families) | Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy |
|------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Lane | 180 | 155 (86%) | 10 | 5 (63%) | 8 (100%) |
| Lincoln | 81 | 70 (86%) | 6 | 5 (100%) | 3 (60%) |
| Linn | 55 | 51 (93%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) |
| Malheur | 39 | 30 (77%) | 4 | 3 (100%) | 2 (67%) |
| Marion | 227 | 185 (82%) | 15 | 4 (93%) | 12 (80%) |
| Morrow | 22 | 17 (77%) | 4 | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) |
| Multnomah | 583 | 479 (82%) | 33 | 26 (96%) | 26 (96%) |
| Polk | 32 | 26 (81%) | 1 | -- | -- |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 37 | 30 (81%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Umatilla | 42 | 32 (76%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Union | 20 | 17 (85%) | 2 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 8 | 7 (88%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Wasco | 30 | 25 (83%) | 2 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Washington | 243 | 204 (84%) | 20 | 9 (75%) | 9 (75%) |
| Wheeler | 3 | 2 (67%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 46 | 29 (85%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| State | 2359 | 1,950 (83%) | 156 | 106 (88%) | 108 (90%) |

Table 19. Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families) | Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth) | Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 30 | 23 (77%) | 0 | -- | -- |
| Columbia Gorge | 69 | 63 (91%) | 4 | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Coos/Curry | 38 | 30 (79%) | 3 | 2 (67%) | 3 (100%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 8 | 7 (88%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 259 | 211 (82%) | 16 | 14 (93%) | 12 (80%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 30 | 26 (87%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |

Table 20. HOME Score and Developmental Screening³⁹

| County | Number of Families with HOME ⁴⁰ Score Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months) | Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening ⁴¹ | Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening | Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay ⁴² | Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Baker | 14 | 13 (93%) | 20 | 18 (90%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Benton | 19 | 19 (100%) | 33 | 27 (82%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 80 | 61 (76%) | 119 | 106 (89%) | 7 (6%) | 7 (100%) |
| Clatsop | 7 | 7 (100%) | 12 | 11 (92%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Columbia | 16 | 16 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) | 2 (11%) | 2 (100%) |
| Coos | 15 | 15 (100%) | 25 | 24 (96%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) |
| Crook | 12 | 9 (75%) | 23 | 19 (83%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Curry | 2 | 1 (50%) | 20 | 10 (50%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Deschutes | 41 | 40 (98%) | 78 | 58 (74%) | 3 (4%) | 2 (100%) |
| Douglas | 47 | 40 (85%) | 58 | 52 (90%) | 2 (3%) | 1 (100%) |
| Gilliam | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Grant | 2 | 2 (100%) | 7 | 4 (57%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Harney | 5 | 5 (100%) | 11 | 7 (64%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Hood River | 31 | 30 (97%) | 38 | 35 (92%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (100%) |
| Jackson | 47 | 42 (89%) | 64 | 61 (95%) | 4 (6%) | 3 (75%) |
| Jefferson | 19 | 12 (63%) | 26 | 25 (96%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (100%) |
| Josephine | 33 | 32 (97%) | 44 | 42 (96%) | 1 (2%) | -- |
| Klamath | 15 | 15 (100%) | 52 | 28 (54%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (100%) |

³⁹ Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening results are reported on the Family Update form.

⁴⁰ The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child's first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for "good" or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

⁴¹ Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

⁴² Note that these diagnoses are not provided by Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon staff.

Table 20. HOME Score and Developmental Screening³⁹

| County | Number of Families with HOME⁴⁰ Score Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months) | Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening⁴¹ | Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening | Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay⁴² | Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services |
|---------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Lane | 106 | 94 (89%) | 147 | 140 (95%) | 7 (5%) | 7 (100%) |
| Lincoln | 48 | 32 (67%) | 70 | 58 (83%) | 4 (6%) | 3 (100%) |
| Linn | 35 | 34 (97%) | 45 | 43 (96%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (100%) |
| Malheur | 17 | 17 (100%) | 29 | 24 (83%) | 2 (8%) | 2 (100%) |
| Marion | 129 | 106 (82%) | 195 | 174 (89%) | 4 (2%) | 4 (100%) |
| Morrow | 6 | 4 (67%) | 25 | 21 (84%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Multnomah | 324 | 263 (81%) | 477 | 436 (91%) | 33 (7%) | 23 (77%) |
| Polk | 15 | 15 (100%) | 28 | 24 (86%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 15 | 15 (100%) | 26 | 23 (89%) | 3 (10%) | 2 (67%) |
| Umatilla | 15 | 14 (93%) | 47 | 23 (49%) | 2 (7%) | 1 (100%) |
| Union | 13 | 12 (92%) | 23 | 19 (83%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Wallowa | 4 | 3 (75%) | 7 | 7 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- |
| Wasco | 18 | 17 (94%) | 27 | 25 (93%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (100%) |
| Washington | 158 | 146 (92%) | 255 | 217 (85%) | 10 (4%) | 8 (80%) |
| Wheeler | 2 | 2 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 1 (33%) | 1 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 31 | 28 (90%) | 47 | 37 (79%) | 3 (6%) | 2 (100%) |
| State | 1,342 | 1,162 (87%) | 2,100 | 1,820 (87%) | 96 (5%) | 76 (87%) |

³⁹ Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening results are reported on the Family Update form.

⁴⁰ The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child's first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for "good" or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

⁴¹ Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

⁴² Note that these diagnoses are not provided by Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon staff.

Table 20. HOME Score and Developmental Screening³²

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Families with HOME.³³ Score Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months) | Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening³⁴ | Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening | Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay³⁵ | Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 23 | 23 (100%) | 30 | 29 (97%) | 2 (7%) | 2 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 49 | 47 (96%) | 65 | 60 (92%) | 2 (3%) | 2 (100%) |
| Coos/Curry | 17 | 16 (94%) | 45 | 34 (76%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (100%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 3 | 3 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 144 | 121 (84%) | 223 | 198 (89%) | 5 (2%) | 5 (100%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 18 | 16 (89%) | 27 | 25 (93%) | 0 (0%) | -- |

³⁹ Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening results are reported on the Family Update form.

⁴⁰ The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child's first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for "good" or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75th percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

⁴¹ Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

⁴² Note that these diagnoses are not provided by Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon staff.

Table 21. Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions

| County | Number (%) w/Normal Development ⁴³ at Most Recent Developmental Screening | Number (%) of Children with Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ | <i>Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):</i> | | | | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) of Connected to Early Intervention Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development | Number (%) Receiving "Other" Action | Number (%) of Families Declining Early Intervention Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
| Baker | 17 (94%) | 1 (6%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Benton | 24 (89%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 87 (82%) | 12 (11%) | 1 (8%) | 7 (58%) | 3 (25%) | 2 (17%) | 0 (0%) | 11 (92%) |
| Clatsop | 10 (91%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 17 (94%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Coos | 22 (92%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Crook | 17 (90%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Curry | 10 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Deschutes | 54 (93%) | 4 (7%) | 2 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (50%) | 1 (25%) | 1 (25%) | 4 (100%) |
| Douglas | 45 (87%) | 7 (14%) | 3 (43%) | 2 (29%) | 3 (43%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (14%) | 6 (86%) |
| Gilliam | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Harney | 6 (86%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hood River | 34 (97%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Jackson | 53 (87%) | 7 (12%) | 2 (29%) | 4 (57%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (14%) | 1 (14%) | 6 (86%) |
| Jefferson | 20 (80%) | 4 (16%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (25%) | 2 (50%) | 4 (100%) |
| Josephine | 38 (91%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Klamath | 25 (89%) | 2 (7%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) |

⁴³ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor.

Table 21. Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions

| County | Number (%) w/Normal Development ⁴³ at Most Recent Developmental Screening | Number (%) of Children with Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ | Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken): | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) of Connected to Early Intervention Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development | Number (%) Receiving "Other" Action | Number (%) of Families Declining Early Intervention Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
| Lane | 114 (81%) | 18 (13%) | 6 (33%) | 6 (33%) | 10 (56%) | 6 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 18 (100%) |
| Lincoln | 50 (86%) | 5 (7%) | 2 (40%) | 1 (20%) | 2 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (100%) |
| Linn | 32 (74%) | 4 (9%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (50%) | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (100%) |
| Malheur | 22 (92%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Marion | 157 (90%) | 6 (3%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (33%) | 6 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (100%) |
| Morrow | 21 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Multnomah | 353 (81%) | 50 (12%) | 11 (22%) | 18 (36%) | 19 (38%) | 11 (22%) | 8 (16%) | 44 (88%) |
| Polk | 21 (88%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 16 (70%) | 3 (13%) | 1 (33%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) |
| Umatilla | 20 (87%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Union | 16 (84%) | 1 (5%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 6 (86%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wasco | 24 (96%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Washington | 202 (93%) | 12 (6%) | 5 (42%) | 3 (25%) | 2 (17%) | 3 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (83%) |
| Wheeler | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 30 (81%) | 5 (14%) | 2 (40%) | 3 (60%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (20%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (100%) |
| State | 1,570 (86%) | 147 (8%) | 42 (29%) | 51 (35%) | 64 (44%) | 30 (20%) | 16 (11%) | 135 (92%) |

⁴³ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor.

Table 21. Developmental Screening (ASQ) Results & Subsequent Actions

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) w/Normal Development ³⁶ at Most Recent Developmental Screening | Number (%) of Children with Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ | <i>Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):</i> | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) of Connected to Early Intervention Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development | Number (%) Receiving "Other" Action | Number (%) of Families Declining Early Intervention Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 27 (93%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 58 (97%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coos/Curry | 32 (94%) | 2 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 3 (75%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 178 (90%) | 6 (3%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (33%) | 6 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 6 (100%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 23 (92%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |

⁴³ Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start ~ Healthy Families Oregon home visitor.

Table 22. Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions⁴⁴

| County | Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Number (%) w/Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken): | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention | Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development | Number (%) Declined Additional Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
| Baker | 18 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Benton | 23 (96%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0(0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Clackamas | 95 (92%) | 6 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (67%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (83%) |
| Clatsop | 10 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 18 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coos | 24 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Crook | 17 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Curry | 9 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Deschutes | 49 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Douglas | 49 (94%) | 2 (4%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Gilliam | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Harney | 6 (86%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hood River | 34 (97%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Jackson | 56 (97%) | 2 (3%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Jefferson | 21 (88%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Josephine | 39 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Klamath | 27 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

⁴⁴ The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

Table 22. Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions⁴⁴*Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken):*

| County | Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Number (%) w/Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention | Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child's Development | Number (%) Declined Additional Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
|--------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Lane | 123 (93%) | 5 (4%) | 3 (60%) | 2 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (20%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (100%) |
| Lincoln | 57 (98%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Linn | 42 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Malheur | 24 (96%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Marion | 164 (97%) | 2 (1%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) |
| Morrow | 19 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Multnomah | 398 (94%) | 12 (3%) | 2 (17%) | 8 (67%) | 1 (8%) | 1 (8%) | 3 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 10 (83%) |
| Polk | 24 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 22 (96%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Umatilla | 23 (96%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Union | 16 (94%) | 1 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wallowa | 6 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wasco | 25 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Washington | 208 (98%) | 2 (1%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Wheeler | 3 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yamhill | 32 (89%) | 2 (6%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| State | 1,686 (96%) | 38 (2%) | 9 (24%) | 20 (53%) | 5 (13%) | 4 (11%) | 8 (21%) | 1 (3%) | 32 (84%) |

⁴⁴ The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

Table 22. Social Emotional Developmental Screening (ASQ-SE) Results & Subsequent Actions³⁷

| | | | Of those with delays indicated (note that multiple actions can be taken): | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Regional Programs | Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Number (%) w/Delay Indicated on Most Recent ASQ-SE | Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention | Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention | Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services | Number (%) Given Information/ Support for Child’s Development | Number (%) Declined Additional Services | Total Number (%) Receiving at Least ONE Follow-Up Service or Action |
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 28 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia Gorge | 59 (98%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coos/Curry | 33 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | 4 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Marion/Polk | 188 (97%) | 2 (1%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (50%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 24 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

⁴⁴ The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

Table 23. Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families⁴⁵***Number Needing and Connected to Service at 6 months (% Connected)***

| County | Dental Insurance | Drug / Alcohol | Domestic Violence | Education Assistance | Housing Assistance | Job Training | Mental Health | Medicaid / OHP | Public Health Nursing | TANF |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Baker | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 3 (75%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 6 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 2 (67%) |
| Benton | 1 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (67%) | 4 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 2 (67%) |
| Clackamas | 13 (72%) | 2 (100%) | 5 (63%) | 22 (79%) | 31 (94%) | 22 (92%) | 29 (78%) | 23 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 11 (79%) |
| Clatsop | 2 (50%) | -- | -- | 2 (100%) | 2 (50%) | -- | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) | -- | -- |
| Columbia | 2 (100%) | -- | -- | 2 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Coos | 6 (100%) | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Crook | 2 (67%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (50%) | -- | 4 (100%) |
| Curry | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (50%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 8(89%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 4 (80%) | 5 (83%) | 2 (67%) | 8 (100%) | 6 (75%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Douglas | 15 (100%) | -- | 1 (50%) | 17 (94%) | 19 (95%) | 5 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 5 (100%) |
| Gilliam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Grant | -- | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Harney | 2 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Hood River | 14 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (25%) | 9 (100%) | 11 (92%) | 5 (83%) | 10 (100%) | 12 (100%) | 16 (100%) | 9 (100%) |
| Jackson | 7 (39%) | 5 (83%) | 5 (83%) | 17 (71%) | 19 (95%) | 8 (67%) | 13 (81%) | 10 (71%) | -- | 9 (75%) |
| Jefferson | 4 (50%) | -- | -- | 0 (0%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | 3 (75%) |
| Josephine | 6 (50%) | -- | 4 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (31%) | 1 (100%) | 4 (67%) |
| Klamath | -- | -- | 4 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 2 (100%) | -- | -- | 3 (100%) |

⁴⁵ Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2012-13, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

Table 23. Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families⁴⁵

Number Needing and Connected to Service at 6 months (% Connected)

| County | Dental Insurance | Drug / Alcohol | Domestic Violence | Education Assistance | Housing Assistance | Job Training | Mental Health | Medicaid / OHP | Public Health Nursing | TANF |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Lane | 6 (33%) | 7 (70%) | 7 (100%) | 27 (77%) | 30 (71%) | 9 (50%) | 31 (78%) | 13 (38%) | -- | 13 (62%) |
| Lincoln | 9 (90%) | 3 (75%) | -- | 9 (56%) | 16 (73%) | 3 (60%) | 11 (73%) | 38 (97%) | 3 (100%) | 7 (70%) |
| Linn | 5 (39%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (50%) | 5 (63%) | 2 (50%) | 3 (60%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Malheur | 2 (100%) | -- | -- | 2 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) |
| Marion | 16 (49%) | 1 (20%) | 9 (100%) | 39 (81%) | 31 (74%) | 32 (82%) | 23 (70%) | 18 (64%) | 1 (33%) | 18 (67%) |
| Morrow | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 5 (100%) | -- | 2 (67%) |
| Multnomah | 30 (48%) | 4 (50%) | 31 (84%) | 86 (77%) | 100 (87%) | 59 (71%) | 56 (74%) | 72 (79%) | 33 (94%) | 52 (85%) |
| Polk | 4 (67%) | -- | -- | 4 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 2 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 1 (50%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) |
| Umatilla | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 3 (100%) | -- | 2 (67%) |
| Union | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (100%) | -- | -- |
| Wallowa | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 2 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Wasco | 6 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (100%) | 5 (71%) | 4 (80%) | 1 (20%) | 4 (50%) | 5 (71%) | 1 (33%) | 6 (100%) |
| Washington | 23 (62%) | 1 (50%) | 3 (60%) | 12 (80%) | 15 (71%) | 7 (78%) | 22 (88%) | 17 (65%) | 3 (100%) | 10 (67%) |
| Wheeler | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 3 (60%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 4 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 4 (50%) | 2 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 6 (86%) |
| State | 192 (62%) | 38 (69%) | 84 (82%) | 297 (79%) | 347 (84%) | 191 (74%) | 268 (79%) | 258 (75%) | 73 (88%) | 179 (79%) |

⁴⁵ Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2012-13, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

Table 23. Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families³⁸***Number Needing and Connected to Service at 6 months (% Connected)***

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Dental Insurance | Drug / Alcohol | Domestic Violence | Education Assistance | Housing Assistance | Job Training | Mental Health | Medicaid / OHP | Public Health Nursing | TANF |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Clatsop/ Columbia | 4 (67%) | -- | -- | 4 (100%) | 6 (75%) | 1 (100%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (75%) | -- | -- |
| Columbia Gorge | 20 (100%) | 3 (75%) | 2 (40%) | 14 (88%) | 15 (88%) | 6 (55%) | 14 (78%) | 17 (90%) | 17 (90%) | 15 (100%) |
| Coos/Curry | 6 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 2 (67%) | 3 (100%) | -- | 3 (100%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (100%) | 2 (100%) |
| Gilliam/ Sherman/ Wheeler | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | -- | 1 (100%) | 1 (100%) | -- | 1 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 20 (51%) | 1 (20%) | 9 (100%) | 43 (83%) | 33 (75%) | 38 (84%) | 28 (72%) | 20 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 18 (67%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | -- | 5 (83%) | 4 (100%) | 1 (50%) | 8 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 6 (86%) | 4 (80%) |

⁴⁵ Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2012-13, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

Table 24. Family Outcomes and Life Events⁴⁶

| County | Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months | Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job | Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School | Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF | Number (%) of Child Welfare Reports Made by Home Visitor |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Baker | 4 (50%) | 7 (39%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Benton | 1 (6%) | 9 (36%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Clackamas | 23 (32%) | 28 (24%) | 7 (6%) | 3 (3%) | 0 (0%) |
| Clatsop | 2 (50%) | 3 (27%) | 1 (9%) | 1 (9%) | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia | 2 (18%) | 2 (11%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos | 5 (31%) | 9 (39%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Crook | 3 (30%) | 8 (40%) | 1 (5%) | 4 (20%) | 0 (0%) |
| Curry | 0 (0%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) |
| Deschutes | 9 (22%) | 19 (33%) | 2 (3%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) |
| Douglas | 16 (49%) | 8 (15%) | 4 (8%) | 3 (6%) | 0 (0%) |
| Gilliam | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Grant | 1 (25%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 1 (17%) | 0 (0%) |
| Harney | 2 (40%) | 3 (60%) | 1 (20%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Hood River | 8 (36%) | 12 (32%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Jackson | 16 (44%) | 15 (23%) | 3 (5%) | 3 (5%) | 3 (5%) |
| Jefferson | 9 (50%) | 10 (39%) | 1 (4%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Josephine | 11 (32%) | 10 (23%) | 1 (2%) | 3 (7%) | 1 (2%) |
| Klamath | 3 (20%) | 5 (20%) | 3 (12%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) |

⁴⁶ Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

Table 24. Family Outcomes and Life Events⁴⁶

| County | Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months | Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job | Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School | Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF | Number (%) of Child Welfare Reports Made by Home Visitor |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane | 28 (29%) | 28 (18%) | 5 (3%) | 5 (3%) | 7 (5%) |
| Lincoln | 14 (42%) | 9 (16%) | 1 (2%) | 2 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Linn | 10 (35%) | 15 (33%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) | 0 (0%) |
| Malheur | 2 (22%) | 2 (9%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion | 34 (33%) | 35 (19%) | 9 (5%) | 2 (1%) | 5 (3%) |
| Morrow | 3 (43%) | 3 (27%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (18%) |
| Multnomah | 61 (25%) | 105 (22%) | 7 (1%) | 18 (4%) | 10 (2%) |
| Polk | 3 (23%) | 6 (25%) | 3 (13%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 5 (46%) | 8 (33%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Umatilla | 7 (35%) | 5 (19%) | 1 (4%) | 2 (8%) | 0 (0%) |
| Union | 2 (20%) | 2 (11%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wallowa | 1 (20%) | 1 (14%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wasco | 4 (24%) | 5 (18%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Washington | 44 (31%) | 44 (20%) | 4 (2%) | 5 (2%) | 0 (0%) |
| Wheeler | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Yamhill | 9 (31%) | 5 (12%) | 1 (2%) | 2 (5%) | 1 (2%) |
| State | 342 (31%) | 423 (22%) | 60 (3%) | 60 (3%) | 31 (2%) |

⁴⁶ Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

Table 24. Family Outcomes and Life Events³⁹

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months | Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job | Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School | Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF | Number (%) of Child Welfare Reports Made by Home Visitor |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 4 (27%) | 5 (17%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 12 (31%) | 17 (26%) | 2 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Coos/Curry | 5 (26%) | 10 (37%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4%) | 0 (0%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Marion/Polk | 37 (32%) | 41 (19%) | 12 (6%) | 3 (1%) | 5 (2%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 5 (39%) | 8 (32%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |

⁴⁶ Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

Table 25. Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn⁴⁷

| County | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months) |
|------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Baker | 18 | 11 (61%) | 14 | 12 (86%) | 18 | 8 (44%) | 13 | 10 (77%) |
| Benton | 20 | 15 (75%) | 19 | 15 (79%) | 20 | 16 (80%) | 17 | 9 (53%) |
| Clackamas | 108 | 83 (77%) | 65 | 53 (82%) | 106 | 71 (67%) | 63 | 43 (68%) |
| Clatsop | 10 | 8 (80%) | 7 | 5 (71%) | 10 | 9 (90%) | 7 | 2 (29%) |
| Columbia | 17 | 14 (82%) | 16 | 15 (94%) | 15 | 9 (60%) | 15 | 14 (93%) |
| Coos | 21 | 12 (57%) | 14 | 12 (86%) | 20 | 12 (60%) | 13 | 10 (77%) |
| Crook | 17 | 13 (77%) | 11 | 8 (73%) | 15 | 7 (47%) | 8 | 5 (63%) |
| Curry | 7 | 4 (57%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 7 | 3 (43%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 48 | 35 (73%) | 39 | 27 (69%) | 44 | 23 (52%) | 36 | 23 (64%) |
| Douglas | 50 | 33 (66%) | 37 | 26 (70%) | 50 | 30 (60%) | 35 | 21 (60%) |
| Gilliam | 3 | 3 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Grant | 6 | 4 (67%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 6 | 3 (50%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Harney | 7 | 7 (100%) | 5 | 4 (80%) | 7 | 4 (57%) | 5 | 3 (60%) |
| Hood River | 32 | 23 (72%) | 27 | 20 (74%) | 30 | 27 (90%) | 19 | 16 (84%) |
| Jackson | 63 | 50 (79%) | 42 | 33 (79%) | 63 | 46 (73%) | 42 | 30 (71%) |
| Jefferson | 24 | 18 (75%) | 19 | 13 (68%) | 22 | 14 (64%) | 17 | 11 (65%) |
| Josephine | 40 | 29 (73%) | 29 | 24 (83%) | 39 | 23 (59%) | 28 | 17 (61%) |
| Klamath | 18 | 18 (100%) | 7 | 5 (71%) | 18 | 17 (94%) | 7 | 4 (57%) |

⁴⁷ The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

Table 25. Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn⁴⁷

| County | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months) |
|--------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Lane | 139 | 111 (80%) | 85 | 70 (82%) | 119 | 76 (64%) | 76 | 59 (78%) |
| Lincoln | 58 | 51 (88%) | 48 | 33 (69%) | 54 | 43 (80%) | 46 | 30 (65%) |
| Linn | 42 | 35 (83%) | 35 | 32 (91%) | 42 | 31 (74%) | 34 | 27 (79%) |
| Malheur | 21 | 17 (81%) | 13 | 11 (85%) | 19 | 11 (58%) | 13 | 9 (69%) |
| Marion | 159 | 117 (74%) | 110 | 87 (79%) | 146 | 90 (62%) | 105 | 73 (70%) |
| Morrow | 10 | 9 (90%) | 7 | 6 (86%) | 10 | 7 (70%) | 6 | 5 (83%) |
| Multnomah | 434 | 299 (69%) | 307 | 204 (66%) | 414 | 262 (63%) | 287 | 177 (62%) |
| Polk | 22 | 17 (77%) | 16 | 15 (94%) | 22 | 16 (73%) | 14 | 13 (93%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 23 | 14 (61%) | 15 | 10 (67%) | 21 | 10 (48%) | 13 | 10 (77%) |
| Umatilla | 20 | 17 (85%) | 13 | 11 (85%) | 20 | 13 (65%) | 11 | 8 (73%) |
| Union | 16 | 11 (69%) | 14 | 9 (64%) | 16 | 10 (63%) | 13 | 6 (46%) |
| Wallowa | 7 | 7 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 7 | 6 (86%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Wasco | 28 | 23 (81%) | 18 | 15 (83%) | 24 | 17 (71%) | 18 | 15 (83%) |
| Washington | 194 | 132 (68%) | 141 | 111 (79%) | 187 | 121 (65%) | 134 | 91 (68%) |
| Wheeler | 2 | 2 (100%) | 2 | 2 (100%) | 1 | 0 (0%) | 2 | 1 (50%) |
| Yamhill | 36 | 28 (78%) | 35 | 27 (77%) | 35 | 26 (74%) | 35 | 21 (60%) |
| State | 1,720 | 1,270 (74%) | 219 | 924 (76%) | 1,630 | 1,064 (65%) | 1,140 | 771 (68%) |

⁴⁷ The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

Table 25. Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn⁴⁰

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months) | Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months) | Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months) |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 27 | 22 (82%) | 23 | 20 (87%) | 25 | 18 (72%) | 22 | 16 (73%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 60 | 46 (77%) | 45 | 35 (78%) | 54 | 44 (82%) | 37 | 31 (84%) |
| Coos/Curry | 28 | 16 (57%) | 17 | 15 (88%) | 27 | 15 (56%) | 16 | 13 (81%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 5 | 5 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 4 | 3 (75%) | 3 | 2 (67%) |
| Marion/Polk | 181 | 134 (74%) | 126 | 102 (81%) | 168 | 106 (63%) | 119 | 86 (72%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 25 | 18 (72%) | 17 | 15 (88%) | 25 | 14 (56%) | 16 | 13 (81%) |

⁴⁷ The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

Table 26. Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness⁴⁸

| County | Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources | Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support | Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information | Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues | Number of Families Needing Help with Education | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Baker | 12 | 10 (83%) | 18 | 16 (89%) | 20 | 20 (100%) | 19 | 19 (100%) | 13 | 12 (92%) |
| Benton | 16 | 16 (100%) | 23 | 23 (100%) | 26 | 26 (100%) | 18 | 17 (94%) | 17 | 16 (94%) |
| Clackamas | 100 | 97 (97%) | 110 | 93 (85%) | 117 | 116 (99%) | 96 | 94 (98%) | 89 | 80 (90%) |
| Clatsop | 6 | 6 (100%) | 9 | 9 (100%) | 11 | 11 (100%) | 8 | 8 (100%) | 5 | 5 (100%) |
| Columbia | 13 | 13 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) | 14 | 14 (100%) |
| Coos | 13 | 13 (100%) | 21 | 21 (100%) | 23 | 23 (100%) | 18 | 17 (94%) | 15 | 15 (100%) |
| Crook | 14 | 14 (100%) | 16 | 14 (88%) | 19 | 19 (100%) | 14 | 13 (93%) | 12 | 10 (83%) |
| Curry | 7 | 7 (100%) | 7 | 6 (86%) | 10 | 10 (100%) | 10 | 10 (100%) | 8 | 8 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 38 | 38 (100%) | 49 | 49 (100%) | 55 | 55 (100%) | 43 | 43 (100%) | 37 | 37 (100%) |
| Douglas | 50 | 50 (100%) | 53 | 51 (96%) | 62 | 62 (100%) | 54 | 53 (98%) | 41 | 39 (95%) |
| Gilliam | 1 | 1 (100%) | -- | -- | 3 | 3 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 2 | 2 (100%) |
| Grant | 4 | 4 (100%) | 5 | 5 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | 5 | 5 (100%) |
| Harney | 4 | 4 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 5 | 5 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) |
| Hood River | 21 | 21 (100%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) | 22 | 22 (100%) |
| Jackson | 51 | 50 (98%) | 61 | 58 (95%) | 65 | 65 (100%) | 55 | 54 (98%) | 47 | 41 (87%) |
| Jefferson | 21 | 21 (100%) | 26 | 26 (100%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 23 | 23 (100%) | 25 | 24 (96%) |
| Josephine | 26 | 25 (96%) | 38 | 38 (100%) | 45 | 45 (100%) | 30 | 30 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) |
| Klamath | 16 | 16 (100%) | 20 | 19 (95%) | 22 | 22 (100%) | 15 | 15 (100%) | 12 | 11 (92%) |

⁴⁸ Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II-B. "Please tell us whether Healthy Start has helped your family with the following issues" items are rated as "Visitor has helped a lot" "helped a little", "hasn't helped yet" and "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "helped a lot" and "helped a little."

Table 26. Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness⁴⁸

| County | Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources | Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support | Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information | Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues | Number of Families Needing Help with Education | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Lane | 109 | 103 (95%) | 142 | 138 (97%) | 149 | 149 (100%) | 126 | 123 (98%) | 97 | 82 (85%) |
| Lincoln | 53 | 51 (96%) | 58 | 53 (91%) | 68 | 68 (100%) | 57 | 55 (97%) | 46 | 45 (98%) |
| Linn | 26 | 26 (100%) | 36 | 33 (92%) | 45 | 45 (100%) | 27 | 25 (93%) | 24 | 21 (88%) |
| Malheur | 7 | 7 (100%) | 14 | 13 (93%) | 22 | 22 (100%) | 15 | 14 (93%) | 8 | 7 (88%) |
| Marion | 141 | 138 (98%) | 142 | 124 (87%) | 172 | 171 (99%) | 137 | 131 (96%) | 114 | 97 (85%) |
| Morrow | 12 | 11 (92%) | 17 | 16 (94%) | 19 | 19 (100%) | 17 | 17 (100%) | 18 | 18 (100%) |
| Multnomah | 356 | 346 (97%) | 376 | 335 (89%) | 466 | 465 (100%) | 373 | 360 (97%) | 316 | 270 (85%) |
| Polk | 19 | 19 (100%) | 19 | 13 (68%) | 24 | 24 (100%) | 21 | 20 (95%) | 16 | 11 (69%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 24 | 24 (100%) | 21 | 21 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) | 17 | 17 (100%) | 12 | 12 (100%) |
| Umatilla | 16 | 14 (88%) | 22 | 16 (73%) | 23 | 23 (100%) | 16 | 13 (81%) | 15 | 13 (87%) |
| Union | 11 | 11 (100%) | 15 | 13 (87%) | 17 | 17 (100%) | 13 | 13 (100%) | 13 | 12 (92%) |
| Wallowa | 5 | 5 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 7 | 7 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 5 | 5 (100%) |
| Wasco | 22 | 22 (100%) | 25 | 24 (96%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 22 | 22 (100%) | 20 | 20 (100%) |
| Washington | 166 | 156 (94%) | 204 | 190 (93%) | 210 | 206 (98%) | 154 | 148 (96%) | 135 | 109 (81%) |
| Wheeler | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 25 | 23 (92%) | 37 | 31 (84%) | 47 | 47 (100%) | 35 | 35 (100%) | 24 | 21 (88%) |
| State | 1,406 | 1,363 (97%) | 1,642 | 1,506 (92%) | 1,886 | 1,879 (100%) | 1,495 | 1,451 (97%) | 1,257 | 1,114 (89%) |

⁴⁸ Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II-B. "Please tell us whether Healthy Start has helped your family with the following issues" items are rated as "Visitor has helped a lot" "helped a little", "hasn't helped yet" and "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "helped a lot" and "helped a little."

Table 26. Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness⁴¹

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources | Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support | Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information | Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues | Number of Families Needing Help with Education | Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 19 | 19 (100%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 29 | 29 (100%) | 26 | 26 (100%) | 19 | 19 (100%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 43 | 43 (100%) | 52 | 51 (98%) | 54 | 54 (100%) | 47 | 47 (100%) | 42 | 42 (100%) |
| Coos/Curry | 20 | 20 (100%) | 28 | 27 (96%) | 33 | 33 (100%) | 28 | 27 (96%) | 23 | 23 (100%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 2 | 2 (100%) | 1 | 1 (100%) | 6 | 6 (100%) | 4 | 4 (100%) | 3 | 3 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 160 | 157 (98%) | 161 | 137 (85%) | 196 | 195 (100%) | 158 | 151 (96%) | 130 | 108 (83%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 17 | 15 (88%) | 24 | 22 (92%) | 27 | 27 (100%) | 25 | 25 (100%) | 18 | 17 (94%) |

⁴⁸ Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II-B. "Please tell us whether Healthy Start has helped your family with the following issues" items are rated as "Visitor has helped a lot" "helped a little", "hasn't helped yet" and "We don't need help from visitor." Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "helped a lot" and "helped a little."

Table 27. Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors⁴⁹ 2012-13

| County | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Culture | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials in Their Preferred Language | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Baker | 14 (70%) | 18 (90%) | 19 (95%) | 18 (90%) | 18 (90%) | 19 (95%) | 19 (95%) | 19 (95%) |
| Benton | 13 (50%) | 23 (89%) | 26 (100%) | 20 (77%) | 72 (85%) | 25 (96%) | 25 (96%) | 22 (85%) |
| Clackamas | 90 (76%) | 112 (95%) | 116 (98%) | 101 (86%) | 104 (89%) | 114 (97%) | 118 (100%) | 114 (97%) |
| Clatsop | 8 (73%) | 10 (91%) | 11 (100%) | 10 (91%) | 11 (100%) | 10 (91%) | 11 (100%) | 11 (100%) |
| Columbia | 13 (72%) | 18 (100%) | 18 (100%) | 18 (100%) | 16 (89%) | 17 (94%) | 18 (100%) | 17 (94%) |
| Coos | 14 (61%) | 23 (100%) | 19 (83%) | 17 (74%) | 22 (96%) | 18 (78%) | 23 (100%) | 23 (100%) |
| Crook | 14 (74%) | 19 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 15 (79%) | 17 (90%) | 18 (95%) | 18 (95%) | 18 (95%) |
| Curry | 9 (90%) | 10 (100%) | 8 (89%) | 10 (100%) | 10 (100%) | 9 (90%) | 10 (100%) | 10 (100%) |
| Deschutes | 44 (80%) | 55 (100%) | 54 (98%) | 47 (86%) | 52 (95%) | 53 (96%) | 53 (96%) | 53 (98%) |
| Douglas | 48 (74%) | 60 (97%) | 60 (97%) | 54 (87%) | 61 (98%) | 58 (94%) | 61 (98%) | 61 (98%) |
| Gilliam | 2 (67%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Grant | 3 (50%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) | 3 (50%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) |
| Harney | 4 (67%) | 6 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) |
| Hood River | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 26 (96%) |
| Jackson | 53 (80%) | 57 (86%) | 63 (96%) | 57 (86%) | 61 (92%) | 64 (97%) | 64 (97%) | 63 (96%) |
| Jefferson | 25 (93%) | 26 (96%) | 26 (96%) | 21 (78%) | 25 (93%) | 23 (85%) | 25 (93%) | 25 (93%) |
| Josephine | 36 (80%) | 45 (100%) | 45 (100%) | 38 (84%) | 44 (98%) | 44 (98%) | 45 (100%) | 43 (96%) |
| Klamath | 12 (55%) | 19 (86%) | 19 (91%) | 17 (77%) | 17 (77%) | 19 (86%) | 21 (96%) | 21 (96%) |

⁴⁹ The family reports their perceptions of Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II-B on multiple items using the Strengths-Based Practices Inventory (Green, Tarte, & McAllister, 2004). Parents indicate "Yes," "No," or "Not Sure" for each item. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "Yes."

Table 27. Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors⁴⁹ 2012-13

| County | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Culture | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials in Their Preferred Language | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Lane | 106 (71%) | 139 (93%) | 144 (97%) | 132 (89%) | 139 (93%) | 140 (94%) | 146 (98%) | 141 (95%) |
| Lincoln | 53 (78%) | 67 (99%) | 63 (93%) | 66 (97%) | 63 (93%) | 68 (100%) | 68 (100%) | 67 (9%) |
| Linn | 34 (77%) | 43 (98%) | 42 (96%) | 32 (73%) | 41 (93%) | 43 (98%) | 44 (100%) | 43 (98%) |
| Malheur | 20 (91%) | 22 (100%) | 22 (100%) | 21 (96%) | 21 (96%) | 22 (100%) | 22 (100%) | 22 (100%) |
| Marion | 120 (70%) | 164 (95%) | 168 (98%) | 143 (82%) | 158 (91%) | 164 (95%) | 169 (98%) | 166 (96%) |
| Morrow | 18 (95%) | 18 (95%) | 19 (100%) | 18 (95%) | 18 (95%) | 19 (100%) | 19 (100%) | 18 (95%) |
| Multnomah | 360 (77%) | 444 (95%) | 426 (91%) | 400 (86%) | 420 (90%) | 450 (96%) | 461 (99%) | 446 (96%) |
| Polk | 20 (80%) | 24 (96%) | 24 (96%) | 21 (84%) | 22 (88%) | 24 (96%) | 24 (96%) | 23 (96%) |
| Sherman | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tillamook | 17 (65%) | 24 (92%) | 26 (100%) | 23 (89%) | 23 (89%) | 26 (100%) | 25 (96%) | 24 (92%) |
| Umatilla | 19 (83%) | 23 (100%) | 22 (100%) | 19 (83%) | 20 (87%) | 23 (100%) | 23 (100%) | 23 (100%) |
| Union | 14 (82%) | 16 (94%) | 15 (88%) | 16 (94%) | 15 (88%) | 16 (94%) | 17 (100%) | 17 (100%) |
| Wallowa | 5 (71%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) | 7 (100%) |
| Wasco | 20 (74%) | 26 (96%) | 26 (100%) | 23 (85%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) | 27 (100%) |
| Washington | 164 (78%) | 205 (98%) | 208 (99%) | 183 (87%) | 186 (89%) | 198 (94%) | 207 (98%) | 207 (99%) |
| Wheeler | 1 (33%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) | 1 (33%) | 3 (100%) | 2 (67%) | 3 (100%) | 3 (100%) |
| Yamhill | 29 (62%) | 43 (92%) | 44 (96%) | 37 (79%) | 39 (83%) | 41 (87%) | 46 (98%) | 44 (95%) |
| State | 1,429 (76%) | 1,804 (95%) | 1,803 (96%) | 1,624 (86%) | 1,723 (91%) | 1,802 (95%) | 1,861 (98%) | 1,819 (97%) |

⁴⁹ The family reports their perceptions of Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II-B on multiple items using the Strengths-Based Practices Inventory (Green, Tarte, & McAllister, 2004). Parents indicate "Yes," "No," or "Not Sure" for each item. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "Yes."

Table 27. Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors 2012-13⁴²

| <i>Regional Programs</i> | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Culture | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials in Their Preferred Language | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents | Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Clatsop/Columbia | 21 (72%) | 28 (97%) | 29 (100%) | 28 (97%) | 27 (93%) | 27 (93%) | 29 (100%) | 28 (97%) |
| Columbia Gorge | 47 (87%) | 53 (98%) | 53 (100%) | 50 (93%) | 54 (100%) | 54 (100%) | 54 (100%) | 53 (98%) |
| Coos/Curry | 23 (70%) | 33 (100%) | 27 (84%) | 27 (82%) | 32 (97%) | 27 (82%) | 33 (100%) | 33 (100%) |
| Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler | 3 (50%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) | 4 (67%) | 6 (100%) | 5 (83%) | 6 (100%) | 6 (100%) |
| Marion/Polk | 140 (71%) | 188 (95%) | 192 (98%) | 164 (82%) | 180 (91%) | 188 (95%) | 193 (98%) | 189 (96%) |
| NE Oregon (Baker/Wallowa) | 19 (70%) | 25 (93%) | 26 (96%) | 25 (93%) | 25 (93%) | 26 (96%) | 26 (96%) | 26 (96%) |

⁴⁹ The family reports their perceptions of Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II-B on multiple items using the Strengths-Based Practices Inventory (Green, Tarte, & McAllister, 2004). Parents indicate "Yes," "No," or "Not Sure" for each item. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "Yes."