

**APPENDIX A: HEALTHY START OF OREGON 2006-2007**  
**STATUS REPORT DATA TABLES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening of First Time Parents.....	4
Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service .....	6
Table 3: Time to Screen.....	8
Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families .....	10
Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity .....	12
Table 6: Demographics of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level .....	14
Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening.....	16
Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships .....	18
Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service.....	20
Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service.....	22
Table 11: Home Visit Completion.....	24
Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity .....	26
Table 13: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level.....	28
Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening of Intensive Service Families .....	30
Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships .....	32
Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service .....	34
Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics.....	36
Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity .....	38
Table 19: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors .....	40
Table 20-A: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2004-05 .....	42
Table 20-B: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2005-06.....	44
Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2005-06.....	46
Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2005-06 .....	48
Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion.....	50
Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups.....	52
Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room.....	54

Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children: Immunizations.....	56
Table 27: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births.....	58
Table 28: Child Growth and Development.....	60
Table 29: Child Social Emotional Development (ASQ-SE).....	62
Table 30: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families.....	64
Table 31: Family Outcomes and Life Events .....	66
Table 32: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn .....	68
Table 33: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME Outcomes, & Reading .....	70
Table 34: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors .....	72
Table 35: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness.....	74
Table 36: Healthy Start Service Delivery Indicators 2006-07 .....	76
Table 37: Healthy Start Outcome Indicators 2006-07 .....	78

**Table 1: Identification of Target Population: Screening of First Time Parents**

County	First Birth Rate (From Vital Statistics <sup>1</sup> )	Resident First Births Screened <sup>2</sup> (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number (%) of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation <sup>3</sup>	Total First Birth Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened <sup>4</sup>
Benton	348	188	4 (2%)	192	55%
Clackamas	1,589	808	4 (<1%)	812	51%
Clatsop	205	67	3 (4%)	70	34%
Columbia	158	60	2 (3%)	62	39%
Coos	242	114	10 (8%)	124	51%
Crook	105	56	5 (8%)	61	58%
Curry	42	34	1 (3%)	35	83%
Deschutes	875	385	37 (9%)	422	48%
Douglas	443	274	0 (0%)	274	62%
Gilliam <sup>a</sup>	4	1	0 (0%)	1	25%
Grant	21	16	0 (0%)	16	76%
Harney	27	6	1 (14%)	7	26%
Hood River <sup>b</sup>	115	56	0 (0%)	56	49%
Jackson	977	465	8 (2%)	473	48%
Jefferson	124	48	0 (0%)	48	39%
Josephine	332	155	2 (1%)	157	47%
Klamath	339	231	0 (0%)	231	68%
Lane	1,551	721	0 (0%)	721	46%
Lincoln	197	127	2 (2%)	129	65%
Linn	576	302	15 (5%)	317	55%
Malheur	134	35	1 (3%)	36	27%

<sup>1</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (<http://www.dhs.state.or.us/dhs/ph/chs/data/>) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded November 2007 for the period July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007.

<sup>2</sup> Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire submitted to NPC or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

<sup>3</sup> This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers/Supervisors.

<sup>4</sup> Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100%.

<sup>a</sup> These counties are part of a single program, Gilliam/Wheeler Healthy Start.

<sup>b</sup> These counties are part of a single program, Healthy Start of the Columbia Gorge.

County	First Birth Rate (From Vital Statistics <sup>1</sup> )	Resident First Births Screened <sup>2</sup> (and Sent to Evaluation)	Number (%) of Families Screened, but Declining to Share Information with the Evaluation <sup>3</sup>	Total First Birth Families Screened	Percent of Eligible Births Screened <sup>4</sup>
Marion	1,728	1,001	23 (2%)	1,024	59%
Morrow	52	34	1 (3%)	35	67%
Multnomah	4,536	3,059	76 (2%)	3,135	69%
Polk	310	220	6 (3%)	226	73%
Sherman <sup>b</sup>	3	6	0 (0%)	6	100%
Tillamook	110	11	0 (0%)	11	10%
Umatilla	269	90	3 (3%)	93	35%
Union	117	73	0 (0%)	73	62%
Wallowa	22	6	0 (0%)	6	27%
Wasco <sup>b</sup>	97	88	0 (0%)	88	91%
Washington	3,236	710	0 (0%)	710	22%
Wheeler <sup>a</sup>	1	2	0 (0%)	2	100%
Yamhill	558	120	15 (11%)	135	24%
State	19,443	9,569	219 (2%)	9,788	50%

<sup>1</sup> Birth statistics are obtained from the Office of Family Health, Vital Statistics Web site (<http://www.dhs.state.or.us/dhs/ph/chs/data/>) and include only resident births. Statistics were downloaded November 2007 for the period July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007.

<sup>2</sup> Screened families are those with a completed New Baby Questionnaire submitted to NPC or a screening date entered in Family Manager.

<sup>3</sup> This information is collected and submitted to the evaluation annually from Program Managers/Supervisors.

<sup>4</sup> Vital Statistics reports information on mother's first birth experience only, whereas Healthy Start also provides service to first time fathers. The percents reported here reflect the screening of some fathers even though first time parenting experience for fathers is not captured in the birth rate from Vital Statistics. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100%.

<sup>a</sup> These counties are part of a single program, Gilliam/Wheeler Healthy Start.

<sup>b</sup> These counties are part of a single program, Healthy Start of the Columbia Gorge.

Table 2: Identification of Target Population: Families Offered Service

County	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/ Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/ Service <sup>5</sup>	Percent of Families who Declined Information or Screening	Number (%) of Offered Families Screened	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information <sup>6</sup>	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening <sup>6</sup>	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened <sup>6</sup>
Benton	240	69%	20%	192 (80%)	0 (0%)	48 (20%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	1,121	71%	13%	812 (72%)	28 (2%)	115 (10%)	166 (15%)
Clatsop	130	63%	23%	70 (54%)	0 (0%)	30 (23%)	30 (23%)
Columbia	80	51%	4%	62 (78%)	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	15 (19%)
Coos	256	100%	52%	124 (48%)	130 (51%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
Crook	101	96%	1%	61 (60%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	39 (39%)
Curry	48	100%	13%	35 (73%)	6 (13%)	0 (0%)	7 (15%)
Deschutes	678	77%	38%	422 (62%)	0 (0%)	256 (38%)	0 (0%)
Douglas	278	63%	1%	274 (99%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
Gilliam	2	50%	50%	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	19	90%	11%	16 (84%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)
Harney	45	100%	60%	7 (16%)	6 (13%)	21 (47%)	11 (24%)
Hood River	70	61%	1%	56 (80%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	13 (19%)
Jackson	720	74%	32%	473 (66%)	232 (32%)	--	15 (2%)
Jefferson	82	66%	7%	48 (59%)	6 (7%)	0 (0%)	28 (34%)
Josephine	263	79%	40%	157 (60%)	97 (37%)	8 (3%)	1 (0%)
Klamath	250	74%	4%	231 (92%)	6 (2%)	4 (2%)	9 (4%)
Lane	990	64%	0%	721 (73%)	0 (0%)	4 (0%)	265 (27%)
Lincoln	221	100%	41%	129 (58%)	0 (0%)	91 (41%)	1 (0%)
Linn	454	79%	25%	317 (70%)	108 (24%)	5 (1%)	24 (5%)
Malheur	100	75%	64%	36 (36%)	64 (64%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	1,199	69%	8%	1,024 (85%)	0 (0%)	96 (8%)	79 (7%)
Morrow	38	73%	8%	35 (92%)	1 (3%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	3,752	83%	16%	3,135 (84%)	0 (0%)	617 (16%)	0 (0%)

<sup>5</sup> Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the total families screened (Table 1) divided by the total families offered screening/service.

<sup>6</sup> Information on families declining Healthy Start information, screening, or other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers. Percent of families declining information or screening does not include families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened.

County	Total Families Offered Healthy Start Screening/Service	Percent of Eligible Births Offered Screening/Service <sup>5</sup>	Percent of Families who Declined Information or Screening	Number (%) of Offered Families Screened	Number (%) of Families who Declined Healthy Start Information <sup>6</sup>	Number (%) of Families Receiving Healthy Start Information but Declined Screening <sup>6</sup>	Number (%) of Families who Signed a Pre-Consent But Were Not Screened <sup>6</sup>
Polk	249	80%	9%	226 (91%)	18 (7%)	5 (2%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	6	100%	0%	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	27	25%	37%	11 (41%)	4 (15%)	6 (22%)	6 (22%)
Umatilla	194	72%	41%	93 (48%)	3 (2%)	76 (39%)	22 (11%)
Union	73	62%	0%	73 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	8	36%	13%	6 (75%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)
Wasco	116	100%	12%	88 (76%)	13 (11%)	1 (1%)	14 (12%)
Washington	1,190	37%	15%	710 (60%)	168 (14%)	5 (0%)	307 (26%)
Wheeler	2	100%	0%	2 (100%)	--	--	--
Yamhill	455	82%	69%	135 (30%)	0 (0%)	316 (69%)	4 (1%)
State	13,457	69%	19%	9,788 (73%)	900 (7%)	1,709 (13%)	1,060 (8%)

<sup>5</sup> Percentages based on the total number of families offered Healthy Start screening and information. Programs offering above 100% of the eligible births (most likely due to father's first parenting experience) are capped at 100%. Percent of those offered screening/service who accepted screening is the total families screened (Table 1) divided by the total families offered screening/service.

<sup>6</sup> Information on families declining Healthy Start information, screening, or other attempts to contact are collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers. Percent of families declining information or screening does not include families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened. Percent of families declining information or screening does not include families who signed a pre-consent but were not screened.

Table 3: Time to Screen<sup>7</sup>

County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median <sup>8</sup> Days to Screen <sup>9</sup>
Benton	193	9 (5%)	181 (94%)	190 (98%)	3 (2%)	2
Clackamas	802	399 (50%)	326 (41%)	725 (90%)	77 (10%)	1
Clatsop	64	40 (63%)	9 (14%)	49 (77%)	15 (23%)	0
Columbia	61	20 (33%)	12 (20%)	32 (52%)	29 (48%)	13
Coos	112	87 (78%)	16 (14%)	103 (92%)	9 (8%)	0
Crook	80	6 (8%)	52 (65%)	58 (73%)	22 (28%)	12
Curry	35	13 (37%)	9 (26%)	22 (63%)	13 (37%)	8
Deschutes	393	360 (92%)	17 (4%)	377 (96%)	16 (4%)	0
Douglas	294	46 (16%)	232 (79%)	278 (95%)	16 (5%)	1
Gilliam	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	29
Grant	16	6 (38%)	7 (44%)	13 (81%)	3 (19%)	10
Harney	7	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	1
Hood River	56	38 (68%)	7 (13%)	45 (80%)	11 (20%)	0
Jackson	461	336 (73%)	100 (22%)	436 (95%)	25 (5%)	0
Jefferson	48	25 (52%)	15 (31%)	40 (83%)	8 (17%)	0
Josephine	157	30 (19%)	123 (78%)	153 (97%)	4 (3%)	1
Klamath	231	43 (19%)	166 (72%)	209 (90%)	22 (10%)	1
Lane	723	60 (8%)	437 (60%)	497 (69%)	226 (31%)	10
Lincoln	126	109 (87%)	7 (6%)	116 (92%)	10 (8%)	0
Linn	302	130 (43%)	127 (42%)	257 (85%)	45 (15%)	1
Malheur	35	22 (63%)	4 (11%)	26 (74%)	9 (26%)	0
Marion	1,010	132 (13%)	850 (84%)	982 (97%)	28 (3%)	1
Morrow	34	13 (38%)	6 (18%)	19 (56%)	15 (44%)	13
Multnomah	3,001	232 (8%)	2,504 (83%)	2,736 (91%)	265 (9%)	1

<sup>7</sup> Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby's birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

<sup>8</sup> The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

<sup>9</sup> Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.



County	Number of Families with Time to Screen Information	Number (%) Screened Prenatally	Number (%) Screened Birth - 2 Weeks	Number (%) Screened Prenatally - 2 Weeks (HFA Standard)	Number (%) Screened after 2 Weeks	Median <sup>8</sup> Days to Screen <sup>9</sup>
<b>Polk</b>	223	34 (15%)	183 (82%)	217 (97%)	6 (3%)	1
<b>Sherman</b>	6	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0
<b>Tillamook</b>	11	2 (18%)	8 (73%)	10 (91%)	1 (9%)	5
<b>Umatilla</b>	90	12 (13%)	41 (46%)	53 (59%)	37 (41%)	14
<b>Union</b>	72	15 (21%)	56 (78%)	71 (99%)	1 (1%)	1
<b>Wallowa</b>	4	2 (50%)	0 (%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	40
<b>Wasco</b>	86	60 (70%)	13 (15%)	73 (85%)	13 (15%)	0
<b>Washington</b>	711	379 (53%)	141 (20%)	520 (73%)	191 (27%)	0
<b>Wheeler</b>	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0
<b>Yamhill</b>	120	17 (14%)	86 (72%)	103 (86%)	17 (14%)	2
<b>State</b>	9,568	2,686 (28%)	5,741 (60%)	8,427 (88%)	1,141 (12%)	1

<sup>7</sup> Time to screen is calculated by subtracting the screening date on the New Baby Questionnaire (or the screening date entered in Family Manager) from the baby's birth date. Prenatal screens are coded as 0 (zero) days to screen.

<sup>8</sup> The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50th percentile (meaning that half of the screens occurred before this number of days and half occurred after). The median is less sensitive to outliers, as compared to the "mean," and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analysis.

<sup>9</sup> Does not include NBQ screening forms submitted with a missing screening date.

Table 4: Number of Risk Factors on NBQ for Screened Families

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information <sup>10</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF (except depression or substance use)	Number (%) of Families Screened as "Higher Risk"	Number (%) of Families Screened with either Depression or Substance Use RF Only <sup>11</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 or more RFs
Benton	182	89 (49%)	30 (17%)	63 (35%)	5 (3%)	27 (15%)	17 (9%)	9 (5%)	5 (3%)
Clackamas	758	232 (31%)	132 (17%)	394 (52%)	21 (3%)	138 (18%)	113 (15%)	68 (9%)	54 (7%)
Clatsop	51	2 (4%)	5 (10%)	44 (86%)	1 (2%)	9 (18%)	13 (26%)	12 (24%)	9 (18%)
Columbia	60	4 (7%)	9 (15%)	47 (78%)	0 (0%)	10 (17%)	17 (28%)	13 (22%)	7 (12%)
Coos	79	5 (6%)	22 (28%)	52 (66%)	0 (0%)	20 (25%)	16 (20%)	5 (6%)	11 (14%)
Crook	82	23 (28%)	16 (20%)	43 (52%)	2 (2%)	21 (26%)	10 (12%)	6 (7%)	4 (5%)
Curry	33	2 (6%)	9 (27%)	22 (67%)	0 (0%)	6 (18%)	5 (15%)	7 (21%)	4 (12%)
Deschutes	392	108 (28%)	74 (19%)	210 (54%)	17 (4%)	82 (21%)	48 (12%)	37 (9%)	26 (7%)
Douglas	257	57 (22%)	53 (21%)	147 (57%)	6 (2%)	42 (16%)	41 (16%)	27 (11%)	31 (12%)
Gilliam	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	16	4 (25%)	5 (31%)	7 (44%)	0 (0%)	3 (19%)	2 (13%)	1 (6%)	1 (6%)
Harney	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	58	12 (21%)	11 (19%)	35 (60%)	1 (2%)	8 (14%)	6 (10%)	11 (19%)	9 (16%)
Jackson	342	38 (11%)	54 (16%)	250 (73%)	2 (1%)	87 (25%)	81 (24%)	41 (12%)	39 (11%)
Jefferson	47	10 (21%)	5 (11%)	32 (68%)	0 (0%)	8 (17%)	11 (23%)	6 (13%)	7 (15%)
Josephine	149	29 (20%)	29 (20%)	91 (61%)	1 (1%)	34 (23%)	30 (20%)	15 (10%)	11 (7%)
Klamath	229	62 (27%)	40 (18%)	127 (55%)	4 (2%)	48 (21%)	38 (17%)	21 (9%)	16 (7%)
Lane	725	205 (28%)	123 (17%)	397 (55%)	18 (3%)	142 (20%)	103 (14%)	80 (11%)	54 (7%)
Lincoln	91	11 (12%)	23 (25%)	57 (63%)	0 (0%)	24 (26%)	17 (19%)	11 (12%)	5 (6%)
Linn	269	62 (23%)	61 (23%)	146 (54%)	5 (2%)	60 (22%)	36 (13%)	29 (11%)	16 (6%)
Malheur	33	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	32 (97%)	0 (0%)	5 (15%)	9 (27%)	12 (36%)	6 (18%)
Marion	990	236 (24%)	197 (20%)	557 (56%)	9 (1%)	191 (19%)	167 (17%)	116 (12%)	74 (8%)
Morrow	31	5 (16%)	6 (19%)	20 (65%)	0 (0%)	8 (26%)	5 (16%)	5 (16%)	2 (7%)
Multnomah	3,050	1,068 (35%)	447 (15%)	1,535 (50%)	100 (3%)	516 (17%)	449 (15%)	279 (9%)	191 (6%)

<sup>10</sup> Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

<sup>11</sup> The risk indicators for depression and substance use are the only single indicators allowing a family to be eligible for Intensive Service.

County	Number of Families with Risk Factor (RF) Information <sup>10</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with 0 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 1 RF (except depression or substance use)	Number (%) of Families Screened as "Higher Risk"	Number (%) of Families Screened with either Depression or Substance Use RF Only <sup>11</sup>	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 2 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 3 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 4 RFs	Number (%) of Families Screened with any 5 or more RFs
Polk	220	66 (30%)	39 (18%)	115 (52%)	6 (3%)	36 (16%)	30 (14%)	20 (9%)	23 (11%)
Sherman	5	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)
Tillamook	9	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	4 (44%)	4 (44%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)
Umatilla	87	15 (17%)	11 (13%)	61 (70%)	1 (1%)	19 (22%)	17 (20%)	11 (13%)	13 (15%)
Union	73	23 (32%)	14 (19%)	36 (49%)	2 (3%)	14 (19%)	10 (14%)	8 (11%)	2 (3%)
Wallowa	5	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)
Wasco	75	8 (11%)	15 (20%)	52 (69%)	4 (5%)	11 (15%)	16 (21%)	7 (9%)	14 (19%)
Washington	653	134 (21%)	85 (13%)	434 (66%)	13 (2%)	113 (17%)	121 (19%)	96 (15%)	91 (14%)
Wheeler	3	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	111	14 (13%)	19 (17%)	78 (70%)	3 (3%)	26 (23%)	22 (20%)	14 (13%)	13 (12%)
State	9,172	2,526 (28%)	1,537 (17%)	5,109 (56%)	222 (2%)	1,716 (19%)	1,460 (16%)	969 (11%)	742 (8%)

<sup>10</sup> Risk information is assessed on the New Baby Questionnaire at screening.

<sup>11</sup> The risk indicators for depression and substance use are the only single indicators allowing a family to be eligible for Intensive Service.

Table 5: Demographics of Screened Families: Race/Ethnicity<sup>12</sup>

County	Number of Screened Families with Race/Ethnicity Information <sup>13</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial <sup>14</sup>	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	182	0 (0%)	17 (9%)	16 (9%)	4 (2%)	136 (75%)	5 (3%)	4 (2%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	758	3 (<1%)	97 (13%)	21 (3%)	3 (<1%)	579 (76%)	40 (5%)	10 (1%)	5 (1%)
Clatsop	51	0 (0%)	6 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	43 (84%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	60	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	54 (90%)	3 (5%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Coos	79	1 (1%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	69 (87%)	4 (5%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)
Crook	82	0 (0%)	16 (20%)	2 (2%)	2 (2%)	61 (74%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	33	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	28 (85%)	3 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	392	1 (<1%)	22 (6%)	4 (1%)	1 (<1%)	358 (91%)	3 (1%)	0 (0%)	3 (1%)
Douglas	257	0 (0%)	5 (2%)	2 (1%)	4 (2%)	221 (86%)	19 (7%)	1 (<1%)	5 (2%)
Gilliam	1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	16	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (81%)	3 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	6	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	58	0 (0%)	26 (45%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	30 (52%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	342	4 (1%)	56 (16%)	5 (2%)	4 (1%)	255 (75%)	14 (4%)	3 (1%)	1 (<1%)
Jefferson	47	0 (0%)	23 (49%)	0 (0%)	5 (11%)	16 (34%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	149	0 (0%)	5 (3%)	2 (1%)	2 (1%)	130 (87%)	9 (6%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	229	2 (1%)	24 (11%)	3 (1%)	11 (5%)	176 (77%)	12 (5%)	1 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Lane	725	6 (1%)	81 (11%)	23 (3%)	12 (2%)	572 (79%)	27 (4%)	4 (1%)	0 (0%)
Lincoln	91	2 (2%)	16 (18%)	0 (0%)	7 (8%)	63 (69%)	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Linn	269	0 (0%)	40 (15%)	1 (<1%)	3 (1%)	210 (78%)	15 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	33	0 (0%)	16 (49%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	16 (49%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	990	8 (1%)	313 (32%)	24 (2%)	6 (1%)	586 (59%)	44 (4%)	2 (<1%)	7 (1%)
Morrow	31	0 (0%)	17 (55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (42%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	3,050	207 (7%)	398 (13%)	230 (8%)	21 (1%)	2,031 (67%)	137 (5%)	24 (1%)	2 (<1%)
Polk	220	2 (1%)	39 (18%)	0 (0%)	4 (2%)	163 (74%)	10 (5%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)

<sup>12</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>13</sup> Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

<sup>14</sup> Families categorized as "Multiracial" identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

County	Number of Screened Families with Race/Ethnicity Information <sup>13</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian/Pacific Islander	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial <sup>14</sup>	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Sherman	5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	9	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	87	0 (0%)	20 (23%)	0 (0%)	3 (3%)	58 (67%)	5 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Union	73	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	65 (89%)	5 (7%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	75	0 (0%)	13 (17%)	1 (1%)	3 (4%)	53 (71%)	2 (3%)	3 (4%)	0 (0%)
Washington	653	10 (2%)	275 (42%)	51 (8%)	5 (1%)	264 (40%)	29 (4%)	19 (3%)	0 (0%)
Wheeler	3	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	111	1 (1%)	27 (24%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	70 (63%)	6 (5%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)
State	9,172	247 (3%)	1,561 (17%)	390 (4%)	109 (1%)	6,354 (69%)	406 (4%)	76 (1%)	29 (<1%)

<sup>12</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>13</sup> Only families who agreed to share information with the evaluation are included in these analyses.

<sup>14</sup> Families categorized as "Multiracial" identified more than one race/ethnicity on the New Baby Questionnaire.

Table 6: Demographics<sup>15</sup> of Screened Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level<sup>16</sup>

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Benton	141 (78%)	15 (8%)	26 (14%)	8 (4%)	52 (29%)	18 (10%)
Clackamas	633 (85%)	94 (13%)	22 (3%)	54 (7%)	341 (46%)	121 (16%)
Clatsop	43 (84%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%)	11 (22%)	37 (79%)	22 (45%)
Columbia	60 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (12%)	41 (68%)	14 (23%)
Coos	77 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	10 (13%)	56 (72%)	23 (29%)
Crook	66 (81%)	15 (18%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	35 (43%)	17 (21%)
Curry	32 (97%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	4 (12%)	19 (68%)	12 (36%)
Deschutes	382 (97%)	10 (3%)	0 (0%)	20 (5%)	160 (41%)	32 (8%)
Douglas	252 (98%)	3 (1%)	1 (<1%)	27 (11%)	153 (60%)	72 (28%)
Gilliam	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)
Grant	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	10 (63%)	5 (31%)
Harney	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (33%)
Hood River	31 (53%)	26 (45%)	1 (2%)	10 (17%)	26 (48%)	20 (35%)
Jackson	291 (85%)	48 (15%)	3 (1%)	35 (10%)	223 (66%)	108 (32%)
Jefferson	26 (57%)	20 (44%)	0 (0%)	9 (19%)	25 (53%)	18 (38%)
Josephine	139 (93%)	7 (5%)	3 (2%)	14 (9%)	88 (61%)	31 (21%)
Klamath	206 (90%)	20 (9%)	2 (1%)	23 (10%)	123 (55%)	64 (28%)
Lane	656 (91%)	63 (9%)	6 (1%)	63 (9%)	407 (56%)	140 (20%)
Lincoln	78 (86%)	13 (14%)	0 (0%)	6 (7%)	59 (69%)	18 (20%)
Linn	230 (86%)	35 (13%)	3 (1%)	22 (8%)	132 (55%)	61 (23%)
Malheur	22 (69%)	10 (31%)	0 (0%)	10 (30%)	30 (94%)	12 (38%)
Marion	672 (68%)	277 (28%)	33 (3%)	103 (10%)	532 (55%)	278 (28%)
Morrow	20 (65%)	11 (36%)	0 (0%)	3 (10%)	21 (70%)	8 (27%)
Multnomah	2,433 (80%)	372 (12%)	242 (8%)	205 (7%)	1,345 (44%)	599 (20%)
Polk	182 (83%)	34 (16%)	4 (2%)	26 (12%)	106 (49%)	58 (26%)
Sherman	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)
Tillamook	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	4 (44%)
Umatilla	73 (85%)	13 (15%)	0 (0%)	17 (20%)	54 (64%)	32 (37%)

<sup>15</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>16</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) with Less Than a High School Education
Union	70 (97%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)	35 (49%)	6 (8%)
Wallowa	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)
Wasco	62 (83%)	11 (15%)	2 (3%)	5 (7%)	49 (65%)	21 (28%)
Washington	345 (53%)	265 (41%)	42 (6%)	78 (12%)	356 (55%)	236 (36%)
Wheeler	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Yamhill	82 (75%)	26 (24%)	2 (2%)	18 (16%)	71 (67%)	41 (38%)
State	7,346 (80%)	1,401 (15%)	395 (4%)	795 (9%)	4,601 (51%)	2,099 (23%)

<sup>15</sup> Demographic information is compiled from primary caregiver's responses on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>16</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item.

Table 7: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening<sup>17</sup>

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Fewer Than Five Prenatal Care Visits
Benton	10 (6%)	7 (4%)	16 (9%)	2 (1%)
Clackamas	44 (6%)	75 (10%)	143 (19%)	29 (5%)
Clatsop	10 (20%)	10 (22%)	19 (39%)	2 (6%)
Columbia	4 (7%)	8 (13%)	18 (30%)	3 (6%)
Coos	7 (9%)	12 (16%)	15 (19%)	4 (9%)
Crook	3 (4%)	4 (5%)	17 (21%)	1 (1%)
Curry	4 (12%)	2 (6%)	10 (32%)	2 (9%)
Deschutes	11 (3%)	2 (1%)	92 (24%)	1 (3%)
Douglas	5 (2%)	8 (3%)	50 (20%)	2 (1%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1 (6%)	1 (7%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)
Harney	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	4 (7%)	5 (9%)	9 (16%)	1 (2%)
Jackson	57 (17%)	89 (27%)	81 (24%)	7 (5%)
Jefferson	4 (9%)	3 (6%)	13 (28%)	1 (2%)
Josephine	3 (2%)	7 (5%)	35 (24%)	1 (1%)
Klamath	3 (1%)	8 (4%)	31 (14%)	6 (3%)
Lane	28 (4%)	8 (1%)	101 (14%)	14 (2%)
Lincoln	13 (14%)	14 (16%)	15 (17%)	3 (12%)
Linn	11 (4%)	9 (4%)	50 (20%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	4 (13%)	1 (4%)	17 (52%)	1 (7%)
Marion	44 (5%)	62 (7%)	222 (23%)	17 (2%)
Morrow	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	6 (19%)	1 (4%)
Multnomah	42 (1%)	53 (2%)	608 (20%)	49 (2%)
Polk	11 (5%)	10 (5%)	47 (22%)	4 (2%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	10 (12%)	7 (8%)	28 (33%)	5 (7%)

<sup>17</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.



County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (More Than 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Fewer Than Five Prenatal Care Visits
Union	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	13 (18%)	2 (3%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	6 (8%)	3 (4%)	19 (26%)	4 (9%)
Washington	117 (18%)	119 (20%)	176 (28%)	20 (5%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	6 (6%)	6 (6%)	24 (22%)	3 (3%)
State	463 (5%)	538 (6%)	1,879 (21%)	185 (2%)

<sup>17</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

Table 8: Risk Factors at Screening: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships<sup>18</sup>

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners--Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Benton	11 (6%)	62 (35%)	25 (14%)	1 (1%)	7 (4%)
Clackamas	45 (6%)	366 (49%)	150 (20%)	22 (3%)	76 (10%)
Clatsop	3 (6%)	37 (74%)	17 (34%)	1 (2%)	10 (20%)
Columbia	9 (15%)	45 (75%)	13 (22%)	2 (3%)	19 (32%)
Coos	11 (14%)	45 (58%)	13 (17%)	2 (3%)	11 (15%)
Crook	2 (2%)	39 (48%)	15 (19%)	0 (0%)	7 (9%)
Curry	4 (13%)	17 (52%)	10 (30%)	1 (3%)	5 (15%)
Deschutes	16 (4%)	181 (47%)	99 (26%)	33 (9%)	63 (17%)
Douglas	23 (9%)	118 (47%)	54 (21%)	6 (2%)	38 (15%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	2 (13%)	4 (25%)	2 (13%)	1 (6%)	2 (13%)
Harney	2 (33%)	6 (100%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	1 (20%)
Hood River	16 (28%)	33 (57%)	16 (28%)	1 (2%)	6 (11%)
Jackson	28 (8%)	222 (65%)	59 (17%)	25 (7%)	62 (19%)
Jefferson	7 (15%)	26 (59%)	8 (17%)	2 (4%)	8 (17%)
Josephine	16 (11%)	80 (54%)	28 (20%)	2 (1%)	9 (6%)
Klamath	27 (12%)	94 (41%)	31 (14%)	0 (0%)	24 (11%)
Lane	41 (6%)	315 (43%)	164 (23%)	12 (2%)	96 (14%)
Lincoln	4 (5%)	53 (58%)	21 (23%)	3 (3%)	12 (14%)
Linn	24 (9%)	132 (52%)	50 (20%)	2 (1%)	25 (10%)
Malheur	3 (10%)	24 (75%)	14 (45%)	2 (6%)	5 (16%)
Marion	92 (9%)	435 (45%)	161 (16%)	17 (2%)	96 (10%)
Morrow	4 (13%)	16 (57%)	5 (18%)	1 (4%)	2 (7%)
Multnomah	211 (7%)	1,303 (43%)	466 (15%)	80 (3%)	198 (7%)
Polk	20 (9%)	92 (42%)	40 (18%)	1 (1%)	21 (10%)
Sherman	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)

<sup>18</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners--Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers who Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Parents with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Parents Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Parents with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Umatilla	14 (16%)	37 (44%)	17 (20%)	2 (2%)	10 (12%)
Union	4 (6%)	32 (44%)	13 (18%)	0 (0%)	10 (14%)
Wallowa	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	3 (60%)
Wasco	11 (15%)	34 (45%)	29 (39%)	13 (17%)	7 (9%)
Washington	55 (9%)	381 (58%)	155 (24%)	13 (2%)	89 (14%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	12 (11%)	58 (56%)	25 (24%)	5 (5%)	16 (16%)
State	719 (8%)	4,306 (47%)	1,707 (19%)	252 (3%)	940 (10%)

<sup>18</sup> Percentages are based on the number of families screened with complete information for each item on the NBQ.

Table 9: Acceptance of Intensive Service

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) <sup>19</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS <sup>20</sup>	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined	Number (%) of Families Not Offered IS Because:			Number (%) of Families Declining IS Because:		
					Caseload Full	Unable to Contact Family	Other	Parent Too Busy	Parent Feels Services are not Needed	Other
Benton	55	40 (73%)	19 (48%)	21 (53%)	0 (0%)	14 (93%)	1 (7%)	4 (19%)	11 (52%)	6 (29%)
Clackamas	381	168 (44%)	68 (41%)	100 (60%)	140 (66%)	60 (28%)	13 (6%)	21 (21%)	57 (57%)	22 (22%)
Clatsop	42	32 (76%)	12 (38%)	20 (63%)	0 (0%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	2 (10%)	12 (60%)	6 (30%)
Columbia	41	25 (61%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)	4 (25%)	11 (69%)	1 (6%)	3 (38%)	4 (50%)	1 (13%)
Coos	47	36 (77%)	23 (64%)	13 (36%)	0 (0%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	0 (0%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)
Crook	38	35 (92%)	18 (51%)	17 (49%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	1 (6%)	14 (82%)	2 (12%)
Curry	22	20 (91%)	16 (80%)	4 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	196	66 (34%)	55 (83%)	11 (17%)	108 (83%)	18 (14%)	4 (3%)	1 (9%)	7 (64%)	3 (27%)
Douglas	141	119 (84%)	50 (42%)	69 (58%)	0 (0%)	20 (91%)	2 (9%)	13 (19%)	47 (68%)	9 (13%)
Gilliam	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	7	7 (100%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Harney	5	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hood River	33	30 (91%)	27 (90%)	3 (10%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Jackson	203	122 (60%)	104 (85%)	18 (15%)	51 (63%)	14 (17%)	16 (20%)	1 (6%)	16 (89%)	1 (6%)
Jefferson	29	29 (100%)	25 (86%)	4 (14%)	--	--	--	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)
Josephine	86	74 (86%)	56 (76%)	18 (24%)	6 (50%)	5 (42%)	1 (8%)	3 (17%)	8 (44%)	7 (39%)
Klamath	123	68 (55%)	29 (43%)	39 (57%)	35 (64%)	13 (24%)	7 (13%)	9 (23%)	27 (69%)	3 (8%)
Lane	379	243 (64%)	146 (60%)	97 (40%)	104 (76%)	25 (18%)	7 (5%)	11 (11%)	59 (61%)	27 (28%)
Lincoln	56	23 (41%)	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	27 (82%)	2 (6%)	4 (12%)	5 (42%)	3 (25%)	4 (33%)
Linn	144	27 (19%)	10 (37%)	17 (63%)	59 (50%)	18 (15%)	40 (34%)	4 (24%)	12 (71%)	1 (6%)
Malheur	31	31 (100%)	26 (84%)	5 (16%)	--	--	--	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
Marion	532	198 (37%)	125 (63%)	73 (37%)	298 (89%)	16 (5%)	20 (6%)	10 (14%)	47 (64%)	16 (22%)
Morrow	19	11 (58%)	5 (46%)	6 (55%)	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	5 (63%)	2 (33%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)
Multnomah	1,477	770 (52%)	356 (46%)	414 (54%)	4 (1%)	1 (0%)	702 (99%)	6 (1%)	408 (99%)	0 (0%)

<sup>19</sup> Families were eligible for Intensive Service if they had a high-risk screen (any two positive risk factors, or depression or substance use risk).

<sup>20</sup> To be included in this table, families must have had their Intensive Service status completed on the NBQ (n=302 were missing this item).

County	Number of Families Eligible for Intensive Service (IS) <sup>19</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Families Offered IS <sup>20</sup>	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Accepted	Number (%) of Families Offered IS who Declined	Number (%) of Families Not Offered IS Because:			Number (%) of Families Declining IS Because:		
					Caseload Full	Unable to Contact Family	Other	Parent Too Busy	Parent Feels Services are not Needed	Other
<b>Polk</b>	107	28 (26%)	21 (75%)	7 (25%)	77 (97%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)
<b>Sherman</b>	4	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	--	--
<b>Tillamook</b>	9	9 (100%)	8 (89%)	1 (11%)	--	--	--	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	54	43 (80%)	20 (47%)	23 (53%)	2 (18%)	5 (45%)	4 (36%)	2 (9%)	16 (70%)	5 (22%)
<b>Union</b>	33	25 (76%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Wasco</b>	52	17 (33%)	12 (71%)	5 (29%)	27 (77%)	7 (20%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)
<b>Washington</b>	415	360 (87%)	196 (54%)	164 (46%)	3 (5%)	39 (71%)	13 (24%)	19 (12%)	123 (75%)	22 (13%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	1	0 (0%)	--	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	--	--
<b>Yamhill</b>	75	40 (53%)	27 (68%)	13 (33%)	19 (54%)	10 (29%)	6 (17%)	7 (54%)	5 (38%)	1 (8%)
<b>State</b>	4,839	2,706 (56%)	1,516 (56%)	1,190 (44%)	974 (46%)	294 (14%)	865 (41%)	128 (11%)	909 (76%)	153 (13%)

<sup>19</sup> Families were eligible for Intensive Service if they had a high-risk screen (any two positive risk factors, or depression or substance use risk).

<sup>20</sup> To be included in this table, families must have had their Intensive Service status completed on the NBQ (n=302 were missing this item).

Table 10: Level of Healthy Start Service

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served 2006-07 (Duplicated <sup>21</sup> )	Total Healthy Start Families Served at the End of FY 06-07 (Unduplicated <sup>22</sup> )	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families <sup>23</sup>	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2006-07) <sup>24</sup>
Benton	231	228	166 (73%)	62 (27%)	36 (58%)	26 (42%)
Clackamas	937	925	741 (80%)	184 (20%)	110 (60%)	74 (40%)
Clatsop	93	93	57 (61%)	36 (39%)	24 (67%)	12 (33%)
Columbia	83	81	41 (51%)	40 (49%)	18 (45%)	22 (55%)
Coos	124	123	88 (72%)	35 (28%)	8 (23%)	27 (77%)
Crook	100	98	58 (59%)	40 (41%)	14 (35%)	26 (65%)
Curry	52	52	18 (35%)	34 (65%)	17 (50%)	17 (50%)
Deschutes	488	482	335 (70%)	147 (30%)	84 (57%)	63 (43%)
Douglas	353	351	245 (70%)	106 (30%)	54 (51%)	52 (49%)
Gilliam	2	2	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Grant	22	22	9 (41%)	13 (59%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)
Harney	17	16	1 (6%)	15 (94%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)
Hood River	81	80	30 (38%)	50 (63%)	22 (44%)	28 (56%)
Jackson	579	578	396 (69%)	182 (31%)	104 (57%)	78 (43%)
Jefferson	76	75	23 (31%)	52 (69%)	27 (52%)	25 (48%)
Josephine	204	204	97 (48%)	107 (52%)	46 (43%)	61 (57%)
Klamath	263	262	205 (78%)	57 (22%)	31 (54%)	26 (46%)
Lane	821	817	599 (73%)	218 (27%)	94 (43%)	124 (57%)
Lincoln	189	188	117 (62%)	71 (38%)	61 (86%)	10 (14%)
Linn	337	333	287 (86%)	46 (14%)	32 (70%)	14 (30%)
Malheur	56	55	13 (24%)	42 (76%)	20 (48%)	22 (52%)
Marion	1,187	1,182	926 (78%)	256 (22%)	169 (66%)	87 (34%)
Morrow	53	53	27 (51%)	26 (49%)	18 (69%)	8 (31%)

<sup>21</sup> Duplicated counts include families served in more than one county (county of birth compared to county of most recent service).

<sup>22</sup> Unduplicated counts based on most recently reported county of service.

<sup>23</sup> Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2006-07.

<sup>24</sup> Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2006-07 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a family intake form dated during 2006-07; (2) a first home visit during 2006-07, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey I dated during 2006-07; a New Baby Questionnaire with a screening date during 2006-07 indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services".

County	Total Healthy Start Families Served 2006-07 (Duplicated <sup>21</sup> )	Total Healthy Start Families Served at the End of FY 06-07 (Unduplicated <sup>22</sup> )	Number (%) of Families Screen/Referred Only	Number (%) of Intensive Service Families	Number (%) of Continuing Intensive Service Families <sup>23</sup>	Number (%) of Newly Enrolled Intensive Service Families (2006-07) <sup>24</sup>
Multnomah	3,259	3,246	2,891 (89%)	355 (11%)	178 (50%)	177 (50%)
Polk	262	258	193 (75%)	65 (25%)	35 (54%)	30 (46%)
Sherman	9	9	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Tillamook	36	36	3 (8%)	33 (92%)	25 (76%)	8 (24%)
Umatilla	104	104	68 (65%)	36 (35%)	14 (39%)	22 (61%)
Union	84	84	63 (75%)	21 (25%)	11 (52%)	10 (48%)
Wallowa	12	12	2 (17%)	10 (83%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)
Wasco	118	117	75 (64%)	42 (36%)	29 (69%)	13 (31%)
Washington	983	981	564 (57%)	417 (43%)	256 (61%)	161 (39%)
Wheeler	3	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Yamhill	147	146	94 (64%)	52 (36%)	23 (44%)	29 (56%)
State	11,365	11,296	8,439 (75%)	2,857 (25%)	1,584 (55%)	1,273 (45%)

<sup>21</sup> Duplicated counts include families served in more than one county (county of birth compared to county of most recent service).

<sup>22</sup> Unduplicated counts based on most recently reported county of service.

<sup>23</sup> Intensive Service families enrolled prior to 2006-07.

<sup>24</sup> Newly enrolled Intensive Service families include all families who had a screening date during 2006-07 and for whom NPC received any of the following: (1) a family intake form dated during 2006-07; (2) a first home visit during 2006-07, as reported on a Family Intake form; (3) a Parent Survey I dated during 2006-07; a New Baby Questionnaire with a screening date during 2006-07 indicating the family had "Accepted Intensive Services".

Table 11: Home Visit Completion

County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit <sup>25</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) <sup>27</sup>	Percent of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits <sup>28</sup> (HFA Standard)
Benton	24 (96%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	24 (100%)	75%
Clackamas	68 (97%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	68 (94%)	79%
Clatsop	12 (100%)	--	--	--	--	9 (82%)	46%
Columbia	17 (94%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	19 (100%)	86%
Coos	25 (100%)	--	--	--	--	24 (100%)	67%
Crook	25 (96%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	23 (100%)	86%
Curry	17 (94%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (87%)	86%
Deschutes	54 (96%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	51 (90%)	77%
Douglas	51 (96%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	46 (94%)	60%
Gilliam	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	80%
Grant	8 (89%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	76%
Harney	5 (100%)	--	--	--	--	5 (100%)	100%
Hood River	27 (93%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (90%)	78%
Jackson	56 (52%)	20 (39%)	8 (15%)	2 (4%)	22 (42%)	60 (94%)	60%
Jefferson	24 (92%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	23 (92%)	49%
Josephine	56 (92%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	58 (100%)	61%
Klamath	24 (83%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	21 (96%)	84%
Lane	115 (80%)	6 (21%)	11 (38%)	3 (10%)	9 (31%)	113 (94%)	80%
Lincoln	10 (91%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (100%)	84%
Linn	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	13 (93%)	79%
Malheur	21 (81%)	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	16 (89%)	75%

<sup>25</sup> Some families accepting home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information at the time of these analyses.

<sup>26</sup> Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

<sup>27</sup> These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form.

<sup>28</sup> Data submitted by program manager on HFA 4-2b Home Visit Tracking Form and/or Home Visit Completion forms for the period July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007.



County	Number (%) of Families Who Accepted IS and Received a First Home Visit <sup>25</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Parents Declined) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Unable to Locate) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Family Moved) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of Families Who Never Completed a First Home Visit (Other Reason) <sup>26</sup>	Number (%) of New Intensive Service Families Receiving First Home Visit Within 3 Months of the Birth of the Baby (HFA Standard) <sup>27</sup>	Percent of All IS Families Receiving 75% of Expected Home Visits <sup>28</sup> (HFA Standard)
Marion	79 (63%)	10 (21%)	14 (30%)	1 (2%)	22 (47%)	64 (84%)	64%
Morrow	7 (88%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	91%
Multnomah	163 (44%)	67 (33%)	55 (27%)	5 (2%)	78 (38%)	141 (93%)	79%
Polk	21 (100%)	--	--	--	--	24 (83%)	92%
Sherman	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	70%
Tillamook	8 (100%)	--	--	--	--	7 (100%)	60%
Umatilla	21 (96%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20 (95%)	52%
Union	10 (59%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	94%
Wallowa	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	3 (100%)	93%
Wasco	12 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	11 (85%)	83%
Washington	149 (75%)	16 (32%)	14 (28%)	4 (8%)	16 (32%)	133 (86%)	46%
Wheeler	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--	2 (100%)	--
Yamhill	27 (93%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	27 (93%)	65%
State	1,150 (73%)	133 (31%)	119 (28%)	23 (5%)	155 (36%)	1068 (92%)	69%

<sup>25</sup> Some families accepting home visitation services near the end of the fiscal year had not yet submitted first home visit information at the time of these analyses.

<sup>26</sup> Reason for not completing a first home visit is taken from the Exit Form.

<sup>27</sup> These numbers reflect all home visits completed and submitted on a Family Intake form.

<sup>28</sup> Data submitted by program manager on HFA 4-2b Home Visit Tracking Form and/or Home Visit Completion forms for the period July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007.

Table 12: Demographic Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Race/Ethnicity

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information <sup>29</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Benton	61	0 (0%)	19 (31%)	5 (8%)	2 (3%)	31 (51%)	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	184	1 (1%)	56 (30%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	106 (58%)	14 (8%)	4 (2%)	1 (1%)
Clatsop	36	0 (0%)	5 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	30 (83%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	40	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	37 (93%)	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Coos	35	0 (0%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	28 (80%)	3 (9%)	0 (0%)	2 (6%)
Crook	40	0 (0%)	8 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	30 (75%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Curry	34	0 (0%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	29 (85%)	3 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Deschutes	147	1 (1%)	19 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	115 (78%)	4 (3%)	2 (1%)	5 (3%)
Douglas	106	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	94 (89%)	6 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	13	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Harney	15	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	11 (73%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	50	1 (2%)	32 (64%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	13 (26%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Jackson	171	1 (1%)	65 (38%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	94 (55%)	7 (4%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
Jefferson	52	0 (0%)	32 (62%)	0 (0%)	2 (4%)	15 (29%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	105	0 (0%)	7 (7%)	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	86 (82%)	7 (7%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	56	2 (4%)	6 (11%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	36 (64%)	6 (11%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)
Lane	218	3 (1%)	50 (23%)	7 (3%)	2 (1%)	138 (63%)	16 (7%)	2 (1%)	0 (0%)
Lincoln	71	1 (1%)	35 (49%)	1 (1%)	2 (3%)	26 (37%)	6 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Linn	43	0 (0%)	14 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	26 (61%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Malheur	42	0 (0%)	17 (41%)	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	23 (55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Marion	253	3 (1%)	149 (59%)	4 (2%)	2 (1%)	87 (34%)	6 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)
Morrow	25	0 (0%)	22 (88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	352	13 (4%)	132 (38%)	54 (15%)	2 (1%)	128 (36%)	15 (4%)	7 (2%)	1 (>1%)
Polk	65	0 (0%)	26 (40%)	1 (2%)	3 (5%)	31 (48%)	4 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Sherman	3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

<sup>29</sup> Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

County	Total Number of Intensive Service Families with Race/Ethnicity Information <sup>29</sup>	Number (%) African American	Number (%) Hispanic/Latino	Number (%) Asian	Number (%) American Indian	Number (%) Caucasian	Number (%) Multiracial	Number (%) Other	Number (%) Unreported
Tillamook	33	0 (0%)	9 (27%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	22 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	36	0 (0%)	6 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	25 (69%)	3 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Union	21	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18 (86%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	9	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	42	0 (0%)	9 (21%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	27 (64%)	4 (10%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Washington	410	1 (<1%)	300 (73%)	5 (1%)	2 (1%)	83 (20%)	10 (2%)	8 (2%)	1 (<1%)
Wheeler	2	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	50	0 (0%)	18 (36%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	27 (54%)	3 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
State	2,822	28 (1%)	1,044 (37%)	87 (3%)	36 (1%)	1,445 (51%)	133 (5%)	33 (1%)	16 (1%)

<sup>29</sup> Not all families reported race/ethnicity information.

Table 13: Demographic<sup>30</sup> Characteristics of Intensive Service Families: Language, Teen, Single Mothers, & Education Level

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education
Benton	36 (59%)	17 (28%)	8 (13%)	5 (8%)	34 (57%)	15 (25%)
Clackamas	129 (70%)	54 (29%)	1 (1%)	36 (20%)	138 (76%)	67 (37%)
Clatsop	30 (83%)	6 (17%)	0 (0%)	9 (26%)	31 (89%)	22 (63%)
Columbia	40 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (15%)	33 (83%)	14 (35%)
Coos	33 (97%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	5 (15%)	26 (77%)	12 (34%)
Crook	33 (83%)	7 (18%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	30 (75%)	9 (23%)
Curry	32 (94%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	8 (24%)	26 (84%)	14 (42%)
Deschutes	130 (90%)	15 (10%)	0 (0%)	33 (23%)	112 (77%)	55 (39%)
Douglas	105 (99%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	21 (20%)	83 (81%)	46 (43%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Grant	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (39%)	11 (85%)	9 (69%)
Harney	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	7 (50%)	4 (27%)
Hood River	16 (32%)	34 (68%)	0 (0%)	14 (28%)	32 (68%)	30 (60%)
Jackson	107 (63%)	63 (37%)	1 (1%)	32 (19%)	138 (81%)	89 (52%)
Jefferson	22 (43%)	29 (57%)	0 (0%)	11 (21%)	35 (70%)	30 (59%)
Josephine	101 (96%)	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	14 (14%)	80 (78%)	33 (31%)
Klamath	50 (89%)	4 (7%)	2 (4%)	10 (18%)	38 (70%)	27 (49%)
Lane	177 (82%)	35 (16%)	5 (2%)	28 (13%)	156 (72%)	65 (30%)
Lincoln	37 (52%)	34 (48%)	0 (0%)	10 (14%)	57 (84%)	37 (52%)
Linn	28 (67%)	14 (33%)	0 (0%)	7 (16%)	25 (63%)	19 (45%)
Malheur	30 (71%)	12 (29%)	0 (0%)	7 (17%)	32 (78%)	12 (29%)
Marion	121 (48%)	125 (49%)	7 (3%)	60 (24%)	185 (74%)	131 (53%)
Morrow	10 (40%)	15 (60%)	0 (0%)	7 (28%)	17 (68%)	13 (54%)
Multnomah	173 (49%)	122 (35%)	57 (16%)	15 (4%)	215 (61%)	134 (38%)
Polk	40 (62%)	25 (39%)	0 (0%)	15 (23%)	51 (80%)	33 (51%)
Sherman	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Tillamook	24 (73%)	9 (27%)	0 (0%)	3 (9%)	26 (79%)	14 (42%)

<sup>30</sup> Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households	Number (%) of Other Language Households	Number (%) Teen Mothers (17 or younger)	Number (%) Single Mothers	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than High School Education
Umatilla	30 (86%)	5 (14%)	0 (0%)	12 (35%)	27 (82%)	22 (63%)
Union	21 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	16 (76%)	4 (19%)
Wallowa	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)	4 (44%)
Wasco	33 (79%)	8 (19%)	1 (2%)	5 (12%)	33 (79%)	16 (38%)
Washington	121 (30%)	282 (69%)	7 (2%)	64 (16%)	302 (74%)	224 (55%)
Wheeler	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	34 (68%)	15 (30%)	1 (2%)	8 (16%)	36 (74%)	26 (53%)
State	1,787 (64%)	937 (33%)	91 (3%)	455 (16%)	2,041 (73%)	1,233 (44%)

<sup>30</sup> Demographic information is compiled from responses on the NBQ.

Table 14: Health Insurance & Health Care at Screening<sup>31</sup> of Intensive Service Families

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits	Number (%) of Mothers on OHP
Benton	4 (7%)	2 (3%)	16 (27%)	2 (3%)	43 (72%)
Clackamas	22 (12%)	31 (17%)	65 (36%)	2 (2%)	104 (57%)
Clatsop	6 (17%)	2 (6%)	9 (25%)	1 (4%)	26 (72%)
Columbia	2 (5%)	4 (10%)	10 (25%)	1 (4%)	30 (75%)
Coos	0 (0%)	3 (9%)	9 (26%)	2 (8%)	28 (85%)
Crook	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	7 (18%)	0 (0%)	28 (70%)
Curry	4 (12%)	1 (3%)	14 (42%)	4 (16%)	26 (77%)
Deschutes	7 (5%)	1 (1%)	43 (30%)	1 (2%)	120 (82%)
Douglas	4 (4%)	6 (6%)	26 (25%)	2 (2%)	91 (86%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)
Grant	1 (8%)	2 (17%)	4 (31%)	0 (0%)	10 (77%)
Harney	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	1 (8%)	12 (80%)
Hood River	5 (10%)	6 (12%)	11 (22%)	1 (3%)	42 (84%)
Jackson	43 (25%)	64 (38%)	46 (28%)	4 (6%)	110 (65%)
Jefferson	7 (14%)	3 (6%)	15 (29%)	1 (2%)	33 (64%)
Josephine	5 (5%)	2 (2%)	37 (35%)	1 (1%)	95 (91%)
Klamath	1 (2%)	4 (7%)	9 (16%)	2 (4%)	46 (82%)
Lane	14 (7%)	4 (2%)	55 (26%)	7 (3%)	163 (75%)
Lincoln	17 (25%)	15 (24%)	17 (25%)	3 (10%)	35 (51%)
Linn	6 (14%)	5 (13%)	12 (31%)	1 (4%)	23 (54%)
Malheur	6 (14%)	1 (3%)	18 (43%)	1 (4%)	31 (74%)
Marion	26 (11%)	25 (11%)	75 (31%)	10 (5%)	144 (58%)
Morrow	6 (24%)	2 (8%)	9 (36%)	0 (0%)	16 (64%)
Multnomah	19 (5%)	30 (9%)	110 (32%)	13 (4%)	194 (55%)
Polk	6 (9%)	5 (9%)	23 (37%)	2 (4%)	41 (63%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
Tillamook	3 (9%)	2 (6%)	4 (13%)	1 (3%)	25 (76%)
Umatilla	4 (12%)	2 (6%)	12 (35%)	0 (0%)	25 (74%)

<sup>31</sup> Health information is reported on the NBQ.

County	Number (%) of Mothers with No Health Insurance	Number (%) of Babies with No Health Insurance	Number (%) with Late Prenatal Care (After 12 Weeks or Not at All)	Number (%) with Less Than 5 Prenatal Visits	Number (%) of Mothers on OHP
Union	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	17 (81%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)
Wasco	4 (10%)	2 (5%)	7 (17%)	3 (8%)	35 (83%)
Washington	111 (27%)	90 (24%)	161 (40%)	20 (11%)	177 (43%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Yamhill	7 (15%)	3 (6%)	15 (30%)	1 (2%)	33 (69%)
State	342 (12%)	319 (12%)	848 (31%)	89 (4%)	1,815 (65%)

<sup>31</sup> Health information is reported on the NBQ.

**Table 15: NBQ Risk Factors of Intensive Service Families: Employment, Income, Mental Health, Substance Use, & Family Relationships**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use</b>	<b>Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems</b>
<b>Benton</b>	12 (21%)	43 (74%)	22 (37%)	4 (7%)	9 (15%)
<b>Clackamas</b>	21 (12%)	145 (80%)	69 (38%)	9 (5%)	51 (28%)
<b>Clatsop</b>	8 (24%)	25 (69%)	18 (50%)	1 (3%)	10 (28%)
<b>Columbia</b>	6 (15%)	31 (78%)	14 (35%)	2 (5%)	16 (40%)
<b>Coos</b>	6 (18%)	22 (65%)	7 (20%)	3 (9%)	5 (16%)
<b>Crook</b>	3 (8%)	24 (60%)	12 (30%)	1 (3%)	8 (21%)
<b>Curry</b>	5 (15%)	24 (71%)	11 (32%)	0 (0%)	8 (24%)
<b>Deschutes</b>	12 (9%)	116 (81%)	85 (59%)	35 (25%)	59 (44%)
<b>Douglas</b>	17 (16%)	79 (75%)	48 (45%)	6 (6%)	35 (34%)
<b>Gilliam</b>	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>Grant</b>	3 (23%)	3 (25%)	2 (15%)	1 (8%)	3 (23%)
<b>Harney</b>	4 (27%)	14 (93%)	5 (33%)	1 (7%)	3 (21%)
<b>Hood River</b>	21 (42%)	40 (80%)	22 (44%)	2 (4%)	14 (29%)
<b>Jackson</b>	23 (14%)	117 (69%)	57 (34%)	12 (7%)	37 (22%)
<b>Jefferson</b>	7 (14%)	38 (78%)	16 (31%)	3 (6%)	12 (23%)
<b>Josephine</b>	10 (10%)	73 (70%)	27 (27%)	2 (2%)	12 (12%)
<b>Klamath</b>	13 (24%)	36 (66%)	22 (40%)	1 (2%)	16 (29%)
<b>Lane</b>	21 (10%)	185 (85%)	100 (46%)	8 (4%)	67 (31%)
<b>Lincoln</b>	8 (12%)	56 (80%)	25 (36%)	2 (3%)	23 (34%)
<b>Linn</b>	6 (14%)	34 (83%)	16 (40%)	0 (0%)	10 (25%)
<b>Malheur</b>	2 (5%)	27 (64%)	21 (50%)	2 (5%)	3 (7%)
<b>Marion</b>	40 (16%)	167 (67%)	96 (39%)	10 (4%)	67 (28%)
<b>Morrow</b>	7 (28%)	15 (60%)	5 (20%)	0 (0%)	5 (20%)
<b>Multnomah</b>	39 (11%)	291 (84%)	129 (37%)	11 (3%)	55 (16%)
<b>Polk</b>	15 (25%)	51 (80%)	26 (40%)	4 (6%)	13 (20%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	2 (6%)	31 (94%)	9 (27%)	0 (0%)	12 (38%)



County	Number (%) of Mothers and Partners Both Unemployed	Number (%) of Mothers that Have Difficulty Most or Some of the Time Paying for Basic Expenses	Number (%) of Mothers with Depression Symptoms	Number (%) of Mothers Who Felt the Need to, or Had Been Asked to Cut Down on Drug/Alcohol Use	Number (%) of Mothers with Some or Serious Relationship Problems
Umatilla	9 (26%)	14 (40%)	12 (35%)	3 (9%)	6 (18%)
Union	3 (14%)	12 (57%)	5 (24%)	1 (5%)	5 (24%)
Wallowa	4 (44%)	8 (89%)	4 (44%)	1 (11%)	5 (56%)
Wasco	8 (19%)	29 (69%)	22 (52%)	8 (19%)	10 (24%)
Washington	27 (7%)	341 (83%)	194 (47%)	11 (3%)	87 (21%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	4 (8%)	37 (77%)	23 (48%)	3 (6%)	12 (24%)
State	367 (13%)	2,134 (77%)	1,126 (40%)	147 (5%)	678 (25%)

Table 16: Kempe Risk Factors<sup>32</sup> for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect)		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Benton	9 (17%)	32 (60%)	17 (33%)	15 (29%)	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	19 (35%)	25 (46%)	24 (45%)	22 (42%)
Clackamas	27 (16%)	113 (68%)	54 (32%)	76 (46%)	9 (6%)	6 (4%)	70 (42%)	77 (46%)	47 (28%)	98 (58%)
Clatsop	8 (25%)	16 (50%)	6 (19%)	19 (59%)	3 (10%)	6 (20%)	18 (56%)	12 (38%)	19 (59%)	9 (28%)
Columbia	6 (18%)	24 (71%)	14 (41%)	15 (44%)	1 (3%)	2 (6%)	18 (53%)	10 (29%)	11 (32%)	21 (62%)
Coos	4 (15%)	16 (59%)	10 (36%)	9 (32%)	2 (7%)	3 (11%)	14 (50%)	6 (21%)	12 (43%)	11 (39%)
Crook	4 (11%)	26 (72%)	15 (42%)	11 (31%)	2 (6%)	3 (8%)	27 (75%)	9 (25%)	11 (31%)	21 (60%)
Curry	1 (4%)	22 (88%)	6 (24%)	16 (64%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	9 (36%)	14 (56%)	7 (28%)	17 (68%)
Deschutes	17 (14%)	72 (60%)	36 (30%)	63 (52%)	3 (3%)	9 (7%)	54 (44%)	32 (26%)	46 (37%)	67 (55%)
Douglas	21 (21%)	69 (70%)	28 (28%)	59 (60%)	11 (11%)	4 (4%)	48 (49%)	41 (41%)	31 (31%)	61 (62%)
Gilliam	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Grant	2 (15%)	10 (77%)	4 (31%)	5 (39%)	2 (15%)	5 (39%)	5 (39%)	4 (31%)	4 (31%)	7 (54%)
Harney	1 (8%)	8 (62%)	5 (39%)	6 (46%)	1 (8%)	4 (31%)	7 (54%)	4 (31%)	1 (8%)	11 (85%)
Hood River	11 (28%)	18 (45%)	4 (10%)	14 (35%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	20 (49%)	17 (42%)	16 (40%)	22 (55%)
Jackson	24 (18%)	77 (59%)	39 (29%)	51 (37%)	4 (3%)	15 (11%)	73 (54%)	40 (29%)	49 (36%)	61 (45%)
Jefferson	3 (6%)	46 (92%)	19 (37%)	18 (35%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (41%)	24 (47%)	15 (30%)	32 (64%)
Josephine	18 (19%)	67 (71%)	34 (37%)	44 (47%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	50 (53%)	27 (29%)	43 (46%)	43 (46%)
Klamath	3 (6%)	40 (78%)	14 (28%)	26 (51%)	3 (7%)	6 (13%)	25 (49%)	20 (39%)	20 (39%)	20 (39%)
Lane	39 (19%)	113 (54%)	46 (22%)	86 (42%)	16 (9%)	15 (8%)	93 (44%)	97 (46%)	79 (38%)	116 (56%)
Lincoln	8 (12%)	51 (76%)	21 (31%)	33 (49%)	1 (2%)	5 (8%)	37 (54%)	19 (28%)	27 (40%)	35 (52%)
Linn	10 (26%)	21 (55%)	18 (47%)	11 (29%)	3 (8%)	1 (3%)	19 (50%)	14 (37%)	16 (42%)	20 (53%)
Malheur	6 (16%)	17 (46%)	16 (43%)	3 (8%)	1 (3%)	2 (5%)	22 (60%)	7 (19%)	18 (49%)	8 (22%)
Marion	38 (16%)	158 (68%)	87 (37%)	58 (25%)	9 (4%)	11 (5%)	113 (48%)	101 (43%)	57 (25%)	168 (72%)
Morrow	4 (22%)	11 (61%)	5 (28%)	4 (22%)	2 (11%)	2 (11%)	12 (67%)	5 (28%)	9 (53%)	5 (29%)
Multnomah	64 (22%)	169 (58%)	82 (28%)	78 (27%)	9 (3%)	11 (4%)	137 (47%)	110 (38%)	105 (36%)	156 (53%)
Polk	5 (8%)	49 (77%)	23 (36%)	25 (39%)	1 (2%)	2 (3%)	26 (41%)	31 (48%)	18 (28%)	42 (66%)

<sup>32</sup> Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

County	Number (%) Lacking Nurturing Parents (history of maltreatment, corporal punishment, emotional abuse/neglect)		Number (%) with Substance Abuse, Mental Illness, or Criminal History		Number (%) with Previous or Current Child Welfare Involvement		Number (%) with Isolation, Low Self-Esteem		Number (%) with Multiple Stressors	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
<b>Sherman</b>	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	8 (28%)	14 (48%)	7 (23%)	7 (23%)	1 (3%)	3 (10%)	21 (68%)	8 (26%)	16 (52%)	11 (36%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	7 (30%)	8 (35%)	6 (25%)	7 (29%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	16 (64%)	7 (28%)	14 (56%)	5 (20%)
<b>Union</b>	3 (19%)	11 (69%)	1 (7%)	5 (36%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	5 (31%)	7 (44%)	5 (31%)	11 (69%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	5 (56%)	1 (11%)	8 (89%)
<b>Wasco</b>	4 (10%)	30 (77%)	6 (15%)	27 (69%)	4 (11%)	5 (13%)	11 (28%)	24 (60%)	17 (43%)	22 (55%)
<b>Washington</b>	58 (16%)	253 (68%)	131 (36%)	102 (28%)	6 (2%)	11 (3%)	170 (46%)	156 (42%)	105 (28%)	230 (62%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	1 (2%)	33 (73%)	8 (18%)	26 (58%)	1 (2%)	3 (7%)	17 (38%)	19 (42%)	6 (13%)	35 (78%)
<b>State</b>	418 (17%)	1,604 (65%)	765 (31%)	929 (37%)	105 (4%)	142 (6%)	1,183 (47%)	974 (39%)	853 (34%)	1,398 (56%)

<sup>32</sup> Kempe risk factors are scored by the Home Visitor as 0, 5 (mild) or 10 (severe).

Table 17: Kempe Risk Factors for One or Both Parents/Caregivers in Intensive Service: Childrearing Characteristics

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
Benton	1 (2%)	6 (12%)	18 (33%)	3 (6%)	8 (15%)	2 (4%)	13 (25%)	0 (0%)	30 (56%)	5 (9%)
Clackamas	12 (7%)	28 (17%)	63 (38%)	21 (13%)	17 (10%)	12 (7%)	34 (22%)	5 (3%)	103 (62%)	28 (17%)
Clatsop	4 (15%)	6 (23%)	9 (32%)	2 (7%)	5 (17%)	0 (0%)	8 (27%)	1 (3%)	20 (63%)	3 (9%)
Columbia	4 (12%)	7 (21%)	14 (41%)	4 (12%)	7 (21%)	0 (0%)	6 (18%)	1 (3%)	19 (56%)	11 (32%)
Coos	4 (15%)	4 (15%)	9 (32%)	2 (7%)	6 (22%)	1 (4%)	5 (19%)	0 (0%)	17 (61%)	2 (7%)
Crook	2 (6%)	5 (14%)	25 (69%)	1 (3%)	18 (50%)	3 (8%)	9 (25%)	0 (0%)	24 (69%)	4 (11%)
Curry	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	8 (32%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	4 (16%)	0 (0%)	17 (71%)	3 (13%)
Deschutes	6 (5%)	16 (14%)	18 (15%)	3 (3%)	18 (15%)	4 (3%)	17 (14%)	1 (1%)	66 (53%)	31 (25%)
Douglas	15 (15%)	20 (20%)	40 (40%)	4 (4%)	19 (20%)	6 (6%)	11 (11%)	1 (1%)	74 (76%)	6 (6%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Grant	2 (15%)	2 (15%)	5 (42%)	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	3 (25%)	1 (8%)	7 (54%)	2 (15%)
Harney	2 (15%)	2 (15%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	5 (42%)	0 (0%)
Hood River	3 (8%)	6 (15%)	16 (40%)	5 (13%)	11 (28%)	1 (3%)	9 (23%)	2 (5%)	22 (55%)	6 (15%)
Jackson	10 (8%)	15 (12%)	57 (43%)	9 (7%)	15 (12%)	2 (2%)	36 (27%)	4 (3%)	88 (66%)	13 (10%)
Jefferson	3 (6%)	23 (47%)	43 (90%)	1 (2%)	10 (21%)	4 (8%)	4 (9%)	0 (0%)	33 (67%)	3 (6%)
Josephine	11 (12%)	8 (9%)	44 (47%)	2 (2%)	9 (10%)	4 (4%)	34 (37%)	0 (0%)	55 (60%)	11 (12%)
Klamath	12 (24%)	16 (31%)	23 (45%)	4 (8%)	7 (14%)	3 (6%)	8 (16%)	1 (2%)	34 (67%)	4 (8%)
Lane	11 (6%)	26 (13%)	84 (41%)	27 (13%)	24 (12%)	9 (5%)	77 (37%)	11 (5%)	103 (49%)	41 (20%)
Lincoln	6 (9%)	15 (23%)	25 (38%)	2 (3%)	7 (10%)	1 (2%)	10 (15%)	1 (2%)	50 (75%)	3 (5%)
Linn	5 (14%)	7 (19%)	29 (76%)	3 (8%)	14 (37%)	2 (5%)	7 (19%)	1 (3%)	18 (47%)	5 (13%)
Malheur	2 (5%)	2 (5%)	7 (19%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	2 (5%)	8 (22%)	0 (0%)	27 (75%)	2 (6%)
Marion	12 (5%)	43 (19%)	163 (70%)	20 (9%)	28 (12%)	13 (6%)	69 (30%)	11 (5%)	144 (62%)	33 (14%)
Morrow	1 (6%)	2 (12%)	12 (71%)	1 (6%)	3 (16%)	2 (11%)	7 (44%)	1 (6%)	9 (50%)	4 (22%)
Multnomah	30 (11%)	40 (14%)	128 (44%)	22 (8%)	34 (12%)	9 (3%)	75 (26%)	4 (1%)	143 (49%)	45 (16%)
Polk	5 (8%)	7 (11%)	50 (78%)	1 (2%)	8 (13%)	0 (0%)	17 (27%)	0 (0%)	48 (75%)	5 (8%)
Sherman	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Tillamook	2 (7%)	2 (7%)	3 (10%)	0 (0%)	3 (10%)	0 (0%)	9 (30%)	0 (0%)	26 (87%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	3 (12%)	3 (12%)	10 (40%)	7 (28%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%)	18 (72%)	2 (8%)
Union	0 (0%)	3 (25%)	9 (56%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	6 (38%)	0 (0%)	12 (75%)	2 (13%)

County	Number (%) with Potential for Violence		Number (%) with Unrealistic Expectations of Infant		Number (%) with Plans for Severe Discipline for Infant		Number (%) with Negative Perception of Infant		Number (%) with Bonding/ Attachment Issues	
	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe	Mild	Severe
<b>Wallowa</b>	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)
<b>Wasco</b>	3 (8%)	10 (26%)	15 (38%)	5 (13%)	12 (33%)	3 (8%)	12 (34%)	1 (3%)	22 (58%)	12 (32%)
<b>Washington</b>	30 (8%)	68 (19%)	239 (65%)	25 (7%)	61 (17%)	17 (5%)	67 (18%)	6 (2%)	239 (65%)	47 (13%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
<b>Yamhill</b>	3 (7%)	8 (18%)	14 (32%)	3 (7%)	1 (2%)	4 (9%)	8 (19%)	1 (2%)	32 (73%)	3 (7%)
<b>State</b>	206 (9%)	410 (17%)	1,187 (48%)	180 (7%)	353 (15%)	107 (4%)	581 (24%)	56 (2%)	1,516 (61%)	340 (14%)

Table 18: Analysis of Acceptance Rates<sup>33</sup> for Intensive Service: Race/Ethnicity

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families <sup>34</sup> Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	10	7 (70%)	22	10 (46%)	8	2 (25%)
Clackamas	30	18 (60%)	115	41 (36%)	23	9 (39%)
Clatsop	6	3 (50%)	25	9 (36%)	1	0 (0%)
Columbia	--	--	23	17 (74%)	4	1 (25%)
Coos	1	1 (100%)	28	17 (61%)	6	4 (67%)
Crook	7	4 (57%)	28	14 (50%)	2	2 (100%)
Curry	1	1 (100%)	17	13 (77%)	2	2 (100%)
Deschutes	4	3 (75%)	57	47 (83%)	3	3 (100%)
Douglas	2	1 (50%)	104	48 (46%)	15	3 (20%)
Gilliam	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Grant	--	--	6	6 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Harney	2	2 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	--	--
Hood River	18	18 (100%)	10	7 (70%)	2	2 (100%)
Jackson	23	22 (96%)	87	71 (82%)	12	11 (92%)
Jefferson	19	18 (95%)	5	5 (100%)	5	2 (40%)
Josephine	4	4 (100%)	63	46 (73%)	8	7 (88%)
Klamath	7	5 (71%)	49	17 (35%)	12	7 (58%)
Lane	42	27 (64%)	168	98 (58%)	30	18 (60%)
Lincoln	4	4 (100%)	15	5 (33%)	4	2 (50%)
Linn	4	2 (50%)	19	5 (26%)	3	2 (67%)
Malheur	14	11 (79%)	16	14 (88%)	1	1 (100%)
Marion	95	63 (66%)	84	49 (58%)	19	13 (68%)
Morrow	4	4 (100%)	6	1 (17%)	1	0 (0%)
Multnomah	118	73 (62%)	454	178 (39%)	197	104 (53%)
Polk	11	8 (73%)	11	8 (73%)	5	4 (80%)
Sherman	--	--	3	3 (100%)	--	--
Tillamook	2	2 (100%)	6	5 (83%)	1	1 (100%)

<sup>33</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>34</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/Latino Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Hispanic/Latino Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of White Families Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of White Families Accepting Intensive Service	Number of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families <sup>34</sup> Offered Intensive Service	Number (%) of Other Race/ Ethnicity Families Accepting Intensive Service
Umatilla	11	4 (36%)	27	13 (48%)	5	3 (60%)
Union	--	--	22	14 (64%)	3	3 (100%)
Wallowa	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--
Wasco	1	1 (100%)	11	8 (73%)	5	3 (60%)
Washington	202	115 (57%)	115	60 (52%)	45	23 (51%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	14	11 (79%)	22	13 (59%)	5	4 (80%)
State	656	432 (66%) <sup>a</sup>	1,623	847 (52%) <sup>a</sup>	427	237 (56%)

<sup>33</sup> Acceptance rates are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>34</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for an analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

<sup>a</sup> Acceptance rates significantly different for groups sharing the same superscript.

Table 19: Analysis of Acceptance Rates for Intensive Service: Demographic Factors<sup>35</sup>

County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service
Benton	10 (37%)	7 (88%)	6 (50%)	12 (46%)	16 (46%)	3 (60%)	16 (49%)	3 (43%)	12 (39%)	7 (78%)
Clackamas	50 (36%)	18 (64%)	9 (27%)	58 (44%)	47 (36%)	21 (57%)	40 (38%)	28 (45%)	54 (39%)	11 (42%)
Clatsop	9 (36%)	3 (50%)	1 (50%)	10 (37%)	8 (33%)	4 (50%)	4 (31%)	7 (41%)	11 (37%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	18 (67%)	--	4 (100%)	14 (61%)	16 (67%)	2 (67%)	10 (67%)	8 (67%)	15 (68%)	3 (60%)
Coos	21 (64%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	18 (62%)	18 (64%)	4 (57%)	14 (64%)	8 (62%)	17 (61%)	4 (67%)
Crook	15 (52%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	17 (59%)	20 (56%)	0 (0%)	14 (61%)	6 (43%)	19 (54%)	1 (50%)
Curry	15 (79%)	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	13 (81%)	12 (75%)	4 (100%)	7 (70%)	9 (90%)	14 (78%)	2 (100%)
Deschutes	49 (82%)	4 (100%)	10 (71%)	42 (86%)	40 (78%)	12 (100%)	33 (79%)	20 (91%)	45 (82%)	6 (86%)
Douglas	52 (44%)	0 (0%)	6 (30%)	45 (45%)	42 (43%)	9 (41%)	28 (44%)	24 (43%)	43 (43%)	8 (40%)
Gilliam	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--
Grant	7 (100%)	--	--	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	2 (100%)
Harney	5 (100%)	--	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)
Hood River	9 (75%)	18 (100%)	8 (80%)	18 (95%)	18 (90%)	9 (90%)	10 (83%)	17 (94%)	15 (88%)	12 (92%)
Jackson	82 (83%)	21 (96%)	15 (79%)	89 (86%)	86 (83%)	16 (100%)	56 (78%)	48 (96%)	92 (85%)	12 (86%)
Jefferson	9 (75%)	15 (94%)	7 (88%)	18 (86%)	18 (86%)	7 (88%)	11 (92%)	14 (82%)	21 (88%)	4 (80%)
Josephine	53 (75%)	3 (100%)	10 (83%)	46 (74%)	47 (77%)	10 (71%)	39 (78%)	18 (72%)	49 (75%)	8 (80%)
Klamath	26 (42%)	3 (50%)	5 (42%)	24 (43%)	24 (43%)	5 (42%)	13 (38%)	16 (47%)	20 (37%)	8 (62%)
Lane	122 (59%)	20 (65%)	32 (64%)	111 (58%)	119 (60%)	24 (60%)	86 (62%)	54 (56%)	128 (59%)	12 (63%)
Lincoln	6 (33%)	5 (100%)	1 (33%)	10 (53%)	10 (48%)	1 (50%)	8 (50%)	3 (43%)	10 (48%)	1 (100%)
Linn	7 (29%)	2 (100%)	2 (40%)	7 (33%)	7 (30%)	2 (67%)	5 (29%)	4 (44%)	6 (27%)	3 (100%)
Malheur	19 (91%)	7 (78%)	1 (50%)	24 (86%)	18 (82%)	8 (89%)	17 (90%)	9 (82%)	23 (92%)	2 (67%)
Marion	67 (59%)	56 (71%)	12 (55%)	112 (64%)	80 (62%)	45 (66%)	42 (53%)	83 (70%)	101 (62%)	23 (66%)
Morrow	2 (25%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	4 (40%)	3 (33%)	2 (100%)	2 (33%)	2 (50%)	4 (40%)	1 (100%)
Multnomah	248 (43%)	65 (60%)	111 (44%)	244 (47%)	336 (46%)	19 (49%)	239 (42%)	115 (58%)	309 (45%)	46 (54%)

<sup>35</sup> Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.



County	Number (%) of English Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Spanish Speaking Households Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Married Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Single Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Employed Parents Accepting Intensive Service	Number (%) of Unemployed Parents Accepting Intensive Service
<b>Polk</b>	13 (72%)	7 (78%)	2 (67%)	18 (75%)	13 (72%)	7 (78%)	4 (50%)	16 (84%)	13 (68%)	4 (80%)
<b>Sherman</b>	3 (100%)	--	--	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	6 (86%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	7 (88%)	7 (88%)	1 (100%)	4 (80%)	4 (100%)	8 (89%)	--
<b>Umatilla</b>	16 (46%)	4 (50%)	4 (100%)	16 (41%)	12 (43%)	8 (53%)	6 (33%)	14 (56%)	17 (50%)	3 (33%)
<b>Union</b>	17 (71%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	12 (60%)	16 (67%)	1 (100%)	15 (68%)	2 (67%)	15 (68%)	2 (67%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
<b>Wasco</b>	10 (71%)	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	11 (73%)	10 (71%)	2 (67%)	6 (67%)	6 (75%)	11 (85%)	1 (25%)
<b>Washington</b>	79 (51%)	112 (58%)	54 (56%)	143 (54%)	171 (57%)	27 (42%)	95 (54%)	103 (56%)	177 (56%)	20 (47%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Yamhill</b>	19 (66%)	8 (73%)	6 (100%)	21 (62%)	21 (72%)	7 (58%)	15 (71%)	13 (65%)	26 (79%)	2 (33%)
<b>State</b>	1,066 (53%) <sup>a</sup>	390 (67%) <sup>a</sup>	325 (53%) <sup>b</sup>	1,175 (57%) <sup>b</sup>	1,248 (55%) <sup>c</sup>	263 (61%) <sup>c</sup>	846 (52%) <sup>d</sup>	664 (62%) <sup>d</sup>	1,287 (55%)	211 (59%)

<sup>35</sup> Acceptance rates and demographics are indicated on the New Baby Questionnaire.

<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Acceptance rates significantly different for groups sharing the same superscript.

**Table 20-A: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2004-05**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of <u>New</u> IS Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05<sup>36</sup></b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later</b>
<b>Benton</b>	20	15 (75%)	15 (75%)	13 (65%)	5 (25%)	5 (25%)
<b>Clackamas</b>	74	58 (78%)	47 (64%)	34 (46%)	23 (31%)	18 (24%)
<b>Clatsop</b>	9	8 (89%)	6 (67%)	5 (56%)	3 (33%)	3 (33%)
<b>Columbia</b>	9	8 (89%)	8 (89%)	4 (44%)	3 (33%)	2 (22%)
<b>Coos</b>	8	8 (100%)	8 (100%)	6 (75%)	3 (38%)	1 (13%)
<b>Crook</b>	19	17 (90%)	13 (68%)	9 (47%)	6 (32%)	4 (21%)
<b>Curry</b>	13	13 (100%)	11 (85%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	5 (39%)
<b>Deschutes</b>	60	44 (73%)	38 (63%)	28 (47%)	24 (40%)	19 (32%)
<b>Douglas</b>	46	35 (76%)	27 (59%)	19 (41%)	11 (24%)	9 (20%)
<b>Gilliam</b>	4	3 (75%)	3 (75%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)
<b>Grant</b>	4	4 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)
<b>Harney</b>	3	3 (100%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)
<b>Hood River</b>	9	9 (100%)	9 (100%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	4 (44%)
<b>Jackson</b>	45	32 (71%)	16 (36%)	3 (7%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
<b>Jefferson</b>	18	13 (72%)	10 (56%)	7 (39%)	5 (28%)	5 (28%)
<b>Josephine</b>	40	33 (83%)	24 (60%)	19 (48%)	14 (35%)	12 (30%)
<b>Klamath</b>	12	9 (75%)	9 (75%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	5 (42%)
<b>Lane</b>	112	88 (79%)	62 (55%)	35 (31%)	26 (23%)	20 (18%)
<b>Lincoln</b>	29	26 (90%)	21 (72%)	18 (62%)	15 (52%)	13 (45%)
<b>Linn</b>	16	12 (75%)	9 (56%)	8 (50%)	6 (38%)	4 (25%)
<b>Malheur</b>	23	17 (74%)	13 (57%)	8 (35%)	8 (35%)	7 (30%)
<b>Marion</b>	107	84 (79%)	70 (65%)	58 (54%)	41 (38%)	33 (31%)
<b>Morrow</b>	8	7 (88%)	6 (75%)	5 (63%)	5 (63%)	5 (63%)
<b>Multnomah</b>	198	169 (85%)	136 (69%)	97 (49%)	69 (35%)	44 (22%)
<b>Polk</b>	27	21 (78%)	18 (67%)	8 (30%)	6 (22%)	4 (15%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	14	14 (100%)	12 (86%)	10 (71%)	7 (50%)	4 (29%)

<sup>36</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2004-05. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2004-05, including 4 families from Lake County (not included in this report).

County	Number of <u>New</u> IS Families Enrolled in FY 2004-05 <sup>36</sup>	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 18 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 24 Months Later
Umatilla	16	12 (75%)	8 (50%)	6 (38%)	4 (25%)	4 (25%)
Union	12	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	2 (17%)	2 (17%)	2 (17%)
Wallowa	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	14	13 (93%)	13 (93%)	10 (71%)	9 (64%)	8 (57%)
Washington	179	150 (84%)	133 (74%)	93 (52%)	68 (38%)	53 (30%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	19	15 (79%)	14 (74%)	8 (42%)	8 (42%)	8 (42%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,173</b>	<b>952 (81%)</b>	<b>769 (66%)</b>	<b>539 (46%)</b>	<b>392 (33%)</b>	<b>306 (26%)</b>

<sup>36</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2004-05. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2004-05, including 4 families from Lake County (not included in this report).

**Table 20-B: Retention Rates for Families Newly Enrolled 2005-06**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06<sup>37</sup></b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later</b>	<b>Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later</b>
<b>Benton</b>	13	12 (92%)	11 (85%)	9 (69%)
<b>Clackamas</b>	65	53 (82%)	46 (71%)	37 (57%)
<b>Clatsop</b>	21	14 (67%)	11 (52%)	8 (38%)
<b>Columbia</b>	10	7 (70%)	6 (60%)	3 (30%)
<b>Coos</b>	9	6 (67%)	5 (56%)	2 (22%)
<b>Crook</b>	12	9 (75%)	8 (67%)	4 (33%)
<b>Curry</b>	6	4 (67%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)
<b>Deschutes</b>	52	41 (79%)	36 (69%)	22 (42%)
<b>Douglas</b>	48	35 (73%)	29 (60%)	19 (40%)
<b>Gilliam</b>	2	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>Grant</b>	5	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	4 (80%)
<b>Harney</b>	8	6 (75%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)
<b>Hood River</b>	13	13 (100%)	12 (92%)	10 (77%)
<b>Jackson</b>	73	49 (67%)	38 (52%)	24 (33%)
<b>Jefferson</b>	27	21 (78%)	16 (59%)	10 (37%)
<b>Josephine</b>	22	18 (82%)	13 (59%)	9 (41%)
<b>Klamath</b>	21	14 (67%)	11 (52%)	10 (48%)
<b>Lane</b>	51	40 (78%)	35 (69%)	30 (59%)
<b>Lincoln</b>	20	18 (90%)	15 (75%)	10 (50%)
<b>Linn</b>	18	11 (61%)	10 (56%)	9 (50%)
<b>Malheur</b>	16	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	6 (38%)
<b>Marion</b>	120	88 (73%)	72 (60%)	45 (38%)
<b>Morrow</b>	5	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
<b>Multnomah</b>	131	114 (87%)	91 (70%)	49 (37%)
<b>Polk</b>	12	11 (92%)	11 (92%)	9 (75%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)

<sup>37</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2005-06. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2005-06, including 1 family from Lake County (not included in this report).

County	Number of NEW IS Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06 <sup>37</sup>	Number (%) Still Enrolled 3 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 6 Months Later	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Tillamook	10	8 (80%)	6 (60%)	5 (50%)
Umatilla	14	9 (64%)	9 (64%)	6 (43%)
Union	10	6 (60%)	5 (50%)	2 (20%)
Wallowa	4	4 (100%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)
Wasco	13	12 (92%)	10 (77%)	9 (69%)
Washington	108	93 (86%)	78 (72%)	47 (44%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	10	7 (70%)	6 (60%)	6 (60%)
<b>State</b>	<b>951</b>	<b>743 (78%)</b>	<b>614 (65%)</b>	<b>412 (43%)</b>

<sup>37</sup> Healthy Families America recommends calculating retention rates based on earlier enrollment years. Therefore, this table presents retention for all families enrolled in FY 2005-06. Enrollment is based on the number of families receiving a first home visit during FY 2005-06, including 1 family from Lake County (not included in this report).

Table 21: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Race/Ethnicity for Families Enrolled 2005-06

County	Number of Hispanic/ Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of White Fami- lies Enrolled in FY 2005- 06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Other Race Families <sup>38</sup> Enrolled in FY in 2005- 06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	--	--	11	8 (73%)	2	1 (50%)	1	0 (0%)	12	9 (75%)
Clackamas	18	13 (72%)	33	18 (55%)	14	6 (43%)	17	13 (77%)	44	23 (52%)
Clatsop	3	1 (33%)	18	7 (39%)	--	--	4	1 (25%)	17	7 (41%)
Columbia	--	--	9	3 (33%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	10	3 (30%)
Coos	--	--	8	2 (25%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	9	2 (22%)
Crook	1	0 (0%)	10	4 (40%)	1	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	11	4 (36%)
Curry	--	--	6	3 (50%)	--	--	--	--	6	3 (50%)
Deschutes	9	5 (56%)	36	17 (47%)	7	0 (0%)	6	4 (67%)	42	18 (43%)
Douglas	--	--	43	17 (40%)	5	2 (40%)	--	--	48	19 (40%)
Gilliam	--	--	2	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	2	0 (0%)
Grant	--	--	4	3 (75%)	1	1 (100%)	--	--	5	4 (80%)
Harney	--	--	7	3 (43%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	8	3 (38%)
Hood River	7	6 (86%)	2	1 (50%)	4	3 (75%)	7	6 (86%)	6	4 (67%)
Jackson	26	10 (39%)	39	11 (28%)	8	3 (38%)	23	11 (48%)	46	12 (26%)
Jefferson	14	6 (43%)	10	4 (40%)	3	0 (0%)	14	6 (43%)	13	4 (31%)
Josephine	--	--	16	7 (44%)	6	2 (33%)	1	1 (100%)	19	8 (42%)
Klamath	1	1 (100%)	16	9 (56%)	4	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)	20	9 (45%)
Lane	12	8 (67%)	30	18 (60%)	9	4 (44%)	9	7 (78%)	40	22 (55%)
Lincoln	9	7 (78%)	7	2 (29%)	4	1 (25%)	9	7 (78%)	10	3 (30%)
Linn	5	4 (80%)	12	4 (33%)	1	1 (100%)	3	3 (100%)	13	5 (39%)
Malheur	6	2 (33%)	9	4 (44%)	1	0 (0%)	5	2 (40%)	10	4 (40%)
Marion	70	26 (37%)	35	15 (43%)	15	4 (27%)	65	25 (39%)	47	16 (34%)
Morrow	4	0 (0%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	2	0 (0%)	3	0 (0%)
Multnomah	49	30 (61%)	34	6 (18%)	48	13 (27%)	47	29 (62%)	47	7 (15%)
Polk	6	3 (50%)	4	4 (100%)	2	2 (100%)	5	3 (60%)	7	6 (86%)

<sup>38</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

County	Number of Hispanic/ Latino Families Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of White Fami- lies Enrolled in FY 2005- 06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Other Race Families <sup>38</sup> Enrolled in FY in 2005- 06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of Spanish Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number of English Speaking Households Enrolled in FY 2005-06	Number (%) Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Sherman	--	--	1	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	4	2 (50%)	6	3 (50%)	--	--	4	2 (50%)	6	3 (50%)
Umatilla	4	3 (75%)	7	3 (43%)	3	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	9	4 (44%)
Union	--	--	9	2 (22%)	1	0 (0%)	--	--	10	2 (20%)
Wallowa	--	--	4	1 (25%)	--	--	--	--	4	1 (25%)
Wasco	2	1 (50%)	9	7 (78%)	2	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)	12	8 (67%)
Washington	80	40 (50%)	20	6 (30%)	8	1 (13%)	71	36 (51%)	34	11 (32%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	2	2 (100%)	8	4 (50%)	--	--	2	2 (100%)	8	4 (50%)
State	332	170 (51%) <sup>a</sup>	467	197 (42%) <sup>a</sup>	152	45 (30%)	300	162 (54%) <sup>b</sup>	580	229 (40%) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>38</sup> Sample sizes were not sufficient for analysis of acceptance rates for other individual racial/ethnic groups.

<sup>a,b</sup> Retention rates significantly different for groups sharing the same superscript.

Table 22: Analysis of 12-Month Retention Rates by Demographic Factors for Families Enrolled 2005-06

County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Benton	2 (50%)	7 (78%)	4 (100%)	5 (56%)	7 (70%)	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	8 (67%)
Clackamas	13 (57%)	22 (58%)	11 (52%)	24 (60%)	34 (64%)	2 (22%)	2 (40%)	34 (60%)
Clatsop	1 (50%)	7 (39%)	4 (33%)	4 (44%)	5 (39%)	3 (38%)	1 (20%)	6 (40%)
Columbia	1 (33%)	2 (33%)	1 (50%)	2 (25%)	2 (25%)	1 (50%)	1 (33%)	2 (29%)
Coos	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	1 (17%)	1 (33%)	--	1 (13%)
Crook	1 (33%)	3 (38%)	2 (29%)	2 (40%)	3 (30%)	1 (50%)	1 (33%)	3 (38%)
Curry	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Deschutes	5 (63%)	17 (41%)	8 (38%)	12 (43%)	19 (43%)	1 (20%)	3 (30%)	18 (47%)
Douglas	4 (40%)	13 (38%)	10 (46%)	9 (35%)	16 (41%)	3 (33%)	3 (30%)	16 (43%)
Gilliam	--	--	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	--	--	0 (0%)
Grant	--	4 (80%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	--	4 (100%)	0 (0%)
Harney	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	2 (40%)	1 (33%)	--	3 (38%)
Hood River	3 (100%)	6 (75%)	5 (100%)	5 (63%)	7 (100%)	3 (50%)	3 (100%)	7 (70%)
Jackson	4 (36%)	19 (33%)	15 (42%)	8 (24%)	18 (31%)	5 (50%)	5 (42%)	18 (32%)
Jefferson	4 (50%)	5 (29%)	5 (39%)	4 (31%)	10 (39%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10 (40%)
Josephine	1 (33%)	8 (47%)	4 (40%)	5 (50%)	8 (47%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	9 (53%)
Klamath	4 (57%)	5 (39%)	3 (23%)	7 (88%)	7 (50%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	7 (50%)
Lane	12 (71%)	18 (53%)	8 (53%)	21 (62%)	30 (61%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	27 (60%)
Lincoln	2 (100%)	8 (50%)	8 (57%)	2 (40%)	9 (64%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	8 (62%)
Linn	4 (44%)	4 (50%)	5 (57%)	2 (29%)	5 (42%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	6 (46%)
Malheur	2 (67%)	4 (36%)	2 (29%)	4 (50%)	6 (46%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (67%)
Marion	20 (53%)	23 (30%)	23 (38%)	21 (37%)	39 (39%)	4 (31%)	4 (21%)	40 (41%)
Morrow	--	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	21 (55%)	27 (33%)	18 (49%)	29 (35%)	41 (39%)	5 (36%)	0 (0%)	47 (41%)
Polk	2 (67%)	7 (78%)	3 (100%)	6 (67%)	5 (63%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	8 (73%)
Sherman	--	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)



County	Number (%) of Married Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Single Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with At Least a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Mothers with Less Than a High School Education Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Employed Parents Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) Of Unemployed Parent Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later	Number (%) of Non-Teen Mothers Still Enrolled 12 Months Later
Tillamook	1 (100%)	4 (44%)	2 (50%)	3 (50%)	4 (44%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)
Umatilla	1 (100%)	3 (38%)	4 (57%)	2 (50%)	4 (50%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	3 (43%)
Union	1 (100%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)
Wallowa	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Wasco	2 (67%)	7 (70%)	4 (67%)	5 (71%)	7 (70%)	2 (67%)	--	9 (69%)
Washington	16 (55%)	31 (40%)	26 (46%)	21 (44%)	45 (46%)	2 (22%)	7 (41%)	40 (45%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	1 (100%)	5 (71%)	3 (60%)	3 (75%)	5 (56%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	6 (86%)
State	131 (55%) <sup>a</sup>	267 (41%) <sup>a</sup>	183 (46%)	217 (43%)	348 (45%)	52 (39%)	49 (35%) <sup>b</sup>	352 (46%) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a,b</sup> Retention rates significantly different for groups sharing the same superscript.

**Table 23: Participant Reasons for Exiting Program Prior to Program Completion<sup>39</sup>**

County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2005-06	Median <sup>40</sup> Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Benton	30	11	0 (0%)	4 (14%)	15 (52%)	9 (31%)	1 (3%)	16 (57%)
Clackamas	78	14	4 (5%)	12 (16%)	19 (25%)	18 (24%)	23 (30%)	50 (70%)
Clatsop	18	5	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	10 (59%)	3 (18%)	2 (12%)	8 (44%)
Columbia	16	10	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	7 (44%)	3 (19%)	5 (31%)	9 (69%)
Coos	13	7	1 (9%)	1 (9%)	6 (55%)	1 (9%)	2 (18%)	7 (70%)
Crook	16	7	2 (14%)	2 (14%)	4 (29%)	5 (36%)	1 (7%)	11 (85%)
Curry	13	5	0 (0%)	4 (33%)	2 (17%)	3 (25%)	3 (25%)	3 (25%)
Deschutes	61	13	5 (9%)	4 (7%)	31 (54%)	12 (21%)	5 (9%)	43 (88%)
Douglas	42	11	2 (5%)	6 (14%)	20 (48%)	8 (19%)	6 (14%)	27 (79%)
Gilliam	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	4	16	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Harney	9	13	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	4 (44%)	2 (22%)	1 (11%)	7 (88%)
Hood River	13	6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)	7 (58%)	2 (17%)	7 (64%)
Jackson	71	8	0 (0%)	16 (24%)	28 (42%)	10 (15%)	13 (19%)	20 (36%)
Jefferson	16	12	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (50%)	1 (6%)	7 (44%)	8 (57%)
Josephine	53	8	7 (15%)	8 (17%)	18 (39%)	9 (20%)	4 (9%)	35 (81%)
Klamath	22	8	0 (0%)	10 (48%)	4 (19%)	3 (14%)	4 (19%)	7 (39%)
Lane	77	10	13 (17%)	14 (18%)	20 (26%)	21 (28%)	8 (11%)	43 (64%)
Lincoln	28	12	2 (7%)	3 (11%)	11 (39%)	7 (25%)	5 (18%)	16 (64%)
Linn	19	6	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	9 (50%)	3 (17%)	5 (28%)	10 (91%)
Malheur	17	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (65%)	4 (24%)	2 (12%)	7 (50%)
Marion	103	11	11 (11%)	15 (15%)	33 (34%)	17 (17%)	22 (22%)	66 (76%)
Morrow	11	22	1 (9%)	1 (9%)	3 (27%)	4 (36%)	2 (18%)	5 (71%)
Multnomah	130	13	13 (11%)	8 (7%)	43 (36%)	26 (22%)	28 (24%)	77 (69%)
Polk	28	11	0 (0%)	3 (11%)	6 (21%)	12 (43%)	7 (25%)	19 (79%)

<sup>39</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

<sup>40</sup> The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean", and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analyses.

County	Number of Exiting Families in FY 2005-06	Median <sup>40</sup> Age of Child at Exit (In Months)	Number (%) that Reached the Age Limit of the Program	Number (%) Moved, Unable to Locate	Number (%) Parent Declined Further Service	Number (%) Families Moved out of County	Number (%) Exited for Other Reasons	Number (%) with Excellent/ Good Progress at Exit
Sherman	2	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	15	22	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	5 (33%)	5 (33%)	4 (27%)	10 (100%)
Umatilla	11	3	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	3 (27%)	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	2 (29%)
Union	8	8	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	6	16	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	4 (80%)
Wasco	10	11	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	7 (70%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	4 (44%)
Washington	143	10	2 (1%)	10 (7%)	70 (50%)	22 (16%)	36 (26%)	76 (60%)
Wheeler	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	12	4	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	4 (33%)	4 (33%)	3 (25%)	6 (60%)
State	1,095	10	65 (6%)	132 (13%)	412 (39%)	227 (22%)	209 (20%)	604 (66%)

<sup>39</sup> Reasons for exiting Intensive Services are reported on the family's Exit Form completed by the home visitor.

<sup>40</sup> The "median" is a statistical measure of the score that occurs about the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. The median is less sensitive to outliers compared to the "mean", and is a more meaningful statistic for this type of analyses.

Table 24: Health Care for Intensive Service Families<sup>41</sup>: Health Care Provider & Well-child Check-ups

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Benton	52	34 (65%)	41	41 (100%)	40	35 (88%)
Clackamas	182	113 (62%)	142	134 (94%)	139	131 (94%)
Clatsop	35	32 (91%)	20	19 (95%)	20	18 (90%)
Columbia	35	33 (94%)	26	26 (100%)	26	24 (92%)
Coos	30	24 (80%)	16	16 (100%)	16	15 (94%)
Crook	35	34 (97%)	27	27 (100%)	27	27 (100%)
Curry	30	28 (93%)	19	18 (95%)	18	17 (94%)
Deschutes	138	117 (85%)	108	107 (99%)	107	106 (99%)
Douglas	104	91 (88%)	75	74 (99%)	75	55 (73%)
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	2	2 (100%)	2	1 (50%)
Grant	11	9 (82%)	9	9 (100%)	9	7 (78%)
Harney	14	11 (79%)	9	9 (100%)	9	8 (89%)
Hood River	41	33 (81%)	28	28 (100%)	28	28 (100%)
Jackson	159	126 (79%)	89	89 (100%)	89	86 (97%)
Jefferson	52	43 (83%)	40	38 (95%)	39	34 (87%)
Josephine	100	92 (92%)	69	69 (100%)	69	65 (94%)
Klamath	50	38 (76%)	40	40 (100%)	40	40 (100%)
Lane	210	157 (75%)	149	148 (99%)	149	145 (97%)
Lincoln	69	65 (94%)	57	56 (98%)	57	56 (98%)
Linn	45	32 (71%)	32	31 (97%)	32	30 (94%)
Malheur	38	37 (97%)	22	22 (100%)	22	17 (77%)
Marion	243	202 (83%)	191	189 (99%)	190	178 (94%)
Morrow	21	20 (95%)	19	19 (100%)	19	15 (79%)
Multnomah	314	214 (68%)	223	219 (98%)	223	217 (97%)
Polk	60	45 (75%)	47	45 (96%)	47	46 (98%)
Sherman	3	2 (67%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Tillamook	33	33 (100%)	28	28 (100%)	28	24 (86%)

<sup>41</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

County	Number of Caregivers with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Caregivers with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Primary Health Care Provider Information	Number (%) of Children with a Primary Health Care Provider	Number of Children with Well-Child Check-Up Information	Number (%) of Children Receiving Regular Well-Child Check-Ups
Umatilla	33	30 (91%)	25	25 (100%)	25	22 (88%)
Union	20	19 (95%)	13	13 (100%)	13	13 (100%)
Wallowa	8	7 (88%)	7	7 (100%)	6	6 (100%)
Wasco	42	32 (76%)	35	34 (97%)	34	30 (88%)
Washington	398	211 (53%)	282	265 (94%)	279	265 (95%)
Wheeler	2	1 (50%)	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	50	48 (96%)	42	42 (100%)	42	36 (86%)
State	2,659	2,014 (76%)	1,934	1,891 (98%)	1,921	1,799 (94%)

<sup>41</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

**Table 25: Health Care for Intensive Service Families: Health Insurance and Use of Emergency Room**

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information <sup>42</sup> (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Benton	40	3 (8%)	34 (85%)	2 (5%)	2	2 (100%)	41	1 (2%)
Clackamas	134	20 (15%)	90 (67%)	20 (15%)	20	20 (100%)	142	10 (7%)
Clatsop	17	0 (0%)	16 (94%)	0 (0%)	--	--	20	1 (5%)
Columbia	26	4 (15%)	22 (85%)	0 (0%)	--	--	26	1 (4%)
Coos	16	3 (19%)	12 (75%)	1 (6%)	1	1 (100%)	16	3 (19%)
Crook	27	5 (19%)	22 (82%)	0 (0%)	--	--	27	1 (4%)
Curry	19	2 (11%)	15 (79%)	1 (5%)	1	1 (100%)	19	1 (5%)
Deschutes	105	14 (13%)	90 (86%)	1 (1%)	1	1 (100%)	108	2 (2%)
Douglas	72	5 (7%)	64 (89%)	2 (3%)	2	2 (100%)	75	9 (12%)
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	--	--	2	0 (0%)
Grant	8	1 (13%)	6 (75%)	1 (13%)	1	0 (0%)	9	1 (11%)
Harney	9	0 (0%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	--	--	9	0 (0%)
Hood River	27	1 (4%)	21 (78%)	4 (15%)	4	3 (75%)	28	1 (4%)
Jackson	88	5 (6%)	48 (55%)	35 (40%)	35	34 (97%)	88	19 (22%)
Jefferson	38	8 (21%)	26 (68%)	2 (5%)	2	2 (100%)	40	3 (8%)
Josephine	67	1 (2%)	64 (96%)	1 (2%)	1	1 (100%)	69	1 (1%)
Klamath	39	5 (13%)	29 (74%)	4 (10%)	4	4 (100%)	40	0 (0%)
Lane	145	17 (12%)	125 (86%)	1 (1%)	1	0 (0%)	149	8 (5%)
Lincoln	49	3 (6%)	33 (67%)	12 (25%)	12	12 (100%)	57	7 (12%)
Linn	29	3 (10%)	21 (72%)	3 (10%)	3	3 (100%)	32	3 (9%)
Malheur	21	3 (14%)	18 (86%)	0 (0%)	--	--	22	0 (0%)
Marion	171	27 (16%)	124 (73%)	16 (9%)	16	14 (88%)	192	20 (10%)
Morrow	17	1 (6%)	15 (88%)	1 (6%)	1	1 (100%)	19	2 (11%)
Multnomah	214	43 (20%)	147 (69%)	23 (11%)	23	23 (100%)	223	6 (3%)
Polk	40	5 (13%)	32 (80%)	3 (8%)	3	3 (100%)	47	3 (6%)
Sherman	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	--	--	2	0 (0%)

<sup>42</sup> The insurance type 'other' represents 2% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

County	Number of Children with Health Insurance Information <sup>42</sup> (Family Update)	Number (%) with Private Insurance	Number (%) with OHP	Number (%) with No Insurance	Number of Children Lacking Health Insurance at time of NBQ	Number (%) of These Children with Health Insurance at Most Recent Follow-Up	Number of Children with Emergency Room Information	Number (%) of Families Using the Emergency Room for Routine Care
Tillamook	28	2 (7%)	24 (86%)	2 (7%)	2	2 (100%)	28	1 (4%)
Umatilla	23	3 (13%)	19 (83%)	1 (4%)	1	0 (0%)	25	2 (8%)
Union	12	1 (8%)	9 (75%)	1 (8%)	1	1 (100%)	13	2 (15%)
Wallowa	7	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	7	1 (14%)
Wasco	35	3 (9%)	30 (86%)	2 (6%)	2	2 (100%)	35	2 (6%)
Washington	258	34 (13%)	151 (59%)	57 (22%)	57	52 (91%)	283	21 (7%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	39	3 (8%)	35 (90%)	1 (3%)	1	1 (100%)	42	3 (7%)
State	1,824	227 (12%)	1,359 (75%)	197 (11%)	197	185 (94%)	1,935	135 (7%)

<sup>42</sup> The insurance type 'other' represents 2% of the total sample and is not included in this table.

Table 26: Health Care for Intensive Service Children<sup>43</sup>: Immunizations

County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Benton	40	37 (93%)	3 (8%)	9	8 (89%)
Clackamas	138	115 (83%)	19 (14%)	35	31 (89%)
Clatsop	20	19 (95%)	0 (0%)	6	6 (100%)
Columbia	26	25 (96%)	1 (4%)	5	5 (100%)
Coos	16	14 (88%)	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)
Crook	27	27 (100%)	0 (0%)	7	7 (100%)
Curry	18	16 (89%)	2 (11%)	5	5 (100%)
Deschutes	107	101 (94%)	6 (6%)	30	29 (97%)
Douglas	75	64 (85%)	8 (11%)	19	18 (95%)
Gilliam	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1	1 (100%)
Grant	9	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)
Harney	9	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--
Hood River	28	28 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	5 (100%)
Jackson	89	83 (93%)	4 (5%)	8	8 (100%)
Jefferson	39	35 (90%)	4 (10%)	13	12 (92%)
Josephine	69	59 (86%)	7 (10%)	21	19 (91%)
Klamath	40	40 (100%)	0 (0%)	9	9 (100%)
Lane	149	138 (93%)	9 (6%)	37	34 (92%)
Lincoln	57	56 (98%)	1 (2%)	20	20 (100%)
Linn	32	30 (94%)	2 (6%)	11	10 (91%)
Malheur	22	18 (82%)	4 (18%)	8	7 (88%)
Marion	190	171 (90%)	17 (9%)	57	53 (93%)
Morrow	19	17 (90%)	2 (11%)	9	8 (89%)
Multnomah	223	214 (96%)	7 (3%)	70	68 (97%)
Polk	47	41 (87%)	6 (13%)	11	9 (82%)
Sherman	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)

<sup>43</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.



County	Number of Children with Immunization Information	Number (%) of Children with Up-To-Date Immunizations	Number (%) of Children with Some Immunizations, But Not Up-To-Date	Number of Children with Immunization Information at Age 2	Number (%) of Children Fully Immunized by Age 2
Tillamook	28	28 (100%)	0 (0%)	15	15 (100%)
Umatilla	25	21 (84%)	2 (8%)	5	5 (100%)
Union	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)
Wallowa	6	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	3 (100%)
Wasco	35	32 (91%)	2 (6%)	15	14 (93%)
Washington	281	259 (92%)	22 (8%)	89	80 (90%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	42	37 (88%)	5 (12%)	17	14 (82%)
State	1,923	1,764 (92%)	134 (7%)	547	510 (93%)

<sup>43</sup> Health outcomes are tracked by the home visitors and reported at 6-month intervals on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor. Outcome information is taken from the most recent report for each child.

Table 27: Prenatal Care for Subsequent Births

County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care <sup>44</sup>
Benton	52	43 (83%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
Clackamas	172	122 (71%)	7	6 (86%)	5 (71%)	-17%
Clatsop	34	27 (79%)	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0%
Columbia	35	29 (83%)	2	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	-50%
Coos	31	29 (94%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Crook	34	32 (94%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Curry	29	18 (62%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Deschutes	136	104 (77%)	9	7 (78%)	9 (100%)	29%
Douglas	98	76 (78%)	7	5 (71%)	5 (71%)	0%
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--
Grant	11	8 (73%)	--	--	--	--
Harney	13	10 (77%)	--	--	--	--
Hood River	39	36 (92%)	1	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0%
Jackson	152	118 (78%)	6	6 (100%)	5 (83%)	-17%
Jefferson	50	35 (70%)	3	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	50%
Josephine	96	65 (68%)	9	7 (78%)	7 (78%)	0%
Klamath	50	43 (86%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Lane	207	151 (73%)	5	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	25%
Lincoln	67	47 (70%)	2	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	100%
Linn	45	36 (80%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Malheur	37	26 (70%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Marion	227	171 (75%)	12	10 (83%)	12 (100%)	20%
Morrow	18	11 (61%)	2	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	100%
Multnomah	304	260 (86%)	9	7 (78%)	8 (89%)	14%
Polk	59	35 (59%)	3	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	100%
Sherman	3	1 (33%)	--	--	--	--

<sup>44</sup> Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.

County	Number of Intensive Service Families with Information on Prenatal Care (All Families)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (All Families)	Number of Intensive Service Families with Second Pregnancy	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Initial Pregnancy (Families with Subsequent Birth)	Number (%) with Adequate Prenatal Care for Second Pregnancy	Increase/Decrease in Percent with Adequate Prenatal Care <sup>44</sup>
Tillamook	29	24 (83%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Umatilla	32	20 (63%)	1	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	-100%
Union	20	16 (80%)	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0%
Wallowa	6	4 (67%)	--	--	--	--
Wasco	38	36 (95%)	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0%
Washington	373	267 (72%)	18	14 (78%)	13 (72%)	-7%
Wheeler	2	2 (100%)	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	48	38 (79%)	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	100%
State	2,549	1,942 (76%)	119	95 (80%)	103 (87%)	8%

<sup>44</sup> Dashes indicate no families had subsequent births, therefore, percent change could not be calculated.

Table 28: Child Growth and Development<sup>45</sup>

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening <sup>46</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) with Normal Development <sup>47</sup> at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay	Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
Benton	51	39 (77%)	37 (95%)	0 (0%)	--
Clackamas	140	121 (86%)	104 (87%)	2 (2%)	2 (100%)
Clatsop	28	20 (71%)	17 (85%)	0 (0%)	--
Columbia	29	21 (72%)	19 (91%)	1 (5%)	1 (100%)
Coos	24	14 (58%)	13 (93%)	1 (7%)	1 (100%)
Crook	31	24 (77%)	23 (96%)	0 (0%)	--
Curry	23	17 (74%)	12 (75%)	2 (12%)	2 (100%)
Deschutes	120	102 (85%)	96 (94%)	3 (3%)	3 (100%)
Douglas	86	67 (78%)	62 (93%)	2 (3%)	1 (50%)
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	--	--
Grant	7	7 (100%)	7 (100%)	--	--
Harney	11	7 (64%)	7 (100%)	--	--
Hood River	32	28 (88%)	23 (82%)	2 (7%)	2 (100%)
Jackson	132	70 (53%)	66 (94%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Jefferson	39	34 (87%)	32 (94%)	1 (3%)	1 (100%)
Josephine	78	60 (77%)	57 (95%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)
Klamath	43	34 (79%)	29 (85%)	2 (6%)	2 (100%)
Lane	166	135 (81%)	122 (90%)	6 (4%)	5 (100%)
Lincoln	65	54 (83%)	47 (87%)	2 (4%)	2 (100%)
Linn	36	27 (75%)	17 (63%)	0 (0%)	--
Malheur	31	20 (65%)	19 (95%)	1 (5%)	1 (100%)
Marion	211	173 (82%)	160 (93%)	2 (1%)	2 (100%)
Morrow	21	17 (81%)	14 (82%)	0 (0%)	--

<sup>45</sup> Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

<sup>46</sup> Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

<sup>47</sup> Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

County	Number of Children Eligible for a Developmental Screening <sup>46</sup>	Number (%) of Eligible Children with at Least One Developmental Screening	Number (%) with Normal Development <sup>47</sup> at Most Recent Developmental Screening	Number (%) Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay	Percentage of Children with a Diagnosed Developmental Delay Receiving Early Intervention Services
<b>Multnomah</b>	247	193 (78%)	176 (91%)	13 (7%)	6 (50%)
<b>Polk</b>	50	44 (88%)	41 (93%)	1 (2%)	1 (100%)
<b>Sherman</b>	2	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	--	--
<b>Tillamook</b>	29	17 (59%)	16 (100%)	--	--
<b>Umatilla</b>	29	23 (79%)	17 (74%)	0 (0%)	--
<b>Union</b>	18	12 (67%)	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	1 (100%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	6	5 (83%)	4 (80%)	--	--
<b>Wasco</b>	36	33 (92%)	29 (88%)	2 (6%)	2 (100%)
<b>Washington</b>	321	260 (81%)	236 (91%)	9 (3%)	6(67%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Yamhill</b>	40	36 (90%)	33 (92%)	2 (6%)	2 (100%)
<b>State</b>	2,184	1,717 (79%)	1,549 (90%)	57 (3%)	43 (78%)

<sup>45</sup> Intensive Service children are screened for normal growth and development at 4, 8, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 48, and 60 months of age using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ). The most recent screening data are reported on the Family Update form.

<sup>46</sup> Eligible children include anyone 6 months or older (the Family Update form is the first opportunity the Healthy Start home visitor has to report ASQ scores to the evaluation).

<sup>47</sup> Normal development and early intervention are measured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire and are reported on the Family Update form completed by the Healthy Start home visitor.

Table 29: Child Social Emotional Development (ASQ-SE)

County	Number of Children with ASQ-SE Information <sup>48</sup>	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Indicating Delays on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) who Declined Additional Services	Number (%) of Parents Provided with Information to Support Child's Development
Benton	36	35 (97%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Clackamas	115	108 (96%)	4 (4%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)
Clatsop	19	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Columbia	17	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Coos	14	13 (93%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Crook	20	20 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Curry	14	11 (92%)	1 (8%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Deschutes	75	73 (97%)	2 (3%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)
Douglas	67	66 (99%)	1 (2%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Harney	7	7 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Hood River	22	21 (96%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Jackson	63	61 (98%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Jefferson	31	30 (97%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Josephine	38	38 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Klamath	29	27 (93%)	2 (7%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lane	123	120 (98%)	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Lincoln	44	41 (93%)	3 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Linn	25	15 (60%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Malheur	18	18 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Marion	163	157 (97%)	3 (2%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Morrow	17	17 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Multnomah	175	166 (95%)	6 (3%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)
Polk	41	40 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--

<sup>48</sup> The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

County	Number of Children with ASQ-SE Information <sup>48</sup>	Number (%) Scoring Normal on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Indicating Delays on Most Recent ASQ-SE	Number (%) Referred to Early Intervention	Number (%) Connected to Early Intervention	Number (%) Referred to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) Connected to Other Mental Health Services	Number (%) who Declined Additional Services	Number (%) of Parents Provided with Information to Support Child's Development
Sherman	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	16	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Umatilla	21	18 (90%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Union	12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
Wallowa	5	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	28	27 (96%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Washington	253	245 (97%)	8 (3%)	0 (0%)	3 (38%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)
Wheeler	0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	33	33 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--
State	1,550	1,483 (96%)	35 (2%)	11 (31%)	14 (40%)	5 (14%)	3 (9%)	1 (3%)	12 (34%)

<sup>48</sup> The Home Visitor provides ASQ-SE information on the Family Update form.

Table 30: Connection to Essential Resources for Intensive Service Families<sup>49</sup>

County	Number Needing and Connected to Dental Insurance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Education Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to TANF at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Housing Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Job Training at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Domestic Violence Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Mental Health Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing and Connected to Medicaid/OHP Services at 6 months (% Connected)
Benton	--	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	2 (100%)
Clackamas	1 (33%)	5 (100%)	7 (100%)	8 (89%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	8 (89%)	7 (100%)
Clatsop	--	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	3 (100%)
Columbia	--	1 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	--	--	--	1 (100%)
Coos	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	--	--	4 (100%)
Crook	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	6 (86%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--
Curry	--	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	7 (100%)	5 (100%)	--	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Deschutes	1 (50%)	9 (100%)	2 (100%)	9 (90%)	5 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)
Douglas	8 (100%)	6 (86%)	9 (100%)	20 (83%)	11 (100%)	2 (100%)	5 (100%)	10 (100%)
Gilliam	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Grant	--	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	--	--	--
Harney	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--	--
Hood River	1 (33%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	4 (100%)	2 (100%)	--	5 (83%)	6 (100%)
Jackson	2 (40%)	13 (93%)	7 (88%)	16 (84%)	5 (71%)	1 (100%)	5 (100%)	3 (100%)
Jefferson	--	4 (100%)	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)
Josephine	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	3 (100%)	6 (86%)	5 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	4 (100%)
Klamath	--	2 (100%)	5 (100%)	8 (100%)	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	2 (100%)
Lane	16 (100%)	11 (100%)	9 (100%)	21 (91%)	14 (100%)	2 (100%)	13 (100%)	21 (96%)
Lincoln	2 (50%)	3 (60%)	3 (100%)	10 (71%)	1 (50%)	3 (100%)	5 (100%)	23 (100%)
Linn	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	--	--	0 (0%)	2 (100%)
Malheur	2 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
Marion	--	14 (88%)	5 (100%)	19 (79%)	10 (91%)	3 (100%)	9 (82%)	13 (93%)
Morrow	--	2 (100%)	--	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	--	1 (100%)	--
Multnomah	4 (100%)	18 (95%)	8 (100%)	18 (90%)	18 (90%)	4 (100%)	4 (100%)	13 (87%)
Polk	1 (100%)	6 (100%)	5 (100%)	12 (92%)	8 (100%)	2 (100%)	6 (100%)	2 (100%)

<sup>49</sup> Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2006-07, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.



County	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Dental Insurance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Education Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to TANF at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Housing Assistance at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Job Training at 6 Months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Domestic Violence Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Mental Health Services at 6 months (% Connected)	Number Needing <u>and</u> Connected to Medicaid/OHP Services at 6 months (% Connected)
Sherman	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Tillamook	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	3 (100%)
Umatilla	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	--	1 (33%)	--	--	2 (100%)	1 (100%)
Union	2 (100%)	--	--	0 (0%)	--	--	--	1 (100%)
Wallowa	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	--	--	--
Wasco	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (67%)	5 (100%)	2 (67%)	--	3 (100%)	6 (100%)
Washington	4 (57%)	10 (100%)	12 (92%)	11 (100%)	9 (100%)	1 (100%)	10 (91%)	20 (91%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	--	3 (100%)	--	5 (100%)	--	--	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
State	54 (78%)	130 (94%)	93 (97%)	203 (87%)	115 (94%)	30 (100%)	96 (94%)	154 (96%)

<sup>49</sup> Numbers refer to home visitor ratings of (1) whether or not the family had a need for the resource during the prior 6 months as indicated on the 6-month Family Update form conducted during FY 2006-07, and (2) whether or not the home visitor reported she/he connected the family to the service.

Table 31: Family Outcomes and Life Events<sup>50</sup>

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF
Benton	15 (24%)	24 (39%)	4 (7%)	0 (0%)
Clackamas	30 (16%)	55 (30%)	12 (7%)	4 (2%)
Clatsop	4 (11%)	14 (39%)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
Columbia	17 (43%)	14 (35%)	2 (5%)	3 (8%)
Coos	5 (14%)	6 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Crook	9 (23%)	16 (40%)	2 (5%)	4 (10%)
Curry	8 (24%)	11 (32%)	3 (9%)	5 (15%)
Deschutes	30 (20%)	58 (40%)	8 (5%)	3 (2%)
Douglas	26 (25%)	35 (33%)	5 (5%)	5 (5%)
Gilliam	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Grant	1 (8%)	3 (23%)	2 (15%)	1 (8%)
Harney	2 (13%)	4 (27%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)
Hood River	5 (10%)	11 (22%)	4 (8%)	3 (6%)
Jackson	27 (15%)	38 (21%)	7 (4%)	1 (1%)
Jefferson	12 (23%)	19 (37%)	2 (4%)	0 (0%)
Josephine	17 (16%)	39 (36%)	8 (8%)	6 (6%)
Klamath	12 (21%)	16 (28%)	1 (2%)	3 (5%)
Lane	44 (20%)	64 (29%)	6 (3%)	4 (2%)
Lincoln	26 (37%)	34 (48%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)
Linn	7 (15%)	12 (26%)	1 (2%)	3 (7%)
Malheur	4 (10%)	10 (24%)	0 (0%)	1 (2%)
Marion	57 (22%)	89 (35%)	16 (6%)	4 (2%)
Morrow	7 (27%)	9 (35%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)
Multnomah	67 (19%)	87 (25%)	9 (3%)	1 (<1%)
Polk	22 (34%)	26 (40%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)

<sup>50</sup> Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Their Income Change Has Improved after 6 months	Number (%) of Families Reporting a New Job	Number (%) of Families Reporting Having Obtained a GED or Having Graduated from School	Number (%) of Families Reporting the Discontinuation of TANF
Sherman	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tillamook	12 (36%)	16 (48%)	3 (9%)	0 (0%)
Umatilla	6 (17%)	14 (39%)	2 (6%)	0 (0%)
Union	4 (19%)	9 (43%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)
Wallowa	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Wasco	11 (26%)	24 (57%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)
Washington	77 (19%)	112 (27%)	13 (3%)	0 (0%)
Wheeler	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Yamhill	14 (27%)	17 (33%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
State	578 (20%)	892 (31%)	119 (4%)	57 (2%)

<sup>50</sup> Family outcomes and events are reported by the Home Visitor on the Family Update form. Percentages are the percent of families with valid Family Update information for each item.

Table 32: Promotion of Positive Parenting Skills & Helping Children Learn<sup>51</sup>

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
Benton	33	27 (82%)	23	21 (91%)	33	26 (79%)	22	21 (96%)
Clackamas	113	89 (79%)	84	72 (86%)	111	81 (73%)	82	65 (79%)
Clatsop	19	16 (84%)	15	13 (87%)	18	15 (83%)	14	11 (79%)
Columbia	24	20 (83%)	12	8 (67%)	24	19 (79%)	12	9 (75%)
Coos	9	7 (78%)	6	6 (100%)	9	5 (56%)	6	3 (50%)
Crook	21	15 (71%)	11	10 (91%)	20	13 (65%)	10	8 (80%)
Curry	15	14 (93%)	11	9 (82%)	14	11 (79%)	11	10 (91%)
Deschutes	98	78 (80%)	62	50 (81%)	96	71 (74%)	62	51 (82%)
Douglas	54	44 (82%)	38	35 (92%)	52	36 (69%)	37	30 (81%)
Gilliam	2	0 (0%)	2	1 (50%)	2	0 (0%)	2	1 (50%)
Grant	7	4 (57%)	5	4 (80%)	7	5 (71%)	4	2 (50%)
Harney	5	2 (40%)	2	2 (100%)	5	2 (40%)	2	2 (100%)
Hood River	26	23 (89%)	17	14 (82%)	24	20 (83%)	13	10 (77%)
Jackson	71	58 (82%)	37	24 (65%)	69	53 (77%)	36	24 (67%)
Jefferson	31	22 (71%)	22	20 (91%)	31	18 (58%)	22	13 (59%)
Josephine	60	46 (77%)	37	27 (73%)	57	34 (60%)	36	24 (67%)
Klamath	27	22 (82%)	17	16 (94%)	27	22 (82%)	17	16 (94%)
Lane	132	111 (84%)	80	68 (85%)	131	107 (82%)	78	63 (81%)
Lincoln	49	36 (74%)	32	27 (84%)	47	34 (72%)	29	23 (79%)
Linn	24	19 (79%)	19	17 (90%)	24	18 (75%)	19	15 (79%)
Malheur	15	13 (87%)	13	12 (92%)	15	12 (80%)	13	10 (77%)
Marion	150	122 (81%)	119	97 (82%)	144	104 (72%)	116	83 (72%)
Morrow	16	14 (88%)	11	9 (82%)	16	12 (75%)	11	10 (91%)
Multnomah	171	134 (78%)	114	83 (73%)	164	121 (74%)	110	80 (73%)

<sup>51</sup> The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

County	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Parenting Skills Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Parenting Skills (at 12 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 6 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 6 Months)	Number Reporting Ability to Help Their Child Learn Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) Reporting Improved Ability to Help Their Child Learn (at 12 Months)
Polk	43	36 (84%)	30	25 (83%)	43	33 (77%)	29	24 (83%)
Sherman	0	--	1	1 (100%)	0	--	1	0 (0%)
Tillamook	12	7 (58%)	13	11 (85%)	12	7 (58%)	11	8 (73%)
Umatilla	18	16 (89%)	10	7 (70%)	18	16 (89%)	10	8 (80%)
Union	12	10 (83%)	7	7 (100%)	12	11 (92%)	7	7 (100%)
Wallowa	4	3 (75%)	1	1 (100%)	4	3 (75%)	1	1 (100%)
Wasco	30	25 (83%)	28	24 (86%)	29	21 (72%)	26	18 (69%)
Washington	217	184 (85%)	172	142 (83%)	209	155 (74%)	168	123 (73%)
Wheeler	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Yamhill	39	32 (82%)	26	23 (89%)	38	32 (84%)	26	23 (89%)
State	1,547	1,249 (81%)	1,077	886 (82%)	1,505	1,117 (74%)	1,043	796 (76%)

<sup>51</sup> The primary caregiver rates their parenting skills and ability to help their child learn on the 6 and 12 month Parent Surveys. Percentages are the percent with information for each item.

Table 33: Promotion of Positive Parent-Child Interaction, HOME Outcomes, & Reading

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction <sup>52</sup> (at 6 months)	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction (at 6 months)	Number of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress (at 6 months)	Percent of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress (at 6 months)	Number of Families with HOME <sup>53</sup> Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with Reading Information <sup>54</sup> (at 12 months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week (at 12 months)
Benton	38	32 (84%)	32	13 (41%)	25	22 (88%)	25	23 (92%)
Clackamas	131	97 (74%)	109	46 (42%)	84	66 (79%)	89	79 (89%)
Clatsop	19	18 (95%)	19	10 (53%)	14	14 (100%)	15	15 (100%)
Columbia	26	23 (89%)	23	9 (39%)	12	12 (100%)	13	13 (100%)
Coos	13	9 (69%)	7	2 (29%)	5	4 (80%)	6	6 (100%)
Crook	27	24 (89%)	21	7 (33%)	10	3 (30%)	11	11 (100%)
Curry	18	15 (83%)	16	7 (44%)	10	10 (100%)	11	11 (100%)
Deschutes	101	89 (88%)	94	33 (35%)	58	53 (91%)	62	55 (89%)
Douglas	69	51 (74%)	51	22 (43%)	43	34 (79%)	45	37 (82%)
Gilliam	2	2 (100%)	2	0 (0%)	2	2 (100%)	2	2 (100%)
Grant	7	6 (86%)	7	1 (14%)	4	3 (75%)	4	3 (75%)
Harney	7	5 (71%)	3	0 (0%)	4	3 (75%)	4	3 (75%)
Hood River	29	25 (86%)	25	4 (16%)	18	8 (44%)	20	20 (100%)
Jackson	82	64 (78%)	70	24 (34%)	31	27 (87%)	34	33 (97%)
Jefferson	36	30 (83%)	30	16 (53%)	12	10 (83%)	21	17 (81%)
Josephine	64	56 (88%)	59	21 (36%)	40	38 (95%)	42	40 (95%)
Klamath	39	28 (72%)	28	12 (43%)	19	14 (74%)	20	19 (95%)
Lane	149	123 (83%)	129	55 (43%)	75	62 (83%)	82	68 (83%)
Lincoln	53	42 (79%)	46	18 (39%)	34	27 (79%)	41	37 (90%)
Linn	29	22 (76%)	24	10 (42%)	17	15 (88%)	18	15 (83%)
Malheur	18	14 (78%)	13	6 (46%)	12	9 (75%)	12	10 (83%)

<sup>52</sup> Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week”, “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

<sup>53</sup> The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

<sup>54</sup> Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

County	Number of Families with Parent-Child Interaction <sup>52</sup> (at 6 months)	Percent Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interaction (at 6 months)	Number of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress (at 6 months)	Percent of Families with Reduction in Parenting Stress (at 6 months)	Number of Families with HOME <sup>53</sup> Score Information (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with "Good" or Higher HOME Score (at 12 Months)	Number (%) of Families with Reading Information <sup>54</sup> (at 12 months)	Number (%) of Families Reading to Their Child At Least 3 times/week (at 12 months)
Marion	176	147 (84%)	146	63 (43%)	112	96 (86%)	118	105 (89%)
Morrow	19	17 (89%)	12	3 (25%)	12	3 (25%)	16	10 (63%)
Multnomah	206	175 (85%)	160	52 (33%)	118	81 (69%)	127	113 (89%)
Polk	45	38 (84%)	41	19 (46%)	29	26 (90%)	30	28 (93%)
Sherman	0	--	0		1	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
Tillamook	21	15 (71%)	12	5 (42%)	15	12 (80%)	15	11 (73%)
Umatilla	23	19 (83%)	15	5 (33%)	11	8 (73%)	13	11 (85%)
Union	12	9 (75%)	12	6 (50%)	7	6 (86%)	8	8 (100%)
Wallowa	5	5 (100%)	3	1 (33%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)
Wasco	32	28 (88%)	31	15 (48%)	24	23 (96%)	25	23 (92%)
Washington	239	196 (82%)	206	76 (37%)	167	138 (83%)	182	135 (74%)
Wheeler	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Yamhill	42	33 (79%)	36	13 (36%)	27	26 (96%)	28	23 (82%)
State	1,777	1,457 (82%)	1,482	574 (39%)	1,053	856 (81%)	1,141	986 (86%)

<sup>52</sup> Measures the percentage of those intensive service families with positive parent interactions based on the following activities being done “a few times a week”, “about once a day” or “more than once a day”: (1) sing songs, (2) tell stories, (3) read books and (4) play games.

<sup>53</sup> The Home Observation measures family effectiveness as the child’s first teacher for Measurement of Environment (HOME). The HOME combines a semi-structured parent interview with direct observation of the home environment and is conducted annually starting when the child is 12 months of age. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

<sup>54</sup> Reading information 3+ times a week is reported on item 42 of the HOME inventory.

Table 34: Cultural Competency & Strength Orientation of Home Visitors<sup>55</sup>

County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials For Their Children That Positively Reflected Their Cultural Background	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Benton	19 (53%)	32 (89%)	25 (76%)	30 (83%)	33 (92%)	33 (92%)	36 (100%)	34 (94%)
Clackamas	53 (45%)	105 (86%)	87 (78%)	102 (84%)	104 (86%)	109 (90%)	116 (96%)	111 (93%)
Clatsop	15 (71%)	21 (100%)	17 (85%)	17 (81%)	20 (95%)	19 (91%)	20 (95%)	20 (95%)
Columbia	12 (50%)	19 (79%)	16 (84%)	20 (83%)	22 (92%)	23 (96%)	24 (100%)	23 (96%)
Coos	7 (54%)	11 (79%)	8 (67%)	12 (86%)	10 (71%)	11 (85%)	13 (100%)	12 (92%)
Crook	10 (44%)	18 (78%)	14 (74%)	20 (87%)	18 (82%)	22 (96%)	23 (100%)	21 (91%)
Curry	10 (63%)	13 (81%)	14 (88%)	13 (81%)	16 (100%)	16 (100%)	16 (100%)	16 (100%)
Deschutes	59 (56%)	92 (87%)	82 (83%)	89 (84%)	90 (86%)	96 (91%)	104 (98%)	104 (98%)
Douglas	29 (48%)	49 (79%)	38 (64%)	56 (90%)	56 (92%)	57 (92%)	61 (100%)	62 (100%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
Grant	5 (63%)	8 (89%)	6 (67%)	8 (89%)	8 (89%)	8 (100%)	8 (100%)	7 (88%)
Harney	2 (29%)	6 (86%)	5 (71%)	5 (71%)	6 (86%)	6 (86%)	7 (100%)	6 (86%)
Hood River	21 (88%)	24 (100%)	20 (87%)	24 (96%)	24 (96%)	25 (100%)	25 (100%)	25 (100%)
Jackson	42 (55%)	66 (87%)	42 (76%)	64 (84%)	67 (88%)	68 (92%)	74 (100%)	74 (99%)
Jefferson	15 (43%)	31 (89%)	19 (61%)	29 (83%)	23 (66%)	28 (82%)	32 (91%)	33 (94%)
Josephine	35 (54%)	58 (89%)	48 (81%)	54 (83%)	59 (89%)	61 (92%)	65 (99%)	64 (97%)
Klamath	12 (43%)	25 (89%)	18 (69%)	27 (96%)	28 (100%)	27 (96%)	28 (100%)	28 (100%)
Lane	70 (50%)	125 (87%)	82 (68%)	115 (80%)	127 (88%)	132 (94%)	138 (98%)	137 (97%)
Lincoln	32 (63%)	46 (87%)	37 (73%)	46 (85%)	47 (89%)	46 (92%)	48 (94%)	48 (96%)
Linn	12 (55%)	17 (74%)	14 (64%)	18 (78%)	17 (74%)	18 (82%)	21 (96%)	22 (100%)
Malheur	7 (39%)	12 (60%)	13 (65%)	17 (85%)	17 (85%)	18 (95%)	19 (100%)	19 (100%)

<sup>55</sup> The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) not sure, (4) disagree, (5) strongly disagree. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "agree" or "strongly agree."



County	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Learn About Their Culture	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Respected Their Family's Culture and/or Religious Beliefs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Provided Materials For Their Children That Positively Reflected Their Cultural Background	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helps Them to See Strengths They Didn't Know They Had	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them Use Their Own Skills and Resources to Solve Problems	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Worked with Them to Meet Their Needs	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Helped Them to See They Are Good Parents	Number (%) of Families Reporting Staff Encouraged Them to Think About Their Own Personal Goals or Dreams
Marion	105 (64%)	149 (89%)	116 (76%)	141 (84%)	148 (88%)	152 (91%)	160 (96%)	165 (99%)
Morrow	11 (61%)	17 (94%)	11 (73%)	15 (83%)	17 (94%)	17 (94%)	16 (89%)	16 (89%)
Multnomah	121 (70%)	172 (95%)	138 (84%)	154 (84%)	165 (90%)	162 (95%)	168 (97%)	164 (95%)
Polk	25 (56%)	41 (91%)	31 (74%)	41 (91%)	42 (93%)	43 (96%)	45 (100%)	45 (100%)
Sherman	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	--	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
Tillamook	6 (33%)	17 (81%)	16 (76%)	20 (95%)	19 (91%)	17 (94%)	17 (94%)	17 (94%)
Umatilla	7 (32%)	17 (77%)	14 (70%)	18 (82%)	18 (82%)	20 (91%)	21 (96%)	20 (91%)
Union	7 (58%)	10 (83%)	8 (80%)	11 (92%)	11 (92%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
Wallowa	3 (50%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%)	3 (50%)	5 (83%)	5 (83%)	6 (100%)	5 (83%)
Wasco	24 (69%)	33 (92%)	25 (76%)	32 (89%)	34 (94%)	34 (94%)	36 (100%)	34 (94%)
Washington	172 (64%)	243 (89%)	187 (76%)	229 (85%)	239 (88%)	253 (94%)	265 (98%)	258 (95%)
Wheeler	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yamhill	23 (59%)	37 (90%)	31 (86%)	35 (88%)	39 (95%)	36 (92%)	39 (100%)	37 (95%)
State	974 (57%)	1,522 (88%)	1,187 (76%)	1,467 (85%)	1,532 (88%)	1,577 (93%)	1,666 (98%)	1,642 (96%)

<sup>55</sup> The family describes Culturally Competent and Strength-based Practice/Service on the Parent Survey II on multiple items. Ratings are described by the parent as (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) not sure, (4) disagree, (5) strongly disagree. These data represent information from the most recent available survey completed by the parent. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting, "agree" or "strongly agree."

Table 35: Ratings of Home Visitor Helpfulness<sup>56</sup>

County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
Benton	20	16 (80%)	26	26 (100%)	36	36 (100%)	21	18 (86%)	17	14 (82%)
Clackamas	88	76 (86%)	91	87 (96%)	120	119 (99%)	75	66 (88%)	63	44 (70%)
Clatsop	12	10 (83%)	15	15 (100%)	20	19 (95%)	16	16 (100%)	13	12 (92%)
Columbia	18	17 (94%)	11	11 (100%)	24	24 (100%)	13	11 (85%)	12	10 (83%)
Coos	6	5 (83%)	7	7 (100%)	14	14 (100%)	9	9 (100%)	5	5 (100%)
Crook	16	13 (81%)	14	14 (100%)	23	23 (100%)	11	10 (91%)	13	10 (77%)
Curry	13	12 (92%)	13	13 (100%)	16	16 (100%)	11	11 (100%)	9	9 (100%)
Deschutes	69	63 (91%)	78	74 (95%)	101	99 (98%)	61	55 (90%)	51	45 (88%)
Douglas	49	44 (90%)	48	47 (98%)	60	60 (100%)	46	43 (93%)	40	34 (85%)
Gilliam	0	--	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	1	1 (100%)	0	--
Grant	6	5 (83%)	8	8 (100%)	8	8 (100%)	8	7 (88%)	4	4 (100%)
Harney	6	5 (83%)	3	3 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	5	4 (80%)	3	2 (67%)
Hood River	23	23 (100%)	21	21 (100%)	25	25 (100%)	21	21 (100%)	21	21 (100%)
Jackson	50	45 (90%)	45	44 (98%)	73	72 (99%)	42	34 (81%)	44	34 (77%)
Jefferson	19	9 (47%)	21	21 (100%)	32	32 (100%)	22	17 (77%)	20	14 (70%)
Josephine	48	47 (98%)	45	41 (91%)	64	63 (98%)	40	36 (90%)	29	26 (90%)
Klamath	19	16 (84%)	19	18 (95%)	28	28 (100%)	20	18 (90%)	13	10 (77%)
Lane	95	88 (93%)	90	85 (94%)	142	141 (99%)	106	97 (92%)	67	54 (81%)
Lincoln	40	31 (78%)	39	35 (90%)	52	51 (98%)	36	29 (81%)	22	15 (68%)
Linn	16	15 (94%)	20	18 (90%)	23	23 (100%)	15	14 (93%)	10	9 (90%)
Malheur	6	6 (100%)	11	11 (100%)	20	20 (100%)	14	13 (93%)	3	3 (100%)
Marion	125	118 (94%)	121	116 (96%)	165	163 (99%)	109	95 (87%)	100	81 (81%)
Morrow	11	9 (82%)	14	13 (93%)	18	18 (100%)	11	10 (91%)	12	10 (83%)

<sup>56</sup> Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "How much, if at all, has Healthy Start helped you" items are rated as 1= helped a lot, 2 = helped a little, 3 = hasn't helped. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "helped a little" or "helped a lot."

County	Number of Families Needing Help with Basic Resources	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Basic Resources	Number of Families Needing Help with Social Support	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Social Support	Number of Families Needing Help with Parenting Information	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Parenting Information	Number of Families Needing Help with Emotional Issues	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Emotional Issues	Number of Families Needing Help with Education	Number (%) Reporting Home Visitor "Helped a Little or a Lot" with Education
<b>Multnomah</b>	126	105 (83%)	132	122 (92%)	183	179 (98%)	112	98 (88%)	116	91 (78%)
<b>Polk</b>	37	33 (89%)	38	37 (97%)	44	44 (100%)	36	33 (92%)	32	27 (84%)
<b>Sherman</b>	1	1 (100%)	0	--	1	1 (100%)	1	0 (0%)	1	1 (100%)
<b>Tillamook</b>	17	17 (100%)	17	16 (94%)	20	20 (100%)	17	16 (94%)	4	4 (100%)
<b>Umatilla</b>	14	11 (79%)	14	12 (86%)	22	22 (100%)	13	12 (92%)	10	7 (70%)
<b>Union</b>	6	6 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	12	12 (100%)	8	6 (75%)	6	5 (83%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	5	5 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	6	6 (100%)	5	5 (100%)	4	3 (75%)
<b>Wasco</b>	28	27 (96%)	30	30 (100%)	35	35 (100%)	28	27 (96%)	19	18 (95%)
<b>Washington</b>	212	173 (82%)	205	190 (93%)	269	260 (97%)	146	107 (73%)	153	99 (65%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
<b>Yamhill</b>	28	23 (82%)	28	27 (96%)	40	40 (100%)	25	23 (92%)	22	15 (68%)
<b>State</b>	1,229	1,074 (87%)	1,237	1,175 (95%)	1,703	1,680 (99%)	1,104	962 (87%)	938	736 (79%)

<sup>56</sup> Ratings are taken from the family's last completed Parent Survey II. "How much, if at all, has Healthy Start helped you" items are rated as 1= helped a lot, 2 = helped a little, 3 = hasn't helped. Percentages reflect the percent of families reporting "helped a little" or "helped a lot."

Table 36: Healthy Start Service Delivery Indicators 2006-07

County	Service Delivery Indicator #1  % First Births Screened	Service Delivery Indicator #2  % Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth	Service Delivery Indicator #3  % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed	Service Delivery Indicator #4  % IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer	Service Delivery Indicator #5  % Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2005-06)	Service Delivery Indicator #6  % Expected Adequate Enrollment <sup>57</sup> Served
Benton	55%	98%	75%	92%	69%	77%
Clackamas	51%	90%	79%	82%	57%	48%
Clatsop	34%	77%	46%	67%	38%	90%
Columbia	39%	52%	86%	70%	30%	94%
Coos	51%	92%	67%	67%	22%	54%
Crook	58%	73%	86%	75%	33%	188%
Curry	83%	63%	86%	67%	50%	231%
Deschutes	48%	96%	77%	79%	42%	84%
Douglas	62%	95%	60%	73%	40%	94%
Gilliam	25%	0%	80%	50%	0%	114%
Grant	76%	81%	76%	100%	80%	226%
Harney	26%	86%	100%	75%	38%	240%
Hood River	49%	80%	78%	100%	77%	179%
Jackson	48%	95%	60%	67%	33%	83%
Jefferson	39%	83%	49%	78%	37%	210%
Josephine	47%	97%	61%	82%	41%	141%
Klamath	68%	90%	84%	67%	48%	77%
Lane	46%	69%	80%	78%	59%	58%
Lincoln	65%	92%	84%	90%	50%	164%
Linn	55%	85%	79%	61%	50%	36%
Malheur	27%	74%	75%	63%	38%	118%
Marion	59%	97%	64%	73%	38%	63%
Morrow	67%	56%	91%	40%	0%	217%
Multnomah	69%	91%	79%	87%	37%	35%

<sup>57</sup> Expected Adequate Enrollment is based on information provided by OCCF. For 2006-07, adequate enrollment was defined as 25% of the average number of first births born in 2003, 2004, & 2005.

County	Service Delivery Indicator #1  % First Births Screened	Service Delivery Indicator #2  % Screened Prenatally or Within 2 Weeks of Birth	Service Delivery Indicator #3  % Families with 75% or More of Expected Home Visits Completed	Service Delivery Indicator #4  % IS Families Engaged in Services for 90 Days or Longer	Service Delivery Indicator #5  % Families Remaining in IS for 12 Months or longer (enrolled 2005-06)	Service Delivery Indicator #6  % Expected Adequate Enrollment <sup>57</sup> Served
Polk	73%	97%	92%	92%	75%	89%
Sherman	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	200%
Tillamook	10%	91%	60%	80%	50%	127%
Umatilla	35%	59%	52%	64%	43%	39%
Union	62%	99%	94%	60%	20%	74%
Wallowa	27%	50%	93%	100%	25%	200%
Wasco	91%	85%	83%	92%	69%	168%
Washington	22%	73%	46%	86%	44%	54%
Wheeler	100%	100%	--	--	--	400%
Yamhill	24%	86%	65%	70%	60%	48%
State	50%	88%	69%	78%	43%	63%

**Regional Programs**

Columbia Gorge	68%	84%	79%	96%	74%	174%
Gilliam/Wheeler	40%	75%	80%	50%	0%	178%

<sup>57</sup> Expected Adequate Enrollment is based on information provided by OCCF. For 2006-07, adequate enrollment was defined as 25% of the average number of first births born in 2003, 2004, & 2005.

Table 37: Healthy Start Outcome Indicators 2006-07

County	Outcome Indicator #1 % Children with Primary Care Provider	Outcome Indicator #2 % Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations	Outcome Indicator #3 % Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More	Outcome Indicator #4 % Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions	Outcome Indicator #5 % Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress	Outcome Indicator #6 % Parents Reporting Healthy Start Helped with Social Support
Benton	41 (100%)	37 (93%)	23 (92%)	32 (84%)	13 (41%)	26 (100%)
Clackamas	134 (94%)	115 (83%)	79 (89%)	97 (74%)	46 (42%)	87 (96%)
Clatsop	19 (95%)	19 (95%)	15 (100%)	18 (95%)	10 (53%)	15 (100%)
Columbia	26 (100%)	25 (96%)	13 (100%)	23 (89%)	9 (39%)	11 (100%)
Coos	16 (100%)	14 (88%)	6 (100%)	9 (69%)	2 (29%)	7 (100%)
Crook	27 (100%)	27 (100%)	11 (100%)	24 (89%)	7 (33%)	14 (100%)
Curry	18 (95%)	16 (89%)	11 (100%)	15 (83%)	7 (44%)	13 (100%)
Deschutes	107 (99%)	101 (94%)	55 (89%)	89 (88%)	33 (35%)	74 (95%)
Douglas	74 (99%)	64 (85%)	37 (82%)	51 (74%)	22 (43%)	47 (98%)
Gilliam	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)
Grant	9 (100%)	8 (89%)	3 (75%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	8 (100%)
Harney	9 (100%)	9 (100%)	3 (75%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)
Hood River	28 (100%)	28 (100%)	20 (100%)	25 (86%)	4 (16%)	21 (100%)
Jackson	89 (100%)	83 (93%)	33 (97%)	64 (78%)	24 (34%)	44 (98%)
Jefferson	38 (95%)	35 (90%)	17 (81%)	30 (83%)	16 (53%)	21 (100%)
Josephine	69 (100%)	59 (86%)	40 (95%)	56 (88%)	21 (36%)	41 (91%)
Klamath	40 (100%)	40 (100%)	19 (95%)	28 (72%)	12 (43%)	18 (95%)
Lane	148 (99%)	138 (93%)	68 (83%)	123 (83%)	55 (43%)	85 (94%)
Lincoln	56 (98%)	56 (98%)	37 (90%)	42 (79%)	18 (39%)	35 (90%)
Linn	31 (97%)	30 (94%)	15 (83%)	22 (76%)	10 (42%)	18 (90%)
Malheur	22 (100%)	18 (82%)	10 (83%)	14 (78%)	6 (46%)	11 (100%)
Marion	189 (99%)	171 (90%)	105 (89%)	147 (84%)	63 (43%)	116 (96%)
Morrow	19 (100%)	17 (90%)	10 (63%)	17 (89%)	3 (25%)	13 (93%)
Multnomah	219 (98%)	214 (96%)	113 (89%)	175 (85%)	52 (33%)	122 (92%)
Polk	45 (96%)	41 (87%)	28 (93%)	38 (84%)	19 (46%)	37 (97%)
Sherman	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	--		--
Tillamook	28 (100%)	28 (100%)	11 (73%)	15 (71%)	5 (42%)	16 (94%)
Umatilla	25 (100%)	21 (84%)	11 (85%)	19 (83%)	5 (33%)	12 (86%)

<b>County</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #1 % Children with Primary Care Provider</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #2 % Children with Up-to-Date Immunizations</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #3 % Parents Reading to Child 3x Per Week or More</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #4 % Parents Reporting Positive Parent-Child Interactions</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #5 % Parents with Reporting Reduced Parenting Stress</b>	<b>Outcome Indicator #6 % Parents Reporting Healthy Start Helped with Social Support</b>
<b>Union</b>	13 (100%)	13 (100%)	8 (100%)	9 (75%)	6 (50%)	6 (100%)
<b>Wallowa</b>	7 (100%)	6 (100%)	1 (100%)	5 (100%)	1 (33%)	6 (100%)
<b>Wasco</b>	34 (97%)	32 (91%)	23 (92%)	28 (88%)	15 (48%)	30 (100%)
<b>Washington</b>	265 (94%)	259 (92%)	135 (74%)	196 (82%)	76 (37%)	190 (93%)
<b>Wheeler</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Yamhill</b>	42 (100%)	37 (88%)	23 (82%)	33 (79%)	13 (36%)	27 (96%)
<b>State</b>	<b>1,891 (98%)</b>	<b>1,764 (92%)</b>	<b>986 (86%)</b>	<b>1,457 (82%)</b>	<b>574 (39%)</b>	<b>1,175 (95%)</b>

#### ***Regional Programs***

<b>Columbia Gorge</b>	64 (98%)	62 (95%)	44 (96%)	53 (87%)	19 (34%)	51 (100%)
<b>Gilliam/Wheeler</b>	2 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)





## **APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF ANALYSES, TERMS, AND DATA SOURCES**



## Glossary of Analyses, Terms, and Data Sources

### **Tables 1 & 2:**

**Birth rate:** The actual number of resident births recorded by Vital Statistics for the July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007, fiscal year available for download as of November 2007. This number is a proxy for the number of screens a program may be able to conduct.

Birth rates reported here represent first births for all counties. Vital statistics information is recorded for the mother only.

**Rate of Declines:** Information on families declining Healthy Start information is collected by programs and submitted annually to the evaluation by the program managers.

**Total Families Screened:** Calculated by adding the number of resident first births screened (and send to the evaluation) with the number of families screened, but declining to share information with the evaluation.

**Screening Rate:** For a family to be included in the screening rate, programs must (1) submit the NBQ to the evaluation team in time to be included in the analyses (by October 15, 2007 for screens dated July 2006 through June 2007), or (2) have a screening date entered into the OCCF Family Manager data system by October 15, 2007. Screening rate is calculated by dividing the total number of families screened by the first birth rate.

It is possible that programs serving a high proportion of first time fathers and/or prenatal families may have a higher screening rate compared to their resident (mothers') births. Programs screening above 100% are capped at 100% for the purpose of these analyses.

### **Table 3:**

**Time to Screen:** The data for calculating "Time to Screen" comes from counting the number of days between the date of birth and the date of screen. These variables are taken from the NBQ. In the event the NBQ has not yet been submitted to the evaluation, the dates are taken from Family Manager. Prenatal screens are counted as zero days. Families with data missing on either date of birth or date of screen are not included in these analyses.

### **Table 4:**

During FY 2006-07, a high-risk screen was defined as 2 or more risk factors on the NBQ or the single risk indicator for depression (Item 19) or the single risk indicator for substance use issues (Item 21). NBQs are typically completed either by the parent or by Healthy Start staff. A Spanish language version of the NBQ is available for those families preferring to read in that language.

Other risk factors (in addition to depression and substance use) include:

- Being a teen mom (age 17 or younger) (Item 7b)
- Being single (Item 13)
- Having prenatal care more than 12 weeks into pregnancy or not at all (Item 14a)

- Having less than 5 health care visits while pregnant (Item 14b)
- Having less than a high school diploma or GED (Item 15)
- Both mother and spouse/partner (if present) being unemployed (Items 16 and 17)
- Reporting difficulty paying for living expenses some/most of the time (Item 18)
- Having some or serious problems with family relationships (Item 20)

**Table 5:**

Information about mother's race/ethnicity is taken from Item 8 on the NBQ. Choices listed on the NBQ reflect any race/ethnicity in which there was at least 1% representation in any of Oregon's counties at the time the instrument was developed. Families identifying themselves as more than one race/ethnicity are counted as "Multiracial."

**Table 6:**

Language: Information on language spoken in the household is taken from Item 10 of the NBQ.

Teen Mothers: A teen mother is defined by Healthy Start as any mother who is age 17 or younger at the time of screen. Mother's age is taken either from Item 7b on the NBQ (your current age) or is calculated by comparing Item 7a (mother's date of birth) to the date of the screen, to calculate mother's age at the time of screening if Item 7b is left blank.

Single Mothers: Marital status is determined by mother's response to Item 13 on the NBQ.

Education Level: Determined by mother's response to Item 15 on the NBQ.

**Table 7:**

Health Insurance: Information about health insurance at the time of screening is taken from Items 12a (for mothers) and 12b (for baby) on the NBQ.

Prenatal Care: Information on prenatal care received is taken from Items 14a and 14b on the NBQ.

**Table 8:**

Risk factors reported are taken from responses to the following items on the NBQ:

- Parents' employment is calculated by looking at both responses to Item 16 and 17 on the NBQ. Both parents need to be unemployed in order for this Item to be counted as a risk factor.
- Trouble paying for basic living expenses is taken from responses to Item 18 on the NBQ.
- Information about symptoms of depression is taken from responses to Item 19 on the NBQ.
- Information on alcohol and drug use is taken from Item 21 on the NBQ.
- Information about relationship issues is taken from Item 20 on the NBQ.

**Table 9:**

Those families who have a positive NBQ (at least 2 risk factors or the risk factor for depression or the risk factor for substance use) are counted as eligible for Intensive Service.

Families offered and accepted services during FY 2006-07 are identified by program responses to Item D on the NBQ. Occasionally, a family originally declining service may decide later that they wish to receive service. Programs can serve these families based on program capacity; however individuals that fall into this scenario are not counted in the acceptance rate unless a revised NBQ was submitted.

**Table 10:**

The duplicated count of Intensive Service families provides information about families who received service from multiple programs. This most often occurs when a family begins receiving service in one Healthy Start program, moves to another community in Oregon, and continues services with their new local Healthy Start program.

The duplicate count was determined by counting the both the county of mom's residence at the time of the NBQ, and the county indicated on the most recent evaluation form (i.e., Family Update) submitted to the evaluation team.

Number of Families Screened/Referred Only includes all families who had a screening date during FY 2006-07, and for whom the NBQ indicated the family was not eligible (negative screen) or indicated the family declined or was not offered Intensive Service. This count also includes families who originally accepting Intensive Service on the NBQ, but later had an exit form submitted indicating the family never received a first home visit.

Newly Enrolled Intensive Service families includes all families who had a screening date during FY 2006-07, and for whom the NBQ form indicating the family accepted Intensive Service, and/or those families in which a Family Intake or other evaluation form was submitted.

Continuing Intensive Service families includes all families who enrolled in Intensive Service in a prior fiscal year, and received some intensive service during FY 2006-07 (including creative outreach).

**Table 11:**

Families accepting Intensive Service and receiving a first home visit is calculated by comparing the number of families who have NBQs indicating "Accepted Intensive Service" compared with the number of Family Intakes submitted. In the event a Family Intake was not submitted, but the family has other evaluation data indicating visits took place, the assumption was made that the family had a first home visit and is included in the analyses.

Information on families not receiving a first home visit is taken from reasons on the Exit Form. However, families coded with one of these reasons, but who had other evaluation

data submitted suggesting they had received a first home visit, were counted as having received a first home visit.

New Intensive Service families receiving their first home visit prenatally or within 3 months of the birth of the baby is calculated by subtracting the date of the first home visit (from Item 1 on the Family Intake) from the baby's date of birth (taken from the NBQ or Family Manager, when appropriate). If the date of the first home visit on the Family Intake is missing, and a first home visit date is on an exit form for that family, the first home visit on the exit form is substituted. If the first home visit date is still missing, the date of the Family Intake form is substituted.

Healthy Start program managers submit home visit information by Home Visitor to the evaluation team monthly. The evaluation team compiles the rate of expected home visits using Healthy Families America standards that state that families should receive 75% or more of the expected visits, given their level of services. The percentage of families who meet this criterion is reported.

**Tables 12 - 15:**

Demographic information on Intensive Service families is taken from items reported on the NBQ (see Tables 5-8 above for source of data).

**Tables 16 & 17:**

Kempe risk factors are taken from the Kempe Inventory Form. Items on the Kempe form are rated by the worker as: 0 (not applicable) 5 (mild) or 10 (severe). Data reported here is the highest rating on a given item for either parent.

**Table 18 & 19:**

Families offered service are those whose NBQ indicated a positive assessment and were offered service (Item D, excluding (1) not offered, caseload full, not offered, unable to contact, and (2) not offered, other). Counts of accepted service are indicated on the NBQ, Item D. Demographics of families accepting Intensive Service are taken from the NBQ.

**Table 20 A:**

Retention was calculated using the HFA recommended analysis strategy. Specifically, we take a sample of families enrolled during a given period in the past, and report on their retention in the program at subsequent intervals. For these analyses, families enrolled in FY 2004-05 were selected, as this provided adequate time to be able to look at a 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24-month retention period. All families who were enrolled in Intensive Services (specifically, who received a first home visit or had a Family Intake form submitted during FY 2004-05) were included in the retention analysis. However, families with an exit form indicating "moved" or "deceased" as an exit reason were not included in these analyses.

**Table 20 B:**

For these analyses, families enrolled in FY 2005-06 were selected, as this provided adequate time to be able to look at a 3, 6, and 12-month retention period. All families who were enrolled in Intensive Services (specifically, who received a first home visit or had a Family Intake form submitted during FY 2005-06) were included in the retention

analysis. However, families with an exit form indicating “moved” or “deceased” as an exit reason were not included in these analyses.

**Tables 21 & 22:**

Demographic descriptions of “retained” families are based on responses to the NBQ from families enrolled during the 2005-06 fiscal year who remained in the program for 12 months or longer.

**Table 23:**

Number of exiting families is determined by those exit forms submitted with a creative exit date during FY 2006-07. Exit dates are based on creative outreach end dates, or the last day of home visit (if there is no creative outreach period. If both of those dates are missing, the date of the exit form is used.

Average age at exit is determined by calculating the age of the child at the time of exit, using the birth date (from the NBQ) and the exit date (see exit date calculation above).

Reasons for participants exiting the program are based on Healthy Start workers’ reports, as indicated on the Exit Form.

Other reasons include: parent in jail, child removed from custody, child deceased, concerns about FSW safety, parent no longer interested, parent too busy, and family transferred to another program. Programs were also given the option to describe reasons not listed on the exit form under “other.”

**Tables 24 - 26:**

Health care information comes from the most recently received Family Update.

- Parent’s primary health care provider information: Item 30
- Child’s primary health care provider information: Item 29
- Well-child check up information: Item 21
- Child’s health insurance information: Item 22
- Emergency room use: Item 24
- Immunization information: Item 20a

Analyses describing children lacking health insurance on the NBQ but having it at the most recent follow-up are determined by comparing Item 12b on the NBQ to Item 22 on the Family Update, for that group of children who had both pieces of information present.

Analyses describing the percentage of children immunized by age two examine the response to Item 20a on the Family Update only for those children who are 2 years of age (by comparing the child’s birth date to the date of the most recent Family Update form).

**Table 27:**

Comprehensive prenatal care for the initial and subsequent pregnancies is based on the mother receiving BOTH early (1<sup>st</sup> trimester) and comprehensive (5 or more check ups) prenatal care (Items 14a and 14b on the NBQ, and Item 32b on the Family Update).

Only mothers indicating they are currently pregnant with a subsequent pregnancy (on Item 32 of the Family Update), and who have information on subsequent prenatal care, are included in the analyses.

**Table 28 & 29:**

ASQ and ASQ-SE developmental assessments are administered by home visitors as early as 4 months of age, however the earliest the information is reported to the evaluation is on the 6 month Family Update. In order to be included in the “eligible for screening” data, children had to be at least 6 months old, and therefore to be eligible to have a Family Update form completed.

If Family Updates indicated the child’s development was being tracked by early intervention or that the child was receiving early intervention services, the family was given credit for having a screening even if there was no screening information on the Family Update. This is because home visitors are not required to do the developmental assessment if the child is receiving developmental services through Early Intervention.

The Home Visitor reports information on child’s developmental status (normal, delays indicated, other) on the Family Update form (Items 37b and 38b).

Children with confirmed developmental delays are reported on the Family Update (Item 34).

Receipt of Early Intervention services (related to ASQ) is reported on the Family Update (Item 36).

Referrals/connections to other services (related to ASQ-SE) is reported on the Family Update (Item 38c)

**Table 30:**

Connecting the family to needed resources is taken from information reported by the home visitor on the 6-month Family Update (Item 11). Specifically, workers who indicated “Yes” on the question “Did anyone in the family lack the needed service since the Family Intake” have those families included in analyses. Families were included in the analysis if the worker indicated that they lacked the given service and if there was a response to the follow-up question, “Did you connect any family members to the needed service.” Only families with responses to both parts of the question are included in the analyses.

**Table 31:**

Family outcomes and life events are taken from the most recent Family Update responses to Item 19.

**Table 32:**

Parenting skills and ability to help child learn are taken from Items 5 and 6 on the Parent Survey A. Data in this report is examined at 6 months and 12 months.

**Table 33:**



Measures of Parent-Child Interaction are obtained from parent self-report on the Parent Survey II, Part A. The activities described in Item 4 are examined for their frequency of “a few times a week”, “about once a day” or “more than once a day”. Parenting Stress reduction is determined by comparing responses from the Parent Survey I, Item 4 with Parent Survey II, item 7.

HOME information is taken from workers’ in-home observation of the home environment. Percentages for “good” or higher refer to families with total scores on the HOME reaching the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher (above average) for the normative population as established by the tools and developers.

The home visitor reports information on families reading to their child 3 or more times a week on Item 42 of the HOME inventory.

**Table 34:**

Ratings of staff cultural competency are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B, to items 7b, 7e, and 7g.

Ratings of staff strengths orientation are assessed by most recent parent responses (averaged) on the Parent Survey II, Part B, to the following items, which ask about the extent to which Healthy Start has provided help: Items 7a, 7c, 7d, 7f, and 7i.

**Table 35:**

Ratings of home visitor helpfulness are taken from the family’s last completed Parent Survey II, Part B, on the following: items 6b, 6d, 6f, 6g and 6i. Percentages reflect those families reporting their home visitor helped with those issues.

**Tables 36 & 37:**

Tables 36 & 37 summarize performance indicators defined by the Healthy Start Research, Steering, and Advisory Committees for 2006-07. These indicators are reported in prior tables, with the exception of Service Delivery Indicator #6: Percent of Expected Adequate Enrollment. This is calculated based on the information provided to all programs by OCCF that defined “Adequate Enrollment” as 25% of the three-year average of the number of first births born in 2003, 2004, and 2005. Specifically, the total number of Intensive Service families served during 2006-07 is divided by the defined “Expected Adequate Enrollment”. For example, if County A served 50 families in Intensive Services, and the average number of first births born in County A for 2003, 2004, and 2005 was 200, then County A served 100% of their expected enrollment ( $50/(200*25\%)$ ).